## A CHARACTERIZATION OF ULTRAFILTERS IN COMPLEMENTARY TOPOLOGIES

## Rahim G. Karimpour

**Abstract.** A topology  $\tau$  on a non-empty set X is called a complementary topology if each open set U in  $\tau$ , its complement X - U is also in  $\tau$ . These topologies, maximal ideals and their relation to ultrafilters were characterized by this author. In this paper, the structure of filters in  $\tau$  are investigated. Finally, the ultrafilters are characterized.

1. Introduction. Let  $\tau$  be a topology on a set X. Then  $\tau$  is called a complementary topology (comp-topology) if for each  $U \in \tau$ , its complementary X-U is also in  $\tau$ . Since complementary topologies are the only topologies that form a Boolean ring under the usual operations, these spaces along with their maximal ideals have been characterized in [4]. This may be a result of some interest due to the many applications of Boolean rings to such fields as logic and switching circuits. In [5], the relation between maximal ideals in Boolean rings and ultrafilters in  $\tau$  have been characterized. In this article, the structure of filters in  $\tau$  are investigated. Finally, the ultrafilters in these topologies independent of ideals are characterized.

2. Complementary Topology. The following lemmas and theorem have been proved in [4] and [5].

<u>Lemma 1</u>. In a comp-topology, the intersection of an arbitrary collection of open sets is an open set.

Note that the converse of this lemma is not true. For example, see [5].

<u>Lemma 2</u>. If  $\tau$  is a non-trivial comp-topology on a set X, then  $\tau$  admits a unique basis  $B(\tau)$  which forms a partition for the space X. This partition is called a *disjoint basis*.

<u>Lemma 3</u>. If the topology  $\tau$  on a set X admits a basis which forms a partition for X, then  $\tau$  is a comp-topology.

Lemmas 2 and 3 have been employed to prove the following theorem, which characterizes comp-topological spaces.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Let  $\tau$  be a non-trivial topology on a set X, then  $\tau$  is a comptopology if and only if  $\tau$  admits a unique basis that forms a partition for the set X.

Example. Let X be the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$B(\tau) = \{ [n, n+1) : n \text{ is an integer } \}.$$

It is easy to see that X is a complementary topology with  $B(\tau)$  as its unique basis.

3. Filters and Ultrafilters. Let  $\tau$  be a non-trivial comp-topology on a set X with a disjoint basis

$$B(\tau) = \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}.$$

We define a filter in  $\tau$  as follows.

<u>Definition 1</u>. A non-empty subset F of the topology  $\tau$  is called a comp-filter or simply filter if i)  $U \subseteq V$  where  $U, V \in \tau$  and  $U \in F$ , then  $V \in F$ ; and ii) For any  $U, V \in F, U \cap V \in F$ . A filter is said to be an ultrafilter or a maximal filter if  $F \subset \tau$  and F is not contained in any other filter in  $\tau$ .

To characterize the filters in  $\tau$ , we first observe that by Theorem 1, there is a unique disjoint basis

$$B(\tau) = \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}$$

for  $\tau$ , where A is an index set for the elements in the partition. Now, for each subcollection of indices  $A_0 \subseteq A$ , let  $F(A_0)$  be the set consisting of all those  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's with  $\alpha \in A_0$  together with all the finite intersections of those  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let  $\tau$  be a comp-topology on a set X and

$$B(\tau) = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$$

be a disjoint base for  $\tau$ . For each filter F in  $\tau$ , there exists a unique subcollection  $A_0$  of the index set A such that  $F(A_0) \subseteq F$ . The only sets that are possibly in F, but not in  $F(A_0)$ , are those that are intersections of the  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's, for infinitely many  $\alpha$ 's in  $A_0$ .  $F(A_0)$  will be called the associated set of F.

<u>Proof.</u> Let F be a filter and a subset of  $\tau$ . Let

$$A_0 = \{ \alpha \in A : U \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset \text{ for some } U \in F \}.$$

Since  $U \cap U_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha \in A_0$  and  $U \subseteq X - U_{\alpha}$ , we see that F contains all those  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's with  $\alpha \in A_0$ . Therefore,  $F(A_0) \subseteq F$ . It is clear that the only sets that can possibly be in F, but not in  $F(A_0)$ , must be an intersection of  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's, for infinitely many  $\alpha$  in A. The following theorem will enable us to characterize the ultrafilters in  $\tau$ .

<u>Theorem 3</u>. Let  $\tau$  be a comp-topology on a set X and

$$B(\tau) = \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}$$

be a disjoint basis for  $\tau$ . Suppose  $\alpha_0$  is a fixed element of A. Then the set

$$F = \{X - U : U \in \tau \text{ and } U \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset\}$$

is an ultrafilter in  $\tau$ .

<u>Proof.</u> First we show that F is a filter. It is clear that  $\emptyset \notin F$ . Let B and C be in  $\tau$  such that  $B \subseteq C$  and  $B \in F$ . Then, there exists a  $U \in \tau$  such that B = X - U and  $U \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ . We need to show that there exists a  $V \in \tau$  such that C = X - V for some V in  $\tau$  and  $V \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ . Let  $V = U - C = U \cap (X - C)$ . By using De Morgan's Law it is easy to see that  $X - V = B \cup C = C$ . To show  $V \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ , we consider the fact that  $V \cap U_{\alpha_0} = U \cap (X - C) \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ . Let  $B, C \in F$ . Then there exists a  $V, W \in \tau$  such that B = X - V, C = X - W. Then  $B \cap C = X - (V \cup W)$ , where  $V \cup W \in \tau$  and  $(V \cup W) \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ . Therefore, F is a filter. To show that F is an ultrafilter, let  $F^*$  be a filter containing F. Let V be an arbitrary element in  $F^*$ . Either  $V \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$  or  $V \cap U_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $V \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ , then  $X - V \in F \subset F^*$ ; which implies  $\emptyset \in F^*$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $F^*$  is a filter. So  $V \cap U_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ . But since  $U_{\alpha_0}$  is a basis element from the partition, it follows that  $U_{\alpha_0} \in V$ . Considering that  $U_{\alpha_0} = X - (X - U_{\alpha_0})$  and  $(X - U_{\alpha_0}) \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $U_{\alpha_0} \in F$ . Since F is a filter and  $U_{\alpha_0} \subseteq V$ , we can conclude that  $V \in F$  and  $F^* = F$ . This shows that F is an ultrafilter.

We will now characterize all ultrafilters of  $\tau$  whose associated sets are generated by the index sets  $A_0$  that are proper subsets of A.

<u>Theorem 4.</u> Let  $\tau$  be a comp-topology on a set X and

$$B(\tau) = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$$

be a disjoint basis for  $\tau$ . Suppose  $F \subset \tau$  is a filter whose associated set corresponds to a proper subset  $A_0$  of A. Then F is an ultrafilter of  $\tau$  if and only if

- i.  $A_0$  misses exactly one element  $\alpha_0$  of A, and
- ii. F contains all the possible unions of elements of the set

$$\{X - U_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\}.$$

Condition (ii) may be replaced by the equivalent condition.

iii. F contains all the elements of  $\tau$  that have a non-empty intersection with  $U_{\alpha_0}$ . <u>Proof.</u> Since  $\tau$  is a comp-topology, it is easy to see that Conditions ii and iii are equivalent. Let F be a filter that satisfies the following two conditions.

- I. Let  $F(A_0)$  be the associated set of F, where the index set  $A_0$  misses exactly one element  $\alpha_0$  of A.
- II. F contains all the possible elements of the topology  $\tau$  that are non-disjoint from  $U_{\alpha_0}$ .

We need to show that F is an ultrafilter. If  $F^*$  is any filter containing F as a proper subset, then  $F^*$  must contain a set U such that  $U \notin F$  and  $U \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ . But this implies  $U \subset X - U_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $F^*$  is a filter, then  $X - U_{\alpha_0} \in F^*$ . By (II),  $U_{\alpha_0} \in F \subset F^*$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\emptyset \notin F^*$ . Therefore, F is an ultrafilter.

Conversely, let F be an ultrafilter with the associated set  $F(A_0)$  such that  $A - A_0$  contains more than one element or F does not contain all possible  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's. Fix an element  $\alpha_0$  in  $A - A_0$  and let  $A_1 = A - \{\alpha_0\}$ . Suppose  $F^*$  is the subset of  $\tau$  containing all possible unions of elements of the set

$$\{X - U_\alpha : \alpha \in A_1\}.$$

It is obvious that  $F^*$  contains F as a proper subset. Now it suffices to show that  $F^*$ is a filter. Replacing Condition II by the equivalent Condition iii, then  $F^*$  contains all the possible elements of the topology  $\tau$  that are non-disjoint from  $U_{\alpha_0}$ . It is straight forward to verify that  $F^*$  is a filter in  $\tau$  by using the fact that for any  $U \in \tau$ , if  $U \cap U_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U_{\alpha_0} \subseteq U$ . Therefore, if  $A_0$  is a proper subset of A and if either condition I or II is not satisfied, then F is not an ultrafilter of  $\tau$ .

Corollary. Let  $\tau$  be a comp-topology with a disjoint basis

$$B(\tau) = \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}.$$

If the index set A is finite consisting of n elements, then  $\tau$  has exactly  $2^n$  distinct filters (including trivial ones) and among these, exactly n of them are ultrafilters.

<u>Proof.</u> If the index set A is finite, it is easy to show that for each subcollection of indices  $A_0 \subset A$ ,  $F(A_0) \cup \{X\}$  is a filter. Moreover if F is an arbitrary filter in  $\tau$ , Theorem 2 guarantees a unique subcollection  $A_0$  of the index set A such that  $F(A_0) \subset F$ . Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the filters of  $\tau$ and the subsets of A with a filter F corresponding to a subset  $A_0 \subset A$ . As for ultrafilters, we merely observe that each ultrafilter F of  $\tau$  must be equal to its associated set  $F(A_0)$  where  $A_0$  is a proper subset of A. Thus, by Theorem 4, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all ultrafilters of  $\tau$  and the index set A.

For the case in which the index set is infinite and ultrafilters are those as described in Theorem 3, it is easy to see that there are infinitely many ultrafilters in this topology.

The following theorem is true in the case that the index set is infinite. Before we state and prove the theorem, we need a definition.

<u>Definition</u>. Let  $\tau$  be a comp-topology with a disjoint basis

$$B(\tau) = \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}.$$

For each subset P of the index set A, we will let

$$U(P) = \bigcup \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in P \}$$

and call it the open set determined by P.

<u>Lemma 4</u>. Let  $\tau$  be a comp-topology with a disjoint basis

$$B(\tau) = \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}.$$

Let F be an ultrafilter in  $\tau$ . Suppose that U(P) is an open set determined by an infinite subset P of the index set A such that the set U(P) belongs to F. Then, for any partition  $P = R \cup S$  of P into infinite subsets R and S, the filter F contains exactly one of the open sets U(R) and U(S).

<u>Proof.</u> It is easy to see that F does contain both U(R) and U(S), for otherwise, being a filter, F would have contained  $U(R) \cap U(S) = \emptyset$ . We show that if F contains

neither U(R) nor U(S), F would not have been an ultrafilter. Suppose F contains neither U(R) nor U(S). Let  $F^*$  be a filter generated by F and U(R), i.e.,

$$F^* = \{ U : U = B \cup C, B \in F \text{ and } C \subseteq U(R) \}.$$

It is easy to check that  $F^*$  is a filter. For example, if  $U \subseteq V$  and  $U \in F^*$ , then there is a  $B \in F$  and  $C \subseteq U(R)$  such that  $U = B \cup C \subseteq V$ . But  $B \cup C \subseteq V$ implies that  $B \subseteq V$  and  $C \subseteq V$ . Since F is also a filter, it follows that  $V \in F$ and  $V = V \cup C \in F^*$ . By taking  $C = \emptyset$ , we see that  $F^* \supset F$ . Thus,  $F^*$  is a filter containing F as a proper subset. Thus, F cannot be an ultrafilter in  $\tau$ .

<u>Theorem 5.</u> Let  $\tau$  be a comp-topology on a set X with a disjoint basis

$$B(\tau) = \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}.$$

If the index set A is infinite, then there are infinitely many distinct ultrafilters of  $\tau$  that contain F(A).

<u>Proof.</u> Since  $\tau$  is a comp-topology, it is not difficult to show that the set F consisting of all those  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's with  $\alpha \in A$  together with all the finite intersections of those  $X - U_{\alpha}$ 's and the set X is a filter containing F(A).

By using Zorn's Lemma, there is at least one ultrafilter F that contains F(A). Furthermore, since F is also a filter, it does contain the set

$$X = \bigcup \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \} = U(A).$$

We now show that by the following argument, there are at least two ultrafilters that contain F(A). Partition the index set A as a union of two disjoint, infinite subsets  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . By the preceding lemma, the ultrafilter F must contain exactly one of the two sets  $U(A_0)$  and  $U(A_1)$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $U(A_0) \notin F$ . We now relabel F as  $F_0$  and show that there is a second ultrafilter  $F_1$ that contains F(A) and the set  $U(A_0)$ , but not  $U(A_1)$ . First, let  $F_1^*$  be the filter generated by F(A) and the set  $U(A_0)$ . Then apply Zorn's Lemma to obtain an ultrafilter  $F_1$  containing the filter  $F_1^*$ . Since the ultrafilter  $F_1$  already contains the set  $U(A_0)$ , by the preceding lemma,  $F_1$  does not contain the set  $U(A_1)$ . Thus,  $F_0$ and  $F_1$  are two distinct ultrafilters. Applying the same argument on the sets  $U(A_0)$  First partition the set  $A_0$  into the union of two disjoint infinite subsets  $A_{00}$  and  $A_{01}$ . As before, the ultrafilter  $F_0$  must contain exactly one of the sets  $U(A_{00})$  and  $U(A_{01})$ , say,  $F_0$  does not contain the set  $U(A_{00})$ . Relabeling  $F_0$  as  $F_{00}$  and using Zorn's Lemma again, we can construct another ultrafilter  $F_{01}$  containing F(A), but not the sets  $U(A_0)$  and  $U(A_{01})$ . Likewise, applying the same argument on a partition of  $A_1 = A_{10} \cup A_{11}$ , we can show that there exist two ultrafilters  $F_{10}$ , which does not contain  $U(A_{10})$  and  $F_{11}$ , which does not contain  $U(A_{11})$  (and  $F_1$  is one of these two ultrafilters).

Since this argument can be repeated as many times as we wish, there must be infinitely many such ultrafilters.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Dr. Steven E. Rigdon for reading the manuscript and the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

## References

- 1. F. H. Croom, Principles of Topology, Sanders College, 1989.
- 2. J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1966.
- 3. R. Engelking, General Topology, PWN-Polish Scientific Publisher, 1977.
- R. G. Karimpour, "Complementary Topology and Boolean Algebra," Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 22 (1991).
- R. G. Karimpour, "Ultrafilters and Topological Entropy of Complementary Topologies," *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7 (1995), 32–38.
- L. Narici and E. Deckenstein, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1985.

Rahim G. Karimpour Department of Mathematics Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville Edwardsville, IL 62026-1653 email: rkarimp@siue.edu