

CANONICAL SUBDIRECT FACTORIZATIONS OF LATTICES

By

Toshio TANAKA

(Received March 31, 1952)

G. Birkhoff has proved the following factorization theorem¹⁾:

Every algebra A with finitary operations can be represented as a subdirect union of subdirectly irreducible algebras. And the subdirect factorizations are closely related to the structure of the lattice²⁾ $\Theta(A)$ of all congruence relations on A. In particular, for a lattice L $\Theta(L)$ is pseudo-complemented. Using this fact F. Maeda [1] has introduced the canonical subdirect factorization of lattices in which the unique factorization theorem is proved.

In this paper, I show that a lattice L has the canonical subdirect factorization with subdirectly irreducible factors if and only if $\Theta(L)$ is an atomic lattice. And also I give a solution for the Birkhoff's problem 72,³⁾ that is, a necessary and sufficient condition such that $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra is that L has a subdirect factorization with simple factors such that the components of arbitrary two elements of L are identical except a finite number of components. I wish to thank Mr. J. Hashimoto for giving me an important suggestion to the last result. I also wish to thank Prof. F. Maeda for his kind guidance.

1. Let L be an arbitrary lattice and let $\Theta(L)$ denote the set of all congruence relations on L, then $\Theta(L)$ forms a complete lattice by defining $\theta \leq \phi$ if and only if $a=b(\theta)$ implies $a=b(\phi)$. The following properties are well known:

- (1) $\Theta(L)$ is an upper continuous, distributive lattice.⁴⁾
- (2) In $\Theta(L)$, every element is a meet of completely meet-irreducible elements.⁵⁾

1) Cf. G. Birkhoff [1] 765, [2] 92. The number in square brackets refer to the list at the end of this paper.

2) G. Birkhoff [1, p. 764] has called it the *structure lattice* of A.

3) Cf. G. Birkhoff [2] 153.

4) Cf. G. Birkhoff [2] 24. A complete lattice L is called *upper continuous* when $a \uparrow a$ implies $a \sim b \uparrow a \sim b$. When L is distributive, this is equivalent to the infinite distributive law $\bigvee(a; a \in S) \sim b = \bigvee(a \sim b; a \in S)$ for all $S \subset L$ and $b \in L$. We use 0 and 1 for the zero element and the unit element of $\Theta(L)$ respectively.

5) Cf. G. Birkhoff and O. Frink [1] 304, Theorem 7. In any complete lattice an element a which can not be a meet of elements properly containing a is called *completely meet-irreducible*.

For any element θ of $\Theta(L)$, there exists the greatest element θ^* of the set $\{\phi; \phi \sim \theta = 0\}$ by (1) and it is called the *pseudo-complement* of θ . The correspondence $\theta \rightarrow \theta^{**}$ is a closure operation in $\Theta(L)$ and the closed elements such that $\theta = \theta^{**}$ form a complete Boolean algebra $\Theta_*(L)$ in which the join is given by the new operation $\theta \vee \phi = (\theta \sim \phi)^{**}$, while the meet operation is the same as in $\Theta(L)$. And the set $\Delta(L)$ of all *dense* elements δ satisfying $\delta^* = 0$ is a dual ideal of $\Theta(L)$.⁶⁾ Moreover, let $\Theta_z(L)$ be the center of $\Theta(L)$, then it is the set of all elements θ such that $\theta \sim \theta^* = 1$ since $\Theta(L)$ is distributive and pseudo-complemented, and we have the following relations:

$$(3) \quad \Theta(L) \supset \Theta_*(L) \supset \Theta_z(L)$$

where $\Theta_z(L)$ is a sublattice of $\Theta(L)$.⁷⁾ Also we have the equality:

$$(4) \quad (\bigvee(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I))^* = \bigwedge(\theta_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I).⁸⁾$$

We use frequently the fact that in any complete lattice the set $\{\theta_\alpha; \theta_\alpha > \theta\}$ has the least element θ_0 if and only if θ is completely meet-irreducible.⁹⁾ The unit element 1 of $\Theta(L)$ is completely meet-irreducible, but in what follows we consider only the completely meet-irreducible elements which are not 1.

A completely meet-irreducible element is necessarily meet-irreducible. We shall deduce first a property on the meet-irreducible elements of $\Theta(L)$.

LEMMA 1. *The meet-irreducible elements of a Boolean algebra are maximal.*

PROOF. If an element a is not maximal there exists an element b such that $a < b < 1$. Since a Boolean algebra is relatively complemented, b has a relative complement b' in the interval $[a, 1]$ and then a is not meet-reducible.

LEMMA 2. *In $\Theta(L)$, a meet-irreducible element is maximal in $\Theta_z(L)$ or dense.*

PROOF. By the distributive law we see that

$$\theta^{**} \sim (\theta \sim \theta^*) = (\theta^{**} \sim \theta) \sim (\theta^{**} \sim \theta^*) = \theta,$$

6) Cf. G. Birkhoff [2] 147-149.

7) Cf. F. Maeda [1] 100.

8) Proof: We have $\bigvee(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I) \sim \bigwedge(\theta_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I) = \bigvee(\theta_\alpha \sim \bigwedge(\theta_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I); \alpha \in I) = 0$, then $\bigwedge(\theta_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I) \leq (\bigvee(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I))^*$. On the other hand, $\theta_\alpha \leq \bigvee(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ implies $\theta_\alpha^* \geq (\bigvee(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I))^*$ and then $\bigvee(\theta_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I) \geq (\bigvee(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I))^*$.

9) Cf. G. Birkhoff and O. Frink [1] 303, Lemma 6.

and if θ is meet-irreducible we have $\theta^{**}=\theta$ or $\theta\sim\theta^*=\theta$, that is, θ is closed or dense since $\theta\sim\theta^*=\theta$ implies $\theta^*=(\theta\sim\theta^*)^*=\theta^*\sim\theta^{**}=0$. And when θ is closed it is meet-irreducible in $\Theta_*(L)$, because the meet operation in $\Theta_*(L)$ is same as in $\Theta(L)$. Then θ is maximal element of $\Theta_*(L)$ by lemma 1.

For the proof of theorem 2 the following lemma is required.

LEMMA 3. $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra if and only if $\Delta(L)$ contains only 1.

PROOF. If $\Delta(L)$ contains only 1, since $\theta\sim\theta^*$ is dense by (4) we have $\theta\sim\theta^*=1$, then we see that $\Theta(L)=\Theta_z(L)$ that is $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra. Conversely if $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra, for arbitrary dense element δ , (since $\Theta(L)=\Theta_z(L)$) we have $\delta\sim\delta^*=1$ which implies $\delta=1$ since $\delta^*=0$.

2. By a *subdirect factorization* of a lattice L we mean the system of lattices $\{L_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$, when L is isomorphic to the subdirect union of $L_\alpha (\alpha \in I)$ in Birkhoff's [1, p. 764; 2, p. 91] sense. In this case, if we denote by θ_α the congruence relation introduced by the homomorphism $L \rightarrow L_\alpha$, and we denote by L_{θ_α} the homomorphic image generated by θ_α , then $L_\alpha \cong L_{\theta_\alpha}$ and $\bigwedge(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I)=0$. Conversely, when $\{\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ is a subset of $\Theta(L)$ such that $\bigwedge(\theta_\alpha; \alpha \in I)=0$, the system of lattices $\{L_{\theta_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ is a subdirect factorization of L .

Let $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ be the set of all completely meet-irreducible elements of $\Theta(L)$, then by the property (2) we have $\bigwedge(\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in I)=0$ and $\{L_{\sigma_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ is a subdirect factorization of L with subdirectly irreducible factors.¹⁰⁾

Let $\{\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ is a subset of $\Theta(L)$ such that $\phi_\alpha = \bigwedge(\phi_\beta; \beta \in I, \beta \neq \alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Then $\bigwedge(\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I)=\phi_\alpha \sim \phi_\alpha^*=0$ and $\{L_{\phi_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ is a subdirect factorization of L . Such factorization is called *canonical* by F. Maeda [1, p. 100] and in order that $\{L_{\phi_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ is a canonical subdirect factorization of L , it is necessary and sufficient that $\phi_\alpha \in \Theta(L)$ for all $\alpha \in I$, and $\bigwedge(\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I)=0$, $\phi_\alpha \vee \phi_\beta=1(\alpha \neq \beta)$.¹¹⁾

REMARK 1. Let $\{L_{\phi_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ be a canonical subdirect factorization of L , and if $\phi_\alpha^*=\bigwedge(\phi_\beta; \beta \in I, \beta \neq \alpha)=0$, then $\phi_\alpha=\phi_\alpha^{**}=1$ and L_{ϕ_α} is a one element lattice and since we may omit such factor we have $\bigwedge(\phi_\beta; \beta \in I, \beta \neq \alpha) \neq 0$ and hence $\{L_{\phi_\beta}; \beta \in I, \beta \neq \alpha\}$ is not a subdirect factorization of L . In this sense, a canonical subdirect factorization is *irredundant*. Conversely, let $\{L_{\phi_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ be an irredundant subdirect factorization of L with sub-

10) Cf. G. Birkhoff [1] 765, [2] 92, Theorem 10. Also Cf. R. P. Dilworth [1] 352-353.

11) Cf. F. Maeda [1] 100, Theorem 2.1.

directly irreducible factors. Since $\psi_\alpha = \bigwedge (\phi_\beta ; \beta \in I, \beta \neq \alpha) \neq 0$ and $\phi_\alpha \sim \psi_\alpha = 0$, we have $\phi_\alpha^* \geq \psi_\alpha > 0$ and $\phi_\alpha \notin \Delta(L)$. By lemma 2 ϕ_α is a maximal element of $\Theta_*(L)$ and then $\phi_\alpha \vee \phi_\beta = 1(\alpha \neq \beta)$, hence this factorization is canonical.

Also if L has a canonical subdirect factorization with subdirectly irreducible factors, $\Theta_*(L)$ is an atomic Boolean algebra¹²⁾ and let $\{\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I\}$ be the set of all maximal elements of $\Theta_*(L)$, then $\{L_{\phi_\alpha} ; \alpha \in I\}$ is the unique canonical subdirect factorization with subdirectly irreducible factors and $\{\phi_\alpha^* ; \alpha \in I\}$ is the set of all points of $\Theta_*(L)$.

THEOREM 1. *The following three conditions on a lattice L are equivalent:*

(α) *$\Theta(L)$ is an atomic lattice.*

(β) *The dual ideal $\Delta(L)$ of $\Theta(L)$ is principal.*

(γ) *L has the canonical subdirect factorization with subdirectly irreducible factors.*

PROOF. (i) (α)→(β): Let δ_0 be the join of all points of $\Theta(L)$. For an arbitrary non-zero element θ , there is a point ρ such that $\theta \geq \rho$, then $\delta_0 \sim \theta \geq \rho > 0$. Therefore $\delta_0^* = 0$, that is, $\delta_0 \in \Delta(L)$. If δ is an arbitrary dense element, then for arbitrary point $\rho (\neq 0)$ $\delta \sim \rho \neq 0$ and this implies $\delta \geq \rho$. Hence $\delta \geq \bigvee \rho = \delta_0$, and thus $\Delta(L)$ is a principal dual ideal generated by δ_0 .

(ii) (β)→(γ): The set of all completely meet-irreducible elements of $\Theta(L)$ are separated by lemma 2 the set $\{\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I_1\}$ of maximal elements of $\Theta_*(L)$ and the set $\{\delta_\beta ; \beta \in I_2\}$ of dense elements. From the property (2) we see $\bigwedge (\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I_1) \sim \bigwedge (\delta_\beta ; \beta \in I_2) = 0$ and $\bigwedge (\delta_\beta ; \beta \in I_2) \geq \delta_0$ where δ_0 is the element which generates the principal dual ideal $\Delta(L)$, and hence $\bigwedge (\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I_1) = 0$. Thus $\{L_{\phi_\alpha} ; \alpha \in I_1\}$ is the canonical subdirect factorization of L with subdirectly irreducible factors.

(iii) (γ)→(α): From the assumption and the last statement of remark 1, $\Theta_*(L)$ is atomic and let $\{\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I\}$ be the set of all completely meet-irreducible closed elements (that is, maximal elements of $\Theta_*(L)$), then $\bigwedge (\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I) = 0$.

Let θ be an arbitrary element such that $\theta > \phi_\alpha$, then $\theta^* \leq \phi_\alpha^*$. Since $\theta^* = \phi_\alpha^*$ implies $\theta \leq \theta^{**} = \phi_\alpha^{**} = \phi_\alpha$ which is a contradiction, we have $\theta^* < \phi_\alpha^*$. And since ϕ_α^* is a point of $\Theta_*(L)$ and $\theta^* \in \Theta_*(L)$, $\theta^* = 0$. Hence $\{\theta ; \theta > \phi_\alpha\} \subset \Delta(L)$ and this set has the least dense element δ_α since ϕ_α is a completely meet-irreducible element of $\Theta(L)$. Since $\Theta(L)$ is distributive, $\delta_\alpha > \phi_\alpha$ implies $\phi_\alpha \sim (\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha) = (\phi_\alpha \sim \phi_\alpha^*) \sim \delta_\alpha$. Since $\phi_\alpha \sim \phi_\alpha^* = \phi_\alpha$ implies $\phi_\alpha \sim \phi_\alpha^* = \phi_\alpha^* > 0$

12) Cf. F. Maeda [1] 101, Theorem 2.4. A lattice with zero is called *atomic* if any non-zero element contains at least a point which covers zero.

which is a contradiction, we have $\phi_\alpha \sim \phi_\alpha^* > \phi_\alpha$ and then $\phi_\alpha \sim \phi_\alpha^* \geq \delta_\alpha$. Hence $\phi_\alpha \sim (\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha) = \delta_\alpha$, and it is clear that $\phi_\alpha \sim (\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha) = 0$. And since δ_α covers ϕ_α we can conclude that $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha$ is a point of $\Theta(L)$ by the Dedekind's transposition principle.

We put $\delta_0 = \vee(\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha ; \alpha \in I)$, then by the equality (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_0^* &= (\vee(\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha ; \alpha \in I))^* = \wedge((\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha)^* ; \alpha \in I) = \wedge(\phi_\alpha^{**} \vee \delta_\alpha^* ; \alpha \in I)^{13)} \\ &= \wedge(\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I) = 0\end{aligned}$$

and $\delta_0 \in \Delta(L)$. If δ is an arbitrary dense element, $\delta \sim \delta_\alpha \in \Delta(L)$ since $\Delta(L)$ is a dual ideal of $\Theta(L)$. Hence $\phi_\alpha^* \neq 0$ implies $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha \geq \phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta \sim \delta_\alpha > 0$, but $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha$ is a point and we have $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha = \phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta \sim \delta_\alpha$ which implies $\delta \geq \phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha$. That is, $\delta \geq \vee(\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha ; \alpha \in I) = \delta_0$. Then we see that $\Delta(L)$ is a principal dual ideal generated by δ_0 . Therefore $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha \geq \phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_0 > 0$ and this implies $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha = \phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_0$ since $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha$ is a point.

The unit 1 contains a point $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_0$. Let θ be a non-zero and non-unit element. By the property (2) θ is a meet of completely meet-irreducible elements and by lemma 2 $\theta = \wedge(\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I_1) \sim \wedge(\delta_\beta ; \beta \in I_2)$, where $I_1 \leq I$ and $\delta_\beta \in \Delta(L)$ for all $\beta \in I_2$. If $I_1 = I$ we have $\theta \leq \wedge(\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I) = 0$ which contradicts our assumption, hence $I_1 < I$. We pick out an index α' from $I - I_1$. Since $\{L_{\phi_\alpha} ; \alpha \in I\}$ is a canonical subdirect factorization of L , we see that $\phi_{\alpha'} = \wedge(\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha') \leq \wedge(\phi_\alpha ; \alpha \in I_1)$. But $\wedge(\delta_\beta ; \beta \in I_2) \geq \delta_0$. Consequently θ contains a point $\phi_{\alpha'}^* \sim \delta_0$ and this completes the proof.

REMARK 2. Let C be a dense-in-itself chain. If θ is a point of $\Theta(C)$, there exist two elements a, b such that $a > b$ in C and $a \equiv b(\theta)$. Since C is dense-in-itself there exists an element c such that $a > c > b$ and let θ' be the congruence relation whose classes consist of the set $\{x ; c \geq x \geq b\}$ and other elements. Then we have $0 < \theta' < \theta$ which contradicts the fact that θ is a point. Thus in $\Theta(C)$ there is not a point, and hence by theorem 1 C has not the canonical subdirect factorization with subdirectly irreducible factors; in other words, any subdirect factorization of C with subdirectly irreducible factors is redundant.

3. R. P. Dilworth¹⁴⁾ has shown that a lattice L is a subdirect union of a finite number of simple¹⁵⁾ lattices if and only if $\Theta(L)$ is a finite Boolean algebra. We attempt the generalization of this result.

13) Cf. G. Birkhoff [2] 148, (23").

14) Cf. R. P. Dilworth [1] 353, Theorem 3.3.

15) A lattice L is called *simple* when $\Theta(L)$ contains at most two elements. And it is clear that a simple lattice is subdirectly irreducible.

Now we assume that $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra, then $\Delta(L)$ contains only 1 by lemma 3. Therefore by theorem 1 and remark 1 $\{L_{\phi_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ is the canonical subdirect factorization with simple factors where $\{\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ is the set of all maximal elements of $\Theta(L) = \Theta_*(L)$.¹⁶⁾ Hence every element of $\Theta(L)$ is a meet of maximal elements and since a Boolean algebra is self-dual, every element is a join of points and $\{\phi_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I\}$ is the set of all points.

For arbitrary two elements a, b of L , there exists a non-zero congruence relation θ such that $a \equiv b(\theta)$ and $\theta = \bigvee (\phi_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I')$ where $I' \leq I$. Hence¹⁷⁾ there exists a finite subset $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ of L such that

$$a \equiv a_1(\phi_{x_1}^*), a_1 \equiv a_2(\phi_{x_2}^*), \dots, a_{n-1} \equiv b(\phi_{x_n}^*); \alpha_i \in I \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Clearly from this

$$a \equiv b(\bigvee (\phi_{x_i}^*; i = 1, 2, \dots, n)).$$

However from $\phi_x^* = \bigwedge (\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha')$ and $\phi_\alpha \cup \phi_\alpha^* = 1$ by the mathematical induction we obtain the equality;

$$(5) \quad \bigvee (\phi_{x_i}^*; i = 1, 2, \dots, n) = \bigwedge (\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

In case of $n=1$, (5) is the formula $\phi_{x_1}^* = \bigvee (\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_1)$. Suppose (5) is true when $n=r$, then by the distributive law

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee (\phi_{x_i}^*; i = 1, 2, \dots, r, r+1) &= \bigvee (\phi_{x_i}^*; i = 1, 2, \dots, r) \cup \phi_{x_{r+1}}^* \\ &= \bigwedge (\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r) \cup \bigwedge (\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_{r+1}) \\ &= \bigwedge (\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r, r+1) \\ &\quad \cup \{\phi_{x_{r+1}} \cup \bigwedge (\phi_{x_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots, r)\} \end{aligned}$$

and since $\phi_{x_{r+1}} \cup \bigwedge (\phi_{x_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots, r) \geq \phi_{x_{r+1}} \cup \phi_{x_{r+1}}^* = 1$ we see that (5) is true when $n=r+1$, q. e. d. Then

$$a \equiv b(\bigwedge (\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n)),$$

and we have $a \equiv b(\phi_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in I$ except $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. That is to say that in our factorization the components of a and b are identical except α_i -component for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$.

Conversely, we have

LEMMA 4. *If a lattice L has a subdirect factorization with simple factors such that the components of arbitrary two elements are identical except a finite*

16) Cf. the relations (3).

17) Cf. G. Birkhoff [2] 23, Theorem 4.

number of components, this factorization is canonical and $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra.

PROOF. Let $\{L_{\phi_\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$ be the given factorization, then the elements ϕ_α are completely meet-irreducible in $\Theta(L)$. For arbitrary two elements a, b of $L(a \neq b)$ we consider $\tau(a, b) = \wedge(\theta; a \equiv b(\theta))$, that is the least congruence relation by which a, b are equivalent. From the assumption we have $a \equiv b(\phi_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in I$ except $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$, that is

$$a \equiv b(\wedge(\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n))$$

which implies

$$\tau(a, b) \leq \wedge(\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and since $\wedge(\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I) = 0$ we have

$$\tau(a, b) \sim \phi_{z_1} \sim \phi_{z_2} \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_n} = 0.$$

By lemma 2, each ϕ_{z_i} is closed or dense element of $\Theta(L)$, however if all $\phi_{z_i} (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$ are dense we have $\phi_{z_1} \sim \phi_{z_2} \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_n} \in \Delta(L)$ since $\Delta(L)$ is a dual ideal of $\Theta(L)$, and this implies $\tau(a, b) = 0$ which contradicts the fact that $a \equiv b(\tau(a, b))$. Hence we can assume that

$$\phi_{z_1}, \phi_{z_2}, \dots, \phi_{z_m} \in \Theta_*(L); \phi_{z_{m+1}}, \phi_{z_{m+2}}, \dots, \phi_{z_n} \in \Delta(L)$$

where $m \geq 1$. Since $\phi_{z_{m+1}} \sim \phi_{z_{m+2}} \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_n} \in \Delta(L)$ we see that

$$\tau(a, b) \sim \phi_{z_1} \sim \phi_{z_2} \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_m} = 0$$

which implies by the equality (4)

$$\tau(a, b) \leq (\phi_{z_1} \sim \phi_{z_2} \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_m})^* = (\phi_{z_1}^{**} \sim \phi_{z_2}^{**} \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_m}^{**})^* = (\phi_{z_1}^* \sim \phi_{z_2}^* \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_m}^*)^{**}.$$

On the other hand, since the factors are simple $\phi_{z_i} (i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ are maximal in $\Theta(L)$ and hence we have $\phi_{z_i} \sim \phi_{z_i}^* = 1$, that is $\phi_{z_i}^* \in \Theta_z(L)$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, m$. Since $\Theta_z(L)$ is a sublattice of $\Theta(L)$ $\phi_{z_1}^* \sim \phi_{z_2}^* \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_m}^* \in \Theta_z(L) \subset \Theta_*(L)$, hence we obtain that

$$\tau(a, b) \leq \phi_{z_1}^* \sim \phi_{z_2}^* \sim \dots \sim \phi_{z_m}^*.$$

Now we put $\{\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I'\} = \{\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I\} \cap \Theta_*(L)$, then we have $\tau(a, b) \leq \vee(\phi_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I')$. But since it is clear that $\vee(\tau(a, b); a, b \in L) = 1$ we have

$$(6) \quad \vee(\phi_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I') = 1$$

From this we see that

$$\wedge(\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I') = \wedge(\phi_\alpha^{**}; \alpha \in I') = (\vee(\phi_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I'))^* = 1^* = 0$$

by the equality (4), and since $\phi_\alpha \vee \phi_\beta \geq \phi_\alpha \cup \phi_\beta = 1$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$), $\{L_{\phi_\alpha}; \alpha \in I'\}$ is the canonical subdirect factorization with subdirectly irreducible factors.

And then from the third part of the proof of theorem 1 we see that since the element δ_α covering a maximal element ϕ_α is the unit 1, $\phi_\alpha^* \sim \delta_\alpha = \phi_\alpha^*$ is a point of $\Theta(L)$, and $\delta_0 = \bigvee(\phi_\alpha^*; \alpha \in I')$ is the least element of $\Delta(L)$. Thus by the equality (6) and lemma 3 $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra.

And since $\Theta_*(L) = \Theta(L)$ we have $\{\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I'\} = \{\phi_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$, then the given factorization is canonical, completing the proof.

Thus we conclude:

THEOREM 2. $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra if and only if the lattice L has a subdirect factorization with simple factors such that the components of arbitrary two elements of L are identical except a finite number of components.

Finally, we remark that our statements can be established for any algebra with finitary operations whose congruence relations form a distributive lattice, since the structure lattice has the properties (1) and (2).

References.

- G. BIRKHOFF, [1] *Subdirect unions in universal algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944) 764-768.
 [2] *Lattice theory*, Revised edition, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publication, vol. 25, 1948.
- G. BIRKHOFF and O. FRINK, [1] *Representations of lattices by sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1948) 299-316.
- R. P. DILWORTH, [1] *The structure of relatively complemented lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950) 348-359.
- F. MAEDA, [1] *Direct and subdirect factorizations of lattices*, this journal **15** (1951) 97-102.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE,
HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY.