

Notes on Derivations of Higher Order

Shizuka SATÔ

(Received March 5, 1969)

Let R and S be commutative rings and assume that S is an R -algebra. Let D be a derivation of S over R . Then the power $\Delta = D^n$ is an R -linear endomorphism of S satisfying the following condition:

$$(*) \quad \Delta(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) = \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} \Delta(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1})$$

for any x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} in S . The property $(*)$ is used to define the notion of a derivation of order n by H. Osborn ([3]). In this note we shall prove some properties of such derivations. In the last part we shall show the following: *Let S be a field finitely generated over a subfield R . Then the set of ordinary derivations of S/R is characterized as the set of n -th order derivations D satisfying the condition that $D(x) = D(y) = 0$ implies $D(xy) = 0$.*

1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity 1 and let S be an R -algebra. An R -endomorphism of S is called a *derivation of order n of S/R* , if D satisfies the following identity:

$$D(x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s-1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1})$$

for any $x_i \in S$.

From the definition it follows easily that $D(r) = rD(1) = 0$ for any $r \in R$.

First we show that the notion of n -th order derivation has a close connection with that of the higher derivations in the sense of F. K. Schmidt (cf. [1]).

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $D = (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_r)$ be a higher derivation of rank r (or of infinite rank) of S/R into S . Then $D_m (0 < m \leq r)$ is a derivation of order m .*

PROOF. For any set of elements x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} of S , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D_m(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{m+1}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \cdots < i_s, i_j \neq i_{s+k} \\ i_{s+1} < \cdots < i_{m+1}}} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} (\sum D_{v_{s+1}}(x_{i_{s+1}}) \cdots D_{v_{m+1}}(x_{i_{m+1}})). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D_{v_{s+1}}(x_{i_{s+1}}) \cdots D_{v_{m+1}}(x_{i_{m+1}})$ is

$$(-1)^{s+1} + (-1)^s \binom{s}{1} + \cdots + \binom{s}{s-1} = 1 - (1-1)^s = 1,$$

while

$$D_m(x_1 \cdots x_{m+1}) = \sum_{m=\nu_1+\cdots+\nu_{m+1}} D_{\nu_1}(x_1) \cdots D_{\nu_{m+1}}(x_{m+1}).$$

Hence D_m is a derivation of order m .

PROPOSITION 2. *A derivation D of order $n-1$ is a derivation of order n .*

PROOF. For any set of elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} of S , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n-1} x_n x_{n+1}) + x_n x_{n+1} D(x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq n-1} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_n x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq n-1} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{s-1}} x_n x_{n+1} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_{s-1}} \cdots x_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_{s+1}} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{s+1}} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_{s+1}} \cdots x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+1}) + \sum_{s=2}^n \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_{s+1}} (-1)^{s+1} (s+1) x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{s+1}} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_{s+1}} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=2}^n \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{s=2}^n \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} s x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{s+1}} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_{s+1}} \cdots x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D(x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1})$, i. e., D is a derivation of order n .

COROLLARY. *A derivation of order n of S/R is also a derivation of order n' for any $n' \geq n$.*

Let D be a derivation of order n of S/R . For every $x \in S$, we shall introduce a new R -linear mapping D_x of S defined by

$$D_x(y) = D(xy) - xD(y) - yD(x).$$

It is easily seen that D is an ordinary derivation if and only if $D_x = 0$ for every $x \in S$. More generally we have the

THEOREM 1. *If D is a derivation of order n of S/R , then D_x is a derivation of order $n-1$ for $x \in S$. Conversely if D_x is a derivation of order $n-1$ of S/R for every $x \in S$, then D is a derivation of order n .*

PROOF. Let D be a derivation of order n of S/R . Then, for any set of elements x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} of S , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{x_1}(x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) &= D(x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}) - x_1 D(x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) - x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_1) \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} x_i D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+1}) + \sum_{s=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1} x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_i) + \{(-1)^{n+1} - 1\} x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_1) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D_{x_1}(x_2 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore D_{x_1} is a derivation of order $n-1$.

Conversely, let D_x be a derivation of order $n-1$ for any $x \in S$. By definition of D_{x_1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}) &= D_{x_1}(x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) + x_1 D(x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) + x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_1) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D_{x_1}(x_2 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + x_1 D(x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) + x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_1) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 x_2 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+2} x_1 x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_2 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{s+2} \binom{n}{s} x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_1) + x_1 D(x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) + x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_1) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+1}) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 x_2 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+2} x_1 x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_2 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1} x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} D(x_1) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (-1)^{s+1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_{n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore D is a derivation of order n .

PROPOSITION 3. Let Δ, D be derivations of order r, s respectively of S/R . Then we have the identity

$$(\Delta D)_x = \Delta D_x + \Delta_x D + \Delta_{D(x)} + \Delta(x)D + D(x)\Delta \quad (1)$$

PROOF. For any $y \in S$, we have by the definition

$$(\Delta D)_x(y) = \Delta D(xy) - x\Delta D(y) - y\Delta D(x)$$

$$\Delta_x(D(y)) = \Delta(xD(y)) - x\Delta D(y) - D(y)\Delta(x)$$

$$\Delta_{D(x)}(y) = \Delta(yD(x)) - y\Delta D(x) - \Delta(y)D(x)$$

$$\Delta(D_x(y)) = \Delta D(xy) - \Delta(xD(y)) - \Delta(yD(x)).$$

From these formula we easily arrive at the conclusion.

PROPOSITION 4. If Δ, D are derivations of order r, s of S/R respectively, then ΔD is a derivation of order $r+s$ of S/R .

PROOF. It is trivial that ΔD is an R -endomorphism of S . We shall prove the proposition by the induction on $r+s$. When $r=s=1$, this is immediate from proposition 3. Every member of the right hand side of (1) is a derivation of order $\leq r+s-1$ by induction assumption. Therefore, by Theorem 1, ΔD is a derivation of order $r+s$.

COROLLARY. If D is an ordinary derivation, D^n is a derivation of order n .

2. Let S be an R -algebra as before and let φ be the homomorphism of the ring $S \otimes_R S$ into S defined by $\varphi(\sum x \otimes y) = \sum xy$. Let us set $J = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. We shall endow on $S \otimes_R S$ an S -module structure by $a(x \otimes y) = ax \otimes y$. Then the mapping $\delta^{(n)}$ of S into $\Omega_R^{(n)}(S) = J/J^{n+1}$ such that $\delta^{(n)}(x) = \{\text{the class of } 1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1 \text{ modulo } J^{n+1}\}$ is an n -th order derivation of S into $\Omega_R^{(n)}(S)$. It is known that $\Omega_R^{(n)}(S)$ has the universal mapping property with respect to n -th order derivations of S/R (cf. [3]), and is called the module of n -th order (Kähler) differentials.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{D}_R^{(n)}(S)$ the left S -module consisting of n -th order derivations of S/R . From the universal mapping property of $\Omega_R^{(n)}(S)$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_R^{(n)}(S)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(\Omega_R^{(n)}(S), S)$ (cf. [3]).

PROPOSITION 5. Let P, \emptyset be two fields such that $P \supset \emptyset$ and P is finitely generated over \emptyset . Then P is separably algebraic over \emptyset if and only if $\mathcal{D}_\emptyset^{(n)}(P) = 0$ for some $n > 0$.

PROOF. It is well known that P is separably algebraic over \emptyset if and only if $\Omega_\emptyset^{(1)}(P) = J/J^2 = 0$ (cf. [2]). On the other hand, $J = J^2$ if and only if $J = J^{n+1}$ for some $n > 0$. Hence, P is separably algebraic over \emptyset if and only if $\Omega_\emptyset^{(n)}(P) = 0$ for some $n > 0$. Since P is a field, $\Omega_\emptyset^{(n)}(P) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}_\emptyset^{(n)}(P) = 0$.

Let us denote by $C^{(n)}$ the set of elements D of $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi}^{(n)}(P)$ such that $D(x)=D(y)=0$ implies $D(xy)=0$. Obviously we have $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi}^{(1)}(P) \subset C^{(n)}$ for all $n > 0$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $P = \Phi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ be a field finitely generated over Φ . Then $C^{(n)} = \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}^{(1)}(P)$ for all $n > 0$. Namely, $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi}^{(1)}(P)$ is characterized as the set of elements D of $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi}^{(n)}(P)$ such that $D(x)=D(y)=0$ implies $D(xy)=0$.*

PROOF. We consider a homomorphism

$$f : C^{(n)} \longrightarrow P^m = \underbrace{P \oplus \dots \oplus P}_m$$

defined by $f(D) = (D(\xi_1), \dots, D(\xi_m))$. Then f is injective. In fact, let $D \in \text{Ker}(f)$. If $D(x)=D(y)=0$, we have $D(x+y)=0$ and $D(xy)=0$ by the hypothesis on $C^{(n)}$. Hence to show that D is a zero map it suffices to prove that $D(x)=D(y)=0$ implies also $D\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)=0$ ($y \neq 0$). Let us set $\alpha = \frac{x}{y}$. Then we see immediately that $0 = D(y^n \alpha) = (-1)^{n-1} y^n D(\alpha)$. Hence $D(\alpha)=0$. Thus $C^{(n)}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of P^m , and $s = \dim_P C^{(n)} \leq m$. Let D_1, \dots, D_s be a base of $C^{(n)}$ over P . And we set $\alpha_i = f(D_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). The set $\{\alpha_i\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) generates $\text{Im}(f)$. Hence $\{\alpha_i\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) is a base of $\text{Im}(f)$. We set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} D_1(\xi_1) & \dots & D_1(\xi_m) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_s(\xi_1) & \dots & D_s(\xi_m) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The rank of A is s . Therefore we may assume

$$\begin{vmatrix} D_1(\xi_1) & \dots & D_1(\xi_s) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_s(\xi_1) & \dots & D_s(\xi_s) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0. \quad (**)$$

Let E be $\Phi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s)$ and let Δ be an element of $C^{(n)}$ satisfying $\Delta(E)=0$. Δ can be written as a linear combination of D_i over P , i. e., $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i D_i$ ($a_i \in P$).

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a_i D_i(\xi_j) = \Delta(\xi_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } j=1, 2, \dots, s.$$

By (**), $a_i=0$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, s$, i. e. $\Delta=0$. Hence derivations of order n of P/E contained in $C^{(n)}$ is only 0, and $\mathcal{D}_E^{(1)}(P)=0$. Therefore P is separably algebraic over E . Conversely, let F be a field $\Phi(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_t})$ ($1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t \leq m$) such that P is separably algebraic over F . We shall consider a map $g: \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}^{(n)}(P) \longrightarrow P^t$ defined by $g(D) = (D(\xi_{i_1}), \dots, D(\xi_{i_t}))$. g is a P -linear mapping. As above, if $D \in C^{(n)}$ and $D(\xi_{i_j})=0$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, t$, then $D(F)=0$. Hence D is a derivation of order n of P over F . Since P is separably algebraic over F , $D=0$ on P . Therefore g is an isomorphism of $C^{(n)}$ into P^t , and $s = \dim_P C^{(n)} \leq t$. Thus the

dimension of $C^{(n)}$ over P is equal to the smallest number t such that P is separably algebraic over $\mathcal{O}(\xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_t})$. On the other hand, it is well known that the dimension of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(1)}(P)$ has the same property ([1], Chap. IV, Th. 16). Therefore $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(1)}(P) = C^{(n)}$ for all $n > 0$.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor Y. Nakai for his valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in Abstract Algebra, Vol. III*, Van Nostrand, 1964.
- [2] Y. Nakai, *On the theory of differentials in commutative rings*, J. Math. Soc. of Japan 13 (1961), 63-84.
- [3] H. Osborn, *Module of Differentials I*. Math. Annalen 170 (1967), 220-244.

Ôita University