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## 1. Introduction

Recently quite a few authors have spent considerable effort in finding conditions to ensure that nonoscillatory solutions of both ordinary and their companion retarded differential equations approach zero asymptotically. For these criteria, the reader is referred to $[3,5,6,8,9]$ and references cited in them. However the literature is very scanty about similar results in regard to oscillatory solutions of these equations. Our purpose here is to find conditions to ensure that the oscillatory solutions of the general $n$-th order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-1)}+a(t) y_{\tau}(t)=f(t), y_{\tau}(t) \equiv y(t-\tau(t)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

approach to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
We now give definitions and assumptions that hold in the rest of this paper:
(i) $\tau(t), r(t), a(t), f(t)$ are real, continuous and defined on the whole real line $R$.
(ii) $r(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ are positive on $R . \quad \tau(t)$ is bounded above by $K_{0}>0$.

We call a function $h(t) \in C[0, \infty)$ oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros. Otherwise $h(t)$ is called nonoscillatory on the half line $[0, \infty)$.

In what follows only continuous and extendable solutions of equations (1) and (2) will be considered. The term "solution" applies only to such solutions in this manuscript.

## 2. Main results

Lemma 1. Suppose $p_{1}>p_{2}>p_{3}>p_{4}>\cdots>p_{n-2}$ are respectively the zeros of

$$
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime},\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime}, \ldots,\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-3)},\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-2)},
$$

where $y(t)$ is a solution of equation (1). Further suppose that $t_{1}<p_{n-2}$ and $t_{2}>p_{1}$ are zeros of $y(t)$. Suppose

$$
M=\max |y(t)|, \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] .
$$

If $\left|y_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq M$ in $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, then
(3) $\quad 4 \leq\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 1 / r(t) d t\right)\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|a(t)| d t+\frac{1}{M} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|f(t)| d t\right)$.

Proof. On repeated integration from equation (1) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pm\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\int_{t}^{p_{1}} \int_{s_{2}}^{p_{2}} \int_{s_{3}}^{p_{3}} \cdots \int_{s}^{p_{n-2}} a(s) y_{\tau}(s) d s d s_{n-2} \cdots d s_{2}  \tag{4}\\
=\int_{t_{1}}^{p_{1}} \int_{s_{2}}^{p_{2}} \cdots \int_{s}^{p_{n-2}} f(s) d s \cdots d s_{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $p_{1}>p_{2}>p_{3}>\cdots>p_{n-2}$ we get from (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq \int_{t}^{p_{1}} \int_{s_{2}}^{p_{1}} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{1}}|a(s)|\left|y_{\tau}(s)\right| d s d s_{n-2} \cdots d s_{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{t}^{p_{1}} \int_{s_{2}}^{p_{1}} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{1}}|f(s)| d s d s_{n-2} \cdots d s_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives
(5) $\quad\left|\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq \int_{t}^{p_{1}} \frac{(s-t)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}|a(s)|\left|y_{\tau}(s)\right| d s+\int_{t}^{p_{1}} \frac{(s-t)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}|f(s)| d s$.

Let
(6)

$$
M=\left|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right|, \quad t_{0} \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] .
$$

Now

$$
\pm M=y\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} y^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\pm M=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{2}} y^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{2}}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (7) and (8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 M & \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& =\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot\left[r(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|\right]^{1 / 2}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{1 / 2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Schwarz's inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 M^{2} \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r(t)} d t \cdot \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t) d t . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the second integral by parts we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 M^{2}}{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 1 / r(t) d t} \leq-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} y(t)\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $y\left(t_{1}\right)=y\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. From (10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{4 M^{2}}{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 1 / r(t) d t} \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|y(t)| \right\rvert\, r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \mid d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6) and (11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\frac{4 M^{2}}{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 1 / r(t) d t} \leq M \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \right\rvert\, r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \mid d t \\
& \frac{4 M}{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 1 / r(t) d t} \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| d t \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5) and (12) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 M}{\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} 1 / r(t) d t} & \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{s}^{p_{1}} \frac{(x-s)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}\left|y_{\tau}(x)\right||a(x)| d x d s  \tag{13}\\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{s}^{p_{1}} \frac{(x-s)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}|f(x)| d x d s
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing by $M$ and noting that $t_{2}>p_{1}$ we have from (13)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4}{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 1 / r(t) d t} & \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{s}^{t_{2}} \frac{(x-s)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}|a(x)| d x d s  \tag{14}\\
& +\frac{1}{M} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{t_{s}}^{t_{2}} \frac{(x-s)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}|f(x)| d x d s
\end{align*}
$$

From (14) we have

$$
4 \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r(t)} d t\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{\left(s-t_{1}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|a(s)| d s+\frac{1}{M} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{\left(s-t_{1}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|f(s)| d s\right]
$$

and the proof is complete.
Theorem 1. Let $y(t)$ be an oscillatory solution of equation (1). Suppose further that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int^{\infty} t^{n-2}|f(t)| d t<\infty  \tag{15}\\
& \int^{\infty} t^{n-2}|a(t)| d t<\infty  \tag{16}\\
& \int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)} d t<\infty . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $y(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $y(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}|y(t)|=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}|y(t)|>2 d \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $d>0$. Due to oscillatory nature of $y(t),\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-2)}$ must be oscillatory. In fact if $\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-2)}$ is nonoscillatory, then $r(t) y^{\prime}(t)$ assumes one sign eventually. Since $r(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t)$ becomes nonoscillatory which in turn forces $y(t)$ to be nonoscillatory, a contradiction. Hence $\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-2)}$ is oscillatory. Similarly $\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-3)},\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(n-4)}, \ldots,(r(t) y(t))^{\prime}$ are all oscillatory. Let $T$ be large enough so that

$$
T<t_{1}+K_{0}<q<p_{n-2}<p_{n-3}<\cdots<p_{3}<p_{2}<p_{1}<t_{2}
$$

are points where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{1}\right)=0, \quad y(q)=0, \quad y\left(t_{2}\right)=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r\left(p_{i}\right) y^{\prime}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)^{(i)}=0, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, n-2 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
M_{0}=\max |y(t)|, \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] .
$$

We shall show that $y(t)$ is bounded. Suppose not. Let $q_{1}>t_{2}$ be the first point such that $y\left(q_{1}\right)>M_{0}$. Let $t_{3}>q_{1}$ be the smallest zero of $y(t)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=\max |y(t)|, \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{3}\right] . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}=\max |y(t)|, \quad t \in\left[t_{2}, t_{3}\right] . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $L_{1} \geq L_{2}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=y\left(t_{q}\right), \quad t_{q} \in\left[t_{1}, t_{3}\right] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by construction $L_{1}>M_{0}$ we must have a point $t_{q}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{3}>t_{q} \geq q_{1}>t_{2} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2} \geq L_{1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (24) and (25)

$$
L_{1}=L_{2}=L_{0}
$$

Thus max $|y(t)|$ in $\left[t_{1}, t_{3}\right]$ is achieved at a point $t_{q_{1}} \geq t_{q}$ and

$$
t_{q_{1}} \in\left[t_{2}, t_{3}\right] .
$$

Thus
(27) $\quad L_{0}=\max |y(t)|, \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{3}\right]$ and achieved in $\left[t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$.

Now for $t \in\left[q, t_{3}\right]$

$$
t-\tau(t) \geq t-K_{0}
$$

and by construction

$$
t_{1}<t-K_{0}<t_{3} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in\left[q, t_{3}\right] .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max \left|y_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq L_{0}, & t \in\left[q, t_{3}\right],  \tag{28}\\
\max |y(t)| \leq L_{0}, & t \in\left[q, t_{3}\right],
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{q_{1}}\right)=L_{0} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $M$ by $L_{0}, t_{2}$ by $t_{3}$ and $t_{1}$ by $q$ we get from conclusion (3) of Lemma 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{\int_{q}^{t_{3}} 1 / r(t) d t} \leq \int_{q}^{t_{3}} \frac{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|a(t)| d t+\frac{1}{L_{0}} \int_{q}^{t_{3}} \frac{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|f(t)| d t \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since in (31), the right hand side can be made as small as we please and the left hand side can be made as large as we please in view of (15), (16) and (17), and choices of $q, t_{3}$, this is a contradiction and hence $y(t)$ is bounded.

In fact looking at the proof more carefully we have shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y(t)| \leq M_{0}, \quad t \in\left[t_{2}, \infty\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq M_{0}, \quad t \in\left[t_{2}+K_{0}, \infty\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Let now

$$
\begin{equation*}
T<t_{2}+K<t_{3}<e_{n-2}<e_{n-1}<\cdots<e_{3}<e_{2}<e_{1}<T_{0} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{2}\right)=y\left(t_{3}\right)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r\left(e_{i}\right) y^{\prime}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)^{(i)}=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$i=1,2, \ldots, n-2 ; T_{0}$ is such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\max |y(t)|>d \\
\max \left|y_{\tau}(t)\right|>d
\end{array}\right] \quad t \in\left[t_{3}, T_{0}\right]
$$

Let $t_{4}>T_{0}$ be such that $y\left(t_{4}\right)=0$. Let

$$
M_{1}=\max |y(t)|, \quad t \in\left[t_{3}, t_{4}\right]
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d<M_{1} \leq M_{0} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in the proof of Lemma 1 we recourse to inequality (13). Replacing $t_{1}$ by $t_{3}, t_{2}$ by $t_{4}, M$ by $M_{1}$ and ' $p$ 's by ' $e$ 's we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 M_{1}}{\int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} 1 / r(t) d t} \leq \int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} \int_{s}^{e_{1}} \frac{(x-s)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}\left|y_{\tau}(x)\right||a(x)| d x d s \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (37) and (38) and the fact that $e_{1}<t_{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 d}{\int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} 1 / r(t) d t} \leq & M_{0} \int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} \int_{s}^{t_{4}} \frac{(x-s)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}|a(x)| d x d s  \tag{39}\\
& +\int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} \int_{s}^{t_{4}} \frac{(x-s)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}|f(x)| d x d s \\
= & M_{0} \int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} \frac{\left(s-t_{3}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|a(s)| d s+\int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} \frac{\left(s-t_{3}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}|f(s)| d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Since right hand side of (39) can be made arbitrarily small and left hand side arbitrarily large by proper choice of $t_{3}$ and $t_{4}$, a contradiction is obtained. This completes the proof.

## Example 1. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{t} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime}+e^{-t-2 \pi} \sin t y(t-\pi)=4 e^{-t} \cos t+2 e^{-t} \sin t-e^{-3 t} \sin ^{2} t \text {. } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

All conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence all oscillatory solutions of equation (40) approach to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. One such solution is

$$
y(t)=e^{-2 t} \sin t .
$$

Remark. It is not possible to violate condition (17) on $r(t)$ if (15) and (16) hold. The following example indicates this fact.

Example 2. The equation
has

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+e^{-t} y(t)=\frac{3 \sin (\ln t)}{t^{3}}+\frac{\cos (\ln t)}{t^{3}}+e^{-t} \sin (\ln t),  \tag{41}\\
t>0, \quad \tau(t) \equiv 0 \\
y(t)=\sin (\ln t)
\end{gather*}
$$

as an oscillatory solution not approaching zero. Only the condition on $r(t)$ is violated.

## References

[1] R.S. Dahiya and Bhagat Singh, A Liapunov inequality and nonoscillation theorem for a second order nonlinear differential-difference equation, J. Mathematical and Physical Sci., 7 (1973), 163-170.
[2] S. B. Elliason, A Liapunov inequality for a certain second order nonlinear differential equation, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 2 (1970), 461-466.
[3] M. E. Hammett, Nonoscillation properties of a nonlinear differential equation, Proc.

Amer. Math. Soc., 30 (1971), 92-96.
[4] P. Hartman, Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley, New York (1964), 345-346, 401.
[5] S. Londen, Some nonoscillation theorems for a second order nonlinear differential equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 4 (1973), 460-465.
[6] T. Kusano and H. Onose, Oscillations of functional differential equations with retarded argument, J. Differential Equations, 15 (1974), 269-277.
[7] H. Onose, Oscillatory property of ordinary differential equations of arbitrary order, J. Differential Equations, 7 (1970), 454-458.
[8] Bhagat Singh and R.S. Dahiya, On the oscillation of a second-order delay equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 48 (1974), 610-617.
[9] Bhagat Singh, Forced nonoscillations in fourth order retarded equations, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 265-269.
[10] Bhagat Singh, Forced oscillations in general ordinary differential equations, Tamkang J. Math. 6 (1975), 5-11.

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin Center,

Manitowoc, Wisconsin

