# Remarks on the m-Accretiveness of Nonlinear Operators

Nobuyuki KENMOCHI (Received January 20, 1973)

### Introduction

Let X be a real Banach space and let A be a multivalued operator from X into X, that is, to each  $x \in X$  a subset Ax of X be assigned. We define  $D(A) = \{x \in X; Ax \neq \phi\}$ ,  $R(A) = \bigcup_{x \in X} Ax$  and  $G(A) = \{[x, x'] \in X \times X; x' \in Ax\}$ . We denote by F the duality mapping of X into the dual space  $X^*$ , i.e., it is defined by  $Fx = \{x^* \in X^*; <x, x^* > = ||x||^2 = ||x^*||^2\}$  for  $x \in X$ , where <, > denotes the natural pairing between X and  $X^*$  and  $||\cdot||$  denotes the norms in X and  $X^*$ . An operator A is called *accretive* in X, if for any  $[x_i, x'_i] \in G(A)$ , i=1, 2, there is an element  $f \in F(x_1 - x_2)$  such that  $<x'_1 - x'_2, f > \ge 0$ , or equivalently,

(1) 
$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} [\|x_1 - x_2 + h(x_1' - x_2')\| - \|x_1 - x_2\|] \ge 0$$

(see R. H. Martin, Jr. [7]). An accretive operator A is called *m*-accretive, if R(A+I)=X.

It was shown in [6; THEOREM 1] that, under the uniform convexity of  $X^*$ , an accretive operator A is m-accretive if and only if it is demiclosed (i.e., for any sequence  $\{[x_n, x'_n]\} \subset G(A), x_n \to x$  strongly and  $x'_n \to x'$  weakly in X imply that  $[x, x'] \in G(A)$ ) and for each  $z \in X$  and each  $x \in D(A)$ , the initial value problem:  $u'(t) + Au(t) + z \equiv 0, u(0) = x$  has a strong solution on  $[0, \infty)$ . In this note we do not require the uniform convexity of  $X^*$  and shall show an analogue of the above result in more general spaces, namely, in reflexive Banach spaces, by making use of the inequality (1) for accretiveness.

#### 1. Main results

Let A be an operator from X into X and  $\Omega = [0, r)$  or [0, r] where  $0 < r \le \infty$ . Then an X-valued function u on  $\Omega$  is called a *strong solution* of the initial value problem

 $u'(t) + Au(t) \ni 0, \qquad u(0) = a,$ 

if u(t) is strongly absolutely continuous on any bounded closed interval contained in  $\Omega$ , u(0) = a and the strong derivative u'(t) exists,  $u(t) \in D(A)$  and  $u'(t) + Au(t) \ge 0$ for a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ . We denote by  $\hat{D}(A)$  the set Nobuyuki Kenmochi

$$\{x \in X; \text{ there is a sequence } \{[x_n, x'_n]\} \subset G(A) \text{ such that}$$

$$x_n \xrightarrow{s} x \text{ in } X \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
 and  $\{||x'_n||\}$  is bounded},

where " $\xrightarrow{s}$ " means convergence in the strong topology. We say that A is almost demiclosed, if  $\hat{D}(A) = D(A)$ . It is obvious that if A is demiclosed, then it is almost demiclosed, provided that X is reflexive.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that X is reflexive. Let A be an accretive operator from X into X. Then the following statements are equivalent to each other: ( $a_1$ ) A is m-accretive.

(a<sub>2</sub>) A is almost demiclosed, and for each  $x \in D(A)$  and each  $z \in X$  the initial value problem

$$u'(t) + Au(t) + z \supseteq 0, \qquad u(0) = x$$

has a strong solution on  $[0, \infty)$ .

(a<sub>3</sub>) For each  $x \in \hat{D}(A)$  and each  $z \in X$ , the initial value problem

(2) 
$$u'(t) + Au(t) + z \ni 0, \quad u(0) = x$$

has a strong solution on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Let  $X_0$  be a subset of X and let  $T = \{T(t); t \ge 0\}$  be a family of singlevalued operators from  $X_0$  into  $X_0$ . We say that T is a contraction semigroup on  $X_0$ , if (i) T(t+t')x = T(t)T(t')x for  $t, t' \ge 0$  and  $x \in X_0$ , (ii)  $||T(t)x - T(t)y|| \le ||x-y||$  for  $t \ge 0$  and  $x, y \in X_0$ , (iii) T(0)x = x for  $x \in X_0$ , (iv) the function  $t \to T(t)x$  is strongly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  for each  $x \in X_0$ .

We define the strong (resp. weak) infinitesimal generator  $G_s$  (resp.  $G_w$ ) of T by

$$G_s x = \operatorname{s-lim}_{t \neq 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} \quad \left(\operatorname{resp.} G_w x = \operatorname{w-lim}_{t \neq 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t}\right)$$

whenever the limit exists. Here, the symbol "s-lim" (resp. "w-lim") means convergence in the strong (resp. weak) topology.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that X is reflexive. Let A be an accretive operator from X into X. Then the following statements are equivalent to each other: (b<sub>1</sub>) A is m-accretive.

(b<sub>2</sub>) For each  $z \in X$ , there is a contraction semigroup  $T^{(z)} = \{T^{(z)}(t); t \ge 0\}$  on  $\overline{D(A)}$  such that  $G(-G_s^{(z)}) \subset G(A+z)$  and

(3) 
$$D(A) \subset \left\{ x \in \overline{D(A)}; \liminf_{t \neq 0} \frac{\|T^{(z)}(t)x - x\|}{t} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $G_s^{(z)}$  is the strong infinitesimal generator of  $T^{(z)}$ .

62

(b<sub>3</sub>) For each  $z \in X$ , there is a contraction semigroup  $T^{(z)} = \{T^{(z)}(t); t \ge 0\}$ on  $\overline{D(A)}$  with the property (3) such that  $G(-G_w^{(z)}) \subset G(A+z)$ , where  $G_w^{(z)}$  is the weak infinitesimal generator of  $T^{(z)}$ .

The following two corollaries are obtained from Theorem 2 by the same method as in the proofs of Corollaries 1 and 2 in [6].

COROLLARY 1. (F. E. Browder [2]) Suppose that X is reflexive. Let A be a singlevalued accretive operator from X into X. Then A is m-accretive if and only if for each  $z \in X$  there is a contraction semigroup on  $\overline{D(A)}$  whose weak infinitesimal generator is -(A+z).

For an operator B from X into X we define  $B^0$  by  $B^0x = \{x' \in Bx; ||x'|| = ||Bx|||\}$ , where  $|||E||| = \inf_{y \in E} ||y||$  for a subset E of X.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that X is reflexive and X and X<sup>\*</sup> are strictly convex. Let A be an accretive operator from X into X. Then A is m-accretive if and only if for each  $z \in X$  the operator  $(A+z)^0$  is singlevalued,  $D((A+z)^0) =$ D(A) and there is a contraction semigroup on  $\overline{D(A)}$  whose weak infinitesimal generator is  $-(A+z)^0$ .

### 2. **Proof of Theorem** 1.

Hereafter we assume that X is reflexive. For the proof of the assertion  $(a_1) \rightarrow (a_2)$  of Theorem 1 we first show the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. If A is m-accretive, then it is almost demiclosed.

**PROOF.** First we recall the generation theorem by M. G. Crandall and T. M. Liggett [3; THEOREM I]. The theorem says that if A is *m*-accretive, then there is a contraction semigroup  $T = \{T(t); t \ge 0\}$  on  $\overline{D(A)}$  such that

$$T(t)x = s-\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( I + \frac{t}{n} A \right)^{-n} x \text{ for } t \ge 0 \text{ and } x \in \overline{D(A)}$$

and this contraction semigroup has the following property:

 $||T(t)x - T(t')x|| \le ||Ax|| ||t - t'|$  for  $t, t' \ge 0$  and  $x \in D(A)$ .

Now, assume that  $[x_n, x'_n] \in G(A)$ ,  $x_n \xrightarrow{s} x$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $||x'_n|| \le M$  for all n. Then, from the above property of T it follows that  $||T(t)x_n - x_n|| \le ||x'_n||t$  for  $t \ge 0$ . Hence, letting  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $||T(t)x - x|| \le Mt$  for  $t \ge 0$ . By Corollary 1 in I. Miyadera [8] we have  $x \in D(A)$ . Thus A is almost demiclosed. q.e.d.

The assertion  $(a_1) \rightarrow (a_2)$  of Theorem 1 easily follows from the above lemma and Theorems I and II in [3], and the assertion  $(a_2) \rightarrow (a_3)$  of Theorem 1 is trivial.

Next we shall prove the assertion  $(a_3) \rightarrow (a_1)$  of Theorem 1 by means of a sequence of lemmas which are valid under the assumption  $(a_3)$ . Thus, hereafter, assume  $(a_3)$ .

LEMMA 2. (a) A is closed (i.e.,  $[x_n, x'_n] \in G(A)$ ,  $x_n \xrightarrow{s} x$  and  $x'_n \xrightarrow{s} x'$ in X imply that  $[x, x'] \in G(A)$ ). (b) Let  $\tilde{A}$  be any accretive operator such that  $G(\tilde{A}) \supset G(A)$ . Then  $\hat{D}(A) \cap D(\tilde{A}) = D(A)$  and  $\tilde{A}x = Ax$  for every  $x \in D(A)$ .

**PROOF.** Assume that  $[x_n, x'_n] \in G(A)$ ,  $x_n \xrightarrow{s} x$  and  $x'_n \xrightarrow{s} x'$  in X as  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $x \in \hat{D}(A)$ . By  $(a_3)$ , the initial value problem:  $u'(t) + Au(t) - x' \ni 0$ , u(0) = x has a strong solution u(t) on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let B be the operator given by  $G(B) = G(A) \cup \{[x, x']\}$ . Then u(t) is also a strong solution of the initial value problem:  $u'(t) + Bu(t) - x' \ni 0$ , u(0) = x. Therefore, since B is also accretive, the uniqueness of a strong solution (cf., T. Kato [5; LEMMA 6.2] or H. Brezis and A. Pazy [1; LEMMA 2.2]) implies that u(t) = x for all  $t \ge 0$ , and hence  $[x, x'] \in G(A)$ . Thus ( $\alpha$ ) is proved, and ( $\beta$ ) is also proved just as ( $\alpha$ ).

q.e.d.

Now we consider the initial value problem

(4) 
$$u'(t) + Au(t) + u(t) \ni 0, \quad u(0) = a$$

and shall show that (4) has a strong solution on  $[0, \infty)$  for each  $a \in D(A)$ .

Let  $a \in D(A)$ . For a positive integer *n* we define an *X*-valued function  $u_n$  as follows. Let v(t) be a strong solution of (2) with x = z = a and choose a positive number  $\delta_n^1$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} \leq \delta_n^1 \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $-v'(\delta_n^1) \in Av(\delta_n^1) + a$  and  $||v'(\delta_n^1)|| = |||Av(\delta_n^1) + a||| \leq |||Aa + a|||$ . In fact, in view of Lemma 2.2 in [1], such  $\delta_n^1$  exists. Let us define  $u_n(t) = v(t)$  if  $t \in [0, t_n^1]$ ,  $t_n^1 = \delta_n^1$ . Next we assume that  $u_n$  is already defined on  $[0, t_n^k]$ ,  $1 \leq k < n$ . Let w(t) be a strong solution of (2) with  $x = z = u_n(t_n^k)$ , and choose a positive number  $\delta_n^{k+1}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} \leq \delta_n^{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $-w'(\delta_n^{k+1}) \in Aw(\delta_n^{k+1}) + u_n(t_n^k)$  and  $||w'(\delta_n^{k+1})|| = |||Aw(\delta_n^{k+1}) + u_n(t_n^k)||| \leq |||Au_n(t_n^k) + u_n(t_n^k)|||$ . Let us define  $u_n(t) = w(t - t_n^k)$  if  $t \in [t_n^k, t_n^{k+1}]$ ,  $t_n^{k+1} = \delta_n^{k+1} + t_n^k$ . Thus by induction  $u_n$  is defined on  $[0, t_n^n]$ . Clearly  $1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq t_n^n \leq 1$ . We see that  $u_n$  is strongly absolutely continuous on  $[0, t_n^n]$  and satisfies

$$u'_{n}(t) + Au_{n}(t) + u_{n}(t^{k}_{n}) \ge 0$$
 a.e. on  $[t^{k}_{n}, t^{k+1}_{n}]$ 

for k=0, 1, ..., n-1.

LEMMA 3. Set K = |||Aa + a|||. Then for each n

Remarks on the *m*-Accretiveness of Nonlinear Operators

(5) 
$$||u'_n(t)|| \le eK$$
 a.e. on  $[0, t_n^n]$ .

This lemma is obtained by a simple modification of the proof of Lemma 6 in [6].

LEMMA 4. The sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is strongly uniformly convergent on [0, 1), and the limit u(t) satisfies

(6) 
$$||u(t)-u(t')|| \le eK|t-t'|$$
 for  $t, t' \ge 0$ ,

(7) 
$$u(0)=a$$
 and  $u(t)\in \widehat{D}(A)$  for all  $t\geq 0$ .

PROOF. Set  $P_{n,m}(t) = ||u_n(t) - u_m(t)||$  on  $\left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right]$ . If  $s \in (t_n^i, t_n^{i+1}]$ ,  $s \in (t_m^j, t_m^{j+1}]$ ,  $u'_n(s) + U_n(s) + u_n(t_n^i) = 0$  and  $u'_m(s) + U_m(s) + u_m(t_m^j) = 0$ , where  $U_n(s) \in Au_n(s)$  and  $U_m(s) \in Au_m(s)$ , then

$$P'_{n,m}(s) = \lim_{h \neq 0} -\frac{1}{h} [||u_n(s) - u_m(s) + h(U_n(s) + u_n(t_n^i) - U_m(s) - u_m(t_m^j))||$$
  
- ||u\_n(s) - u\_m(s)||]  
$$\leq \lim_{h \neq 0} -\frac{1}{h} [||u_n(s) - u_m(s) + h(U_n(s) + u_n(s) - U_m(s) - u_m(s))||$$
  
- ||u\_n(s) - u\_m(s)||] + ||u\_n(s) - u\_n(t\_n^i)|| + ||u\_m(s) - u\_m(t\_m^j)||.

Now,  $U_n(s) + u_n(s) \in (A+I)u_n(s)$  and  $U_m(s) + u_m(s) \in (A+I)u_m(s)$ . Since A+I is also accretive, it follows from (1) in the introduction that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} [\|u_n(s) - u_m(s) + h(U_n(s) + u_n(s) - U_m(s) - u_m(s))\|] - \|u_n(s) - u_m(s)\|] \ge 0.$$

Hence, by (5),

$$P'_{n,m}(s) \le ||u_n(s) - u_n(t_n^i)|| + ||u_m(s) - u_m(t_m^j)|| \le eK\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right).$$

Thus,

 $\frac{d}{dt} \|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\| \le eK\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right) \text{ for } a.e. \quad t \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right].$ Hence  $\|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\| \le eK\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)$  for all  $t \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right]$  and hence  $\|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\| \to 0$  uniformly on [0, 1) as  $n, m \to \infty$ . Let u(t) be the limit. Since  $||u_n(t)-u_n(t')|| \le eK|t-t'|$  for any  $t, t' \in \left[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}\right]$  by (5), by letting  $n \to \infty$ we have (6). Clearly u(0)=a. The fact that  $u(t) \in \widehat{D}(A)$  for all  $t \ge 0$  follows from (5). Thus we have (7). q.e.d.

We define  $\langle x, y \rangle_s = \sup_{y^* \in Fy} \langle x, y^* \rangle$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $\langle , \rangle_s \colon X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  is upper semicontinuous in the strong topology of  $X \times X$  (see [3; LEMMA 2.16]). Then the limit function u of  $\{u_n\}$  has the following property:

LEMMA 5. For any  $[x, x'] \in G(A)$  and any  $t, s \in [0, 1)$  with  $t \ge s$ ,

(8) 
$$||u(t)-x||^2 - ||u(s)-x||^2 \le 2 \int_s^t \langle -x'-u(\tau), u(\tau)-x \rangle_s d\tau.$$

**PROOF.** By the definition of  $u_n$ ,  $u'_n(t) + U_n(t) + u_n(t_n^k) = 0$  a.e. on  $[t_n^k, t_n^{k+1}]$ , k=0, 1, ..., n-1, where  $U_n(t) \in Au_n(t)$  a.e. on  $[0, t_n^n]$ . For each t, by the accretiveness of A, there is  $S_n(t) \in F(u_n(t) - x)$  such that  $\langle U_n(t) - x', S_n(t) \rangle \ge 0$ . Hence, by using Lemma 1.3 of T. Kato [4] and Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_n(t) - x\|^2 &= \langle u'_n(t), S_n(t) \rangle \\ &= \langle -U_n(t) - u_n(t_n^k), S_n(t) \rangle \\ &\leq \langle -x' - u_n(t), S_n(t) \rangle + \langle u_n(t) - u_n(t_n^k), S_n(t) \rangle \\ &\leq \langle -x' - u_n(t), u_n(t) - x \rangle_s + \frac{eK}{n} \|u_n(t) - x\| \\ &\leq \langle -x' - u_n(t), u_n(t) - x \rangle_s + \frac{eK}{n} (\|x\| + \|a\| + eK). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the first and the last members of the above inequalities on [s, t], we have

(9) 
$$||u_n(t) - x||^2 - ||u_n(s) - x||^2$$
  
 $\leq 2 \int_s^t \langle -x' - u_n(\tau), u_n(\tau) - x \rangle_s d\tau + \frac{2}{n} eK|t - s|(||x|| + ||a|| + eK).$ 

On the other hand, since  $u_n \xrightarrow{s} u$  and  $\{u_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on [0, 1), it follows from Fatou's lemma and the upper semicontinuity of  $\langle , \rangle_s \colon X \times X \to R$  that

$$\begin{split} &\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{s}^{t} < -x' - u_{n}(\tau), \ u_{n}(\tau) - x >_{s} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{s}^{t} \limsup_{n \to \infty} < -x' - u_{n}(\tau), \ u_{n}(\tau) - x >_{s} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{s}^{t} < -x' - u(\tau), \ u(\tau) - x >_{s} d\tau. \end{split}$$

Therefore, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (9), we obtain (8). q.e.d.

LEMMA 6. u(t) is a strong solution on [0, 1) of  $u'(t)+Au(t)+u(t) \ni 0$ , u(0)=a.

**PROOF.** We shall prove that

(10) 
$$< -u'(t) - u(t) - x', u(t) - x >_{s} \ge 0$$
 for a.e.  $t \in [0, 1)$ 

for any  $[x, x'] \in G(A)$ . In fact, let  $[x, x'] \in G(A)$  be an arbitrary element. Then we first observe that for  $s, t \ge 0$  with s > t

$$< u(s) - u(t), u(t) - x >_{s}$$
  

$$\leq < u(s) - x, u(t) - x >_{s} - ||u(t) - x||^{2}$$
  

$$\leq ||u(s) - x|| ||u(t) - x|| - ||u(t) - x||^{2}$$
  

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} ||u(s) - x||^{2} - \frac{1}{2} ||u(t) - x||^{2}.$$

Hence from (8) we obtain

$$< \frac{u(s)-u(t)}{s-t}, u(t)-x>_{s} \le \frac{1}{s-t} \int_{t}^{s} <-x'-u(\tau), u(\tau)-x>_{s} d\tau.$$

Here, if u is strongly differentiable at t, then we infer from the above inequality and the upper semicontinuity of  $<, >_s$  that

$$< u'(t), u(t) - x >_{s} \le < -x' - u(t), u(t) - x >_{s}$$

Thus (10) holds. Next, fix any t at which u is strongly differentiable and define an operator  $\tilde{A}$  by  $G(\tilde{A}) = G(A) \cup \{[u(t), -u'(t)-u(t)]\}$ . Then (10) implies that  $\tilde{A}$  is accretive. Applying ( $\beta$ ) of Lemma 2 for this  $\tilde{A}$ , we have  $u(t) \in D(A)$  and  $\tilde{A}u(t) = Au(t)$ , since  $u(t) \in \hat{D}(A)$  by (7). Thus

$$-u'(t)-u(t) \in Au(t)$$
 a.e. on [0, 1).

PROOF of the assertion  $(a_3) \rightarrow (a_1)$  of Theorem 1: We have seen that for each  $a \in D(A)$  the initial value problem (4) has a local strong solution u(t). By using a standard argument we deduce that u(t) can be extended to a strong solution of (4) on  $[0, \infty)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 9 in [6] and ( $\alpha$ ) of Lemma 2,  $0 \in R(A+I)$ . For an arbitrary point  $z \in X$ , replacing A by A-z in the above argument, we conclude that  $z \in R(A+I)$ . Thus R(A+I)=X.

**REMARK.** The assertion of Theorem 1 is false without the reflexivity of the space X; in fact there are a non-reflexive Banach space X and an *m*-accretive operator A in X such that the Cauchy problem:  $u'(t) + Au(t) \ge 0$ , u(0) = a does

not have a strong solution, even if  $a \in D(A)$ . For an example, see G. F. Webb [9].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We can prove Theorem 2 just as Theorem 2 in [6], using Theorem 1.

#### References

- H. Brezis and A. Pazy, Accretive sets and differential equations in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math., 8 (1970), 367–383.
- [2] F. E. Browder, Nonlinear equations of evolution and nonlinear accretive operators in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), 867–874.
- [3] M. G. Crandall and T. M. Liggett, Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces, Amer. J. Math., 93 (1971), 265–298.
- [4] T. Kato, Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19 (1967), 508-520.
- [5] T. Kato, Accretive operators and nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces, Proc. Symp. Nonlinear Functional Analysis, A. M. S., Part 1 (1970), 138–161.
- [6] N. Kenmochi, On the m-accretiveness of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, Hiroshima Math. J., 2 (1972), 299-311.
- [7] R. H. Martin, Jr., A global existence theorem for autonomous differential equations in a Banach space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (1970), 307-314.
- [8] I. Miyadera, Some remarks on semigroups of nonlinear operators, Tôhoku Math. J., 23 (1971), 245-258.
- [9] G. F. Webb, Continuous nonlinear perturbations of linear accretive operators in Banach spaces, J. Functional Analysis, 10 (1972), 191–203.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University

68