



## *Lattices of Projections in AW\*-algebras*

By

Usa SASAKI

(Received Jan. 30, 1955)

### **Introduction.**

Kaplansky [1]<sup>1)</sup> has introduced the notion of AW\*-algebras, as an elegant abstract generalization of weakly closed self-adjoint operator algebras on a Hilbert space (W\*-algebras). By an AW\*-algebra, it is meant a B\*-algebra such that the left annihilator of any subset is a principal left ideal generated by a projection, an idempotent self-adjoint element. Many properties such as complete additivity of equivalence of projections and Decomposition Theorem, which play the fundamental rôles in both W\*-algebras and continuous geometries, have been shown in AW\*-algebras by Kaplansky [1], [2]. In particular, it has been verified that the projections in a *finite* AW\*-algebra form a continuous geometry, and that there exists a unique normalized dimension function in any finite AW\*-algebra.

In this paper, we shall investigate the lattice of projections in an *arbitrary* AW\*-algebra by making use of the known theory of continuous geometries and AW\*-algebras. The set of all projections in an AW\*-algebra is a complete orthocomplemented lattice such that any pair of orthogonal projections forms a modular pair<sup>2)</sup> (Theorem 1.1), and its subset of all finite projections forms a general continuous geometry (Theorem 1.2). Although it is neither modular nor continuous lattice, it has many analogous properties as a continuous geometry, among others, it is shown that it has a dimension function uniquely determined in some sense (Theorem 5.1 and 5.2), which is an extension of the result of Kaplansky [1] stated above to a general case, and may be considered as an abstract generalization of Segal [1], Theorem 1, and of a part of Dixmier [3], Theorem 1 and 2.

---

1) The numbers in square brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.  
 2)  $(b, c)$  is called a *modular pair* if  $a \leq c$  implies  $(a \cup b) \cap c = a \cup (b \cap c)$ .

### § 1. Projections in AW\*-algebras.

According to Kaplansky [3], 853, we shall define an AW\*-algebra as follows:

DEFINITION 1.1. By a B\*-algebra, it is meant a Banach \*-algebra in which,  $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$  for every  $x \in A$ . An element  $f$  in  $A$  is called a *projection* if it is self-adjoint and idempotent, i. e.,  $f = f^* = f^2$ . For projections  $a, b$  in  $A$ , we shall denote by  $a \leq b$  if  $a = ab$ . We observe that the set of all projections in  $A$  is partially ordered by the relation " $\leq$ ", and that if  $a$  and  $b$  commute we have  $a \cup b = a + b - ab$  and  $a \cap b = ab$ .

By an AW\*-algebra, we mean a B\*-algebra  $A$  such that the left annihilator  $L(S)$  of any subset  $S$  of  $A$  is a principal left ideal generated by a projection  $f$  in  $A$ , i. e.,  $L(S) = Af$ .

REMARK 1.1. A B\*-algebra is an AW\*-algebra if and only if it satisfies the following conditions. Cf. Kaplansky [1], 236, [3], 853.

(A) *In the partially ordered set of projections, any set of orthogonal projections has a least upper bound.*

(B) *Any maximal commutative self-adjoint subalgebra is generated by its projections.*

REMARK 1.2.<sup>3)</sup> Any W\*-algebra  $\mathbf{M}$  is an AW\*-algebra. Because  $\mathbf{M}$  is a B\*-algebra as is well known; and if  $\{T_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{M}$ , and  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha$  is the range of  $T_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), let  $E$  be the projection on the orthocomplement of the linear manifold  $\vee(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ , then we can show without difficulty that the left annihilator of  $\{T_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  is the principal left ideal generated by  $E$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *For any subset  $S$  of an AW\*-algebra  $A$  the right annihilator  $R(S)$  is a principal right ideal generated by a projection.*

PROOF. Let  $S^* = \{s^*; s \in S\}$ , then the left annihilator  $L(S^*)$  is a principal left ideal generated by a projection, say  $f$ . It is easily shown that  $R(S) = fA$ .

The following three lemmas are immediate consequences of the definition of AW\*-algebras. Cf. Kaplansky [1], 237, Corollary 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

LEMMA 1.2. *In an AW\*-algebra, the left annihilator of a left ideal is a principal two-sided ideal generated by a central projection.*

LEMMA 1.3. *An AW\*-algebra has the unit element 1.*

LEMMA 1.4. *The projections in an AW\*-algebra form a complete lattice.*

DEFINITION 1.2. Let  $L$  be a lattice with 0. If there exists a dual-automorphism of period two  $a \rightarrow a^\perp$  such that  $a \cap a^\perp = 0$ , then  $L$  is called *orthocomplemented*,

3) Cf. Kaplansky [1], 236, Remark.

and  $a^\perp$  is called the *orthocomplement* of  $a$ . If  $a \leq b^\perp$ , then  $a$  is said *orthogonal* to  $b$  and is denoted by  $a \perp b$ .

THEOREM 1.1.<sup>4)</sup> *The set  $A_p$  of all projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a complete orthocomplemented lattice such that  $a \perp b$  implies  $(a \cup b) \cap b^\perp = a$ .*

PROOF. Put  $a^\perp = 1 - a$  for any projection  $a$  in  $A$ , then  $a^\perp$  is clearly the orthocomplement of  $a$ .

If  $a \perp b$ , then we have

$$(a \cup b) \cap b^\perp = (a + b)b^\perp = a.$$

Thus the proof is completed by Lemma 1.4.

Following Maeda [2], 90, we shall define general continuous geometries as follows:

DEFINITION 1.3. A conditionally complete lattice  $L$  is called *conditionally continuous*<sup>5)</sup> if it satisfies both the following conditions:

For any directed set  $\{a_\delta; \delta \in D\}$  of  $L$ ,

( $\alpha$ )  $a_\delta \uparrow a$  implies  $a_\delta \cap b \uparrow a \cap b$ , for any  $b \in L$ , and

( $\beta$ )  $a_\delta \downarrow a$  implies  $a_\delta \cup b \downarrow a \cup b$ , for any  $b \in L$ .

By a *general continuous geometry*, it is meant a conditionally continuous, relatively complemented, modular lattice with 0.

A complete lattice is called *upper continuous* if it satisfies the condition ( $\alpha$ ), and is called *continuous* if both conditions ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ) are satisfied.

By a *continuous geometry*, we mean a continuous, complemented, modular lattice.

LEMMA 1.5. *Let  $L$  be a lattice with 0.  $L$  is a general continuous geometry if and only if  $L(0, a) = \{x \in L; x \leq a\}$  is a continuous geometry for any  $a \in L$ .*

PROOF. Let  $L$  be a general continuous geometry. For any element  $a$ ,  $L(0, a)$  has the unit element  $a$ , whence it is clearly a continuous geometry.

Conversely suppose that  $L(0, a)$  is a continuous geometry for any  $a \in L$ . For any  $b, c \in L$ ,  $a \leq c$  implies  $(a \cup b) \cap c = a \cup (b \cap c)$ , since  $L(0, b \cup c)$  is modular. It follows that  $L$  is a modular lattice. By a similar argument, we can easily show that  $L$  is a conditionally continuous, relatively complemented lattice.

4) In an orthocomplemented lattice, any pair of orthogonal projections forms a modular pair (cf. foot-note 2) provided that  $a \perp b$  implies  $(a \cup b) \cap b^\perp = a$ . Because, if  $a \leq c \leq b^\perp$ , then it holds

$$(a \cup b) \cap c = (a \cup b) \cap b^\perp \cap c = a = a \cup (b \cap c).$$

Thus the condition (V) in Sasaki [1] could be replaced by a formally weaker condition:  $a \perp b$  implies  $(a \cup b) \cap b^\perp = a$ .

5) Cf. Maeda [2], Definition 2.2.

Consequently  $L$  is a general continuous geometry.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $a, b$  be projections in an AW\*-algebra. If there exists an element  $x$  such that  $xx^*=a$  and  $x^*x=b$ , then  $a$  is called *equivalent* to  $b$  and is denoted by  $a\sim b$ . A projection  $a$  is called *finite* if  $a\sim b$  and  $b\leq a$  implies  $a=b$ , and otherwise *infinite*. An AW\*-algebra is called *finite* or *infinite* according as 1 is finite or not. We shall write  $a\prec b$  if there exists a projection  $c$  such that  $a\sim c$  and  $c\prec b$ . Note that  $a$  is infinite if and only if  $a\prec a$ .

LEMMA 1.6. Let  $a$  be a finite projection in an AW\*-algebra  $A$ . The sublattice  $A_p(0, a)$  of all projections contained in  $a$  is a continuous geometry.

PROOF. By Kaplansky [1], Theorem 2.4, the subalgebra  $aAa$  is also an AW\*-algebra which is easily shown to be finite,<sup>6)</sup> and it follows from Kaplansky [1], Theorem 6.5 that the set of all projections in  $aAa$  which is identical with  $A_p(0, a)$  forms a continuous geometry.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.5 and 1.6, we obtain the following:

THEOREM 1.2. The set of all finite projections in an AW\*-algebra is a general continuous geometry.

## § 2. Central projections in AW\*-algebras.

In this section, we shall discuss the interrelation of central projections in an AW\*-algebra  $A$  and central elements of the lattice  $A_p$  of projections in  $A$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $a, b$  be elements of an orthocomplemented lattice. If it holds  $a=(a\cap b)\cup(a\cap b^+)$ , then  $a$  is called *permutable* with  $b$ , and is denoted by  $a\leftrightarrow b$ . Obviously  $a\leftrightarrow b$  implies  $a\leftrightarrow b^+$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $L$  be a complete, orthocomplemented lattice such that  $a\perp b$  implies  $(a\cup b)\cap b^+=a$ . Then we have

- (i)  $a\leftrightarrow b$  implies  $b\leftrightarrow a$ ,
- (ii)  $a_1\leftrightarrow b, a_2\leftrightarrow b$  imply  $a_1\cup a_2\leftrightarrow b$ ,
- (iii)  $a_\delta\leftrightarrow b, a_\delta\uparrow a$  imply  $a_\delta\cap b\uparrow a\cap b$ , where  $\{a_\delta; \delta\in D\}$  is a directed set of  $L$ ,
- (iv)  $a_\alpha\leftrightarrow b(\alpha\in I)$  implies  $\bigvee(a_\alpha; \alpha\in I)\leftrightarrow b$ .

PROOF. (i) Cf. Sasaki [1], Lemma 5.5.

(ii) Since  $a_i=(a_i\cap b)\cup(a_i\cap b^+)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), we have

$$a_1\cup a_2=\{(a_1\cap b)\cup(a_2\cap b)\}\cup\{(a_1\cap b^+)\cup(a_2\cap b^+)\}$$

6) We observe that if  $b, c$  are projections in  $aAa$ , then  $b, c$  are equivalent in  $aAa$  if and only if  $b, c$  are equivalent in  $A$ , since the element  $x$  defining the equivalence of  $b, c$  is necessarily in  $bAc$ .

$$\leq \{(a_1 \cup a_2) \cap b\} \cup \{(a_1 \cup a_2) \cap b^+\} \leq a_1 \cup a_2.$$

Thus it holds  $a_1 \cup a_2 \leftrightarrow b$ .

(iii) Since  $a_\delta = (a_\delta \cap b) \cup (a_\delta \cap b^+)$  ( $\delta \in D$ ), we obtain

$$\vee (a_\delta; \delta \in D) = \vee (a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in D) \cup \vee (a_\delta \cap b^+; \delta \in D).$$

It follows from  $\vee (a_\delta \cap b^+; \delta \in D) \perp b$  that

$$\begin{aligned} b \cap \vee (a_\delta; \delta \in D) &= \{\vee (a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in D) \cup \vee (a_\delta \cap b^+; \delta \in D)\} \cap b \\ &= \vee (a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in D) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let  $D$  be the directed set of all finite subset  $\nu$  of  $I$ , and let  $a_\nu = \vee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in \nu)$ , then we have  $\vee (a_\nu; \nu \in D) = \vee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ , and  $a_\nu \leftrightarrow b$  ( $\nu \in D$ ) by

(ii). It follows from (iii) that  $b \cap \vee (a_\delta; \delta \in D) = \vee (a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in D)$ , and  $b^+ \cap \vee (a_\delta; \delta \in D) = \vee (a_\delta \cap b^+; \delta \in D)$ , whence

$$\begin{aligned} \{b \cap \vee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)\} \cup \{b^+ \cap \vee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)\} &= \vee (a_\alpha \cap b; \alpha \in I) \cup \vee (a_\alpha \cap b^+; \alpha \in I) \\ &= \vee ((a_\alpha \cap b) \cup (a_\alpha \cap b^+); \alpha \in I) \\ &= \vee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I), \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.

LEMMA 2.2.<sup>7)</sup> Let  $L$  be an orthocomplemented lattice such that  $a \perp b$  implies  $(a \cup b) \cap b^+ = a$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent to each other:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $z$  is a central element of  $L$ .<sup>8)</sup>
- ( $\beta$ )  $z$  is a neutral element of  $L$ .<sup>9)</sup>
- ( $\gamma$ )  $z$  has a unique complement.
- ( $\delta$ )  $z$  is permutable with every element of  $L$ .
- ( $\epsilon$ ) If  $z'$  is a complement of  $z$ , then we have  $a = (a \cap z) \cup (a \cap z')$  for every  $a$ .

PROOF. ( $\alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\beta$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\gamma$ ) is obvious.

( $\gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\delta$ ). For any  $a \in L$ , put  $v = a \cap (a \cap z)^+$ , then  $v \cap z = 0$ , whence  $z' = v \cup (v \cup z)^+$  is a complement of  $z$  such that  $v \leq z'$ .<sup>10)</sup> Since the complement is unique, it follows  $v \leq z^+$ , accordingly  $v \leq a \cap z^+$ . Now we have  $a = (a \cap z) \cup v \leq (a \cap z) \cup (a \cap z^+)$ . Thus it holds  $a = (a \cap z) \cup (a \cap z^+)$ , since the converse inequality

7) A similar theorem is valid in  $W^*$ -algebras, and in complemented modular lattices, cf. Maeda [1], Theorem I; and [3], 23, Theorem 3.3, 49, Theorem 2.1, respectively.

8) An element  $z$  of a lattice  $L$  with 0 and 1 is called a central element if it corresponds to  $[1_1, 0_2]$  under an isomorphism of  $L$  with  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  for some lattices  $L_1, L_2$ .

9) An element  $z$  is called neutral if the triple  $\{x, y, z\}$  generates a distributive sublattice of  $L$  for every  $x, y \in L$ .

10) Remark that  $z \cap z' = \{v \cup (v \cup z)^+\} \cap (v \cup z) \cap z = v \cap z$ .

is valid in general.

( $\delta$ ) $\Rightarrow$ ( $\alpha$ ). It holds  $a = (a \cap z) \cup (a \cap z^\perp)$  for every  $a \in L$ . Therefore if we put  $L_1 = L(0, z)$ , and  $L_2 = L(0, z^\perp)$ , then we can easily show that  $L$  is isomorphic to  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  and  $z$  corresponds to  $[1_1, 0_2]$ . Thus  $z$  is a central element of  $L$ .

Thus the conditions ( $\alpha$ ), ( $\beta$ ), ( $\gamma$ ), and ( $\delta$ ) are equivalent to each other. It is clear that ( $\beta$ ) implies ( $\varepsilon$ ) and ( $\varepsilon$ ) implies ( $\delta$ ), completing the proof.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $a, b$  be projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra. It holds  $ab = ba$  if and only if  $a \leftrightarrow b$ .*

PROOF. If  $ab = ba$ , then obviously  $ab^\perp = b^\perp a$ , whence  $ab = a \cap b$ , and  $ab^\perp = a \cap b^\perp$ . It follows that  $(a \cap b) \cup (a \cap b^\perp) = ab + ab^\perp = a$ , whence  $a \leftrightarrow b$ .

Conversely suppose that  $a \leftrightarrow b$ , then we have

$$a = (a \cap b) \cup (a \cap b^\perp), \quad \text{whence}$$

$$ab = (a \cap b)b + (a \cap b^\perp)b = a \cap b.$$

Similarly we have  $ba = a \cap b$ , whence  $ab = ba$ .

THEOREM 2.1.<sup>11)</sup> *The center of the lattice  $A_p$  of all projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$  consists of central projections in  $A$ , and it is a complete Boolean algebra.*

PROOF. Let  $z$  be a central projection in  $A$ . For any  $a \in A_p$ , we have  $az = za$ , and it follows from Lemma 2.2 and 2.3 that  $z$  belongs to the center of  $A_p$ .

Conversely suppose that  $z$  is a central element of  $A_p$ . In order to show that  $z$  commutes with every  $x \in A$ , we may assume  $x = x^*$ , and it is sufficient to verify that  $z$  commutes with every projection  $a \in A_p$ , in view of the condition (B) in Remark 1.1. Thus the assertion is obvious from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2.

The center of  $A_p$  is clearly a Boolean algebra, and the completeness follows immediately from Lemmas 2.1 (iv) and 2.3.<sup>12)</sup>

### § 3. Central envelopes of projections. Structure theorems.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $S$  be a subset of an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ . By the *left projection* of  $S$ , it is meant the projection  $f$  such that the left annihilator of  $S$  is  $Af^\perp$  (cf. Definition 1.1). The right projection of  $S$  is defined similarly. This

11) As to a similar theorem in  $W^*$ -algebra, cf. Maeda [1], Theorem I.

12) The completeness may be proved by a similar argument as Kaplansky [1], Lemma 2.2, (b).

terminology agrees with that of Rickart [1], 534, if  $S$  consists of a single element,<sup>13)</sup> in view of the following:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $S$  be a subset of an AW\*-algebra  $A$ , then the following statements are equivalent to each other:*

- ( $\alpha$ )  $f$  is the left projection of  $S$ , i. e.,  $L(S) = Af^\perp$ .
- ( $\beta$ )  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } fs = s \text{ for every } s \in S, \text{ and} \\ \text{(ii) for any } x \in A, xs = 0 \text{ (} s \in S \text{) implies } xf = 0. \end{array} \right.$
- ( $\gamma$ ) For a projection  $g$ , it holds  $gs = s$  ( $s \in S$ ) if and only if  $g \geq f$ .

PROOF. ( $\alpha$ ) $\Rightarrow$ ( $\beta$ ). (i) Since  $f^\perp \in L(S)$ , we have  $f^\perp s = 0$  ( $s \in S$ ), whence  $s = fs$  ( $s \in S$ ). (ii) If  $xs = 0$  ( $s \in S$ ), then  $x \in L(S) = Af^\perp$ , whence  $x = xf^\perp$ , and so  $xf = 0$ .

( $\beta$ ) $\Rightarrow$ ( $\gamma$ ). Let  $g$  be a projection with  $gs = s$  ( $s \in S$ ), then we have  $g^\perp s = 0$  ( $s \in S$ ), whence  $g^\perp f = 0$  by ( $\beta$ ) (ii), and so  $f \leq g$ .

Conversely suppose that  $f \leq g$ , then  $f = gf$ , whence it holds by ( $\beta$ ) (i) that  $s = fs = gfs = gs$  ( $s \in S$ ).

( $\gamma$ ) $\Rightarrow$ ( $\alpha$ ). Since  $fs = s$  ( $s \in S$ ), we have  $f^\perp s = 0$  ( $s \in S$ ), whence  $f^\perp \in L(S)$ . Now let  $g$  be the left projection of  $S$ , then  $f^\perp \in L(S) = Ag^\perp$  implies  $f^\perp \leq g^\perp$ , and  $f \geq g$ . On the other hand  $gs = s$  ( $s \in S$ ), whence  $g \geq f$  by ( $\gamma$ ). Thus  $f = g$ .

COROLLARY 3.1. *The left and right projections of  $x$  are the left projections of  $xx^*$  and  $x^*x$ , respectively.*

PROOF. It holds  $L(x) = L(xx^*)$ , because  $yx = 0$  implies  $yx x^* = 0$ , and conversely  $yx x^* = 0$  implies  $yx(yx)^* = 0$ , accordingly  $yx = 0$ . It follows that the left projections of  $x$  and  $xx^*$  are identical. The remaining part of the corollary is obvious, since  $R(x) = L(x^*)$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. Let  $S$  be a subset of an AW\*-algebra  $A$ , and  $f$  and  $g$  be its left and right projections, respectively. By the *carrier*<sup>14)</sup> of  $S$ , we shall mean the projection  $f \cup g$ . If  $S$  consists of a single element  $x$ , then the carrier of  $\{x\}$  is called the carrier of the element  $x$ , and is denoted by  $c(x)$ .

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1, we have the following:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let  $e$  be the carrier of a subset  $S$  of an AW\*-algebra, and  $a$  be a projection. It holds  $as = sa = s$  ( $s \in S$ ) if and only if  $a \geq e$ .*

13)  $B_p^*$ -algebras in the terminology of Rickart [1] might be defined as  $B^*$ -algebras with unit elements such that every element has its left projection, while AW\*-algebras are  $B^*$ -algebras such that every subset has its left projection.

14) In  $W^*$ -algebras, the carrier of  $S$  is identical with "die Haupteinheit von  $S$ " in the terminology of J. v. Neumann [1], Definition 4.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let  $x$  be any element of an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ . The left projection of the left ideal  $Ax$  is called the *central carrier* of  $x$ . In particular, if  $a$  is a projection in  $A$ , the central carrier of  $a$  is called the *central envelope* of  $a$ , and is denoted by  $e(a)$ .<sup>15)</sup>

COROLLARY 3.3. The central carrier  $f$  of  $x$  is the least central projection such that  $fx = xf = x$ . Particularly the central envelope of a projection is the least central projection containing it.

PROOF. By Lemma 1.2,  $f$  is a central projection, whence it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $fx = xf = x$ . Now suppose that  $g$  is a central projection such that  $gx = xg = x$ , then we have  $gax = ax$  ( $a \in A$ ), whence  $f \leq g$  by Lemma 3.1.

The remainder part is then obvious.

REMARK 3.1. In view of Corollary 3.3, the central carrier of  $x$  might be defined as the right projection of  $xA$ .

We observe that the central carrier of  $x$  is the central envelope of the left projection of  $x$ . Indeed, let  $f$  be the left projection of  $x$ , then (i)  $fx = x$ , and (ii)  $yx = 0$  implies  $yf = 0$ . It follows clearly  $L(Ax) = L(Afx) \supseteq L(Af)$ . Conversely it holds  $L(Af) \supseteq L(Ax)$ , for  $yax = 0$  implies  $yaf = 0$  by (ii) for every  $a \in A$ . Thus we have  $L(Ax) = L(Af)$ , consequently the central carrier of  $x$  is equal to  $e(f)$ .

DEFINITION 3.4. If  $a, b$  are equivalent projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra, (cf. Definition 1.4), then the element  $x$  such that  $a = xx^*$  and  $b = x^*x$ , is called the *partially isometric element* defining the equivalence of  $a$  and  $b$ . By a *unitary element*, it is meant an element  $x$  such that  $xx^* = x^*x = 1$ .

Now we shall give some remarks on the equivalence of projections, which has been discussed in detail by Kaplansky [1].

REMARK 3.2. The relation  $\sim$  is clearly an equivalence relation, i. e., (i)  $a \sim a$ , (ii)  $a \sim b$  implies  $b \sim a$ , and (iii)  $a \sim b, b \sim c$  imply  $a \sim c$ . Furthermore it has the complete additivity,<sup>16)</sup> i. e., if  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  and  $\{b_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  are both sets of mutually orthogonal projections such that  $a_\alpha \sim b_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), then it holds  $\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I) \sim \vee(b_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$

REMARK 3.3. If  $x$  is the partially isometric element defining the equivalence of projections  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  are the left and right projections of  $x$ , respectively, by Corollary 3.1.

15) As to a similar notion in  $W^*$ -algebras, cf. Maeda [1], Definition 2.1 and Dixmier [1], Definition 3.1; in continuous geometries, cf. J. v. Neumann [2], Part III, Definition 1.1; in upper-continuous, complemented, modular lattices, cf. Maeda [3], 60, Definition 4.2.

16) Cf. Kaplansky [1], Theorem 5.5.



Generally it holds that the left and right projections of any element are equivalent, and consequently for any projections  $a, b$  the projections  $a - (a \wedge b)$  and  $(a \vee b) - b$  are equivalent, since they are left and right projections of  $ab^\perp$ , respectively.<sup>17)</sup>

REMARK 3.4. If the projections  $a$  and  $b$  are perspective in the lattice  $A_p$ , i. e.,  $a \vee c = b \vee c$ ,  $a \wedge c = b \wedge c$  for some projection  $c$ , then it holds  $a \sim b$ , because  $a - (a \wedge c) \sim (a \vee c) - c = (b \vee c) - c \sim b - (b \wedge c)$  by Remark 3.3. As an immediate consequence of this results, we have that  $a \wedge b^\perp = a^\perp \wedge b = 0$  implies  $a \sim b$ .<sup>18)</sup>

If  $a$  and  $b$  are finite projections, then  $a \sim b$  implies  $a \vee c = b \vee c$  and  $a \wedge c = b \wedge c$  for some projection  $c$ .<sup>19)</sup>

LEMMA 3.2.<sup>20)</sup> Let  $a, b$  and  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  be projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then it holds:

- (i)  $a \sim b$  implies  $e(a) = e(b)$ ,
- (ii)  $a \leq b$  implies  $e(a) \leq e(b)$ ,
- (iii)  $e(za) = ze(a)$  for any central projection  $z$ ,
- (iv)  $e(\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)) = \vee(e(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ .

PROOF. (i) Let  $x$  be the partially isometric element defining the equivalence of  $a$  and  $b$ , then we have  $L(Axx^*) = Ae(a)^\perp$  and  $L(Ax^*x) = Ae(b)^\perp$  by Definition 3.3. Since  $yAxx^* = 0$  if and only if  $yAx^*x = 0$  by Kaplansky [1], Lemma 4.9, it holds  $L(Axx^*) = L(Ax^*x)$ , whence  $e(a) = e(b)$ .

(ii) It is obvious from (i).

(iii) Since  $za \leq ze(a)$ , it holds  $e(za) \leq ze(a)$ . As  $e(za) \leq z$ , we have  $e(za) + z^\perp \geq za + z^\perp a = a$ , whence  $e(za) + z^\perp \geq e(a)$ . By multiplying both sides of this inequality by  $z$ , we obtain  $e(za) \geq ze(a)$ . Thus we have  $e(za) = ze(a)$ .

(iv) Since  $\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I) \geq a_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), we have  $e(\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)) \geq e(a_\alpha)$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), whence  $e(\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)) \geq \vee(e(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ . On the other hand, it holds  $e(a_\alpha) \geq a_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), whence  $\vee(e(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I) \geq \vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ , and accordingly  $\vee(e(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I) \geq e(\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I))$ , completing the proof.

LEMMA 3.3. Let  $a, b, a_1$  and  $b_1$  be projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ , the following conditions are equivalent to each other:

17) Cf. Kaplansky [1], Theorem 5.2, Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4.

18) Projections  $a, b$  such that  $a \wedge b^\perp = a^\perp \wedge b = 0$  are called to be in positions  $p'$  in the terminology of Dixmier [1].

19) Cf. Kaplansky [1], Theorem 6.6.

20) As to analogous properties in continuous geometries and in upper continuous, complemented, modular lattices, cf. J. v. Neumann [2], Part III, Theorem 1.3, 1.4 and Maeda [3], 60, Lemma 4.7, respectively.

$$(\alpha) \quad aAb = 0.$$

$$(\beta) \quad e(a)e(b) = 0.$$

$$(\gamma) \quad a \geq a_1, \quad b \geq b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 \sim b_1 \quad \text{imply} \quad a_1 = b_1 = 0.$$

PROOF.  $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\beta)$ .  $aAb = 0$  implies  $a \in L(Ab) = Ae(b)^\perp$ , whence  $ae(b) = 0$ , and it follows from Lemma 3.2 (iii) that  $e(a)e(b) = 0$ .

$(\beta) \Rightarrow (\gamma)$ . Let  $a \geq a_1, b \geq b_1$  and  $a_1 \sim b_1$ . By Lemma 3.2 (i), (ii), we have  $e(a_1) = e(b_1) \leq e(a) \wedge e(b) = 0$ , whence  $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ .

$(\gamma) \Rightarrow (\alpha)$ . Suppose that  $aAb \neq 0$ . Then there exists a non-zero element  $x \in aAb$ . Let  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  be respectively the left and right projections of  $x$ , then it holds  $a_1 \sim b_1$  by Remark 3.3. Since  $ax = a$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $a \geq a_1$  and similarly  $b \geq b_1$ . Thus we have  $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ , contrary to  $x \neq 0$ .

REMARK 3.5. It has been shown that  $(\gamma)$  implies  $(\alpha)$  in AW\*-algebras and  $B_p^*$ -algebra, cf. Kaplansky [1], Lemma 3.3, and Rickart [1], Theorem 5.2. As to an analogous theorem in  $W^*$ -algebras, in continuous geometries, and in upper continuous complemented modular lattices, cf. Maeda [1], Theorem II, J. v. Neumann, [2], Part I, Theorem 5.7, Part III, Lemma 1.1, and Maeda [3], 61, Theorem 4.5, respectively.

As Kaplansky [1] has shown, the Decomposition Theorem, one of the most important theorems in dimension theory, is now at hand in view of Lemma 3.3 and the complete additivity of the equivalence of projections (Remark 3.2). We shall for convenience cite it here for reference.

DECOMPOSITION THEOREM.<sup>21)</sup> Let  $a, b$  be projections in an AW\*-algebra. There exists a central projection  $z$  such that  $za \leq zb$  and  $z^\perp a \geq z^\perp b$ .

DEFINITION 3.5. A  $B^*$ -algebra is called a *factor* if its center consists of scalar multiples of the unit element.

COROLLARY 3.4. In an AW\*-algebra  $A$ , the following conditions are equivalent to each other :

$$(\alpha) \quad A \text{ is a factor.}$$

$$(\beta) \quad \text{For projections } a, b \text{ in } A, \text{ it holds either } a \leq b \text{ or } a \geq b.$$

$$(\gamma) \quad \text{For projections } a, b \text{ in } A, aAb = 0 \text{ implies either } a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0.$$

PROOF.  $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\beta)$ . By applying the Decomposition Theorem to  $a, b$ , there

---

21) Cf. Kaplansky [1], Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 5.6. As to an analogous theorem in  $W^*$ -algebra, cf. Maeda [1], Theorem III, and Dixmier [1], Theorem 6. In cases continuous geometries and upper continuous complemented modular lattices, cf. J. v Neumann [2], Part III, Theorem 2.7, and Maeda [3], 77, Theorem 1.2, respectively.

exists a central projection  $z$  such that  $za \succeq zb$  and  $z^\perp a \preceq z^\perp b$ . Since either  $z = 0$  or  $z = 1$ , it follows that either  $a \preceq b$  or  $a \succeq b$ .

( $\beta$ ) $\Rightarrow$ ( $\gamma$ ). Suppose that  $aAb = 0$ , then it holds from Lemma 3.3 that  $a \geq a_1$ ,  $b \geq b_1$ ,  $a_1 \sim b_1$  implies  $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ . Now since either  $a \preceq b$  or  $a \succeq b$ , there exists a projection  $c$  such that  $a \sim c \preceq b$  or  $b \sim c \preceq a$ , and it follows that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

( $\gamma$ ) $\Rightarrow$ ( $\alpha$ ). Let  $z$  be any central projection in  $A$ , then we have obviously  $z^\perp Az = 0$ , whence either  $z = 1$  or  $z = 0$ . Thus the center of  $A$  contains only scalar multiples of 1.

REMARK. 3.6. The equivalence of ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\gamma$ ) in specified  $B_p^*$ -algebras has been shown by Rickart [1], Theorem 5.18. As to the equivalence of ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ) in any  $W^*$ -algebra, cf. Maeda [1], Theorem IV.

THEOREM 3.1.<sup>22)</sup> *Let  $Z$  be the center of an AW\*-algebra  $A$ , and let  $a$  be any projection in  $A$ . The center of the AW\*-algebra  $aAa$  is identical with  $aZ$ , and it is \*-isomorphic to  $e(a)Z$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f$  be any central projection in the AW\*-algebra  $aAa$ , whose unit element is clearly  $a$ . Since  $(a - f)Af = (a - f)aAaf = (a - f)faAa = 0$ , it follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $e(a - f)e(f) = 0$ . Now by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$e(ae(f) - f) = e(ae(f) - fe(f)) = e(a - f)e(f) = 0,$$

whence  $f = ae(f) \in aZ$ .

Conversely any projection in  $aZ$  is clearly a central projection in  $aAa$ , and it follows that central projections in  $aAa$  consist of projections in  $aZ$ .

Since  $aZ$  and the center of  $aAa$  are both AW\*-algebras, they are generated by the set of projections (Remark 1.1 (B)), and it follows that the center of  $aAa$  is  $aZ$ .

The correspondence  $az \leftrightarrow e(a)z$  is one-to-one between  $aZ$  and  $e(a)Z$ . Because, if  $az_1 = az_2$  and  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , then  $e(a)z_1 = e(a)z_2$ . This mapping is obviously \*-isomorphism of  $aZ$  and  $e(a)Z$ , completing the proof.

COROLLARY 3.5.<sup>23)</sup> *Let  $a, b$  be projections in an AW\*-algebra  $A$ . The following condition is equivalent to the conditions in Lemma 3.3:*

$$(\delta) \quad a \wedge b = 0 \text{ and } (a, b) \text{ D, i. e., } (a \vee b) \wedge x = (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge x) \text{ for any } x \in A_p.$$

22) As to an analogous theorem in  $W^*$ -algebras, cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 2.2, 2.4, and 2.5; in cases continuous geometries and upper continuous complemented modular lattices, cf. J. v. Neumann [2], Part III, Theorem 1.6, and Maeda [3], 78, Theorem 1.4, respectively.

23) As to an analogous theorem in  $W^*$ -algebras, cf. Maeda [1], Theorem II.

PROOF. We shall show that  $(\delta)$  is equivalent to  $(\beta)$  in Lemma 3.3.

$(\beta) \Rightarrow (\delta)$ . Since  $ae(b) \leq e(a)e(b)$ , it follows that  $a \cap b \leq ae(b) = 0$ , and  $(a \cup b)e(b) = b$  and similarly  $(a \cup b)e(a) = a$ , and so  $a$  and  $b$  are central projections in  $(a \cup b)A(a \cup b)$  by Theorem 3.1. Accordingly we have  $y = (a \cap y) \cup (b \cap y)$  for every projection  $y \leq a \cup b$ . Thus it holds for any  $x \in A_p$ ,

$$(a \cup b) \cap x = \{a \cap (a \cup b) \cap x\} \cup \{b \cap (a \cup b) \cap x\} = (a \cap x) \cup (b \cap x),$$

since  $(a \cup b) \cap x \leq a \cup b$ . Consequently  $(a, b) D$  is valid.

$(\delta) \Rightarrow (\beta)$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  are complements to each other in  $L(0, a \cup b)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2, and Theorem 2.1 that  $a, b$  are central projections in  $(a \cup b)A(a \cup b)$ , whence  $a \cup b = a + b$ . Now by Theorem 3.1, we have  $a = e(a)(a + b)$ , whence  $e(a)b = 0$ , accordingly  $e(a)e(b) = 0$  by Lemma 3.2, (iii).

Now we shall enter into structure theorems of AW\*-algebras.

DEFINITION 3.6. Let  $A$  be an AW\*-algebra, and  $a, b$  be its projections. We shall write  $a \gg b$ <sup>24)</sup> if it holds either  $za \succ zb$  or  $za = zb = 0$  for every central projection  $z$ . A non-zero projection  $a$  is called *properly infinite* if  $a \gg a$  is valid, and *purely infinite* if  $a$  contains no finite projection ( $\neq 0$ ). An AW\*-algebra is called *purely*<sup>25)</sup> (resp. *properly*) *infinite* provided that the unit element 1 is purely (resp. properly) infinite, and it is called *semi-finite*<sup>26)</sup> if every non-zero central projection contains a finite non-zero projection.

REMARK 3.7. Since the least upper bound of finite (resp. purely infinite) central projections is also finite (resp. purely infinite), it follows from Zorn's lemma that there exists a unique maximal finite (resp. purely infinite) central projection, say  $z^f$  (resp.  $z^{pi}$ ). Put  $z^i = 1 - z^f - z^{pi}$ , then the algebras  $z^f A$ ,  $z^{pi} A$ , and  $z^i A$  are finite, purely infinite and semi-finite together with properly infinite, respectively.

Thus it holds the following theorem. Cf. Kaplansky [1], Theorem 4.2.

THEOREM 3.2. *Any AW\*-algebra is a direct sum of a finite algebra, a purely infinite algebra and a properly infinite and semi-finite algebra.*

REMARK 3.8. In view of Theorems 3.1. and 3.2, for any projection  $a$ , there exists a central projection  $z$  such that  $az$  is finite and  $az^\perp$  is properly infinite.

24) A similar notation is used in continuous geometries by J. v. Neumann [2], Part III, Definition 2.3.

25) A purely infinite algebra in this sense is called "of type III" by Kaplansky [2], Definitions 1.3 and 2.1.

26) Cf. Griffin [1], Definition 1.4.

LEMMA 3.4.<sup>27)</sup> *If  $a, b$  are equivalent projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra, there exist unitary elements  $w_1, w_2$  such that  $a = a_1 + a_2$ ,  $b = b_1 + b_2$  and  $w_i^* a_i w_i = b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), where  $\{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2\}$  are orthogonal pairs of projections.*

PROOF. In view of Remark 3.7, we may assume without loss of generality that  $a$  and  $b$  are both finite or both properly infinite. In case  $a, b$  are finite, it follows from Remark 3.4, that  $a$  is perspective to  $b$  in  $A_p$  accordingly  $a^\perp$  is also perspective to  $b^\perp$  in  $A_p$ , whence  $a^\perp \sim b^\perp$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the partially isometric elements defining  $a \sim b$  and  $a^\perp \sim b^\perp$  respectively, then  $w_1 = x + y$  and  $w_2 = 1$  are desired elements. In case  $a, b$  are properly infinite, there exists projections  $a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2$  such that  $a = a_1 + a_2$ ,  $b = b_1 + b_2$  and  $a \sim a_1 \sim a_2$ ,  $b \sim b_1 \sim b_2$ ,<sup>28)</sup> whence  $a_1^\perp \sim b_1^\perp$ .<sup>29)</sup> By a similar argument as above, there exists a unitary element  $w_1$  such that  $w_1^* a_1 w_1 = b_1$ , and similarly  $w_2$  such that  $w_2^* a_2 w_2 = b_2$ . These elements  $w_1, w_2$  are the asserted.

COROLLARY 3.6.<sup>30)</sup> *Let  $a$  be a projection in an  $AW^*$ -algebra, and let  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  be the set of all projections equivalent to  $a$ . Then it holds*

$$e(a) = \bigvee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I),$$

PROOF. Put  $b = \bigvee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ , then we have  $e(a)e(b^\perp) = 0$ . For, if otherwise, there exist, by Lemma 3.3, non-zero projections  $a', b'$  such that  $a' \leq a$ ,  $b' \leq b^\perp$ , and  $a' \sim b'$ , and it follows from Lemma 3.4 that there exist pairs of orthogonal projections  $\{a'_1, a'_2\}, \{b'_1, b'_2\}$  and unitary elements  $w_1, w_2$  such that  $a' = a'_1 + a'_2$ ,  $b' = b'_1 + b'_2$  and  $w_i a'_i w_i^* = b'_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $a_i = w_i a w_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), then  $b'_i \leq a_i \sim a$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), whence  $b'_i \leq b$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and also  $b'_i \leq b^\perp$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and it follows  $b'_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), contrary to  $b' \neq 0$ . Thus it holds  $e(a)e(b^\perp) = 0$ . Now since we have  $e(a) - b = e(a)b^\perp \leq e(a)e(b^\perp)$ , it follows  $e(a) = b$ .

Now we shall refine the decomposition of  $AW^*$ -algebras in Theorem 3.2. We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $A$  be a properly infinite  $AW^*$ -algebra.*

- (i) *If  $a, b$  are finite projections in  $A$ , then it holds  $a \leq b^\perp$ .*
- (ii) *If  $a$  is a finite projection in  $A$ , then there exists a sequence of orthogonal projections  $\{a_n\}$ , each  $a_n$  being equivalent to  $a$ .*

PROOF. (i). By applying the Decomposition Theorem to  $a$  and  $b^\perp$ , there exists a central projection  $z$  such that  $az \leq b^\perp z$  and  $az^\perp \geq b^\perp z^\perp$ . Since  $az^\perp$  is

27) As to a similar lemma in  $W^*$ -algebra, cf. Dixmier [2], Lemma 1.7.

28) Apply Kaplansky [1], Lemma 4.5 to  $aAa$  and to  $bAb$ .

29) Proof:  $1 - a_1 = (1 - a) + a_2 \sim (1 - a) + a = 1$  and similarly  $b_1^\perp \sim 1$ , whence  $a_1^\perp \sim b_1^\perp$ ,

30) As to an analogous property in  $W^*$ -algebras, cf. Dixmier [1], Lemma 3.1.

finite, it follows that  $b^\perp z^\perp$  is also finite; accordingly  $b^\perp z^\perp + b z^\perp = z^\perp$  is finite, too. Since  $A$  is properly infinite, it follows that  $z^\perp = 0$ , i. e.,  $z = 1$ , consequently  $a \leq b^\perp$ .

(ii). Let  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be a set of orthogonal projections, each  $a_i$  being equivalent to  $a$ . Then  $b = \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i$  is also finite and it follows from (i) that there exists a projection  $a_{n+1}$  such that  $a \sim a_{n+1} \leq b^\perp$ . Then  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$  are mutually orthogonal and each  $a_i$  is equivalent to  $a$ . Thus we obtain the result by induction.

LEMMA 3.6.<sup>31)</sup> *In a semi-finite AW\*-algebra, there exists a finite projection  $u_0$  such that  $e(u_0) = 1$ .*

PROOF. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal set of finite projections  $\{u_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  such that  $e(u_\alpha)e(u_\beta) = 0$  ( $\alpha \neq \beta$ ). The projection  $u_0 = \bigvee (u_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$  is an asserted one.

DEFINITION 3.7.<sup>32)</sup> By a *subunit projection* in a semi-finite AW\*-algebra, it is meant a finite projection  $u_0$  such that  $e(u_0) = 1$ .

LEMMA 3.7.<sup>33)</sup> *Let  $A$  be a semi-finite and properly infinite AW\*-algebra, and let  $u_0$  be any subunit projection in  $A$ . There exists a non-zero central projection  $z$  which is equal to the least upper bound of mutually orthogonal projections each of which is equivalent to  $z u_0$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\{u_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  be a maximal set of mutually orthogonal projections each of which is equivalent to  $u_0$  (Zorn's lemma), and put  $u = \bigvee (u_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ . It is not valid  $u_0 \leq u^\perp$ , since otherwise we could enlarge  $\{u_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ . It follows from the Decomposition Theorem that there exists a non-zero central projection  $z$  such that  $z u^\perp < z u_0$ . Then we have  $z = \bigvee (z u_\alpha; \alpha \in I) + z u^\perp$ . Assume that the index set  $I$  is well ordered, then  $z u_\gamma \sim z u_{\gamma+1}$  ( $\gamma \geq 1$ ), and  $\bigvee (z u_\gamma; \gamma \geq 1) \sim \bigvee (z u_\gamma; \gamma > 1)$ , since  $I$  is an infinite set by Lemma 3.5. (ii). Accordingly we have  $\bigvee (z u_\gamma; \gamma \geq 1) \geq \bigvee (z u_\gamma; \gamma > 1) + z u^\perp \sim z$ . It is obvious that  $z \geq \bigvee (z u_\gamma; \gamma \geq 1)$ , and it follows that  $z \sim \bigvee (z u_\gamma; \gamma \geq 1)$ . Now let  $x$  be the partially isometric element defining the equivalence of  $z$  and  $\bigvee (z u_\gamma; \gamma \geq 1)$ , and put  $v_\gamma = x z u_\gamma x^*$  ( $\gamma \geq 1$ ). Then we have  $v_\gamma \sim z u_\gamma \sim z u_0$  ( $\gamma \geq 1$ ) and  $z = \bigvee (v_\gamma; \gamma \geq 1)$ .

DEFINITION 3.8.<sup>34)</sup> Let  $u_0$  be a subunit projection in a semi-finite AW\*-algebra. If a projection  $a$  is the least upper bound of an infinite set of ortho-

31) As to a similar lemma in W\*-algebras, cf. Dixmier [2], Lemma 2.4.

32) Cf. Maeda [2], 91.

33) As to the case  $u_0$  is an abelian projection, cf. Kaplansky [1], Lemma 4.8.

34) Cf. Griffin [1], Definition 1.5.

nal projections  $\{v_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ , each of which is equivalent to  $e(a)u_0$ , and if the cardinal of the index set  $I$  is  $\aleph$ , then  $a$  is said to be of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$ .

LEMMA 3.8. *Let  $A$  be a semi-finite and properly infinite AW\*-algebra,  $u_0$  be its subunit projection, and let  $\pi$  be the set of infinite cardinals not greater than that of  $A$ . There exists a set of central projections  $\{z_\aleph; \aleph \in \pi\}$  such that:*

- (i)  $\bigvee (z_\aleph; \aleph \in \pi) = 1$ ,
- (ii) *Either  $z_\aleph = 0$  or  $z_\aleph$  is a projection of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$ ,*
- (iii) *If  $z$  is a central projection of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$ , then it holds  $z \leq z_\aleph$ .*

PROOF. If there exists no central projection of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$ , then put  $z_\aleph = 0$ . Otherwise, there exists (by Zorn's lemma) a maximal set of orthogonal central projections  $\{z_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  such that each  $z_\alpha$  is of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$ . Put  $z_\aleph = \bigvee (z_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ , then  $z_\aleph$  is clearly of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$ .

It is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.7 that  $\bigvee (z_\aleph; \aleph \in \pi) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $z$  is a central projection of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$  and  $z \not\leq z_\aleph$ . Then we have  $z - z_\aleph z \neq 0$ , which is of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to  $u_0$  and orthogonal to  $z_\aleph$ , contrary to the maximality of  $\{z_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ . Thus we have  $z \leq z_\aleph$ . This completes the proof.

Now let us consider AW\*-algebras satisfying the following condition, which is suggested by Griffin [1]:

(C) *If a projection is of types  $S_m$  and  $S_n$  relative to subunit projections  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  respectively, then it holds  $m = n$ .*

Remark that any W\*-algebra satisfies the condition (C) by Griffin [1], Theorem 2.

DEFINITION 3.9. An AW\*-algebra satisfying the condition (C) is called of type  $S_\aleph$  if its unit element is of type  $S_\aleph$  relative to any (or every by virtue of the condition (C)) subunit projection.

THEOREM 3.3.<sup>35)</sup> *Let  $A$  be a semi-finite and properly infinite AW\*-algebra, then  $A$  is a direct sum of AW\*-algebra of type  $S_\aleph$  ( $\aleph \in \pi$ ),  $\pi$  being a set of infinite cardinals not greater than that of  $A$ .*

PROOF. In view of Lemma 3.8, it is sufficient to prove that the central projections  $\{z_\aleph; \aleph \in \pi\}$  in the lemma are mutually orthogonal.

Suppose that  $z_m z_n \neq 0$  for some  $m, n$  with  $m \neq n$ , then  $z_m z_n$  is of types  $S_m$  and  $S_n$ , which contradicts the condition (C). This completes the proof.

We obtain the following immediately from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3:

35) As to a similar theorem in W\*-algebras, cf. Griffin [1], Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let  $A$  be an  $AW^*$ -algebra satisfying the condition (C). Then  $A$  is a direct sum of a finite algebra, a purely infinite algebra and algebras of type  $S_\pi$  ( $\pi \in \pi$ ),  $\pi$  being a set as in Theorem 3.3.*

#### § 4. Ideals and neutral ideals in $AW^*$ -algebras.<sup>36)</sup>

In this section, we shall investigate the interrelation of ideals in an  $AW^*$ -algebra and the sets of projections contained in the ideals.

DEFINITION 4.1. *Left, right, and closed ideals in an  $AW^*$ -algebra are defined as usual. By a self-adjoint ideal, we mean an ideal  $I$  such that  $I=I^*$ , i. e.,  $x \in I$  implies  $x^* \in I$ .*

LEMMA 4.1. *A linear subset  $I$  of an  $AW^*$ -algebra is a left ideal if and only if  $x \in I$  implies  $wx \in I$  for every unitary element  $w$ .*

PROOF. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that every element is a linear combination of unitary elements, which is easily shown by a similar argument as in Dixmier [1], Theorem 10.

LEMMA 4.2.<sup>37)</sup> *Let  $x$  be any element of an  $AW^*$ -algebra, then there exists a unique decomposition of  $x$  such that  $x=ua$ , where  $a$  is a positive element and  $u$  is a partially isometric element such that  $u^*u$  is the right projection of  $a$ .*

PROOF. (i) Put  $(x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}=a$ , then  $a$  is a positive element. Let  $e$  be the right projection of  $a$ , then  $e$  is also the right projection of  $x$  by Corollary 3.1. By a similar argument as in Kaplansky [1], 244, we can see that there exists a sequence  $\{e_n\}$  of orthogonal projections commutative with  $a$  such that  $e = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n$  and that if we put  $a_n = ae_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ), then there exists a positive element  $a'_n$  with properties that

$$a a'_n = a'_n a = e_n \dots\dots (1), \text{ and}$$

$$e_n a'_n = a'_n e_n = a'_n \dots\dots (2),$$

for every  $n=1, 2, \dots$

$$(ii) \text{ Put } u_n = x a'_n \dots\dots (3),$$

then it follows from (1) that  $u_n^*u_n = e_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). If we put  $u_n u_n^* = f_n$ , then  $f_n$  is a projection by (2), and we can show that  $f_n f_m = 0$  ( $n \neq m$ ), indeed, we have

36) Cf. Wright [1]. An analogous investigation in  $W^*$ -algebras as in this section has been performed by Dixmier [3], 16-23. *Added in proof:* During the preparation of the paper, the following was not available to the author: Wright, A reduction for algebras of finite type, Ann. of Math. **60** (1954), 560-570.

37) It is well known that a similar lemma is valid in  $W^*$ -algebras, and is called the *canonical decomposition*, cf. Murray and J.v. Neumann [1], Definition 4.4.1 and Lemma 4.4.1.



$f_n f_m = u_n u_n^* u_m u_m^* = x a'_n a'_n x^* x a'_m a'_m x^*$  by (3) and  $a'_n x^* x a'_m = a'_n a^2 a'_m = e_n e_m = 0$ , by (1).

Let  $f = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ , then it follows from Kaplansky [2], Lemma 20 that there exists a partially isometric element  $u$  such that  $u^* u = e$ ,  $u u^* = f$ ,  $u^* f_n = u_n^*$ , and

$$u e_n = u_n \dots\dots (4).$$

(iii) Now we shall prove  $x = ua$ . We can see  $u a e_n = x e_n$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , because the left member of the equation is  $u_n a$  by (4), the right member being equal to  $x a'_n a = u_n a$  by (1) and (3). It follows  $u a e = x e$  and accordingly  $u a = x$ .<sup>38)</sup>

(iv) Now suppose that  $x = vb$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , and  $v^* v$  is the right projection of  $b$ . Then we have  $x^* x = b^2$ , and accordingly  $b = a$ .

Since  $x = va$ , it follows  $x a'_n = v a a'_n$ , the left hand member of which is equal to  $u_n = u e_n$  by (3) and (4), the right hand member being  $v e_n$  by (2). It follows that  $v e_n = u e_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), whence  $v e = u e$  and accordingly  $v = u$ .<sup>38)</sup> This completes the proof.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following :

LEMMA 4.3.<sup>39)</sup> *A left (right) ideal in an AW\*-algebra is two-sided if and only if it is self-adjoint.*

DEFINITION 4.2. A subset  $J$  of projections in an AW\*-algebra  $A$  is called a *neutral ideal* provided that

- (i)  $a \in J$  and  $b \in J$  imply  $a \cup b \in J$ ,
- (ii)  $b \in J$ ,  $a \in A_p$ , and  $a \leq b$  imply  $a \in J$ , and
- (iii)  $b \in J$  and  $a \sim b$  imply  $a \in J$ .

REMARK 4.1. A subset of a relatively complemented lattice with 0 is called a neutral ideal provided that (i)  $a, b \in J$  imply  $a \cup b \in J$ , (ii)  $a \leq b$  and  $b \in J$  imply  $a \in J$ , and (iii) if  $a$  is perspective to  $b$  and  $b \in J$  then  $a \in J$ .<sup>40)</sup>

It follows from Remark 3.4 that any neutral ideal in an AW\*-algebra  $A$  in Definition 4.2 is a neutral ideal in the lattice  $A_p$  in the preceding sense, but the converse is not always true except for a finite AW\*-algebra  $A$ . However, by a neutral ideal in an AW\*-algebra, we shall mean a subset  $J$  in Definition 4.2 throughout the remainder of this paper, unless otherwise stated.

It follows immediately from Lemma 3.4.

38) Note that elements  $a, x, u, v$  have one and the same right projection  $e$ .

39) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 3.3.

40) Cf. Birkhoff [1], 125, Theorem 11, and Maeda [3], 32, Definition 4.5, and Remark 4.4.

LEMMA 4.4.<sup>41)</sup> *A subset  $J$  of projections in an  $AW^*$ -algebra satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 4.2 is a neutral ideal if and only if it satisfies the following condition :*

(iii')  *$a \in J$  implies  $w^*aw \in J$  for every unitary element  $w$ .*

LEMMA 4.5.<sup>42)</sup> *Let  $I$  be any two-sided ideal in an  $AW^*$ -algebra, then the set  $J(I)$  of all projections in  $I$  is a neutral ideal.*

PROOF. If  $a$  is a projection such that  $a \leq b \in J(I)$ , then  $a$  is clearly in  $J(I)$ , because  $a = ab$ . It is obvious that  $a \in J(I)$  implies  $w^*aw \in J(I)$  for every unitary element  $w$ . Suppose that  $a, b \in J(I)$  and put  $c = (a \cup b) - a$ , then it follows from Remark 3.3 that  $c \sim b - (a \cap b) \leq b$ , accordingly  $c \in J(I)$  and  $a \cup b = a + c \in J(I)$ . Thus  $J(I)$  is a neutral ideal.

LEMMA 4.6.<sup>43)</sup> *Let  $J$  be any neutral ideal in an  $AW^*$ -algebra. Then the set  $I(J)$  of all elements whose carriers belong to  $J$  is the two-sided ideal generated by  $J$ .*

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that  $I(J)$  is a two-sided ideal. Suppose that  $x, y \in I(J)$ , then their carriers  $c(x), c(y)$  belong to  $J$ , and accordingly  $c(x) \cup c(y) \in J$ . It follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$\{c(x) \cup c(y)\}(\lambda x + \mu y)\{c(x) \cup c(y)\} = \lambda x + \mu y,$$

for any complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$ , whence  $c(\lambda x + \mu y) \leq c(x) \cup c(y)$ . Thus  $\lambda x + \mu y \in I(J)$ . Next let  $w$  be any unitary element, then it holds

$$\{c(x) \cup wc(x)w^*\}wx\{c(x) \cup wc(x)w^*\} = wx,$$

whence  $wx \in I(J)$ , and similarly  $xw \in I(J)$ . It follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $I(J)$  is a two-sided ideal.

LEMMA 4.7.<sup>44)</sup> *Let  $J$  be any neutral ideal in an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then it holds  $J = J(I(J)) = J(\overline{I(J)})$ ,  $\overline{I(J)}$  being the closure of  $I(J)$ .*

PROOF. Since it is obvious that  $J \subseteq J(I(J)) \subseteq J(\overline{I(J)})$ , we shall show that  $J(\overline{I(J)}) \subseteq J$ . Suppose that  $a$  is any projection in  $\overline{I(J)}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_n \in I(J)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $x_n \rightarrow a$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $ax_n a \rightarrow a$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we may assume without loss of generality that  $ax_n = x_n a = x_n$ , and  $\|a - x_n\| < 1$  for every  $n$ . Put  $y = a + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - x_n)^n$ , then we can easily show that  $y \in A$  and  $x_1 y = a$ .<sup>45)</sup> It follows from  $x_1 \in I(J)$  that  $a \in I(J)$ , and accordingly

41) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 3.1.

42) Cf. loc. cit., Lemma 3.7, (a).

43) Cf. loc. cit., Lemma 3.7, (b).

44) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 3.8, (b).

45) Cf. Gelfand [1], Hilfssatz 1.

$a = c(a) \in J$ , completing the proof.

DEFINITION 4.3<sup>46)</sup> Let  $I$  be any two-sided ideal in an  $AW^*$ -algebra. The ideal generated by its projections, i. e.,  $I(J(I))$  is called *restricted ideal of  $I$* . An ideal is called *restricted* if it is identical with its restricted ideal.

LEMMA 4.8.<sup>47)</sup> Let  $I$  be any two-sided ideal in an  $AW^*$ -algebra, then it holds  $\overline{I(J(I))} \cong I \cong I(J(I))$ , where  $\overline{I(J(I))}$  is the closure of  $I(J(I))$ .

In particular, if  $I$  is closed, then  $I = \overline{I(J(I))}$ ; and if  $I$  is restricted, then  $I = I(J(I))$ .

PROOF. Since it is obvious that  $I \cong I(J(I))$ , we shall prove  $\overline{I(J(I))} \cong I$ .

Suppose that  $x \in I$ . In view of Lemma 4.3, we may assume without loss of generality that  $x$  is self-adjoint. By Kaplansky [6], Lemma 2.1, there exists a sequence  $\{e_n\}$  of projections such that  $\|x - xe_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $xe_n = e_nx$ , and  $xx'_n = e_n$  for some  $x'_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $x \in I$  implies  $e_n \in J(I)$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Since  $e_nxe_n = xe_ne_n = xe_n$ , it follows  $xe_n \in I(J(I))$  for every  $n$ . Consequently we have  $x \in \overline{I(J(I))}$ , because  $xe_n \rightarrow x$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Thus  $I \subseteq \overline{I(J(I))}$ .

If  $I$  is closed, it follows immediately from the preceding result that  $I = \overline{I(J(I))}$ . The remaining part of the lemma is trivial from Definition 4.3.

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, we obtain the following

THEOREM 4.1. A two-sided ideal  $I$  in an  $AW^*$ -algebra contains its restricted ideal and it is contained in the closure of its restricted ideal. These three ideals have the same neutral ideal in common.

THEOREM 4.2.<sup>48)</sup> Both the sets of all closed and of all restricted two-sided ideals in an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$  are upper continuous lattices, ordered by set inclusion, isomorphic to the lattice of all neutral ideals.

PROOF. First we shall show that the lattice of neutral ideals is upper continuous. The set of all projections in  $A$  is clearly a neutral ideal. Let  $\{J_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  be a set of neutral ideals, then the intersection  $\cap (J_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$  is also a neutral ideal. If  $\{J_\delta; \delta \in D\}$  is a directed monotone increasing system of neutral ideals, then the union  $\sum (J_\delta; \delta \in D)$  is a neutral ideal, too. Consequently the set of all neutral ideals forms an upper continuous lattice.<sup>49)</sup>

46) Cf. Dixmier [3], Definition 3.3.

47) Cf. loc. cit., Lemma 3.8, (a), where the half of Lemma 4.8 above i. e.,  $I \cong I(J(I))$  has been shown in  $W^*$ -algebras.

48) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 3.9, (a), where the existence of the one-to-one correspondence between the set of neutral ideals and the of all restricted ideals has been shown in  $W^*$ -algebras. Cf. also Wright [1].

49) Cf. Maeda [3], 12, Lemma 1.14.

Now let  $J$  be any neutral ideal, then  $I(J)$  is a restricted ideal, then  $\overline{I(J)}$  is a closed ideal, by Lemma 4.6. Conversely  $I$  is a closed or restricted ideal, then it follows from Lemm 4.5 that  $J(I)$  is a neutral ideal. The mapping  $I \rightarrow J(I)$  is a one-to-one correspondence of the set of all closed (or restricted) ideals onto the set of all neutral ideals, by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, and clearly preserves the order relation. This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *The upper continuous lattice of all two-sided ideals in an  $AW^*$ -algebra, ordered by set inclusion, is homomorphic to the lattice of all neutral ideals.*

**PROOF.** The set of all ideals forms clearly an upper continuous lattice. Let  $I_1, I_2$  be any two-sided ideals. Then  $J(I_1)$  and  $J(I_2)$  are neutral ideals and obviously  $J(I_1 \cap I_2) = J(I_1) \cap J(I_2)$ , and  $J(I_1 \cup I_2) \geq J(I_1) \cup J(I_2)$ . It follows from Theorem 4.2 Lemma 4.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} J(I_1 \cup I_2) &\leq J(\overline{I(J(I_1))} \cup \overline{I(J(I_2))}) \\ &= J(\overline{I(J(I_1))} \cup J(\overline{I(J(I_2))})) \\ &= J(I_1) \cup J(I_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it holds  $J(I_1 \cup I_2) = J(I_1) \cup J(I_2)$ . Consequently  $I \rightarrow J(I)$  is a homomorphic mapping of the lattice of all two-sided ideals on the lattice of all neutral ideals.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Closed (or restricted) two-sided ideals in an  $AW^*$ -factor form a chain.*

**PROOF.** In view of Theorem 4.2, it is sufficient to prove that the set of all neutral ideals forms a chain, i. e., it holds either  $J_1 \leq J_2$  or  $J_2 \leq J_1$  for any neutral ideals  $J_1, J_2$ . Suppose that  $J_2$  is not a part of  $J_1$ , then there exists a projection  $a_2$  such that  $a_2 \in J_2$  and  $a_2 \notin J_1$ . It follows from Corollary 3.4, that we have either  $a_1 \preceq a_2$  or  $a_2 \preceq a_1$  for any  $a_1 \in J_1$ . Assume  $a_2 \preceq a_1$ , then it holds  $a_2 \in J_1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have  $a_1 \preceq a_2$ , for any  $a_1 \in J_1$ , consequently  $J_1 \leq J_2$ , completing the proof.

**REMARK 4.2.** The following simple examples may clarify the situation of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the  $AW^*$ -factor of all bounded operators in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The set  $J(\mathfrak{K}_0)$  of all finite dimensional projections forms the unique proper neutral ideal. It follows that proper closed (or restricted) two-sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}$  is also unique. Indeed, they are respectively the ideal  $\mathfrak{I}$  of all completely continuous operators and the ideal  $\mathfrak{F}$  of all finite dimensional operators; and any proper two-sided ideal (for example, the ideal  $\mathfrak{J}$  of all operators

of Hilbert-Schmidt types) contains  $\mathcal{F}$  and is contained in  $\mathcal{G}$ , all of these having  $J(\aleph_0)$  in common.<sup>50)</sup>

If generally the dimension of  $\mathfrak{H}$  is  $\aleph_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  being an ordinal number; any proper neutral ideal is the set  $J(\aleph)$  of all projections whose ranges have dimensions less than  $\aleph$ , an infinite cardinal less than  $\aleph_\alpha$ . It follows that the set of all closed (resp. restricted) two-sided ideals in  $\mathfrak{U}$  forms a well ordered set, and any non-zero two-sided ideal  $I$  contains a restricted ideal  $I(J(\aleph))$ , and is contained in the closed ideal  $\overline{I(J(\aleph))}$ , for some infinite cardinal  $\aleph$  not greater than  $\aleph_\alpha$ , uniquely determined by  $I$ .

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be an AW\*-algebra with center  $Z$ . There exists a one-to-one correspondence between all maximal two-sided ideals and all maximal neutral ideals. Especially, maximal two-sided ideals in  $Z$  correspond one-to-one to maximal ideals in the Boolean algebra  $Z_p$ .*

**PROOF.** First we shall show that any maximal two-sided ideal  $I$  in  $A$  is necessarily closed. We have  $J(I) < A_p$ , since  $J(I) = A_p$  would imply  $I(J(I)) = A$ , and accordingly  $I = A$  by Theorem 4.1, contrary to  $I < A$ . Then it follows from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 that  $I \subseteq \overline{I(J(I))} < \overline{I(A_p)} = A$ , consequently  $I = \overline{I(J(I))}$  from the maximality of  $I$ .

Then the first half of the corollary is obvious from Theorem 4.2.

Since the center  $Z$  is a finite AW\*-algebra, it follows from Remark 4.1 that any neutral ideal in  $Z$  is a lattice theoretic neutral ideal, which is trivially an ideal in the lattice  $Z_p$ , since  $Z_p$  is a Boolean algebra. Thus the remainder part of the corollary is obvious from the preceding result.

**REMARK 4.3.** Let  $Z$  be the center of an AW\*-algebra. Then it follows from Corollary 4.3 that the representative Boolean space of the Boolean algebra  $Z_p$  is homeomorphic to the representative Gelfand space of the center  $Z$ .

**REMARK 4.4.** It follows from Theorem 4.2 that any finite AW\*-algebra is strongly semi-simple in the sense that the intersection of all maximal two-sided ideals is the null ideal; indeed, it corresponds to the intersection of all maximal neutral ideals in the continuous geometry  $A_p$ , which is known to be the null ideal.<sup>51)</sup>

It follows from the preceding result that any finite AW\*-algebra  $A$  is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a simple B\*-algebra  $A/I$  ( $I \in \mathcal{Q}$ ), where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the set of all maximal two-sided ideals in  $A$ .

50) Cf. Calkin [1], Theorem 1.4 and 1.7.

51) Cf. Maeda [3], 109, Remark 3.1,

An infinite AW\*-algebra  $A$  is not always strongly semi-simple, because, for example,  $\mathcal{I}$  in Remark 4.2 is the unique maximal two-sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and is not a null ideal. However,  $A$  is also isomorphic to a subdirect product of B\*-algebras. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the set of all maximal ideals, say  $\mathfrak{p}$ , in the Boolean algebra  $Z_p$ , and let  $I(\mathfrak{p})$  be the least closed two-sided ideal in  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $A$  is isomorphic to a subdirect product of B\*-algebras  $A/I(\mathfrak{p})$ , ( $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Q}$ ).

### § 5. Dimension functions of AW\*-algebras.

Kaplansky [1] has shown that there exists a unique normalized dimension function in any *finite* AW\*-algebra. In this section, we shall extend this result to an *arbitrary* AW\*-algebra.<sup>52)</sup>

DEFINITION 5.1. Let  $A$  be an AW\*-algebra with center  $Z$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the representative Boolean space for the Boolean algebra  $Z_p$ ,<sup>53)</sup> and let  $\mathbf{Z}$  be the set of all non-negative, finite or infinite valued continuous functions on  $\mathcal{Q}$ .<sup>54)</sup> To every central projection  $z$  there corresponds an open compact set  $E(z)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the characteristic function of which will be denoted by  $z$  itself in the sequel.

By a *dimension function* of  $A$ , it is meant a mapping  $d(a)$  of  $A_p$  into  $\mathbf{Z}$  satisfying the following conditions:<sup>55)</sup>

- (1°) If  $a$  is a finite projection, then  $d(a) < \infty$  except on a non-dense set of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .
- (2°)  $d(a) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ .
- (3°)  $a \sim b$  implies  $d(a) = d(b)$ .
- (4°) If  $z$  is a central projection, then  $d(za) = zd(a)$ .
- (5°) If  $a, b$  are orthogonal projections, then  $d(a + b) = d(a) + d(b)$ .

REMARK. 5.1. Any continuous geometry has a dimension function  $d(a)$  satisfying the conditions (1°)–(5°) above, uniquely determined provided  $d(1) = 1$ .

52) As to the dimension functions in W\*-algebras, cf. Dixmier [3], Theorems 1 and 2, and Segal [1], Theorem 1. Dimension functions of semi-finite W\*-algebras are identical with the restrictions to projections of "pseudo-application  $\natural$  normal fidèle et essentielle" in the terminology of Dixmier [3], to which we are deeply indebted in writing this section.

53) In view of Remark 4.3,  $\mathcal{Q}$  may be considered as the representative Gelfand space for the center  $Z$ .

54)  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a complete lattice, cf. Stone [1], Theorem 18, Dixmier [4], 25. If  $f_\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$ , ( $\alpha \in I$ ), we shall denote by  $\sum(f_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$  the L. U. B. of the directed set of sums of finite subsets of  $\{f_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ .

55) The complete additivity of a dimension function is a consequence of these conditions, cf. Corollary 5.3, below. As to this fact, I was suggested by Mr. S. Maeda.

Note also that  $d(a)$  is completely additive and if  $d(a) \leq d(b)$  then  $a$  is perspective to a part of  $b$ .<sup>56)</sup>

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $d(a)$  be a dimension function of an  $AW^*$ -algebra, then it holds  $d(a) = \infty \cdot e(a)$  if and only if  $a$  is properly infinite.*

PROOF. Let  $a$  be a properly infinite projection, then there exist orthogonal projections  $a_1, a_2$  such that  $a = a_1 + a_2$ , and  $a \sim a_1 \sim a_2$ . It follows from (3°) and (5°) in Definition 5.1 that  $d(a) = 2d(a)$ , and accordingly  $d(a, \mathfrak{p}) = \infty$ <sup>57)</sup> or 0 for any point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega$ . Since  $d(a) = e(a)d(a)$  by (4°), we have  $d(a, \mathfrak{p}) = 0$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \notin E(e(a))$ .<sup>58)</sup> It follows from (2°) and the continuity of  $d(a)$  that  $d(a, \mathfrak{p}) = \infty$  for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in E(e(a))$ , for otherwise there exists a non-zero central projection  $z \leq e(a)$  such that  $zd(a) = 0$ , whence it holds  $za = 0$  by (2°) and (4°), accordingly  $0 = e(za) = ze(a) = z$ , contrary to  $z \neq 0$ .

Conversely suppose that  $d(a) = \infty \cdot e(a)$ . It follows from Remark 3.8 that there exists a central projection  $z$  such that  $az$  is finite and  $az^\perp$  is properly infinite. Assume  $az \neq 0$ , then  $e(az) \neq 0$  and we have  $e(az)d(a) = \infty \cdot e(az)$  contrary to (1°). Thus  $a = az^\perp$  and consequently  $a$  is properly infinite.

COROLLARY 5.1. *Any purely infinite  $AW^*$ -algebra has a unique dimension function  $d(a) = \infty \cdot e(a)$  for every projection  $a$ .*

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $d(a) = \infty \cdot e(a)$  is a dimension function.

Conversely suppose that  $d(a)$  is a dimension function, then we have  $d(a) = \infty \cdot e(a)$  by Lemma 5.1, since every non-zero projection is properly infinite. This completes the proof.

It follows from Theorem 3.2, Corollary 5.1 and the result of Kaplansky [1] stated above, that in order to show the existence of a dimension function in an arbitrary  $AW^*$ -algebra, it is sufficient to prove in case it is semi-finite and properly infinite.

We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 5.2.<sup>59)</sup> *Let  $u_0$  be a projection with  $e(u_0) = 1$  in an  $AW^*$ -algebra, then for any projection  $a$ , there exists a set of orthogonal projections  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  such that  $a =$*

56) The condition (1°) may be read “ $d(a) < \infty$  for every element  $a$ ”, and “ $a \sim b$ ” in (3°) must be read “ $a$  is perspective to  $b$ ”. Cf. Iwamura [1], and Maeda [3], 98, Theorem 1.4 and 1.5. As to the dimension function in a general continuous geometry, cf. Maeda [2], 90–92,

57) By  $d(a, \mathfrak{p})$ , we denote the value of  $d(a)$  at the point  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\Omega$ .

58) By  $E(e(a))$ , we denote the open compact set in  $\Omega$  corresponding to  $e(a)$ .

59) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 3.10.

$\bigvee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$  and  $a_\alpha \leq u_0$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ).

PROOF. There exists, by Zorn's lemma, a maximal set of orthogonal projections  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  such that  $a_\alpha \leq a$  and  $a_\alpha \leq u_0$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ). Clearly we have  $a \geq \bigvee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ . By applying Lemma 3.3 to  $u_0$  and  $b = a - \bigvee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ , it holds  $e(u_0)e(b) = 0$ , since otherwise  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  would be enlarged. Since  $e(u_0) = 1$ , it follows  $e(b) = 0$ , and accordingly  $b = 0$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $A$  be a properly infinite  $AW^*$ -algebra.*

(i) *If  $b$  is a finite projection, and  $c \leq b \leq d$ , and  $c \leq d$ , then there exists a projection  $b_1$  such that  $c \leq b_1 \leq d$  and  $b_1 \sim b$ .*

(ii) *If  $a, b$  are finite projections, then there exists a projection  $b_1$  such that  $b_1 \perp a$ ,  $b_1 \sim b$  and  $a \cup b \leq a + b_1$ .*

PROOF. (i) Since  $c \leq b \leq d$ , there exist projections  $b', c'$  such that  $d \geq b' \geq c' \sim c$  and  $b' \sim b$ . As  $c$  is a finite projection, there exists a unitary element  $w$  in  $dAd$  such that  $ww^* = w^*w = d$  and  $w^*c'w = c$ .<sup>60)</sup> Put  $b_1 = w^*b'w$ , then  $b_1$  is easily shown to be the asserted projection.

(ii) Put  $c = (a \cup b) - a$ , then we have  $c \leq a^+$  and  $c \leq b$ , since  $(a \cap b) - a \sim b - (a \cap b)$  by Remark 3.3. It follows from Lemma 3.5 (i) that  $b \leq a^+$ . Hence there exists a projection  $b_1$  such that  $c \leq b_1 \leq a^+$  and  $b_1 \sim b$ . The projection  $b_1$  is the asserted one.

LEMMA 5.4.<sup>61)</sup> *Let  $a$  be a finite projection in a properly infinite  $AW^*$ -algebra. The set  $J$  of all projections which are contained in some projection  $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where each  $a_i$  is equivalent to  $a$  and mutually orthogonal, is the neutral ideal generated by  $a$ , which will be denoted by  $J(a)$  in the sequel.*

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that  $J$  is a neutral ideal. Suppose  $b_1, b_2 \in J$ , then there exist sets of mutually orthogonal projections  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $\{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_m\}$ , each of which is equivalent to  $a$  and  $b_1 \leq a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$ , and  $b_2 \leq a'_1 + a'_2 + \cdots + a'_m$ . By Lemma 5.3, there exist projections  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$ , each of which is equivalent to  $a$  and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 \cup b_2 &\leq (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n) \cup (a'_1 + a'_2 + \cdots + a'_m) \\ &\leq a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n + c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$  are mutually orthogonal. Consequently we have  $b_1 \cup b_2 \in J$ . The conditions (ii) in Definition 4.2 and (iii') in Lemma 4.5 are obvious. This completes the proof.

60) Cf. the proof of Lemma 3.4.

61) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 3.9 (b).



LEMMA 5.5. *Let  $a$  be a properly infinite projection, and  $u_0$  be a finite projection in an  $AW^*$ -algebra. For every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , there exist orthogonal projections  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  such that  $a_i \sim e(a)u_0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and  $a \geq a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ .*

PROOF. From Lemma 3.5, (ii), it is sufficient to prove that there exists a projection  $a_0$  such that  $a_0 \sim u_0 e(a)$  and  $a_0 \in aAa$ .

Apply the Decomposition Theorem to  $a$  and  $u_0 e(a)$ , then there exists a central projection  $z$  such that

$$az \geq u_0 e(a)z \quad \dots \quad (1), \text{ and}$$

$$az^\perp \leq u_0 e(a)z^\perp \quad \dots \quad (2).$$

Since  $a$  is properly infinite, and  $u_0 e(a)z^\perp$  is finite, it follows from (2) that  $az^\perp = 0$ , i. e.,  $a \leq z$ , and accordingly  $e(a) \leq z$ . From (1), we have  $a \geq az \sim u_0 e(a)$ , whence there exists a projection  $a_0$  such that  $a \geq a_0 \sim u_0 e(a)$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 5.6. *Let  $A$  be a properly infinite  $AW^*$ -algebra. If  $a, u_0$  are finite non-zero projections with  $a \leq e(u_0)$ , then there exists a central non-zero projection  $z$  such that  $0 \neq az \in J(u_0)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots\}$  be a sequence of orthogonal projections equivalent to  $u_0$  (Lemma 3.5 (ii)), and let  $u^n = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n$ . Apply the Decomposition Theorem to  $a$  and  $u^n$ , and there exists a central projection  $z_n$  such that  $az_n^\perp \leq u^n z_n^\perp$  and

$$az_n \geq u^n z_n \quad \dots \quad (1).$$

Then we can show  $az_n^\perp \neq 0$  for some  $n$ . For otherwise we have  $a \leq z_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), accordingly  $e(a) \leq z_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). It follows from (1) that  $e(a)az_n \geq u^n z_n e(a)$ , whence  $a \geq u^n e(a)$  for every  $n$ , contrary to the finiteness of  $a$ .<sup>62)</sup> It follows that  $0 \neq az_n^\perp \leq u^n z_n^\perp \leq u^n \in J(u_0)$  for some  $n$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 5.7.<sup>63)</sup> *Let  $u_0$  be a fixed subunit projection in a semi-finite and properly infinite  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ . For every projection  $a$  in  $J(u_0)$ , the neutral ideal generated by  $u_0$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi(a)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  satisfying the conditions in Definition 5.1.*

PROOF. (i) Let  $u$  be any subunit projection in  $A$ , then it follows from Lemma 1.6 that the set of projections contained in  $u$  forms a continuous geometry. Hence in view of Remark 5.1, there exists for every projection  $a$  ( $\leq u$ ),

62) By a similar argument as in Kaplansky [1], Lemma 6.4, there exists a sequence of orthogonal projections  $\{v_n\}$  such that  $a \geq v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n + \dots$ , where each  $v_n$  is equivalent to  $u_0 e(a)$ , contrary to the finiteness of  $a$ . Cf. also Maeda [3], 86, Theorem 4.3.

63) Cf. Dixmma [3], Lemma 4.12.

a finite valued continuous function  $\delta_u(a)$ , which may be assumed to be defined on the Boolean space  $\mathcal{Q}$  in Definition 5.1, from Theorems 2.1 and 3.1. Consequently we do assume  $\delta_u(a) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\delta_u(u) = 1$  for every subunit projection  $u$  and any projection  $a$  ( $\leq u$ ) in the sequel.

(ii) For every  $a \in J(u_0)$ , there exist from Lemma 5.4, orthogonal projections  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  such that  $a \leq u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n$  and  $u_i \sim u_0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Put  $u = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n$ , and  $\varphi(a) = n\delta_u(a)$ . We shall prove that  $\varphi(a)$  is independent of  $u$  and  $n$ .

Suppose  $a \leq v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_m = v$ , where  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are orthogonal projections equivalent to  $u_0$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 (ii) that there exist projections  $v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots, v_{mn}$ , equivalent to  $u_0$  such that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{mn}$  are mutually orthogonal. Similarly there exist projections  $u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, \dots, u_{mn}$ , equivalent to  $u_0$  such that  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{mn}$  are mutually orthogonal. Put  $\bar{u} = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_{mn}$ , and  $\bar{v} = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{mn}$ . Then it holds  $\bar{u} \sim \bar{v}$  in the finite AW\*-algebra  $(\bar{u} \cup \bar{v})A(\bar{u} \cup \bar{v})$ , and accordingly

$$\delta_{\bar{u} \cup \bar{v}}(\bar{u}) = \delta_{\bar{u} \cup \bar{v}}(\bar{v}) \dots\dots (1).$$

On the other hand, we have <sup>64)</sup>

$$\delta_u(a) = \frac{\delta_{\bar{u}}(a)}{\delta_{\bar{u}}(u)} = m \delta_{\bar{u}}(a) = m \frac{\delta_{\bar{u} \cup \bar{v}}(a)}{\delta_{\bar{u} \cup \bar{v}}(\bar{u})},$$

and similarly

$$\delta_v(a) = n \frac{\delta_{\bar{u} \cup \bar{v}}(a)}{\delta_{\bar{u} \cup \bar{v}}(\bar{v})},$$

whence  $n\delta_u(a) = m\delta_v(a)$ . Thus  $\varphi(a)$  is independent of  $u$  and  $n$ .

(iii) Then  $\varphi(a)$  is clearly an element of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and it is not difficult to show that  $\varphi(a)$  satisfies the conditions (1°)–(5°) in Definition 5.1, in view of Remark 5.1. Remark that  $\varphi(a)$  is finite for every  $a \in J(u_0)$ , and  $a \leq b$  if  $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ , and also that  $\varphi$  is completely additive.

LEMMA 5.8.<sup>65)</sup> *Let  $A$  be a semi-finite and properly infinite AW\*-algebra. For any projection  $a$  in  $A$ , put*

$$d(a) = \sup \{ \varphi(b) ; a \geq b \in J(u_0) \},$$

where  $\varphi(b)$  is the same as in Lemma 5.7. Then  $d(a)$  is a dimension function of  $A$ .

PROOF. (i) We can easily show that  $d(a)$  satisfies the conditions (2°)–(4°)

64) Cf. Maeda [3], 114, Remark 3.4.

65) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 4.6.

in Definition 5.1. Note that  $d(a) = \varphi(a)$  for every  $a \in J(u_0)$ .

(ii) We shall show the condition (1°): For any finite projection  $a$ ,  $d(a) < \infty$  except on a non-dense set of  $\Omega$ .

If otherwise, there exists an open set  $E$  of  $\Omega$ , on which  $d(a) = \infty$ . The closure of  $E$  is an open compact set of  $\Omega$ , to which corresponds a central projection  $z_0$ , and  $d(az_0) = \infty \cdot z_0$ , accordingly it holds  $d(az) = \infty \cdot z$  for every central projection  $z \leq z_0$ . Now since  $az_0$  is finite, it follows from Lemma 5.6 that there exists a central projection  $z_1$  such that  $0 \neq az_0z_1 \in J(u_0)$ , whence  $d(az_0z_1) = \varphi(az_0z_1)$  is finite, which is a contradiction.

(iii) Here we shall remark that  $d(a) = \infty \cdot e(a)$  provided that  $a$  is properly infinite. Indeed, if  $a$  is properly infinite, it follows from Lemma 5.5 that  $d(a) \geq ne(a)$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and accordingly  $d(a) = \infty \cdot e(a)$ .

(iv) Now it will be convenient to verify the following proposition:

If  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal projections such that  $a = \bigvee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$  and  $a_\alpha \leq u_0$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), then it holds  $d(a) = \sum (\varphi(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ .

PROOF. Let  $D$  be the directed set of all finite subsets  $\nu$  of  $I$ , and let  $a^{(\nu)} = \bigvee (a_\alpha; \alpha \in \nu)$ , then clearly  $a^{(\nu)} \uparrow a$ , and  $\sum (\varphi(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I) = \sup (\varphi(a^{(\nu)}); \nu \in D)$ . Now it is sufficient to consider the following two cases from Remark 3.8.

Case 1.  $a$  is finite.

Since  $a^{(\nu)} \leq a$  and  $a^{(\nu)} \in J(u_0)$ , it follows  $\sum (\varphi(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I) \leq d(a)$ , whence it suffices to prove the converse inequality. Suppose  $b \leq a$  and  $b \in J(u_0)$ . Since  $a$  is finite, it follows from Lemma 1.6 that  $a^{(\nu)} \uparrow a$  implies  $a^{(\nu)} \wedge b \uparrow a \wedge b = b$ , accordingly  $\varphi(b) = \sup (\varphi(a^{(\nu)} \wedge b); \nu \in D)$ . As it holds  $\varphi(a^{(\nu)} \wedge b) \leq \varphi(a^{(\nu)})$ , we have  $\varphi(b) \leq \sum (\varphi(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ . Thus it is valid  $d(a) \leq \sum (\varphi(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ , and consequently  $d(a) = \sum (\varphi(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ .

Case 2.  $a$  is properly infinite.

From (iii), it is sufficient to show that  $\sup (\varphi(a^{(\nu)}); \nu \in D) = \infty \cdot e(a)$ . Suppose the contrary, then it follows from the continuity of  $\sup (\varphi(a^{(\nu)}); \nu \in D)$  on  $\Omega$  that there exists a non-zero central projection  $z \leq e(a)$  such that

$$z \sup (\varphi(a^{(\nu)}); \nu \in D) \leq nz$$

for some positive integer  $n$ . Accordingly it holds  $\varphi(za^{(\nu)}) \leq \varphi(u^n z)$  for every  $\nu \in D$ , where  $u^n = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n$ ;  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  being mutually orthogonal and equivalent to  $u_0$ . Thus we have <sup>66)</sup>  $za^{(\nu)} \leq u^n z$  for every  $\nu \in D$ , and accordingly

66) Cf. the remark at the end of the proof of Lemma 5.7.

it holds  $za \leq u^n z$ .<sup>67)</sup> Since  $u^n z$  is finite, it follows that  $za$  is finite, contrary to the assumption.

(v) Now we are in a position to prove the condition (5°), but we shall show more generally the complete additivity of  $d(a)$  for later use.

Let  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  be a set of mutually orthogonal projections, and put  $a = \vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$ . From Lemma 5.2, there exist mutually orthogonal projections  $\{a_\alpha^\kappa; \kappa \in K_\alpha\}$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ) such that  $a_\alpha = \vee(a_\alpha^\kappa; \kappa \in K_\alpha)$  and each  $a_\alpha^\kappa \in J(u_0)$ . Since  $a = \vee(a_\alpha^\kappa; \kappa \in K_\alpha, \alpha \in I)$ , it follows from (iv) that  $d(a) = \sum(\varphi(a_\alpha^\kappa); \kappa \in K_\alpha, \alpha \in I) = \sum(d(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ . This completes the proof.

From the remark following Corollary 5.1, we obtain

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Any  $AW^*$ -algebra has a dimension function.*

Next we shall discuss the uniqueness of dimension functions of an  $AW^*$ -algebra.

**LEMMA 5.9.**<sup>68)</sup> *Let  $d(a)$  be a dimension function of a semi-finite  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and let  $u_0$  be a subunit projection in  $A$ . Then  $d(u_0) > 0$  except on a non-dense set of  $\Omega$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose the contrary, then from the continuity of  $d(a)$  we have  $d(u_0) = 0$  on an open compact set, to which a non-zero central projection  $z$  corresponds and it holds  $zd(u_0) = d(zu_0) = 0$ , accordingly  $zu_0 = 0$ , whence  $e(u_0) \leq z^\perp$  contrary to  $e(u_0) = 1$ .

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let both  $d_1(a)$  and  $d_2(a)$  be dimension functions of an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and let  $u_0$  be a subunit projection in the semi-finite part of  $A$ . If  $d_1(u_0) = d_2(u_0)$ , then  $d_1(a) = d_2(a)$  for every projection  $a$  in  $A$ .*

**PROOF.** Put  $\delta_i(b) = \frac{d_i(b)}{d_i(u_0)}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) for any projection  $b \leq u_0$ , then both  $\delta_1(b)$  and  $\delta_2(b)$  are the normalized dimension functions of the continuous geometry  $A_p(0, u_0)$ , which consists of all projections in  $A$  contained in  $u_0$  (Lemma 1.6). It follows the uniqueness of the normalized dimension function of a continuous geometry (Remark 5.1) that we have  $\delta_1(b) = \delta_2(b)$ , and accordingly  $d_1(b) = d_2(b)$  for every  $b \leq u_0$ . In view of the condition (3°) in Definition 5.1, we have  $d_1(c) = d_2(c)$  for every  $c \leq u_0$ .

Let  $a$  be any projection in  $A$ , then by Remark 3.8 there exists a central projection  $z$  such that  $az$  is finite and  $az^\perp$  is properly infinite. In view of Lemma 5.1, we have  $d_1(az^\perp) = d_2(az^\perp) = \infty \cdot e(az^\perp)$ . Hence we may assume without loss of generality that  $a$  is a finite projection. It follows from Lemma

67) Cf. Kaplansky [1], Lemma 6.4, which is valid in any  $AW^*$ -algebra provided the projection  $f$  in the lemma is finite.

68) Cf. Dixmier [3], Lemma 4.16 (a).

5.2 that there exist orthogonal projections  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  such that  $a = \vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$  and  $a_\alpha \leq u_0$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ). By a similar argument as above, the restrictions of  $d_1, d_2$  to  $A_p(0, a)$  are dimension functions of the continuous geometry  $A_p(0, a)$ . And it follows from Remark 5.1. that  $d_1(a) = \sum(d_1(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$  and  $d_2(a) = \sum(d_2(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ . Since  $a_\alpha \leq u_0$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), it follows  $d_1(a_\alpha) = d_2(a_\alpha)$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), and consequently  $d_1(a) = d_2(a)$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 5.2.**<sup>69)</sup> *Let  $d_0(a)$  be any fixed dimension function of a semi-finite  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all functions in  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $0 < f(p) < \infty$  except on a non-dense set of  $\Omega$ . Then  $d(a)$  is a dimension function of  $A$  if and only if  $d(a) = fd_0(a)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

**PROOF.** The “if” part of the corollary is obvious. So we assume that  $d(a)$  is any dimension function of  $A$ , and  $u_0$  is a subunit projection in  $A$ , then it follows from Lemma 5.9 and the condition (1°) in Definition 5.1 that  $0 < d(u_0) < \infty$  and  $0 < d_0(u_0) < \infty$  except on a non-dense set. Put  $f = \frac{d(u_0)}{d_0(u_0)}$ , then we have  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and accordingly  $d'(a) = fd_0(a)$  is a dimension function of  $A$ . Since  $d'(u_0) = d(u_0)$ , it follows from Theorem 5.2 that  $d(a) = fd_0(a)$ , completing the proof.

**COROLLARY 5.3** *Let  $d(a)$  be a dimension function of an  $AW^*$ -algebra  $A$ . If  $\{a_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal projections, then we have*

$$d(\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)) = \sum(d(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I).$$

**PROOF.** If  $A$  is finite or purely infinite, the result is obvious. Thus we may assume that  $A$  is semi-finite and properly infinite. Let  $d_0$  be the dimension function in Lemma 5.8, then we have shown in (v) of the proof of the lemma that  $d_0(\vee(a_\alpha; \alpha \in I)) = \sum(d_0(a_\alpha); \alpha \in I)$ , and consequently the result is obvious from Corollary 5.2.

In conclusion, the author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Prof. F. Maeda for his kind guidance.

This research has been performed under the Grant in Aid for the Miscellaneous Scientific Reseaches given by the Ministry of Education.

## References

G. BIRKHOFF,

[1] Lattice theory, Revised edition, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publlication, vol. 25,

---

69) Cf. Dixmier [3], Theorem 2.

1949.

J. W. CALKIN,

[1] Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space, *Ann. of Math.* **42** (1941), 839-873.

J. DIXMIER,

[1] Les anneaux d'opérateurs de classe finie, *Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **66** (1949), 209-266.

[2] Sur la réduction des anneaux d'opérateurs, *Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **68** (1951), 185-202.

[3] Applications  $\dagger$  dans les anneaux d'opérateurs, *Comp. Math.* **10** (1952), 1-55.

[4] Sur certains espaces considérés par M.H. Stone, *Summa Brasil. Math.* **2** (1951).

I. GELFAND,

[1] Normierte Ringe, *Rec. Math. N.S.* **9** (1941), 3-24.

E. L. GRIFFINE,

[1] Some contributions to the theory of rings of operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1953), 471-504.

T. IWAMURA,

[1] On continuous geometries I, *Jap. Jour. of Math.* **19** (1944), 57-71.

I. KAPLANSKY,

[1] Projections in Banach algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **53** (1951), 235-249.

[2] Algebras of type I, *Ann. of Math.* **56** (1952), 460-472.

[3] Modules over operator algebras, *Amer. Jour. of Math.* **75** (1953), 839-858.

F. MAEDA,

[1] Relative dimensionality in operator rings, this journal **11** (1941), 1-6.

[2] Direct sums and normal ideals of lattices, this journal **14** (1950), 84-92.

[3] Continuous geometry, Tokyo, 1952 (in Japanese).

F. J. MURRAY and J. v. NEUMANN,

[1] On rings of operators, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 116-229.

J. v. NEUMANN,

[1] Zur Algebra der Funktionaloperationen und Theorie der normalen Operatoren, *Math. Ann.* **102** (1929), 370-427.

[2] Continuous geometry, Princeton, 1937.

C. E. RICKART,

[1] Banach algebra with an adjoint operation, *Ann. of Math.* **47** (1946), 528-550.

U. SASAKI,

[1] Orthocomplemented lattice satisfying the exchange axiom, this journal **17** (1954), 290-302.

I. E. SEGAL,

[1] A non-commutative extension of abstract integration, *Ann. of Math.* **57** (1953), 401-457

M. H. STONE,

[1] Boundedness properties in function-lattices, *Canadian Jour. Math.* **1** (1949), 176-186.

F. B. WRIGHT,

[1] Ideals in rings of operators, *Bull. A. M. S.* **59** (1953), 531.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE,  
HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY.