Нікозніма Матн. J. 9 (1979), 547–554

On a Functional of Distribution Functions having Maximum at Gaussian Distribution Function

Hiroshi Murata

(Received January 19, 1979)

§1. Introduction

The entropy functional H[f] is defined by

$$H[f] = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \log f(x) \, dx, \qquad f \in \mathscr{D},$$

where \mathscr{D} is the set of probability density functions f on \mathbb{R}^1 with $\int f(x) |\log f(x)| dx$ < ∞ . Let \mathscr{D}_1 be the subset of \mathscr{D} with $\int x^2 f(x) dx = 1$, and $g \in \mathscr{D}_1$ be the Gaussian density function with mean 0. Then Gibbs' lemma states that

(1.1) $H[f] \leq H[g], \quad f \in \mathscr{D}_1.$

Consider a class of functionals $\tilde{H}[f]$ of the form

$$\widetilde{H}[f] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(f(x)) dx, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_1.$$

Under some regularity conditions on h, McKean[3] proved that if the inequality (1.1) holds with $H = \tilde{H}$, then $h(x) = c_1 x + c_2 x \log x$ ($c_2 \leq 0$).

Let \mathscr{P}_1 be the set of probability distribution functions with mean 0 and variance 1, and G be the Gaussian distribution function belonging to \mathscr{P}_1 . Tanaka [6] considered the functional $\mathfrak{e}[F]$ defined by

$$e[F] = \inf \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y|^2 dM(x, y), \qquad F \in \mathcal{P}_1,$$

where the infimum is taken over all two-dimensional distribution functions M(x, y) whose marginals are F and G. It is known (see [6] or [4]) that

$$e[F] = \int_0^1 |F^{-1}(\alpha) - G^{-1}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha$$

= 2 - 2\$\Phi_0[F]\$, \$\Phi_0[F]] = $\int_{-\infty}^\infty x G^{-1}(F(x)) dF(x)$,$

where $F^{-1}(\alpha)$ is the right continuous inverse function of F(x). It can be proved

Hiroshi MURATA

(in § 2) that

(1.2)
$$\Phi_0[F] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(G^{-1}(F(x))) dx.$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that e[F] has the minimum at F = G, and therefore $\Phi_0[F]$ defined by (1.2) has the maximum at F = G. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that, along the same line as McKean [3], the functional Φ_0 is the only one which has the maximum at G among those functionals Φ of the form

(1.3)
$$\Phi[F] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(F(x)) dx, \qquad F \in \mathcal{P}_1.$$

Some regularity conditions on φ must be assumed, and the precise statement is as follows.

THEOREM A. Let φ be a function on [0, 1], and assume that

(1.4a) $\varphi \in C[0, 1] \cap C^{1}(0, 1)$ and $\varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0$,

(1.4b)
$$\varphi'(\alpha) = \begin{cases} O(\alpha^{-\delta}), & \alpha \downarrow 0\\ O((1-\alpha)^{-\delta}), & \alpha \uparrow 1 \end{cases} \text{ for any } \delta \in (0, 1).$$

If the functional Φ defined by (1.3) satisfies

(1.5)
$$\Phi[F] \leq \Phi[G], \quad F \in \mathcal{P}_1,$$

and is normalized so that $\Phi[G] = 1$, then $\Phi = \Phi_0$.

We also consider Boltzmann's problem for Kac's model of a Maxwellian gas. In this model the probability distribution function F(t, x) of molecular speeds at time t is determined by

(1.6)
$$\frac{\partial F(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, x]}(y \cos \theta + z \sin \theta) dF(t, y) dF(t, z) - F(t, x),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty,x]}$ is the indicator function of $(-\infty, x]$ and $dF(t, \cdot)$ is the probability measure corresponding to $F(t, \cdot)$, t being fixed. It was proved in [6] that the functional e decreases along the solutions of (1.6), and therefore the functional Φ_0 increases along the solutions of (1.6). As the converse statement of this, we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM B. Let φ be a function on [0, 1] satisfying (1.4a) and (1.4b). If the functional Φ defined by (1.3) increases with time along the solutions of (1.6) with initial distribution functions belonging to \mathcal{P}_1 , then $\Phi = c\Phi_0$, $c \ge 0$.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor H. Tanaka for valuable advices and encouragements.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem A

Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_1$. Because the assumption (1.4) with $\delta = 1/3$ and the estimates

(2.1)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^2 (1 - F(x)) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} x^2 F(x) = 0$$

imply $\varphi(F(x)) = o(|x|^{-4/3})$ as $|x| \to \infty$, the integral defining $\Phi[F]$ is absolutely convergent, that is, $\Phi[F]$ is well-defined.

First we prove that (1.2) holds. Because of the well-known estimates (for example, see [1; p175])

(2.2)
$$\begin{cases} 1 - G(x) \sim \frac{g(x)}{x}, & x \to \infty, \\ G(x) \sim \frac{g(x)}{|x|}, & x \to -\infty, \end{cases}$$

,

we have

$$g(G^{-1}(\alpha)) \sim \begin{cases} \alpha \sqrt{2 \log \frac{1}{\alpha}} & , \quad \alpha \downarrow 0, \\ (1-\alpha) \sqrt{2 \log \frac{1}{1-\alpha}} & , \quad \alpha \uparrow 1, \end{cases}$$

which combined with (2.1) implies that

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}|x|g(G^{-1}(F(x)))=0,\qquad F\in\mathcal{P}_1.$$

Integrating $\Phi_0[F]$ by parts and using $d[g(G^{-1}(F(x)))] = -G^{-1}(F(x))dF(x)$, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x G^{-1}(F(x)) dF(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(G^{-1}(F(x))) dx,$$

as was to be proved.

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem A. In order to clarify our method, we perform some formal calculations; rigorous justifications of these will be given later.

Put

$$g_{m,t}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2t}\right],$$

Hiroshi Murata

$$f_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sigma[(1-\varepsilon)g(\sigma x) + \varepsilon g_{m,t}(\sigma x)], \quad \sigma = \sqrt{1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon(t+m^2)-\varepsilon^2m^2},$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$ and $m \in R^1$; let F_{ε} be the distribution function corresponding to the density function f_{ε} . Since F_{ε} has mean $\varepsilon m/\sigma$ and variance 1, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi[F_{\varepsilon}] \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(F_{\varepsilon}(x)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\left((1-\varepsilon)G(\sigma x) + \varepsilon G\left(\frac{\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right) dx$$
$$= \Phi[\tilde{F}_{\varepsilon}] \leq \Phi[G],$$

where $\tilde{F}_{\varepsilon}(x) = F_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon m/\sigma) \in \mathscr{P}_1$. Therefore we obtain

(2.4)
$$0 \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\{ \Phi[G] - \Phi[F_{\varepsilon}] \right\}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi'(G(x)) \left\{ G(x) - \frac{t + m^2 - 1}{2} xg(x) - G\left(\frac{x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right\} dx.$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$ in the above, we have

(2.5)
$$0 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi'(G(x)) \left\{ G(x) - \frac{m^2 - 1}{2} xg(x) - \mathbf{1}_{[m,\infty)}(x) \right\} dx;$$

this must be the equality, because the integration of the right hand side of the above with respect to g(m)dm yields

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \varphi'(G(x)) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ G(x) - \frac{m^2 - 1}{2} x g(x) - \mathbf{1}_{[m,\infty)}(x) \right\} g(m) dm = 0.$$

Differentiating this equality (2.5) in m, we have

(2.6)
$$\varphi'(G(m)) = m \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi'(G(x)) x g(x) dx,$$

and therefore $\varphi'(G(m)) = cm$. Since $\Phi[G] = 1$, c = -1 and hence $\Phi = \Phi_0$.

Proof of (2.4): Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ be fixed, and put

$$A_{\varepsilon}(x) \equiv \varphi(G(x)) - \varphi\left((1-\varepsilon)G(\sigma x) + \varepsilon G\left(\frac{\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right).$$

Writing down $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} A_{\varepsilon}(x)$ explicitly and then using the assumption (1.4) on φ , we see that there exists a positive constant c_1 depending upon δ such that the following estimate holds for all sufficiently large x:

(2.7)
$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} A_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|$$

$$\leq c_{1} \left|1 - (1 - \varepsilon)G(\sigma x) - \varepsilon G\left(\frac{\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right|^{-\delta} \times \left[\left|G(\sigma x) - G\left(\frac{\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right|$$

On a Functional of Distribution Functions

$$+\frac{|t+m^2-1-2\varepsilon m^2|}{2\sigma}\left\{xg(\sigma x)+\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}g\left(\frac{\sigma x-m}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\}\right].$$

For each fixed $t \in (0, 1)$ and m, there exist positive ε_1 and N_1 such that

$$\max\left\{\sigma x, \frac{-\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right\} \leq \frac{x}{t}, \quad \min\left\{\sigma x, \frac{-\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right\} \geq \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ and $x > N_1$; and therefore

$$\left|1 - (1 - \varepsilon)G(\sigma x) - \varepsilon G\left(\frac{\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right| \ge 1 - G\left(\frac{x}{t}\right),$$
$$\left|G(\sigma x) - G\left(\frac{\sigma x - m}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right| \le 1 - G\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

Inserting these estimates into (2.7) and then using (2.2), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} A_{\varepsilon}(x) \right| \leq c_1 \left(1 - G\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \right)^{-\delta} \left\{ \left(1 - G\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right) + c_2 x g\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right\}$$
$$\leq c_3 \left[\frac{t}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t^2}\right) \right]^{-\delta} x \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4}\right)$$

for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ and $x > N_1$, where c_2 and c_3 are some positive constants. An estimate similar to (2.8) for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2$ and $x < -N_2$ can be obtained for some $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $N_2 > 0$. Therefore, taking $\delta > 0$ small enough, we see that there exists an integrable function $\psi(x)$ (independent of ε) such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} A_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \psi(x), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \quad |x| > N_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = \min(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ and $N_0 = \max(N_1, N_2)$. On the other hand, from the explicit form of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} A_{\varepsilon}(x)$, it is clear that, for each fixed t and m, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} A_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is uniformly bounded on $\{|x| \le N_0\}$ for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore

$$\left|\frac{A_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\varepsilon}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}A_{\varepsilon}(x)d\varepsilon\right|$$

is bounded by some integrable function for small $\varepsilon > 0$, and hence by Lebesgue's convergence theorem we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \neq 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\{ \Phi[G] - \Phi[F_{\varepsilon}] \right\}$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \neq 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\varepsilon} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \neq 0} \frac{A_{\varepsilon}(x) - A_{0}(x)}{\varepsilon} dx$$

Hiroshi MURATA

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi'(G(x)) \left\{ G(x) - \frac{t+m^2-1}{2} xg(x) - G\left(\frac{x-m}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right\} dx,$$

which proves (2.4).

Proof of (2.5): Put

$$B_t(x) \equiv \varphi'(G(x)) \left\{ G(x) - \frac{t+m^2-1}{2} xg(x) - G\left(\frac{x-m}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right\},$$

and evaluate the absolute value of $B_t(x)$. For each *m* there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|x| \leq \left| \frac{x-m}{\sqrt{t}} \right|, \qquad 0 < t < t_0,$$

for sufficiently large |x|, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_t(x)| &\leq |\varphi'(G(x))| \left\{ \left| G(x) - G\left(\frac{x-m}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right| + \frac{|t+m^2-1|}{2} |x|g(x) \right\} \\ &\leq (1+m^2)|\varphi'(G(x))| |x|g(x), \qquad |x| \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < t < t_0$. Since the last term in the above is integrable by (1.4), we obtain (2.5) by letting $t \downarrow 0$ in (2.4) and then applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

Proof of (2.6): Take N > |m| and write (2.5) with equality sign as

(2.9)
$$0 = \int_{|x|>N} C_m(x) dx + \int_{|x| \le N} C_m(x) dx = I_1 + I_2,$$

where $C_m(x) \equiv \varphi'(G(x)) \left\{ G(x) - \frac{m^2 - 1}{2} xg(x) - \mathbf{1}_{[m,\infty)}(x) \right\}$. Then, for |x| > N

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial m} C_m(x)\right| = |\varphi'(G(x))mxg(x)| \leq |\varphi'(G(x))|x^2g(x).$$

Since the last term in the above is integrable, we have

(2.10)
$$\frac{d}{dm}I_1 = \int_{|x|>N} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} C_m(x) dx = -m \int_{|x|>N} \varphi'(G(x)) x g(x) dx.$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{d}{dm}I_2 = -\frac{d}{dm}\int_{|x|\leq N}\varphi'(G(x))\frac{m^2-1}{2}xg(x)dx - \frac{d}{dm}\int_m^N\varphi'(G(x))dx$$

On a Functional of Distribution Functions

$$= - m \int_{|x| \leq N} \varphi'(G(x)) x g(x) dx + \varphi'(G(m)),$$

which combined with (2.9) and (2.10) proves (2.6).

§ 3. Proof of Theorem B and some remarks

1. PROOF OF THEOREM B: It is enough to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let φ be a function on [0, 1] satisfying (1.4), and assume that the functional Φ defined by (1.3) increases along the solutions of (1.6) with initial distribution functions belonging to \mathcal{P}_1 . Then Φ satisfies (1.5).

PROOF. Let F(t, x) be the solution of (1.6) with initial distribution function F(x) belonging to \mathscr{P}_1 . F(t, x) can be expressed as Wild's sum (see [3] or [6]), and $F(t, \cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_1$ for each $t \ge 0$. It was proved in [6] that e[F(t)] decreases to 0 as $t \uparrow \infty$ (in [6] it was assumed that F(x) has the finite fourth moment, but it is easy to remove this restriction), and hence F(t, x) converges to G(x) uniformly on compacts as $t \uparrow \infty$. Therefore for each N > 0

(3.1)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{-N}^{N}\varphi(F(t, x))dx = \int_{-N}^{N}\varphi(G(x))dx.$$

On the other hand, since $F(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_1$ for each $t \ge 0$, we have

(3.2)
$$F(t, -x)$$
 and $1 - F(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{x^2}, \quad x \geq 0.$

Making use of (3.2) and the assumption (1.4) on φ , we can prove that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{t>0}\left|\int_{|x|\geq N}\varphi(F(t, x))dx\right|=0,$$

which combined with (3.1) implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi[F(t)] = \Phi[G]$. Since the convergence is monotone by the assumption, we obtain (1.5).

2. Inequality of convolution type: When $F \in \mathcal{P}_1$ is the distribution function of a random variable X, we also write $e[X](\Phi_0[X])$ for $e[F](\Phi_0[F])$. Then the functional e satisfies the following inequality of convolution type (see [6]):

(3.3)

$$\begin{cases}
Let X_1 and X_2 be independent random variables with distribution functions belonging to \mathscr{P}_1 . Then, for $a, b > 0$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$,
 $e[aX_1 + bX_2] < a^2 e[X_1] + b^2 e[X_2]$
unless both X_1 and X_2 are Gaussian.$$

This fact was extended to multidimensional case by Murata and Tanaka [5], and

to the case of real Hilbert spaces by Kondô and Negoro [2]. It follows immediately that the functional Φ_0 also has the following property:

$$(3.4) \begin{cases} Let X_1 and X_2 be independent random variables with distribution functions belonging to \mathcal{P}_1 . Then, for $a, b > 0$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$,
 $\Phi_0[aX_1 + bX_2] > a^2 \Phi_0[X_1] + b^2 \Phi_0[X_2]$$$

 \bigcup unless both X_1 and X_2 are Gaussian.

A remarkable application of (3.3) and (3.4) is that one can give a simple proof of the central limit theorem for sums of independent random variables (see [6]); for example, the following assertion can easily be proved by making use of (3.4): If $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent random variables with a common distribution function belonging to \mathscr{P}_1 , then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_0[n^{-1/2}\sum_{k=1}^n X_k] = 1$.

References

- [1] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications Vol. 1, 3rd ed., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York London Sydney.
- [2] R. Kondô and A. Negoro, Certain functional of probability measures on Hilbert spaces, Hiroshima Math. J., 6 (1976), 421-428.
- [3] H. P. McKean, Entropy is the only increasing functional of Kac's one-dimensional caricature of a Maxwellian gas, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., 2 (1963), 167-172.
- [4] H. Murata, Propagation of chaos for Boltzmann-like equation of non-cutoff type in the plane, Hiroshima Math. J., 7 (1977), 479–515.
- [5] H. Murata and H. Tanaka, An inequality for certain functional of multidimensional probability distributions, Hiroshima Math. J., 4 (1974), 75–81.
- [6] H. Tanaka, An inequality for a functional of probability distributions and its application to Kac's one-dimensional model of a Maxwellian gas, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., 27 (1973), 47-52.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University