

## *Weak Solutions for Certain Nonlinear Time-dependent Parabolic Variational Inequalities*

Nobuyuki KENMOCHI and Toshitaka NAGAI

(Received May 20, 1975)

### 1. Introduction

For a (real) Banach space  $V$ , in general, we denote by  $V^*$  the dual space of  $V$ , by  $\|\cdot\|_V$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{V^*}$  the norms in  $V$  and  $V^*$ , respectively, and by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$  the natural pairing between  $V^*$  and  $V$ .

Let  $A$  be a (multivalued) operator from a Banach space  $V$  into  $V^*$ , that is, to each  $v \in V$  a subset  $Av$  of  $V^*$  be assigned. Then we define

$$D(A) = \{v \in V; Av \neq \phi\},$$

$$R(A) = \bigcup_{v \in V} Av$$

and

$$G(A) = \{[v, v^*] \in V \times V^*; v \in D(A), v^* \in Av\},$$

which are called the domain, the range and the graph of  $A$ , respectively. An operator  $A: V \rightarrow V^*$  is called monotone if

$$(v^* - w^*, v - w)_V \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } [v, v^*], [w, w^*] \in G(A).$$

If  $A$  is monotone and there is no proper monotone extension of  $A$ , then  $A$  is called maximal monotone.

As an important class of maximal monotone operators from a Banach space  $V$  into  $V^*$ , there is a class of duality mappings. Let  $\mu$  be a continuous strictly increasing function from  $[0, \infty)$  into itself such that  $\mu(0) = 0$  and  $\mu(r) \uparrow \infty$  as  $r \uparrow \infty$ . The mapping  $\mathcal{F}_\mu: V \rightarrow V^*$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(v) = \{v^* \in V^*; (v^*, v)_V = \mu(\|v\|_V)\|v\|_V \text{ and } \|v^*\|_{V^*} = \mu(\|v\|_V)\}$$

is called the duality mapping of  $V$  into  $V^*$  associated with the gauge function  $\mu$ . We know (cf. [6; Chapter 1]) that any duality mapping is singlevalued and demicontinuous (i.e., continuous with respect to the strong topology of  $V$  and the weak topology of  $V^*$ ) provided that  $V$  is reflexive and  $V^*$  is strictly convex. Also, it is well-known (cf. [16; Proposition 1]) that a monotone operator  $A: V \rightarrow V^*$  is maximal monotone if and only if the sum of  $A$  and at least one duality

mapping of  $V$  into  $V^*$  is surjective, provided that  $V$  is reflexive.

By symbols " $\xrightarrow{s}$ " and " $\xrightarrow{w}$ " we mean the convergences in the strong and the weak topology, respectively.

Throughout this paper, let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $X$  be a Banach space such that  $X \subset H$ ,  $X$  is dense in  $H$  and the natural injection from  $X$  into  $H$  is continuous, and suppose that  $X$  is uniformly convex and  $X^*$  is strictly convex. Identifying  $H$  with its dual space by means of the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$  in  $H$ , we have the relations:  $X \subset H \subset X^*$ . Let  $0 < T < \infty$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . As  $V$  we take  $L^p(0, T; X)$  which consists of  $p$ -th power summable mappings  $u(t)$  of  $[0, T]$  into  $X$  with norms  $\left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_X^p dt\right)^{1/p}$ . Then  $V^* = L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  is the dual space of  $V$  by the pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot)_V = \int_0^T (\cdot, \cdot)_X dt$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{V^*} = \left(\int_0^T \|\cdot\|_{X^*}^{p'} dt\right)^{1/p'}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the duality mapping of  $X$  into  $X^*$  associated with  $\mu(r) = r^{p-1}$ . Then the mapping  $F$  of  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  given by  $(Fu)(t) = \mathcal{F}[u(t)]$  is also the duality mapping of  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  associated with the same gauge function.

Let  $\psi$  be a function on  $[0, T] \times X$  such that for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\psi(t; \cdot)$  is a lower semicontinuous convex function on  $X$  with values in  $(-\infty, \infty]$  and  $\psi(t; \cdot) \equiv \infty$  such that for each  $v \in L^p(0, T; X)$ ,  $t \rightarrow \psi(t; v(t))$  is measurable on  $[0, T]$ . We put

$$D_t = \{z \in X; \psi(t; z) < \infty\} \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, T]$$

and  $D_H =$  the closure of  $D_0$  in  $H$ , and define a function  $\Psi$  on  $L^p(0, T; X)$  by

$$\Psi(v) = \begin{cases} \int_0^T \psi(t; v(t)) dt & \text{if } v \in D(\Psi), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $D(\Psi) = \{v \in L^p(0, T; X); \psi(\cdot; v(\cdot)) \in L^1(0, T)\}$ .

Given  $u_0 \in D_H$  and  $f \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ , we formulate the problem  $V[\psi, f, u_0]$  as follows: Find  $u \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  such that

- (i)  $u(0) = u_0$ ;
- (ii)  $u' (= (d/dt)u) \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_0^T (u' - f, u - v)_X dt \leq \Psi(v) - \Psi(u)$  for every  $v \in D(\Psi)$ .

Such a function  $u$  is called a strong solution of  $V[\psi, f, u_0]$ , while a function  $u \in D(\Psi)$  is called a weak solution of  $V[\psi, f, u_0]$  if the following (iv) is satisfied:

$$(iv) \begin{cases} \int_0^T (v' - f, u - v)_X dt - \frac{1}{2} \|u_0 - v(0)\|_H^2 \leq \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) \\ \text{for every } v \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H) \text{ such that } v' \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*). \end{cases}$$

Now, for each  $u_0 \in D_H$  we consider the following operator  $M_{u_0}$  (resp.  $S_{u_0}$ ) from  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ :  $[u, f] \in G(M_{u_0})$  (resp.  $G(S_{u_0})$ ) if and only if  $u$  is a weak (resp. strong) solution of  $V[\psi, f, u_0]$ .

Roughly speaking, the relation  $f \in S_{u_0}(u)$  implies that  $u$  is a strong solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\psi(t; u(t)) \ni f(t) & \text{on } [0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\partial\psi(t; \cdot)$  is the subdifferential of  $\psi(t; \cdot)$ . Such a problem has been studied by many authors (e.g., [1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 14, 15, 17]).

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the operators  $S_{u_0}$  and  $M_{u_0}$ . In fact, we shall show that  $M_{u_0}$  is a kind of closure of  $S_{u_0}$  and is a maximal monotone operator from  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ . Our main result extends Theorem II.2 in Brézis [5; Chapter 2] to the time-dependent case and has many applications to initial-boundary value problems for nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations (e.g., [5, 7, 11, 12]).

### 2. Main theorem

Our main theorem is stated as follows:

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that*

- (a)  $\Psi$  is lower semicontinuous,  $\Psi \not\equiv \infty$  and  $\Psi > -\infty$  on  $L^p(0, T; X)$ ;
- (b) there are subsets  $D$  of  $D_H$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  with the following properties:  $D$  is dense in  $D_H$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  and for each  $x \in D$  and  $g \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists  $u \in L^p(0, T; X)$  such that  $g \in Fu + S_x(u)$ .

Then we have:

- (I) If  $u_0 \in D_H$  and  $u \in D(M_{u_0})$ , then  $u \in C([0, T]; H)$  and  $u(0) = u_0$ .
- (II) Let  $u_0$  be any element of  $D_H$ . Then  $[u, f] \in G(M_{u_0})$  if and only if there are sequences  $\{u_{0,n}\} \subset D_H$ ,  $\{[u_n, f_n]\} \subset L^p(0, T; X) \times L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  such that  $[u_n, f_n] \in G(S_{u_{0,n}})$  for each  $n$ ,  $u_{0,n} \xrightarrow{s} u_0$  in  $H$ ,  $u_n \xrightarrow{s} u$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$  in  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (III) For each  $u_0 \in D_H$ ,  $M_{u_0}$  is a maximal monotone operator from  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ .
- (IV) Let  $u_{0,i} \in D_H$  and  $[u_i, f_i] \in G(M_{u_{0,i}})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then for any  $s, t \in [0, T]$  with  $s \leq t$ ,

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_H^2 \leq \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|_H^2 + 2 \int_s^t (f_1(\tau) - f_2(\tau), u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau))_X d\tau.$$

**REMARK 1.** If  $X^*$  is uniformly convex, then “ $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$ ” in the above (II)

may be replaced by “ $f_n \xrightarrow{s} f$ ”. This is easily checked in the proof of the theorem.

REMARK 2. Since  $\Psi$  is convex on  $L^p(0, T; X)$ , the assumption (a) implies that  $\Psi$  is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous on  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and that there are  $f^* \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  and a number  $c$  such that

$$\Psi(v) \geq \int_0^T (f^*, v)_X dt + c \quad \text{for all } v \in L^p(0, T; X).$$

COROLLARY 1. Suppose that there is a positive number  $C$  with the following property: For each  $s, t \in [0, T]$  with  $s \leq t$  and for each  $z \in D_s$  there is  $\tilde{z} \in D_t$  such that

$$\|\tilde{z} - z\|_X \leq C|t - s|$$

and

$$\psi(t; \tilde{z}) \leq \psi(s; z) + C|t - s|(1 + \|z\|_X^p + |\psi(s; z)|).$$

Then (I), (II), (III) and (IV) in the theorem hold.

In case  $X = H$ , the hypothesis in Corollary 1 can be replaced by a weaker one:

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that  $X = H$  and that there is a positive non-decreasing function  $r \rightarrow C(r)$  with the following property: For each  $r > 0$ , each  $s, t \in [0, T]$  with  $s \leq t$  and for each  $z \in D_s$  with  $\|z\|_H \leq r$  there is  $\tilde{z} \in D_t$  such that

$$\|\tilde{z} - z\|_H \leq C(r)|t - s|$$

and

$$\psi(t; \tilde{z}) \leq \psi(s; z) + C(r)|t - s|(1 + |\psi(s; z)|).$$

Then (I), (II), (III) and (IV) are valid.

In fact, these corollaries are consequences of the above theorem and results in [8] and [10].

### 3. Proof of the theorem

In order to prove the theorem we prepare some lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([10; Theorem 7.1]). If  $u_{0,i} \in D_H$  and  $[u_i, f_i] \in G(S_{u_{0,i}})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), then for any  $s, t \in [0, T]$  with  $s \leq t$ ,

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_H^2 \leq \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|_H^2 + 2 \int_s^t (f_1(\tau) - f_2(\tau), u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau))_X d\tau.$$

This lemma suggests us that for each  $u_0 \in D_H$  the following operator  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  from  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$  is important:  $[u, f] \in G(\tilde{S}_{u_0})$  if and only if there are sequences  $\{u_{0,n}\} \subset D_H$  and  $\{[u_n, f_n]\} \subset L^p(0, T; X) \times L^p(0, T; X^*)$  such that  $[u_n, f_n] \in G(S_{u_{0,n}})$  for each  $n$ ,  $u_{0,n} \xrightarrow{s} u_0$  in  $H$ ,  $u_n \xrightarrow{s} u$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$  in  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

As for  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  we have

LEMMA 2. Suppose that (a) and (b) in the theorem are satisfied and let  $u_0$  be any element of  $D_H$ . Then:

- (1) If  $u \in D(\tilde{S}_{u_0})$ , then  $u \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  and  $u(0) = u_0$ .
- (2) If  $[u, f] \in G(\tilde{S}_{u_0})$ , then the inequality

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^T (v' - f, u - v)_X dt + \frac{1}{2} \|u(T) - v(T)\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u_0 - v(0)\|_H^2 \leq \Psi(v) - \Psi(u)$$

holds for every  $v \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  with  $v' \in L^p(0, T; X^*)$ .

PROOF. Let  $[u, f]$  be any element of  $G(\tilde{S}_{u_0})$ . Then, by definition we find sequences  $\{u_{0,n}\} \subset D_H$  and  $\{[u_n, f_n]\}$  such that  $[u_n, f_n] \in G(S_{u_{0,n}})$ ,  $u_{0,n} \xrightarrow{s} u_0$  in  $H$ ,  $u_n \xrightarrow{s} u$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$  in  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that  $\{u_n\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $H$  uniformly on  $[0, T]$ , so that  $u \in C([0, T]; H)$  and  $u(0) = u_0$ . For each  $n$  we have

$$\int_0^T (u'_n - f_n, u_n - v)_X dt \leq \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \quad \text{whenever } v \in D(\Psi),$$

because  $f_n \in S_{u_{0,n}}(u_n)$ . If  $v \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  and  $v' \in L^p(0, T; X^*)$ , then we have by integration by parts

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^T (v' - f_n, u_n - v)_X dt + \frac{1}{2} \|u_n(T) - v(T)\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u_{0,n} - v(0)\|_H^2 \leq \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n).$$

Now, note that by assumption there is at least one function  $h \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  with  $h' \in L^p(0, T; X^*)$ ; in fact, for each  $x_0 \in D$ ,  $D(S_{x_0}) \neq \emptyset$  by assumption (b) and any function in  $D(S_{x_0})$  has such properties. Substituting this  $h$  for  $v$  in (3.2), we see that  $\{\Psi(u_n)\}$  is bounded above and on account of (a) and Remark 2 we have

$$-\infty < \Psi(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(u_n) < \infty,$$

so that  $u \in D(\Psi)$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.2), we obtain (3.1).

**COROLLARY.** Suppose that (a) and (b) are satisfied and let  $u_0$  be any element of  $D_H$ . Then  $M_{u_0}$  is an extension of  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$ , i.e.,  $G(\tilde{S}_{u_0}) \subset G(M_{u_0})$ .

**LEMMA 3.** Let  $u_0$  be any element of  $D_H$ . If  $[u_1, f_1] \in G(M_{u_0})$  and  $[u_2, f_2] \in G(\tilde{S}_{u_0})$ , then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^T (f_1 - f_2, u_1 - u_2)_X dt \geq 0.$$

**PROOF.** By the definition of  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  there are sequences  $\{u_{0,n}\} \subset D_H$ ,  $\{[u_{2,n}, f_{2,n}]\} \in G(S_{u_{0,n}})$ ,  $u_{0,n} \xrightarrow{s} u_0$  in  $H$ ,  $u_{2,n} \xrightarrow{s} u_2$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and  $f_{2,n} \xrightarrow{w} f_2$  in  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $n$  we see that

$$\int_0^T (u'_{2,n} - f_1, u_1 - u_{2,n})_X dt - \frac{1}{2} \|u_0 - u_{0,n}\|_H^2 \leq \Psi(u_{2,n}) - \Psi(u_1)$$

and

$$\int_0^T (u'_{2,n} - f_{2,n}, u_{2,n} - u_1)_X dt \leq \Psi(u_1) - \Psi(u_{2,n}).$$

By adding these two inequalities we get

$$\int_0^T (f_1 - f_{2,n}, u_1 - u_{2,n})_X dt \geq -\frac{1}{2} \|u_0 - u_{0,n}\|_H^2,$$

so we have (3.3) by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**COROLLARY.** For each  $u_0 \in D_H$ ,  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  is a monotone operator from  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$ .

This corollary is a direct consequence of Lemma 3 and the corollary of Lemma 2.

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM:** To prove the theorem it is enough to show that  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  is a maximal monotone operator from  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$  for each  $u_0 \in D_H$ . Indeed, assume the maximal monotonicity of  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  for each  $u_0 \in D_H$ . Then, by Lemma 3 we have  $M_{u_0} = \tilde{S}_{u_0}$  for each  $u_0 \in D_H$ , which implies (III), simultaneously (II) by the definition of  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  and (I) by (1) of Lemma 2. Moreover, (IV) also easily follows from Lemma 1.

Since, for each  $u_0 \in D_H$ ,  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  is monotone by the corollary of Lemma 3, in order to show the maximal monotonicity of  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$  it is sufficient to prove that  $\tilde{S}_{u_0} + F$  is surjective.

Let  $u_0$  and  $f$  be any elements of  $D_H$  and  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$ , respectively. Now, choose sequences  $\{u_{0,n}\} \subset D$  and  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}$  so that  $u_{0,n} \xrightarrow{s} u_0$  in  $H$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{s} f$  in  $L^p(0, T; X^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In view of assumption (b), for each  $n$  there exists

$u_n \in D(S_{u_{0,n}})$  such that  $f_n - Fu_n \in S_{u_{0,n}}(u_n)$ , or equivalently,

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^T (u'_n - f_n + Fu_n, u_n - v)_X dt \leq \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \quad \text{for all } v \in D(\Psi).$$

Taking  $h$  (the same function as in the proof of Lemma 2) as  $v$  in (3.4), we have by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (h' - f_n + Fu_n, u_n - h)_X dt + \frac{1}{2} \|u_n(T) - h(T)\|_H^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \|u_{0,n} - h(0)\|_H^2 \leq \Psi(h) - \Psi(u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\mathcal{F}[u_n(t)]\|_{X^*} = \|u_n(t)\|_X^{p-1}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{F}[u_n(t)], u_n(t))_X = \|u_n(t)\|_X^p,$$

the above inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(u_n) + \int_0^T \|u_n\|_X^p dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_{0,n} - h(0)\|_H^2 + \Psi(h) + \int_0^T (\|h'\|_{X^*} + \|f_n\|_{X^*})(\|u_n\|_X + \|h\|_X) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \|u_n\|_X^{p-1} \|h\|_X dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the assumption (a) and Remark 2 we see that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and  $\{\Psi(u_n)\}$  is bounded. We apply Lemma 1 to  $[u_n, f_n - Fu_n] \in G(S_{u_{0,n}})$  and  $[u_m, f_m - Fu_m] \in G(S_{u_{0,m}})$ . Using the monotonicity of  $F$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\|_H^2 & \leq 2 \int_0^t (f_n - Fu_n - f_m + Fu_m, u_n - u_m)_X d\tau + \|u_{0,n} - u_{0,m}\|_H^2 \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^T \|f_n - f_m\|_{X^*} \|u_n - u_m\|_X d\tau + \|u_{0,n} - u_{0,m}\|_H^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{u_n\}$  converges in  $H$  uniformly on  $[0, T]$  to a function  $u \in C([0, T]; H)$  with  $u(0) = u_0$ . Then  $u \in L^p(0, T; X)$ ,  $u_n \xrightarrow{w} u$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$-\infty < \Psi(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(u_n) < \infty$$

because of (a) and Remark 2 again. We may assume, taking a subsequence

if necessary, that  $Fu_n \xrightarrow{w} g$  in  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $g \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ .

Since  $u \in D(\Psi)$  as was seen above, we infer from (3.4) and the monotonicity of  $F$  that

$$(3.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_0^T (u'_n, u_n - u)_X dt + \Psi(u_n) - \Psi(u) \right\} \leq 0.$$

From (3.4) again we obtain by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T (Fu_n, u_n - v)_X dt &\leq \int_0^T (v', v - u_n)_X dt + \frac{1}{2} \|u_{0,n} - v(0)\|_H^2 \\ &\quad + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) + \int_0^T (f_n, u_n - v)_X dt \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  with  $v' \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T (Fu_n, u_n - u)_X dt \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^T (Fu_n, u_n - v)_X dt + \int_0^T (g, v - u)_X dt \right) \\ &\leq \int_0^T (v', v - u)_X dt + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) + \int_0^T (f - g, u - v)_X dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|u_0 - v(0)\|_H^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  with  $v' \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ . In the last expression of these inequalities, take  $v = u_n$  and let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by (3.5) we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T (Fu_n, u_n - u)_X dt \leq 0.$$

This implies (cf. [6; Chapter 1]) that  $u_n \xrightarrow{s} u$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and  $Fu_n \xrightarrow{w} Fu$  in  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ , since  $L^p(0, T; X)$  is uniformly convex. Thus by the definition of  $\tilde{S}_{u_0}$ ,  $f - Fu \in \tilde{S}_{u_0}(u)$ . As  $f$  was an arbitrary function in  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{S}_{u_0} + F$  is surjective.

#### 4. Application

In this section we give an application.

Let  $A$  be a singlevalued bounded pseudomonotone operator (see [3]) from the closure of  $D(\Psi)$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  into  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  and suppose that there exists  $w \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  with  $w'$  in  $L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  such that



$$\frac{\int_0^T (Av, v-w)_X dt + \Psi(v)}{\|v\|_{L^p(0,T;X)}} \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } \|v\|_{L^p(0,T;X)} \longrightarrow \infty, v \in D(\Psi).$$

Then we have

**PROPOSITION.** *Under the same assumption as in Corollary 1, for each  $u_0 \in D_H$  and each  $f \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$  there exists  $u \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  such that  $u(0) = u_0$  and*

$$\int_0^T (v' - f + Au, u - v)_X dt - \frac{1}{2} \|u_0 - v(0)\|_H^2 \leq \Psi(v) - \Psi(u)$$

for every  $v \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  with  $v' \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $M_{u_0}$  is maximal monotone by Corollary 1, it follows from a result in Brézis [3] that  $M_{u_0} + A$  is surjective for any  $u_0 \in D_H$ . Given any  $f \in L^{p'}(0, T; X^*)$ , there exists  $u \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; H)$  such that  $f - Au \in M_{u_0}(u)$ . This implies the above inequality. The fact that  $u(0) = u_0$  follows from (I) in the Theorem.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $R^m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  be a closed subset of  $\Gamma$ . We set  $Q = (0, T) \times \Omega$ ,  $\Sigma = (0, T) \times \Gamma$  and  $\Sigma_0 = (0, T) \times \Gamma_0$ . Given functions  $u_0$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $f$  on  $Q$ ,  $l$  on  $\Sigma$  and  $g$  on  $\Sigma$ , we consider the initial-boundary value problem of mixed type

$$(P) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \alpha_k \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) + \alpha_0 |u|^{p-2} u = f & \text{in } Q, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = l & \text{on } \Sigma_0, \\ - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \nu_k + g = e^u & \text{on } \Sigma - \Sigma_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \nu_2(x), \dots, \nu_m(x))$  is the unit vector which is normal to  $\Gamma$  at  $x \in \Gamma$  and oriented toward the exterior of  $\Omega$ .

Now, we give a weak formulation of the variational inequality associated with (P). Place the following restrictions on  $\alpha_k, u_0, f, l$  and  $g$ :

- (a)  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are bounded measurable functions on  $[0, T] \times \Omega$  such that  $\alpha_k \geq C_1$  a.e. on  $[0, T] \times \Omega, k=0, 1, \dots, m$ , for some positive constant  $C_1$ .
- (b)  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), f \in L^{p'}(Q)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1/p', p'}(\Gamma))$ .
- (c)  $l$  is a bounded measurable function on  $[0, T] \times \Gamma$  such that

$$\|l(t, \cdot) - l(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} + \|l(t, \cdot) - l(s, \cdot)\|_{W^{1/p', p'}(\Gamma)} \leq C_2 |t - s|$$

for all  $s, t \in [0, T]$ , where  $C_2$  is a positive constant.

For each  $t \in [0, T]$ , put  $K(t) = \{z \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \gamma z = l(t, \cdot) \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \text{ in the sense of } W^{1/p',p}(\Gamma)\}$  ( $\gamma$  is the trace operator from  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $W^{1/p',p}(\Gamma)$ ) and define

$$\psi(t; z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\gamma z} d\Gamma & \text{if } z \in K(t) \text{ and } e^{\gamma z} \in L^1(\Gamma), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can verify the hypothesis in Corollary 1 (see [11; § 3]). The weak variational formulation for (P) is of the following form: Find  $u \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  such that  $u(0) = u_0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle v', u - v \rangle dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \alpha_k \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} \right) dx dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_0 |u|^{p-2} u (u - v) dx dt \\ & - \int_{\Omega} f(u - v) dx dt - \int_0^T (g, \gamma u - \gamma v)_{\Gamma} dt - \frac{1}{2} \|u_0 - v(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\Gamma} e^{\gamma v} d\Gamma dt - \int_{\Gamma} e^{\gamma u} d\Gamma dt \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v \in D(\Psi) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  with  $v' \in L^p(0, T; (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*)$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\Gamma}$  stand for the natural pairings between  $(W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*$  and  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and between  $W^{-1/p',p}(\Gamma)$  and  $W^{1/p',p}(\Gamma)$ , respectively. Applying the Proposition for the above  $\psi$  and the operator  $A$  from  $L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$  into  $L^p(0, T; (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*)$  defined by

$$\langle Av, w \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \alpha_k \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_k} dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_0 |v|^{p-2} vw dx dt,$$

we see that this problem has a solution  $u$ . Moreover, if  $u$  has the property that  $u' \in L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ , then we can show that  $u$  is a solution of (P) in a generalized sense (see [9; § 1] and [11; § 3]).

**References**

[ 1 ] H. Attouch, Ph. Bénilan, A. Damlamian and C. Picard, Équations d'évolution avec condition unilatérale, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **279** (1974), A607-A609.  
 [ 2 ] H. Attouch and A. Damlamian, Problèmes d'évolution dans les Hilbert et applications, preprint.

1) For the definition, see [13; § 1] or [11; § 1].

- [ 3 ] H. Brézis, Perturbations non linéaires d'opérateurs maximaux monotones, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **269** (1969), A566–A569.
- [ 4 ] H. Brézis, Un problème d'évolution avec contraintes unilatérales dépendant du temps, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **274** (1972), A310–A312.
- [ 5 ] H. Brézis, Problèmes unilatéraux, J. Math. Pures Appl. **51** (1972), 1–168.
- [ 6 ] F. E. Browder, Problèmes non linéaires, Montréal Univ. Press, Montréal, 1966.
- [ 7 ] G. Duvaut, Résolution d'un problème de Stefan (Fusion d'un bloc de glace à zero degré), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **276** (1973), A1461–A1463.
- [ 8 ] N. Kenmochi, The semi-discretisation method and nonlinear time-dependent parabolic variational inequalities, Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974), 714–717.
- [ 9 ] N. Kenmochi, Pseudomonotone operators and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27** (1975), 121–149.
- [10] N. Kenmochi, Some nonlinear parabolic variational inequalities, Israel J. Math. (to appear).
- [11] N. Kenmochi, Initial-boundary value problems for nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations (manuscript).
- [12] J. L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution de problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [13] W. Littman, G. Stampacchia and H. F. Weinberger, Regular points for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **17** (1963), 45–79.
- [14] J. J. Moreau, Problème d'évolution associé à un convexe mobile d'un espace hilbertien, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **276** (1973), A791–A794.
- [15] J. C. Peralba, Un problème d'évolution relatif à un opérateur sous-différentiel dépendant du temps, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A–B **275** (1972), A93–A96.
- [16] R. T. Rockafellar, On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 75–88.
- [17] J. Watanabe, On certain nonlinear evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973), 446–463.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Education,  
Chiba University  
and  
Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima Univeristy*

