## NOTE ON A PYTHAGOREAN RING

## Aleksander Grytczuk

Abstract. In this note we give a construction of a Pythagorean ring

$$
P_{n}=\left\{<x, y, z>\in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}, z-y=n\right\}
$$

where $n$ is a fixed integer.

1. Introduction. B. Dawson in [1] gave a construction of a Pythagorean ring

$$
P=\left\{<x, y, z>\in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}\right\} .
$$

He defined the operations " $\oplus$ " and " 0 " by an isomorphism $\Phi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and utilized the elements of $P_{n}$. The fact that $P$ is partitioned into sets $P_{n}$ leaves an interesting avenue for further exploration. Dawson remarked that it may be possible to define other operations in a natural way under which $P$ is essentially a different ring and this is an open problem. In this connection we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let

$$
P_{n}=\left\{<x, y, z>\in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}, z-y=n\right\} .
$$

Then, the integers $x, y, z$ are given by the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x, y=\frac{x^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, z=\frac{x^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $n \neq 0$ are the same parity. Moreover, let

$$
\alpha=<a, \frac{a^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{a^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}>, \beta=<b, \frac{b^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{b^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}>,
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \oplus \beta=<a+b-n, \frac{(a+b-n)^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{(a+b-n)^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}> \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha \circ \beta=<(a-n)(b-n)+n, \frac{((a-n)(b-n)+n)^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{((a-n)(b-n)+n)^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}>$ and for $n=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<0, a, a>\oplus<0, b, b>=<0, a+b, a+b> \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
<0, a, a>\circ<0, b, b>=<0, a b, a b> \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the set $<P_{n}, \oplus, \circ>$ is a commutative ring for any fixed integer $n$.
Proof. First we prove by another way than in [1] that if $\langle x, y, z\rangle \in P_{n}$, where $n \neq 0$ is a fixed integer then the integer elements $x, y, z$ are given by (1). It is well-known that all solutions of the Pythagorean equation $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$ are given by the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(M^{2}-N^{2}\right) R, \quad y=2 M N R, \quad z=\left(M^{2}+N^{2}\right) R \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 M N R, \quad y=\left(M^{2}-N^{2}\right) R, \quad z=\left(M^{2}+N^{2}\right) R \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M, N, R$ are integers such that $(M, N)=1$. Suppose that (6) is satisfied. Since $<x, y, z>\in P_{n}, n \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=z-y=R(M-N)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6) and (8), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-n^{2}=R^{2}(M-N)^{2}\left((M+N)^{2}-(M-N)^{2}\right)=4 n M N R=2 n y \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{x^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+n^{2}=2 n R\left(M^{2}+N^{2}\right)=2 n z \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (11) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{x^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that by (9) and (11), it follows that $x, n$ are of the same parity and that $y$ and $z$ are integers. We also note that if $x, y, z$ are given by (1), then

$$
x^{2}+\left(\frac{x^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{x^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}\right)^{2}
$$

Now, we can assume that (7) is satisfied. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=z-y=2 N^{2} R \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-n^{2}=2 n R\left(M^{2}-N^{2}\right)=2 n y \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (14), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{x^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+n^{2}=4 N^{2} R^{2}\left(M^{2}+N^{2}\right)=2 n R\left(M^{2}+N^{2}\right)=2 n z . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{x^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (14) and (16), it follows that $x, n$ are the same parity and $x, y, z$ given by (15) and (17) satisfy the Pythagorean equation. Hence, the first part of our theorem is proved.

We also note that if $n=0$, then $x=0$ and $y=z$ and therefore the operations (4) and (5) are well-defined. Moreover, the set $\left\langle P_{0} ; \oplus>\right.$ is a commutative group with identity $e_{0}=<0,0,0>$. It is easy to see that if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in P_{0}$ then we have $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma=\alpha \circ(\beta \circ \gamma)$ and $\alpha \circ \beta=\beta \circ \alpha$. The distributive law is also satisfied. Moreover, the set $P_{0}$ has multiplicative identity $1_{0}=<0,1,1>$. Therefore, the set $<P_{0} ; \oplus, \circ>$ is a commutative ring. It remains to prove that the set $P_{n}$, for $n \neq 0$ with the operations (2) and (3) is a commutative ring. First, we prove that the operations (2) and (3) are well-defined. By the assumptions that $\alpha, \beta \in P_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n\left|a^{2}-n^{2}, \quad 2 n\right| a^{2}+n^{2}, \quad 2 n\left|b^{2}-n^{2}, \quad 2 n\right| b^{2}+n^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By well-known properties of the divisibility relation and (18), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n\left|a^{2}+b^{2}, \quad n\right| a b \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that the numbers

$$
\frac{(a+b-n)^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n} \text { and } \frac{(a+b-n)^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}
$$

are integer numbers. By (19), it follows that $2 n \mid a^{2}+b^{2}+2 a b=(a+b)^{2}$. Since $(a+b-n)^{2}-n^{2}=(a+b)^{2}-2 n(a+b)$, then

$$
2 n \mid(a+b-n)^{2}-n^{2}
$$

In a similar way, we obtain that $2 n \mid(a+b-n)^{2}+n^{2}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$
(a+b-n)^{2}+\left(\frac{(a+b-n)^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{(a+b-n)^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\frac{(a+b-n)^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}-\frac{(a+b-n)^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}=\frac{2 n^{2}}{2 n}=n
$$

So denote that $\alpha \oplus \beta \in P_{n}$. In a similar way, we prove that $\alpha \circ \beta \in P_{n}$. Indeed, since

$$
((a-n)(b-n)+n)^{2}-n^{2}=(a-n)^{2}(b-n)^{2}+2 n(a-n)(b-n)
$$

and $(a-n)^{2}=a^{2}+n^{2}-2 n a,(b-n)^{2}=b^{2}+n^{2}-2 n b$, then by (18), it follows that

$$
2 n \mid((a-n)(b-n)+n)^{2}-n^{2} .
$$

Similarly, we obtain that $2 n \mid((a-n)(b-n)+n)^{2}+n^{2}$. Therefore, the numbers

$$
\frac{((a-n)(b-n)+n)^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \quad \frac{((a-n)(b-n)+n)^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}
$$

are integers and together with $(a-n)(b-n)+n$, satisfy the Pythagorean equation. For further process of the proof, we note that the second and third coordinates in (2) and (3) are generated by the first coordinate, therefore it suffices to check all the conditions of the ring with respect to the first coordinate. Denote by $[\alpha]_{1}$ the first coordinate of $\alpha \in P_{n}$.

Now, we prove that the set $<P_{n} ; \oplus>$ is a commutative group. Let $\alpha, \beta$, $\gamma \in P_{n}$. Then by (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=<a, \frac{a^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{a^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}>, \\
& \beta=<b, \frac{b^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{b^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}>, \\
& \gamma=<c, \frac{c^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{c^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}>.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma]_{1}=((a+b-n)+c)-n=a+b+c-2 n \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha \oplus(\beta \oplus \gamma)]_{1}=a+(b+c-n)-n=a+b+c-2 n \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (20) and (21), we have $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma=\alpha \oplus(\beta \oplus \gamma)$. Similarly, we obtain

$$
[\alpha \oplus \beta]_{1}=a+b-n=b+a-n=[\beta \oplus \alpha]_{1}
$$

so denote that $\alpha \oplus \beta=\beta \oplus \alpha$. On the other hand, we see that $e_{\oplus}=<n, 0, n>$ is the identity element in $<P_{n} ; \oplus>$. We have

$$
\left[\alpha \oplus e_{\oplus}\right]_{1}=(a+n)-n=a=(n+a)-n=\left[e_{\oplus} \alpha\right]_{1}=[\alpha]_{1},
$$

and therefore, $\alpha \oplus e_{\oplus}=e_{\oplus} \oplus \alpha=\alpha$.
The inverse element to $\alpha \in P_{n}$ is the element $\alpha^{\prime} \in P_{n}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}=<-a+2 n, \frac{(-a+2 n)^{2}-n^{2}}{2 n}, \frac{(-a+2 n)^{2}+n^{2}}{2 n}>. \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed by (22), it follows that $\left[\alpha \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right]_{1}=a+(-a+2 n)-n=n=\left[e_{\oplus}\right]_{1}$ and

$$
\left[\alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha\right]_{1}=(-a+2 n+a)-n=n=\left[e_{\oplus}\right]_{1},
$$

and consequently $\alpha \oplus \alpha^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha=e_{\oplus}$, and we see that the set $<P_{n} ; \oplus>$ is a commutative group. Further by (3), it follows that $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma=\alpha \circ(\beta \circ \gamma)$. We observe that

$$
[(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma]_{1}=((a-n)(b-n)+n-n)(c-n)+n=(a-n)(b-n)(c-n)+n
$$

and

$$
[\alpha \circ(\beta \circ \gamma)]_{1}=(a-n)((b-n)(c-n)+n-n)+n=(a-n)(b-n)(c-n)+n,
$$

hence the associative law for (3) is proved.
Now, we prove the distributive law. By (2) and (3), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(\alpha \oplus \beta) \circ \gamma]_{1}=(a+b-2 n)(c-n)+n \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
{[(\alpha \circ \gamma)} & \oplus(\beta \circ \gamma)]_{1} \\
& =(a-n)(c-n)+n+(b-n)(c-n)+n-n \\
& =(c-n)(a+b-2 n)+n . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (23) and (24), we obtain $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \circ \gamma=(\alpha \circ \gamma) \oplus(\beta \circ \gamma)$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\alpha \circ(\beta \oplus \gamma]_{1}=(a-n)(b+c-n-n)+n=(a-n)(b+c-2 n)+n\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
{[(\alpha \circ \beta)} & \oplus(\alpha \circ \gamma)]_{1} \\
& =(a-n)(b-n)+n+(a-n)(c-n)+n-n \\
& =(a-n)(b+c-2 n)+n \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

and by (25) and (26), it follows that $\alpha \circ(\beta \oplus \gamma)=(\alpha \circ \beta) \oplus(\alpha \circ \gamma)$. The distributive law is proved.

Finally, we observe that

$$
[\alpha \circ \beta]_{1}=(a-n)(b-n)+n=(b-n)(a-n)+n=[\beta \circ \alpha]_{1}
$$

and consequently, we obtain $\alpha \circ \beta=\beta \circ \alpha$. Summarizing, we obtain that the set $<P_{n} ; \oplus, \circ>$ with the operations " $\oplus$ " and "०" defined by (2) and (3) is a commutative ring for any fixed integer $n \neq 0$. The proof of the Theorem is complete.

Remark. The rings $<P_{n} ; \oplus, \circ>; n \neq 0$ are the rings without multiplicative identity.
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