# On Loop Extensions of Groups and M-cohomology Groups. II

Noboru Nishigôri

(Received March 1, 1965)

## Introduction

In the previous paper  $[5]^{1}$ , we discussed the problem of BM-extensions of a group by a group, that is, for given two groups G and  $\Gamma$ , the problem to determine all Bol-Moufang loop L's with the following properties<sup>2)</sup>: (i) L has a normal subgroup G' which is isomorphic to G, (ii)  $L/G'\cong\Gamma$ , (iii) G' is contained in the nucleus of L. When we consider the case where L is a Bol-Moufang loop, it seems natural to consider the case where  $\Gamma$  is also a Bol-Moufang loop. In this paper we shall investigate the classification of all BMextensions of a group G by a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$ . In this case, we shall modify the M-cohomology groups defined in the previous paper and classify all BM-extensions, using this new cohomology groups.

§1 will be devoted to the construction of the *M*-cohomology groups of a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  over an abelian group *G*, and in §2, we shall first obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the *BM*-extension *L* of a group *G* by a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  by making use of a *M*-factor set and a system of automorphisms of *G*, and next, using this result and the new *M*-cohomology groups we shall classify the set of all *BM*-extensions. The methods used in this paper are the same as those of the previous, and the results obtaind in this paper are as follows:

(i) For a given group G with the center C, a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  and a homomorphism  $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow Aut G/In G^{3}$ , the BM-extension of G by  $\Gamma$  exists if and only if an element of  $H^{*3}(\Gamma, C)$  determined by G,  $\Gamma$  and  $\theta$  is zero (Theorem 2). Especially in the case G is abelian, this element is always zero.

(ii) If the BM-extension exists for assigned G,  $\Gamma$  and  $\theta$ , all non-equivalent BM-extensions are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the second M-cohomology group  $H^{*2}(\Gamma, C)$  (Theorem 3, 4).

## § 1. M-cohomology groups of a Bol-Moufang loop over an abelian group

In this section we shall extend the previous M-cohomology group of a

<sup>1)</sup> The number in the bracket referes to the references at the end of this paper.

<sup>2)</sup> A loop which satisfies the condition a[b(ac)] = [a(ba)]c is called a Bol-Moufang loop.

<sup>3)</sup> Aut G means the group of all automorphisms of G and  $\ln G$  is the group of all inner automorphisms of G.

group  $\Gamma$  over an abelian group G to the case that  $\Gamma$  is a Bol-Moufang loop. Let G be an abelian group and  $\Gamma$  be a Bol-Moufang loop. Further, suppose that to each element  $\alpha$  of  $\Gamma$  there corresponds an automorphism  $\bar{\alpha}$  of G which satisfies the following conditions:  $(g\bar{\alpha})\bar{\beta} = g(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}) = g(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}), g \in G, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma; g\bar{\varepsilon} = g$ ( $\varepsilon$  is the identity element of  $\Gamma$ ).

Every function  $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$  of *n* elements of  $\Gamma$ , with its value in *G*, is called an *n*-dimensional cochain and the set of these *n*-dimensional cochains is a group  $C^n(\Gamma, G)$  under the ordinary addition. With every *n*-dimensional cochain *f*, we associate an (n + 1)-dimensional cochain  $\partial f$  called the *M*-coboundary of the cochain *f* and defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial f(\alpha) = a - a\bar{\alpha}, \\ \partial f(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}) = f(\alpha_{2}) - f(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}) + f(\alpha_{1})\bar{\alpha}_{2}, \\ \partial f(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) = u(f; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) - u(f; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n}, \varepsilon)\bar{\alpha}_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 2), \\ \text{where}^{4)} \quad u(f; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \\ = f(\alpha_{2}, [\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3}\alpha_{1}], [\alpha_{1}\alpha_{4}\alpha_{1}], \dots, [\alpha_{1}\alpha_{n}\alpha_{1}], \alpha_{1}\alpha_{n+1}) \\ + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{i} f(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, [\alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{i+2} \dots \alpha_{i}], \dots, [\alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{n} \dots \alpha_{i}], \\ [\alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{n+1}]) \\ + (-1)^{n} f(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n+1}) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i} f(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, [\alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_{i}], \alpha_{i+2}, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \\ + (-1)^{n} f(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, [\alpha_{n} \dots \alpha_{n+1}]). \end{cases}$$

In the above definition (1), the product  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_j \dots \alpha_i]$  (i < j) means the product of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_j$  which is obtained by arranging these letters and multiplying them as follows:

(i) We put  $\alpha_i$  at the left end and  $\alpha_j$  at the right end on a line, (ii)  $\alpha_{i-1}$ in the middle between  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$ , (iii)  $\alpha_{i-2}$  in the middles respectively both between  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_{i-1}$ , and between  $\alpha_{i-1}$  and  $\alpha_j$ . (iv) After the arrangement of  $\alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+2}, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_j$  (k+1 < i < j) by the above processes we put  $\alpha_k$  in the middles between all adjacent elements respectively in this sequence of the letters. (v) Continuing these processes until we put  $\alpha_1$ , we get the arrangement of letters in the left half part of the product. (vi) The arrangement of  $\alpha_k$ 's in the right half part  $\alpha_j \dots \alpha_i$  of the product  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_j \dots \alpha_i]$  is symmetric to the left half part  $\alpha_i \dots \alpha_j$  with respect to  $\alpha_j$ . (vii) We multiply the letters of the above constructed sequence one by one from the right end to the left. For example, in the case i=4 and j=6,

In the right side of the definition of u(f; α<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>,..., α<sub>n+1</sub>) we take the form (-1)<sup>n-1</sup>f(α<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>,..., α<sub>n-2</sub>, α<sub>n</sub>, [α<sub>n-1</sub>...α<sub>n+1</sub>]) when i equals n-1 in the second line.

$$[\alpha_4 \cdots \alpha_6 \cdots \alpha_4] = \alpha_4(\alpha_1(\alpha_2(\alpha_1(\alpha_3(\alpha_1(\alpha_2(\alpha_1(\alpha_6(\alpha_1(\alpha_2(\alpha_1(\alpha_3(\alpha_1(\alpha_2(\alpha_1\alpha_4)))))\cdots)$$

When j=n+1, the product  $[\alpha_i,\ldots,\alpha_{n+1}]$  is the left half part of the above product.

We explain some lemmas concerning the arguments which appear in the terms of the formula (1).

LEMMA 1. If we denote  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, [\alpha_i ... \alpha_{i+2} ... \alpha_i], ..., [\alpha_i ... \alpha_n ... \alpha_i], [\alpha_i ... \alpha_{n+1}]$  by  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$  respectively, then it holds that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{j} \dots \beta_{l} \dots \beta_{j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j} \dots \alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{j} \end{bmatrix} & (j < l < i \leq n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j} \dots \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_{j} \end{bmatrix} & (j < i, i = l < n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j} \dots \alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{j} \end{bmatrix} & (j < i, i+1 \leq l < n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} & (j = i, i+1 \leq l < n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{i} \end{bmatrix} & (i+1 \leq j < l < n), \end{cases}$$

where the product  $[\alpha_j...\alpha_k...\alpha_l...\alpha_k...\alpha_j]$  (j < k < l) is made as follows: (i) first, the middle part  $\alpha_k...\alpha_l...\alpha_k$  is arranged by the method explained above, (ii) next, the part  $\alpha_j...\alpha_k$  at the left end is arranged by the above method, (iii) the part  $\alpha_k...\alpha_j$  at the right end is arranged in the symmetric position to  $\alpha_j...\alpha_k$ with respect to  $\alpha_l$ , (iv) finally these letters are multiplied one by one from the right end to the left.

PROOF. We prove this lemma by dividing into five cases. In the cases 1 and 2: j < l < i and j < l = i, the lemma is evident. Case 3: j < i,  $l \ge i+1$ . By the definition of  $\beta_i$   $(1 \le i < n+1)$  it is sufficient to prove the following:  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l \dots \beta_j] = [\alpha_j \dots [\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i] \dots \alpha_j] = [\alpha_j \dots \alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i \dots \alpha_j]$ . Since we can easily see that the arrangement of the letters  $\alpha_k$ 's is the same in both sides, we show that the two products equal in the Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$ . To prove it, it is sufficient to show that  $[[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i] \dots \alpha_j] = [\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i \dots \alpha_j]$ . We prove this by dividing into few steps. We prove that  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i] = ((\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i))$ , where  $((\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i))$  is the product in which the arrangement of  $\alpha_k$ 's is the same as that of  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i]$  and which is obtained by multiplying  $\alpha_k$ 's from the right and from the left alternatively beginning with the multiplication of  $\alpha_{l+1}$  and  $\alpha_1$  at the middle of this product, i.e.,  $\alpha_i((\alpha_1 \dots ((\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1)) \dots \alpha_i))$ . If we use the Bol-Moufang condition for the product obtained by taking away  $\alpha_i$  from the left end of  $(((\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i)))$  we have:

$$(\alpha_1((\alpha_2\dots((\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1))\dots\alpha_2))\alpha_1))\alpha_i = \alpha_1\{(\alpha_2(\dots((\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1))\dots\alpha_2))(\alpha_1\alpha_i)\}.$$

If we use again the Bol-Moufang condition for the part in parenthesises

 $\{(\alpha_2((\alpha_1...((\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1))...\alpha_2))(\alpha_1\alpha_i)\}\)$  of the right side of the above equation, we obtain

$$(\alpha_2((\alpha_1 \cdots ((\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1)) \cdots \alpha_2)) (\alpha_1\alpha_i) = \alpha_2 \{(\alpha_1((\cdots ((\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1)) \cdots \alpha_1)) [\alpha_2\alpha_1\alpha_i]\}) = \alpha_2 \{(\alpha_1(\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1)) \cdots \alpha_1) (\alpha_1(\alpha_{l+1}\alpha_1)) \cdots \alpha_n) \}$$

Continuing the same processes we get  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i] = ((\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i))$ . We now proceed to prove that  $[[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i] \dots \alpha_j] = [\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i \dots \alpha_j]$ . Since  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i] = ((\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i))$ , it holds that

$$\left[\left[\alpha_{i}\cdots\alpha_{l+1}\cdots\alpha_{i}\right]\cdots\alpha_{j}\right]=\left(\left(\alpha_{i}\cdots\alpha_{l+1}\cdots\alpha_{i}\right)\right)\left(\alpha_{1}\cdots\left(\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{j}\right)\right)\right)\cdots\right).$$

In the same way as the above, taking into account to two  $\alpha_i$ 's at the both ends of  $((\alpha_i \cdots \alpha_{i+1} \cdots \alpha_i))$ , if we use the Bol-Moufang condition on the right side of this equation, we have

$$((\alpha_i \cdots \alpha_{l+1} \cdots \alpha_i)) (\alpha_1 \cdots (\alpha_1 (\alpha_2 (\alpha_1 \alpha_j))) \cdots)$$
  
=  $\alpha_i \{((\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{l+1} \cdots \alpha_1)) (\alpha_i (\alpha_1 \cdots (\alpha_2 (\alpha_1 \alpha_j)) \cdots))\}.$ 

Further, if we use again the Bol-Moufang condition for the part  $\{((\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_1)) (\alpha_i (\alpha_1 \dots (\alpha_1 \alpha_j)) \dots)\}$  on the right side of the above, we obtain

$$\alpha_i \{ \alpha_1 \{ ((\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{l+1} \cdots \alpha_2)) (\alpha_1 (\alpha_i (\alpha_1 (\cdots (\alpha_2 (\alpha_1 \alpha_j)) \cdots )))) \} \}.$$

Hence we have the required result by repeating the same processes.

Case 4:  $j=i, l \ge i+1$ : We may prove this case in the same way as that of the case 3.

Case 5:  $i+1 \leq j < l$ : We show that when we rewrite  $\beta_i$  by  $\alpha_k$ 's the arrangement of the letters in  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l \dots \beta_j]$  coincides with that of  $\alpha_k$ 's in  $[\alpha_i \cdots \alpha_{j+1} \cdots \alpha_{l+1} \cdots \alpha_{j+1} \cdots \alpha_i]$ . It is sufficient to prove it about the left half product. Since  $\beta_k(k=i+1, i+2, \dots, j)$  contains only one  $\alpha_{k+1}(k=i+1, i+2, \dots, j)$ respectively, only one  $\alpha_j$  appears between  $\alpha_{j+1}$  and  $\alpha_{l+1}$  and only one  $\alpha_{j-1}$ appears between  $\alpha_{j+1}$  and  $\alpha_j$ , and between  $\alpha_j$  and  $\alpha_{l+1}$  respectively in the sequence of  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \beta_{j-1}, \dots, \beta_{i+1}$  in the course of the construction of the product  $[\beta_j \cdots \beta_l]$ . Continuing the same considerations we may see that the arrangement and numbers of  $\alpha_{l+1}, \alpha_{j+1}, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_{l+2}$  in  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l]$  coincide with those of them in the part  $\alpha_{j+1} \cdots \alpha_{l+1}$  of  $[\alpha_i \cdots \alpha_{j+1} \cdots \alpha_{l+1}]$ . Since each of  $\beta_l, \beta_j, \cdots, \beta_{i+1}$ does not contain  $\alpha_{i+1}$ , when we put  $\beta_i = \alpha_{i+1}$  in the middle of each adjacent pair of letters in the sequence constructed by  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \dots, \beta_{i+1}$ , only one  $\alpha_{i+1}$ appears in the middle of each adjacent pair of letters in the sequence of  $\alpha_{l+1}$ ,  $\alpha_{j+1}, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_{i+2}$  in  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_i]$ . Further, since each of  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \dots, \beta_{i+1}$  contains  $\alpha_i$ 's on both ends and each of  $\beta_{i-1}, \beta_{i-2}, \dots, \beta_1$  does not contain  $\alpha_i$ , the arrangement of  $\alpha_{l+1}, \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_i$  in  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l]$  is the same as that of  $\alpha_{l+1}, \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_i$  in  $[\alpha_i \cdots \alpha_{j+1} \cdots \alpha_{l+1}]$ . Moreover, since  $\beta_{i-1} = \alpha_{i-1}, \cdots, \beta_1 = \alpha_1$  and the arrangement of  $\alpha_k$ 's in each of  $\beta_l, \dots, \beta_{i+1}$  is the same as that of  $\alpha_k$ 's in the construction of  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1}]$ , we may see that the arrangement of  $\alpha_k$ 's in  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l]$  is the same as that of  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1}]$ . Therefore the arrangement of  $\alpha_k$ 's in  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l]$  is the same as that of  $\alpha_k$ 's in  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1}]$ .

We prove that  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l \dots \beta_j] = [\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_i]$  in the Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$ . First, in the same way as the case 3, we have that  $[\beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_j]$  at the right end of  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l \dots \beta_j]$  is equal to  $[\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{i+2} \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_i]$ . Next, we can prove  $[\beta_{i+2} \dots \beta_j] = [\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{i+3} \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_i]$ , where  $[\beta_{i+2} \dots \beta_j]$  is the part of the right end of  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l \dots \beta_j]$ . Continuing these processes as often as  $\beta_s$   $(s \ge i+1)$  appears, we obtain  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_l \dots \beta_j] = [\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_i]$ .

In the same way as the above, we may prove that the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. If we denote  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{i-1}, [\alpha_i \cdots \alpha_{i+1} \cdots \alpha_i], \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, ..., \alpha_{n+1}$ by  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$  respectively, then it holds that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{j} \dots \beta_{l} \dots \beta_{j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j} \dots \alpha_{l} \dots \alpha_{j} \end{bmatrix} & (j < l < i \leq n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j} \dots \alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{j} \end{bmatrix} & (j < i, l = i < n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{j} \end{bmatrix} & (j < i, i+1 \leq l < n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i} \dots \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_{i} \end{bmatrix} & (j = i, i+1 \leq l < n), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_{j+1} \end{bmatrix} & (i+1 \leq j < l < n). \end{cases}$$

NOTE. By the method of the above proof, we may see that the similar lemmas, concerning the half product  $[\beta_j \dots \beta_n]$  as the lemmas 1 and 2, hold.

Under these preparations, we shall construct the *M*-cohomology group of a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  over an abelian group *G*.

In the following, we shall prove the theorem:

THEOREM 1. If f is any cochain, then  $\partial(\partial f)=0$ .

PROOF. In the case where n=0 and n=1, we may prove this by simple calculations. So, we assume  $n \ge 2$ . If f is an n-dimensional cochain, then  $\partial(\partial f)$  is an (n+2)-dimensional cochain. When we express  $\partial(\partial f)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+2})$  in terms of the values of  $\partial f$ , using the definition (1), we obtain

$$\partial(\partial f) (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+2}) = u(\partial f; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+2}) - u(\partial f; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \varepsilon) \tilde{\alpha}_{n+2}$$

Further, we express each term in  $u(\partial f; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+2})$  and  $u(\partial f; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \varepsilon)$  in terms of the values of f, we have:

$$\hat{\partial}(\hat{\partial}f) (\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n+2})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} \{ u(f; \beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{i-n+1}) - u(f; \beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \varepsilon) \bar{\beta}_{i-n+1} \}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} \{ u(f; \beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \beta'_{i-n+1}) \bar{\alpha}_{n+2} - u(f; \beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \varepsilon) \bar{\beta}'_{i-n+1} \bar{\alpha}_{n+2} \},$$

where  $u(f; \beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{i n+1}) - u(f; \beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \varepsilon)\bar{\beta}_{i n+1}$  is the expression obtained by expressing the *i* term of  $u(\partial f; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+2})$  in terms of the values of *f* and  $\beta'_{i n+1}$  is the argument obtained by putting  $\alpha_{n+2} = \varepsilon$  in  $\beta_{i n+1}$ . If we combine each of the terms in  $\sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} u(f; \beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{i n+1})$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} u(f; \beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \beta'_{i n+1})$  with the other whose sign only differs from each other as we did in [5], we obtain that  $\sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} u(f; \beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{i n+1}) = 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} u(f; \beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \beta'_{i n+1}) = 0$  (cf. [5], pp. 156– 158). Further, from  $\bar{\beta}'_{in+1}\bar{\alpha}_{n+2} = \bar{\beta}_{in+1}$ , it follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} u(f; \beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \varepsilon)\bar{\beta}_{in+1}$  $+ \sum_{i=1}^{2(n+1)} u(f; \beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in}, \varepsilon)\bar{\beta}'_{i n+1}\bar{\alpha}_{n+2} = 0$ . Therefore we obtain  $\partial(\partial f) = 0$ .

We call an *n*-dimensional cochain f an *n*-dimensional *M*-cocycle if  $\partial f = 0$ . All *n*-dimensional *M*-cocycles form a subgroup of  $C^n(\Gamma, G)$ , which we denote by  $Z^{*n}(\Gamma, G)$ . For n > 0 the *n*-dimensional cochains that are *M*-coboundaries of some (n-1)-dimensional cochains form also a subgroup of  $C^n(\Gamma, G)$ , which we denote by  $B^{*n}(\Gamma, G)$ . Since  $\partial(\partial f) = 0$ , we have  $B^{*n}(\Gamma, G) \subset Z^{*n}(\Gamma, G)$ . The factor group  $H^{*n}(\Gamma, G) = Z^{*n}(\Gamma, G)/B^{*n}(\Gamma, G)$  is called the *n*-th *M*-cohomology group of a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  over an abelian group G.

In the following, we assume that  $C^1(\Gamma, G)$  and  $C^2(\Gamma, G)$  are the groups of the normalized cochains f, that is,  $f(\varepsilon)=0$  and  $f(\alpha, \varepsilon)=0=f(\varepsilon, \beta)$ .

### § 2. Extensions of a group by a Bol-Moufang loop

We shall proceed to classify all *BM*-extensions of a group G by a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  by making use of the 2nd and 3rd *M*-cohomology groups constructed in §1.

A loop L is called a BM-extension of G by  $\Gamma$  if it satisfies the following conditions: (i) L is a Bol-Moufang loop, (ii) L contains a normal subgroup G' which is isomorphic to G, (iii)  $L/G'\cong\Gamma$ , (iv) G' is contained in the nucleus of L, where the nucleus is a subgroup consisted of elements a which satisfies the conditions: (ax)y=a(xy), (xa)y=x(ay) and (xy)a=x(ya). (Usually we identify G' with G). Further, we define the equivalence of two BM-extensions of G by  $\Gamma$  exactly as in the case  $\Gamma$  is a group (cf. [5], pp. 153). Then we can prove the following propositions by the same methods as those where  $\Gamma$  is a group (cf. [5], pp. 152-154). PROPOSITION 1. For a given BM-extension of a group G by a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$ , there exists a system of elements  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  of G and a system of automorphisms  $T_{\alpha}$  which satisfy the conditions:

$$aT_{\alpha}T_{\beta} = aT_{\alpha\beta}T_{f(\alpha,\beta)} \qquad a \in G,$$
$$f(\alpha, \lceil \beta \alpha \gamma \rceil)f(\beta, \alpha \gamma)f(\alpha, \gamma) = f(\lceil \alpha \beta \alpha \rceil, \gamma) (f(\alpha, \beta \alpha)T_{\gamma}) (f(\beta, \alpha)T_{\gamma}),$$
$$f(\alpha, \varepsilon) = e = f(\varepsilon, \beta).$$

Conversely, to every system of elements  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  and every system of automorphisms  $T_{\alpha}$  of G which satisfy the above conditions, there corresponds a BM-extension of G by  $\Gamma$ .

A set of elements  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  of G which satisfy the above conditions is called a *M*-factor set.

PROPOSITION 2. Two BM-extensions L and L' of a group G by a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  which are given by the M-factor sets  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $f'(\alpha, \beta)$ , and automorphisms  $T_{\alpha}$  and  $T'_{\alpha}$  respectively, are equivalent if and only if every element  $\alpha$  of  $\Gamma$  can be associated with an element  $c_{\alpha}(c_{\varepsilon}=e)$  of G in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f'(\alpha, \beta) = c_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} f(\alpha, \beta) (c_{\alpha} T_{\beta}) c_{\beta},$$
$$T'_{\alpha} = T_{\alpha} T_{c_{\alpha}}.$$

We prepare some lemmas to investigate the set of all *BM*-extensions of G by  $\Gamma$ . In the same way as in the previous paper, for a given *BM*-extension L of G by  $\Gamma$  there exists a homomorphism  $\theta$  on  $\Gamma$  into Aut G/In G defined by  $\alpha \to T_{\alpha}(\text{In } G)$ , which is called the homomorphism associated with this *BM*-extension L.

Let now  $G, \Gamma$  and a homomorphism  $\theta: \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut} G/\operatorname{In} G$  be given. Then the homomorphism  $\theta$  induces a homomorphism  $\theta_0: \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut} C$ . So, we may regard  $\Gamma$  as an operator set of the center C of G. Therefore, we may construct the *M*-cohomology group  $H^{*n}(\Gamma, C)$ , using the methods in §1. If in every coset  $\theta(\alpha)$  of In G in Aut G, we choose a representative  $\varphi_{\alpha}$ , where  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  is the identity automorphism, then there exist the elements  $h(\alpha, \beta)$  of G such that  $\varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\beta} = \varphi_{\alpha\beta}T_{h(\alpha,\beta)}$ , where  $h(\alpha, \varepsilon) = e = h(\varepsilon, \beta)$ . Using the Bol-Moufang condition to the representatives  $\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\beta}$  and  $\varphi_{\gamma}$  and taking into account that for  $a \in G, \ \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} G$  it holds that  $\varphi^{-1}T_a\varphi = T_{(a\varphi)}$ , we can see that there exists an element  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of C such that

(2) 
$$h(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\gamma])h(\beta, \alpha\gamma)h(\alpha, \gamma) = z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)h([\alpha\beta\alpha], \gamma) (\{h(\alpha, \beta\alpha)h(\beta, \alpha)\}\varphi_{\gamma}).$$

So, for given G,  $\Gamma$  and  $\theta$ , there exists an element  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of  $C^3(\Gamma, C)$ . We can prove that in the case where  $\Gamma$  is a Bol-Moufang loop, the following lemmas concerning  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , which are similar to those in the previous paper, also hold.

LEMMA 3. A 3-dimensional cochain  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is an element of  $z^{*3}(\Gamma, C)$ .

**PROOF.** We calculate the expression:

$$J = h(\alpha, [\beta \alpha \gamma \alpha \beta \alpha \delta])h(\beta, [\alpha \gamma \alpha \beta \alpha \delta])h(\alpha, [\gamma \alpha \beta \alpha \delta])h(\gamma, [\alpha \beta \alpha \delta]).$$
$$\cdot h(\alpha, [\beta \alpha \delta])h(\beta, \alpha \delta)h(\alpha, \delta)$$

in two ways. First, we begin with the calculations of the first three factors and the last three factors, using (2). Then we have:

$$\begin{split} J &= z^*(\alpha, \beta, [\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \delta]) z^*(\alpha, \beta, \delta) h([\alpha \beta \alpha], [\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \delta]) h(\tau, [\alpha \beta \alpha \delta]) h([\alpha \beta \alpha], \delta) \cdot \\ &\cdot ((h(\alpha, \beta \alpha) h(\beta, \alpha)) \varphi_{[\gamma \alpha \beta \alpha \delta]} T_{h(\gamma, [\alpha \beta \alpha \delta]) h([\alpha \beta \alpha], \delta)}) ((h(\alpha, \beta \alpha) h(\beta, \alpha)) \varphi_{\delta}) \\ &= z^*(\alpha, \beta, [\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \delta]) z^*(\alpha, \beta, \delta) z^*([\alpha \beta \alpha], \tau, \delta) h([\alpha \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha], \delta) ((h[\alpha \beta \alpha], [\tau \alpha \beta \alpha]) \cdot \\ &\cdot h(\tau, [\alpha \beta \alpha])) \varphi_{\delta}) ((h(\alpha, \beta \alpha) h(\beta, \alpha)) \varphi_{\gamma} \varphi_{[\alpha \beta \alpha]} \varphi_{\delta}) ((h(\alpha, \beta \alpha) h(\beta, \alpha)) \varphi_{\delta}) \\ &= z^*(\alpha, \beta, [\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \delta]) z^*(\alpha, \beta, \delta) z^*([\alpha \beta \alpha], \tau, \delta) h([\alpha \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha], \delta) (h([\alpha \beta \alpha], [\tau \alpha \beta \alpha]) \varphi_{\delta}) \\ &\cdot (\{(h(\alpha, \beta \alpha) h(\beta, \alpha)) \varphi_{[\gamma \alpha \beta \alpha]}) h(\tau, [\alpha \beta \alpha])\} \varphi_{\delta}) ((h(\alpha, \beta \alpha) h(\beta, \alpha)) \varphi_{\delta}). \end{split}$$

Next, we begin with the calculation of the middle three factors by applying (2). Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} J &= z^*(\alpha, \mathcal{I}, [\beta\alpha\delta])h(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\mathcal{I}\alpha\beta\alpha\delta])h(\beta, [\alpha\mathcal{I}\alpha\beta\alpha\delta])h([\alpha\mathcal{I}\alpha\mathcal{I}, [\beta\alpha\delta])h(\beta, \alpha\delta) \cdot \\ &\cdot ((h(\alpha, \mathcal{I}\alpha)h(\mathcal{I}, \alpha))\varphi_{\beta}\varphi_{\alpha\delta})h(\alpha, \delta) \end{aligned}$$

$$= z^*(\alpha, \tau, [\beta\alpha\delta])z^*(\beta, [\alpha\tau\alpha], \alpha\delta)h(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\tau\alpha\beta](\alpha\delta))h([\beta\alpha\tau\alpha\beta], \alpha\delta)h(\alpha, \delta)\cdot$$

$$\cdot (\{h(\beta, [\alpha \tau \alpha \beta])h([\alpha \tau \alpha], \beta)\} \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\delta}) (\{h(\alpha, \tau \alpha)h(\tau, \alpha)\} \varphi_{\beta} \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\delta})$$

 $=z^{*}(\alpha, \mathcal{T}, [\beta\alpha\delta])z^{*}(\beta, [\alpha\mathcal{T}\alpha], \alpha\delta)z^{*}(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\mathcal{T}\alpha\beta], \delta)h([\alpha\beta\alpha\mathcal{T}\alpha\beta\alpha], \delta)\cdot$ 

$$\cdot (h(\alpha, [\beta\alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha])\varphi_{\delta}) (\{h(((\beta\alpha \tau \alpha \beta)), \alpha)(\{h(\beta, (\alpha(\tau \alpha))\beta)h([\alpha \tau \alpha], \beta)\}\varphi_{\alpha})\}\varphi_{\delta}) \cdot$$

 $\cdot (\{h(\alpha, \gamma \alpha)h(\gamma, \alpha)\} \varphi_{\beta} \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\delta})$ 

$$= z^*(\alpha, \mathcal{I}, [\beta\alpha\delta])z^*(\beta, [\alpha\mathcal{I}\alpha], \alpha\delta)z^*(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\mathcal{I}\alpha\beta], \delta) \cdot$$

- $\cdot (z^{*-1}(\beta, [\alpha \tau \alpha], \alpha) \varphi_{\delta}) h([\alpha \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha], \delta) (\{h(\alpha, [\beta \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha])h(\beta, [\alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha])\} \varphi_{\delta}) \cdot$
- $\cdot (\{h([\alpha \imath \alpha], \beta \alpha) ((h(\alpha, \imath \alpha)h(\imath, \alpha))\varphi_{\beta \alpha})\}\varphi_{\delta}) (h(\beta, \alpha)\varphi_{\delta})$

$$= z^{*}(\alpha, \gamma, [\beta\alpha\delta])z^{*}(\beta, [\alpha\gamma\alpha], \alpha\delta)z^{*}(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\gamma\alpha\beta], \delta) (z^{*-1}(\beta, [\alpha\gamma\alpha], \alpha)\varphi_{\delta}) \cdot (z^{*-1}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta\alpha)\varphi_{\delta})h([\alpha\beta\alpha\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha], \delta) (\{h(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha])h(\beta, [\alpha\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha]) \cdot h(\alpha, [\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha])h(\gamma, [\alpha\beta\alpha])h(\alpha, \beta\alpha)h(\beta, \alpha)\}\varphi_{\delta})$$
$$= z^{*}(\alpha, \gamma, [\beta\alpha\delta])z^{*}(\beta, [\alpha\gamma\alpha], \alpha\delta)z^{*}(\alpha, [\beta\alpha\gamma\alpha\beta], \delta) (z^{*-1}(\beta, [\alpha\gamma\alpha], \alpha)\varphi_{\delta}) \cdot (Z^{*-1}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta\alpha)\varphi_{\delta}) (z^{*}(\alpha, \beta, [\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha])\varphi_{\delta})h([\alpha\beta\alpha\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha], \delta) (\{h([\alpha\beta\alpha], [\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha]) \cdot ((h(\alpha, \beta\alpha)h(\beta, \alpha))\varphi_{[\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha]})h(\gamma, [\alpha\beta\alpha])\}\varphi_{\delta}) (\{h(\alpha, \beta\alpha)h(\beta, \alpha)\}\varphi_{\delta}).$$

Comparing the above two calculations, we have  $\partial z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = 0$ .

The *M*-cocycle  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  depends on the choice of the representatives  $\varphi_{\alpha}$ and of the elements  $h(\alpha, \beta)$ . In the following we investigate the change of  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  for different choices of  $h(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\varphi_{\alpha}$ . Taking into account that we must consider what order to multiply the letters in  $\Gamma$  as we did in the above lemma, we have the following lemmas by the same methods as used in the previous paper (cf. [5], pp. 161–162).

LEMMA 4. If the choice of  $h(\alpha, \beta)$  is changed, then  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is changed to a cohomologous M-cocycle. By suitably changing the choice of  $h(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  may be changed to any M-cohomologous cocycle.

Using the expression

 $M = c([\alpha\beta\alpha\gamma])z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)h'([\alpha\beta\alpha], \gamma)(\{h'(\alpha, \beta\alpha)h'(\beta, \alpha)\}\varphi'_{\gamma}),$ 

we have the following:

LEMMA 5. If the automorphisms  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  are changed, then with a suitable new choice of  $h(\alpha, \beta)$  the 3-dimensional M-cocycle  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  remains unchanged.

Thus, we have proved that only one element of  $H^{*3}(\Gamma, C)$  corresponds to the given group G, the Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  and the homomorphism  $\theta$ . After S. MacLane, we call a pair of a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  and a group G together with a homomorphism  $\theta: \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut} G/\operatorname{In} G$  an *abstract kernel* and denote by  $(\Gamma, G, \theta)$ . The unique element of  $H^{*3}(\Gamma, C)$  determined by a given abstract kernel  $(\Gamma, G, \theta)$  is called an obstraction of it and denoted by  $\operatorname{Obs}(\Gamma, G, \theta)$ .

Then, we have the following theorem in the similar way as that where  $\Gamma$  is a group (cf. [5] pp. 162–163).

THEOREM 2. The abstract kernel  $(\Gamma, G, \theta)$  has a BM-extension if and only if  $Obs(\Gamma, G, \theta)=0$ .

We now give a survey of the non-equivalent BM-extensions of G by  $\Gamma$ .

In the case that  $\Gamma$  is a Bol-Moufang loop, we can obtain similar results to those in the case that  $\Gamma$  is a group.

When G is an abelian group and  $\Gamma$  is a Bol-Moufang loop, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. If G,  $\Gamma$  and a homomorphism  $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow Aut G$  are given, there always exists a BM-extension of G by  $\Gamma$  and all non-equivalent BM-extensions correspond one-to-one to the elements of the second M-cohomology group  $H^{*2}(\Gamma, G)$ .

PROOF. Since G is an abelian group, the 3-dimensional M-cocycle  $z^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  corresponding to the abstract kernel  $(\Gamma, G, \theta)$  is an M-coboundary from the definition (2). Hence, from the theorem 2, there exists a BM-extension of G by  $\Gamma$ .

On account of the 2nd and 3rd conditions of the proposition 1, to a given *BM*-extension of *G* by  $\Gamma$  there corresponds a 2-dimensional *M*-cocycle, i.e., *M*-factor set. Conversely, for every 2-dimensional *M*-cocycle there exists a *BM*-extension of *G* by  $\Gamma$  from the proposition 1. Further, the proposition 2 shows that the two *M*-factor sets which correspond to two equivalent *BM*-extensions are cohomologous. Hence we have proved the theorem 3.

When G is a non-abelian group, taking into account the theorem 2, the following theorem is proved in the same way as that where  $\Gamma$  is a group (cf. [5], pp. 162–163).

THEOREM 4. Let a non-abelian group G with the center C, a Bol-Moufang loop  $\Gamma$  and a homomorphism  $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow Aut G/In G$  be given. If the obstraction of the abstract kernel  $(\Gamma, G, \theta)$  is zero, there exists a BM-extension of G by  $\Gamma$ and all non-equivalent BM-extensions of G by  $\Gamma$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the second M-cohomology group  $H^{*2}(\Gamma, C)$ .

#### References

- [2] S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane, Cohomology theory in abstract groups. I, Ann. of Math., 48 (1947), 51-78.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, II, ibid., 48 (1947), 326-341.
- [4] A. G. Kurosh, The theory of groups I and II, New York, 1956.
- N. Nishigôri, On loop extensions of groups and M-cohomology groups, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I, 27 (1963), 151-165.

Shinonome Bunkô, Hiroshima University

R. H. Bruck, An extension theory for a certain class of loops, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 57 (1951), 11-26.