

Whittaker functions on semisimple Lie groups

Michihiko HASHIZUME

(Received December 2, 1981)

Introduction

Let G be a connected, noncompact, semisimple Lie group with finite center. Let $G=NAK$ be an Iwasawa decomposition of G . That is, K is a maximal compact subgroup, A is a maximal vector subgroup consisting of semisimple elements and N is a maximal simply connected nilpotent subgroup of G .

Our major concern in this article is a so-called (class one) Whittaker function on G , which is closely connected with the Whittaker models of a class one principal series representation of G . Such a function has been studied by many authors (see the reference) in the case when it is associated with a non-degenerate character of N .

In this paper, we do not assume the non-degeneracy of a character of N . We consider the Whittaker function on G from the viewpoint that it appears as a joint eigenfunction of the algebra of all left invariant differential operators on G/K . Our approach is similar to the one employed by Harish-Chandra for his celebrated work concerning the spherical functions on G .

In more detail, let ψ be an arbitrary character of N . We consider the space $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ of smooth functions f on G satisfying $f(nxk)=\psi(n)f(x)$ for $n \in N$, $x \in G$ and $k \in K$. The space $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ is stable under the action of the algebra of all left invariant differential operators on G/K , or equivalently, under the action of the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ (cf. § 2). So we are allowed to introduce the space $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$ of all joint eigenfunctions of $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ in $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$. Here χ_v is an algebra homomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ into \mathbf{C} which corresponds to an element v of the complex dual space \mathfrak{a}^* of the Lie algebra of A (see (2.2)).

We first study the structure of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$ and obtain the following results.

(I) *Each element of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$ is a real analytic function on G* (Proposition 3.2).

(II) *The dimension of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$ is finite and does not exceed the order of the Weyl group W of G relative to A* (Theorem 3.3).

(III) *For those $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ in general position, we construct the functions $V(x: sv, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) on G explicitly (cf. (4.1), (4.5) and (4.10)) and we prove that they form a basis of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$* (Corollary 4.11 and Theorem 5.4).

Next we define the class one Whittaker function $W(x: v, \psi)$ on G associated with $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and a character ψ of N by a certain integral formula (see (6.4)). The integral converges for those v in a certain connected open subset D and is holomorphic there (cf. Proposition 6.1). We have already shown in [4] that for a non-degenerate character ψ , the integral defining $W(x: v, \psi)$ can be extended to an entire function of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Here we prove the following.

(IV) *For an arbitrary character ψ of N , the integral defining $W(x: v, \psi)$ can be in general meromorphically continued as a function of v and moreover it belongs to $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$ as a function on G (Theorem 6.6).*

(V) *When we write the Whittaker function $W(x: v, \psi)$ as a linear combination of the above constructed basis $V(x: sv, \psi)$ ($s \in W$), the coefficients are explicitly determined in terms of the Harish-Chandra's c-functions and the gamma factors appeared in the functional equations of the Whittaker functions (Theorem 7.8 and Theorem 7.12).*

We describe the main steps of the proofs of the above mentioned results. In view of the fact that each $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ can be completely determined by its restriction f_A to A , we construct in § 2 certain differential operator $\delta(z)$ on A for each $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ by requiring that $(zf)_A = (e^{\rho} \circ \delta(z) \circ e^{-\rho})f_A$ for $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$. Then if we define $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v)$ as the space of all $\Phi \in C^{\infty}(A)$ satisfying $\delta(z)\Phi = \chi_v(z)\Phi$ for $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$, we can deduce that $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$ is isomorphic to $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v)$ under the correspondence $f \mapsto e^{-\rho}f_A$ (see Proposition 3.1). Thus our problem of proving (I), (II) and (III) is reduced to showing the corresponding facts for the space $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v)$. In this stage, the operator $\delta(\omega)$ where ω is the Casimir operator on G plays a key role. From the explicit form of $\delta(\omega)$ given in Lemma 2.8, we conclude that it is an elliptic operator on A and hence (I) holds. The statement (II) is based on the fact that any differential operator on A with constant coefficients can be written as the compositions of certain w such operators and the elements of $\delta(U(\mathfrak{g})^t)$ where w is the order of W (cf. Proposition 2.7). To establish (III), we introduce a series $\Phi(h: v, \psi) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} a_{\lambda}(v)h^{\lambda}$ on A where the coefficients $a_{\lambda}(v)$ are given by the recursion formula (4.1). Applying the estimate for $a_{\lambda}(v)$ given in Lemma 4.5, we can deduce that $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ is convergent uniformly on every compact subset in A . Moreover we can check directly that $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ is an eigenfunction of $\delta(\omega)$ with eigenvalue $\chi_v(\omega)$. This fact plays an essential role in proving that $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ belongs to $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v)$ (see Theorem 4.10). Using this function, we can construct an element $V(x: v, \psi)$ of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$ (cf. (4.10)).

The main technique of proving (IV) and (V) is as follows. For each character ψ of N , there corresponds a set of linear forms η_{α} on the root spaces \mathfrak{g}_{α}^* where α runs through the set Π of simple roots of G relative to A . We denote by F the set of simple roots α such that $\eta_{\alpha} \neq 0$. We note that ψ is a non-degenerate character

if and only if $F=\Pi$. Put $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F$ where s_0 is the longest element of W . We denote by $P_{F_*} = N_{F_*} A_{F_*} M_{F_*}$ the Langlands decomposition of the parabolic subgroup P_{F_*} of G corresponding to the subset F_* of Π . Then the Whittaker function $W(x: v, \psi)$ on G can be written as the product of a certain meromorphic function $c^{F_*}(v)$ and the Whittaker function $W(m_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$ on M_{F_*} (see Corollary 6.9). The important fact is that ψ_{F_*} is the non-degenerate character of the maximal nilpotent subgroup $N(F_*)$ of M_{F_*} . In this way, our problem is reduced to that of proving our assertions in the case of non-degenerate characters. As was already mentioned, in this case (IV) follows from Theorem 4.8 in [4]. To establish (V), we need the asymptotic behavior of $W(x: v, \psi)$ (cf. Lemma 7.1). Applying it, we can determine the coefficient of $V(x: s_0 v, \psi)$. The other coefficients are determined by using the functional equations of the Whittaker functions and the above result (cf. Lemma 7.7).

§ 1. Preliminaries

Let G be a connected, noncompact, semisimple Lie group with finite center. Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be the Lie algebra of G . We denote the complexification of \mathfrak{g}_0 by \mathfrak{g} . Let $B(X, Y)$ ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$) be the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k}_0 . We denote by \mathfrak{p}_0 the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k}_0 in \mathfrak{g}_0 with respect to the Killing form. Let θ be the corresponding Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g}_0 .

Let \mathfrak{a}_0 be a maximal abelian subspace in \mathfrak{p}_0 . For each non-zero element α of the dual space \mathfrak{a}_0^* of \mathfrak{a}_0 , we set $\mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_0; \text{ad}(H)X = \alpha(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}_0\}$. We say that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_0^* - \{0\}$ is a root of \mathfrak{g}_0 relative to \mathfrak{a}_0 if $\mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha \neq \{0\}$. Let Σ be the set of all roots of \mathfrak{g}_0 relative to \mathfrak{a}_0 . We put $m(\alpha) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Let Σ_+ be a positive system of roots in Σ and let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ be the corresponding set of simple roots. Let W be the Weyl group of the root system Σ , that is, the group generated by the reflections s_α ($\alpha \in \Pi$). Then W is isomorphic to M^*/M , where M^* (resp. M) denotes the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of \mathfrak{a}_0 in K . In what follows, we often write a representative in M^* of an element s of W by the same letter. Since the Killing form is positive definite on \mathfrak{a}_0 , it induces an inner product \langle , \rangle on \mathfrak{a}_0^* , which is extended to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the complex dual \mathfrak{a}^* of \mathfrak{a}_0 . For each $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we define an element H_v of the complexification \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{a}_0 by $B(H, H_v) = v(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0$. Then it holds that $\langle \mu, v \rangle = B(H_\mu, H_v)$ for $\mu, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

Let $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}_0$ be the analytic subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_0 . For $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we set $h^v = \exp v(H)$ where $h = \exp H \in A$. Let ρ be the element of \mathfrak{a}_0^* such that

$$\rho = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} m(\alpha) \alpha.$$

We denote by \mathfrak{n}_0 (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$) the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 given by

$$\mathfrak{n}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha \quad (\text{resp. } \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\alpha}).$$

Let $N = \exp \mathfrak{n}_0$ (resp. $\bar{N} = \exp \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$) be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{n}_0 (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$). Then we know that \mathfrak{g}_0 is a direct sum of \mathfrak{n}_0 , \mathfrak{a}_0 and \mathfrak{k}_0 . Moreover the map $(n, h, k) \mapsto nhk$ is an analytic isomorphism of $N \times A \times K$ onto G and hence $G = NAK$, which is called an Iwasawa decomposition of G .

Let N^* be the set of all characters, namely, all one dimensional unitary representations of N . For each $\psi \in N^*$, there exists a unique Lie algebra homomorphism η of \mathfrak{n}_0 into \mathbf{R} such that $\psi(n) = \exp(i\eta(X))$ where $n = \exp X \in N$. Since η is trivial on $[\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_0]$, it induces a linear form on $\mathfrak{n}_0/[\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_0]$. But since

$$\mathfrak{n}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha \oplus [\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_0],$$

it can be identified with a linear form on $\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$. Let η_α be the restriction of η to \mathfrak{g}_0^α ($\alpha \in \Pi$). We say that η is the Lie algebra homomorphism of \mathfrak{n}_0 corresponding to ψ and we often write $\psi = \psi_\eta$. If ψ is an element of N^* such that all η_α ($\alpha \in \Pi$) are nonzero linear forms on \mathfrak{g}_0^α , it is called a non-degenerate character of N .

For later use, we shall extend the notion of the non-degenerate character of N to that of certain subgroups of N . Let F be an arbitrary subset of Π . We denote by $\Sigma_+(F)$ the set of roots in Σ_+ which are integral linear combinations of the elements of F . Then $\Sigma_+(F)$ is a positive system of the root system $\Sigma_+(F) \cup -\Sigma_+(F)$ and F is the set of simple roots of $\Sigma_+(F)$. We define a subalgebra of \mathfrak{n}_0 by $\mathfrak{n}_0(F) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$ and put $N(F) = \exp \mathfrak{n}_0(F)$. Then it is an analytic subgroup of N . We denote by ψ_F the restriction of ψ to $N(F)$. We say that ψ_F is a non-degenerate character of $N(F)$ if $\eta_\alpha \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in F$.

Now we shall give a normalization of Haar measures of N and \bar{N} . Recall that $-B(X, \theta Y)$ ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$) defines an inner product on \mathfrak{g}_0 . It also induces an inner product on \mathfrak{g}_0^α for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$, with respect to which they are mutually orthogonal. Hence $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ is an Euclidean space with the inner product induced by $-B(X, \theta Y)$. Let dX be the corresponding Euclidean measure on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$. Since the exponential map of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ onto \bar{N} is an analytic isomorphism, there exists a unique Haar measure $d\bar{n}$ on \bar{N} that corresponds to dX . Since $N = \theta \bar{N}$, we can normalize a Haar measure dn on N by $dn = \theta(d\bar{n})$.

Finally, for any subspace \mathfrak{h}_0 of \mathfrak{g}_0 we write its complexification by \mathfrak{h} .

§2. Differential operators on $C_c^\infty(G/K)$

Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , which can be regarded as the algebra of left invariant differential operators on G . We denote the action of

$u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $f \in C^\infty(G)$ at $x \in G$ by $(uf)(x)$, or equivalently by $f(x; u)$.

Let $\{U_d(\mathfrak{g})\}_{d \geq 0}$ be the canonical filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. An element $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ is said to be of degree d if $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g}) - U_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g})$. If $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$ we say that u is of degree $\leq d$. The adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} is naturally extended to $U(\mathfrak{g})$, which we denote by u^x with $x \in G$ and $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $U(\mathfrak{k})$, $U(\mathfrak{a})$ and $U(\mathfrak{n})$ be the universal enveloping algebras of \mathfrak{k} , \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{n} respectively, regarded as canonically embedded in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

LEMMA 2.1 (Harish-Chandra [3]). *The following decomposition of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ holds;*

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus (\mathfrak{n}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}).$$

Namely, for each $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ there exists a unique element $\pi(u) \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $u - \pi(u) \in \mathfrak{n}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$.

Let $p \mapsto p'$ be the unique automorphism of $U(\mathfrak{a})$ which takes $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ to $H + \rho(H)$. We define the map $\gamma: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a})$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma(u) = \pi(u)' \quad \text{for } u \in U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since \mathfrak{a} is abelian, $U(\mathfrak{a})$ can be identified with the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{a})$ and hence with the algebra of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{a}^* . Let J be the algebra of W -invariants in $S(\mathfrak{a})$, or equivalently in $U(\mathfrak{a})$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ be the centralizer of \mathfrak{k} in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the restriction of γ to $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ is known to have the following remarkable properties.

THEOREM 2.2 (Harish-Chandra [3]). *The map γ induces an algebra homomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ into $U(\mathfrak{a})$ with kernel $U(\mathfrak{g})^t \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$ and image J . The quotient $U(\mathfrak{g})^t/U(\mathfrak{g})^t \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$ and hence J can be viewed as the algebra of all left invariant differential operators on G/K .*

Let $\psi \in N^*$ and let $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ be the space of smooth functions f on G such that $f(ngk) = \psi(n)f(g)$ for $n \in N$, $g \in G$ and $k \in K$. We shall consider the action of $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$. We notice that in general uf does not belong to $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ even if $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$, whereas if $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ and $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ then $uf \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$. Because the action of u commutes with the right translation by elements of K . We further remark that since all elements of $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ are right K -invariant, each element of $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$ acts trivially on $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$.

In the sequel, we often identify $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ with a polynomial function on \mathfrak{a}^* and denote the value of p at $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ by $p(v)$. For $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we define

$$(2.2) \quad \chi_v(u) = \gamma(u)(v) \quad \text{for } u \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t.$$

Then Theorem 2.2 implies that χ_v is an algebra homomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ into

C which is trivial on $U(\mathfrak{g})^t \cap U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}$. Moreover it holds that $\chi_{\mu} = \chi_v$ for $\mu, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ if and only if there exists $s \in W$ such that $\mu = sv$.

Let χ be an algebra homomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ into C . Let $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi)$ be the space of all joint eigenfunctions in $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$:

$$C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi) = \{f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K); zf = \chi(z)f \text{ for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t\}.$$

Using the above results on the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ on $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$, we may assume that χ is of the form χ_v for some $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Let f be an arbitrary element of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$. Then $f(nhk) = \psi(n)f(h)$ for $n \in N$, $h \in A$, and $k \in K$. Hence f is completely determined by its restriction f_A to A . In fact the map $f \mapsto f_A$ is a linear isomorphism of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ onto $C^{\infty}(A)$.

For studying the structure of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$, we shall replace the differential equations on $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ by those on $C^{\infty}(A)$. Let \mathcal{R}^+ be the ring of analytic functions of A generated (without 1) by the functions h^{α} ($\alpha \in \Pi$) where Π is the set of simple roots in Σ^+ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we can select a finite set of elements $g_j \in \mathcal{R}^+$, $w_j \in U(\mathfrak{n})$ and $p_j \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$) such that*

- (i) $\deg(p_j) \leq d-1$ and $\deg(w_j) + \deg(p_j) \leq d$,
- (ii) for all $h \in A$,

$$(2.3) \quad u \equiv \pi(u) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} g_j(h) w_j^{h^{-1}} p_j \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}}.$$

PROOF. We shall proceed the proof by induction on $d = \deg(u)$. The case $d=0$ is trivial. Let $d=1$ and $u=X \in \mathfrak{g}$. If $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ or \mathfrak{k} , the lemma is clear. Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{n}$. Since $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$, we have only to show the lemma when $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$. But then $X = h^{\alpha} X^{h^{-1}} (h \in A)$. Since $h^{\alpha} (\alpha \in \Sigma_+)$ belong to \mathcal{R}^+ , the lemma holds. Now let $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists $u_1 \in \mathfrak{n}U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $u \equiv \pi(u) + u_1 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}}$. By choosing suitable elements $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$ and $u_{\alpha} \in U_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma_+$), we can write

$$u_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} X_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}.$$

Consequently it follows that

$$u \equiv \pi(u) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} h^{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{h^{-1}} u_{\alpha} \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}}.$$

Applying the induction hypothesis on u_{α} , we can obtain the lemma.

Using Lemma 2.3, we shall introduce a differential operator $\delta_0(u)$ on A for $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ with coefficients in the ring \mathcal{R} of analytic functions on A generated by 1 and \mathcal{R}^+ . First we note that the differential of ψ induces an algebra homomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{n})$ into C , which we denote again by the same letter ψ . Retaining the notations in Lemma 2.3, we define for $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, a differential operator on A , by

$$(2.4) \quad \delta_0(u) = \pi(u) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \psi(w_j) g_j(h) p_j.$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *For $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad (uf)(h) = (\delta_0(u)f_A)(h) \quad (h \in A).$$

Moreover if $z_1, z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ and $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$, then

$$(2.6) \quad (z_1 z_2 f)(h) = (\delta_0(z_1) \delta_0(z_2) f_A)(h) \quad (h \in A).$$

PROOF. Since f is right K -invariant, (2.3) implies that

$$(uf)(h) = f(h; \pi(u)) + \sum g_j(h) f(h; w_j^{h^{-1}} p_j).$$

But if $X \in \mathfrak{n}_0$, then for $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$,

$$f(h; X^{h^{-1}}) = (d/dt)f(h \exp(tX^{h^{-1}}))|_{t=0} = (d/dt)f(\exp(tX)h)|_{t=0} = \psi(X)f(h).$$

This implies that

$$f(h; w_j^{h^{-1}} p_j) = \psi(w_j) f(h; p_j).$$

Thus we obtain

$$(uf)(h) = f(h; \pi(u)) + \sum \psi(w_j) g_j(h) f(h; p_j).$$

From (2.4) it follows that the right hand side is clearly equal to $\delta_0(u)f_A(h)$. If $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ and $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$, then we know that $zf \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$. Thus the assertion (2.6) is a simple consequence of (2.5).

DEFINITION 2.5. The differential operator $\delta_0(u)$ is called *the radial part of $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$* .

We denote the composition of differential operators D_1, D_2 on A with analytic coefficients by $D_1 \circ D_2$. The multiplication by an analytic function may be regarded as a differential operator on A . Let e^{ρ} (resp. $e^{-\rho}$) be the analytic function on A defined by $e^{\rho}(h) = h^{\rho}$ (resp. $e^{-\rho}(h) = h^{-\rho}$). For each differential operator D on A , we introduce a new differential operator D' by $D' = e^{-\rho} \circ D \circ e^{\rho}$. Then for $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$, viewed as a differential operator on A , we see easily that $p' = e^{-\rho} \circ p \circ e^{\rho}$ is equal to the image of p under the automorphism of $U(\mathfrak{a})$ defined earlier.

We define a differential opeator $\delta(u)$ for $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ by $\delta(u) = \delta_0(u)'$. Then $\delta(u)$ is again a differential operator on A with coefficients in \mathcal{R} .

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we can choose a finite set of elements $f_j \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $q_j \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ of degree $\leq d-1$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \delta(u) = \gamma(u) + \sum f_j q_j.$$

PROOF. If we recall that $\gamma(u) = \pi(u)'$, then the lemma follows immediately from (2.4).

It is well known (cf. Harish-Chandra [3]) that $U(\mathfrak{a})$ is a free J -module of rank w where w is the order of W . Furthermore there exist homogeneous elements $\omega_1 = 1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_w$ in $U(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $U(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq w} \omega_j J$. Since $\gamma(U(\mathfrak{g})^t) = J$, there exist $z_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ ($1 \leq i \leq w$) such that every $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ can be written as $p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} \omega_i \gamma(z_i)$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ and select $z_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ ($1 \leq i \leq w$) such that $p = \sum \omega_i \gamma(z_i)$. Then there exist a finite number of elements $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $z_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ ($1 \leq i \leq w, 1 \leq j \leq r$) such that*

$$(2.8) \quad p = \sum \omega_i \circ \delta(z_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij} \omega_i \circ \delta(z_{ij})$$

where the index i (resp. j) runs through $\{1, \dots, w\}$ (resp. $\{1, \dots, r\}$).

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that there exist a finite number of elements $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $q_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ for each i such that $\gamma(z_i) = \delta(z_i) + \sum f_{ij} q_{ij}$. Hence we have

$$p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} \omega_i (\delta(z_i) + \sum f_{ij} q_{ij}).$$

Since \mathcal{R}^+ is stable under the differentiation by elements of $U(\mathfrak{a})$, we may write

$$p = \sum \omega_i \circ \delta(z_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij} \omega_i \circ p_{ij}$$

for some choice of $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $p_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{a})$. Note that $\deg(\omega_i p_{ij}) \leq \deg(p) - 1$. Applying the induction hypothesis on $\omega_i p_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{a})$, we obtain the proposition.

For later use, we shall give the explicit formulas of $\delta_0(\omega)$ and $\delta(\omega)$ for the Casimir operator ω on G . The Casimir operator ω is an element of the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence $\omega \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$, which is defined as follows. Let \mathfrak{m}_0 be the centralizer of \mathfrak{a}_0 in \mathfrak{k}_0 . Then it is well known that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_0$ where $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0 = \theta \mathfrak{n}_0$. Let H_1, \dots, H_l be the orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{a}_0 with respect to the Killing form and set

$$(2.9) \quad \omega_a = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} H_i^2.$$

Let U_1, \dots, U_r be a basis of \mathfrak{m}_0 such that $B(U_i, U_j) = -\delta_{ij}$ and set

$$\omega_m = - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} U_i^2.$$

For each $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$, let $X_{\alpha,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m(\alpha)$) be a basis of \mathfrak{g}_0^α satisfying $B(X_{\alpha,i}, \theta X_{\alpha,j}) = -\delta_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq m(\alpha)$). Using the basis of \mathfrak{g}_0 chosen above, we define

$$\omega = \omega_a + \omega_m - \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m(\alpha)} (X_{\alpha,i} \theta X_{\alpha,i} + \theta X_{\alpha,i} X_{\alpha,i}).$$

We remark that the definition of ω is independent of the choice of a basis of \mathfrak{g}_0 .

Let η be the Lie algebra homomorphism of \mathfrak{n}_0 into \mathbf{R} , which corresponds to $\psi \in N^*$. Then we have $\psi(X_{\alpha,j}) = i\eta(X_{\alpha,j})$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ and $1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$. We remark that $\eta(X_{\alpha,j}) = 0$ unless $\alpha \in \Pi$. For each $\alpha \in \Pi$, we set

$$(2.10) \quad |\eta_\alpha|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} \eta(X_{\alpha,j})^2.$$

Then $|\eta_\alpha|$ can be regarded as the length of the restriction η_α of η to \mathfrak{g}_0^α .

LEMMA 2.8. *Let ω be the Casimir operator on G . Then the radial part $\delta_0(\omega)$ of ω is given by*

$$(2.11) \quad \delta_0(\omega) = \pi(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}$$

where $\pi(\omega) = \omega_a - 2H_\rho$ and hence $\delta(\omega)$ is given by

$$(2.12) \quad \delta(\omega) = \gamma(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}$$

where $\gamma(\omega) = \omega_a - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$.

PROOF. Since $[\theta X_{\alpha,j}, X_{\alpha,j}] = H_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ and $1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$, we can deduce from the expression of ω given above,

$$\omega = \omega_a - 2H_\rho + \omega_m - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} X_{\alpha,j} \theta X_{\alpha,j}.$$

Put $Y_{\alpha,j} = X_{\alpha,j} + \theta X_{\alpha,j}$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ and $1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$. Then $Y_{\alpha,j} \in \mathfrak{k}_0$. Replacing $\theta X_{\alpha,j}$ by $Y_{\alpha,j} - X_{\alpha,j}$ and using the fact that $\omega_m, X_{\alpha,j}, Y_{\alpha,j} \in U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$, we have

$$\omega \equiv \omega_a - 2H_\rho + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} X_{\alpha,j}^2 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\omega \equiv \omega_a - 2H_\rho + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} h^{2\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} (X_{\alpha,j}^{h^{-1}})^2 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}}.$$

From (2.3), we can deduce that

$$\pi(\omega) = \omega_a - 2H_\rho$$

and

$$\delta_0(\omega) = \pi(\omega) + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} h^{2\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} \psi(X_{\alpha,j})^2.$$

Since $\psi(X_{\alpha,j}) = i\eta(X_{\alpha,j})$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ ($1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$) and moreover $\eta(X_{\alpha,j}) = 0$ unless $\alpha \in \Pi$, we have

$$\delta_0(\omega) = \pi(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}.$$

Since $\gamma(\omega) = \pi(\omega)'$ and $\delta(\omega) = \delta_0(\omega)'$, it follows that

$$\gamma(\omega) = \omega_a - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$$

and

$$\delta(\omega) = \gamma(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}.$$

§ 3. Eigenfunctions for $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ in $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$

In this section we shall study the system of differential equations on $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$:

$$(3.1) \quad zf = \chi_v(z)f \quad \text{for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t.$$

Here $\chi_v(v \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ is an algebra homomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ into \mathbf{C} given by (2.2). As in § 2 we denote the space of all solutions of (3.1) by $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$.

We shall reduce the differential equations (3.1) to a system of differential equations on A by using the results in § 2. Let $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ be the space of all solutions of the system of differential equations on A given by

$$(3.2) \quad \delta(z)\Phi = \chi_v(z)\Phi \quad \text{for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The map $f \mapsto e^{-\rho}f_A$ gives a linear isomorphism of $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ onto $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$.*

PROOF. The restriction f_A of $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ to A belongs to $C^\infty(A)$. Conversely for $F \in C^\infty(A)$, if we define the function f on G by $f(nhk) = \psi(n)F(h)$ ($n \in N$, $h \in A$, $k \in K$), then $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ and $f_A = F$. This implies that the map $f \mapsto f_A$ gives a linear isomorphism of $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ onto $C^\infty(A)$. Moreover from Proposition 2.4 we know that $(zf)_A = \delta_0(z)f_A$ ($f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$, $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$). This means that if $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ then f_A satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_0(z)f_A = \chi_v(z)f_A \quad \text{for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$$

and conversely. Since $\delta(z) = e^{-\rho} \circ \delta_0(z) \circ e^\rho$, the function $\Phi = e^{-\rho}f_A$ ($f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$) clearly belongs to $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$. Conversely if $\Phi \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$, then $e^\rho\Phi$ satisfies (3.3). But then there exists a unique $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ such that $f_A = e^\rho\Phi$. Thus we obtain the proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Every element of $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ is a real analytic function on G .*

PROOF. Since the function e^ρ is real analytic on A and the character ψ of N is also real analytic, we have only to show that every $\Phi \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ is real analytic. Whereas Φ satisfies the differential equation $\delta(\omega)\Phi = \chi_v(\omega)\Phi$ where ω is the Casimir operator on G . From Lemma 2.8, it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad (\omega_a - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha})\Phi = \langle v, v \rangle \Phi.$$

Here we used the fact that $\chi_v(\omega) = \langle v, v \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$. Since the Killing form is positive definite on \mathfrak{a}_0 , the differential operator ω_α defined in (2.9) is an elliptic operator. By the regularity theorem of elliptic operators, we see that the solution of (3.4) is real analytic.

THEOREM 3.3. *The space $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ is finite dimensional and its dimension does not exceed the order w of the Weyl group W .*

PROOF. In view of Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show $\dim C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v) \leq w$. Take an arbitrary $h \in A$ and fix it. Define a linear map ε of $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ into \mathbf{C}^w by $\varepsilon(\Phi) = (\Phi(h; \omega_1), \dots, \Phi(h; \omega_w))$ where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_w$ are homogeneous generators of $U(\mathfrak{a})$ over J introduced in § 2. We will show that ε is injective. From Proposition 2.7, it follows that each $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ can be written, by taking a finite set of elements $z_i, z_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ and $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}^+$ ($1 \leq i \leq w, 1 \leq j \leq r$),

$$p = \sum \omega_i \circ \delta(z_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij}(h) \omega_i \circ \delta(z_{ij}).$$

Consequently if $\Phi \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(h; p) &= \sum \chi_v(z_i) \Phi(h; \omega_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij}(h) \chi_v(z_{ij}) \Phi(h; \omega_i) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} (\chi_v(z_i) + \sum_j g_{ij}(h) \chi_v(z_{ij})) \Phi(h; \omega_i). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if $\Phi(h; \omega_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq w$, then $\Phi(h; p) = 0$ for all $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$. Since Φ is real analytic, we can conclude that $\Phi = 0$ in a neighborhood of an arbitrary $h \in A$. But since A is connected, this means that $\Phi = 0$ on A . Hence ε is injective and $\dim C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v) \leq w$.

§ 4. The functions $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$ and $V(x; v, \psi)$

Let $\psi \in N^*$ and η be the Lie algebra homomorphism of \mathfrak{n}_0 into \mathbf{R} corresponding to ψ . Let L denote the set of all linear functions λ on \mathfrak{a} of the form $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha$ where n_α ($\alpha \in \Pi$) are all non-negative integers. For $\lambda = \sum n_\alpha \alpha \in L$, we put $n(\lambda) = \sum n_\alpha$. Let $L' = L - (0)$. Since \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}^* are identified by means of the Killing form, we can identify the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ with the algebra of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{a}^* , so that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is a linear function on \mathfrak{a}^* by the rule $v \mapsto \langle \lambda, v \rangle$ ($v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$). Let $Q(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ be the field of rational functions on \mathfrak{a}^* .

For each $\lambda \in L$, we shall define $a_\lambda \in Q(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ by induction on $n(\lambda)$ as follows. Let $a_0 = 1$ and for $\lambda \in L'$

$$(4.1) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\lambda) a_\lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}.$$

For the sake of convenience, we put $a_\lambda = 0$ if $\lambda \notin L$. Let σ_λ ($\lambda \in L'$) be the hyperplane in \mathfrak{a}^* consisting of v such that $2\langle \lambda, v \rangle + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = 0$. We denote by ' \mathfrak{a}^* ' the complement in \mathfrak{a}^* of the union of all hyperplanes σ_λ ($\lambda \in L'$). Then ' \mathfrak{a}^* ' is an open,

connected, dense subset in α^* . It is obvious that the rational functions $a_\lambda (\lambda \in L)$ take a well defined value at any point $v \in \alpha^*$. We remark that any compact subset of α^* meets σ_λ for only a finite number of $\lambda \in L'$.

LEMMA 4.1. *If $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L'$ such that at least one n_α is odd, then $a_\lambda = 0$.*

PROOF. We shall prove the lemma by induction on $n(\lambda)$. From the recursion formula (4.1), it follows that $a_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Pi$. Thus the lemma holds when $n(\lambda) = 1$. Let $\lambda = \sum n_\alpha \alpha \in L'$ such that n_β is odd for $\beta \in \Pi$. Then all of $\lambda - 2\alpha (\alpha \in \Pi)$ have an odd integer coefficient. Thus by induction hypothesis $a_{\lambda-2\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$. Hence by (4.1), $a_\lambda = 0$.

In view of the lemma, we have only to consider those $\lambda \in L$ with even integral coefficients. The following lemma is an improvement of Lemma 4.1. Let F be the subset of Π given by $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$. Then (4.1) can be written as

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F} |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{\lambda-2\alpha} \quad (\lambda \in L').$$

LEMMA 4.2. *If $\lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L'$ such that $n_\beta \neq 0$ for some $\beta \in \Pi - F$, then $a_\lambda = 0$.*

PROOF. For each non-negative integer n , we set

$$L_{F,n} = \{ \lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L'; n_\beta \neq 0 \text{ for some } \beta \in \Pi - F \text{ and} \\ \sum_{\alpha \in F} n_\alpha = n \}.$$

It suffices to show that if $\lambda \in L_{F,n}$ ($n \geq 0$) then $a_\lambda = 0$. We shall prove the lemma by induction on n . Let $n = 0$. Then $\lambda \in L_{F,0}$ is of the form $2 \sum_{\beta \in \Pi - F} n_\beta \beta$ and hence $\lambda - 2\alpha \notin L$ for all $\alpha \in F$. Consequently the right hand side of (4.2) vanishes. But the coefficients $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\lambda$ are not identically zero for $\lambda \in L_{F,0}$. Thus $a_\lambda = 0$. If we notice that when $\lambda \in L_{F,n}$ then $\lambda - 2\alpha \in L_{F,n-1}$ for all $\alpha \in F$, our lemma is an immediate consequence of the induction argument.

REMARK 4.3. If ψ is the trivial character and hence $\eta = 0$, then clearly $a_\lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda \in L'$.

In what follows we assume that ψ is a fixed non-trivial character unless otherwise stated.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $\psi = \psi_\eta \in N^*$ such that $F = \{\alpha\}$, that is, $|\eta_\beta| = 0$ for $\beta \in \Pi - \{\alpha\}$. Then $a_\lambda = 0$ unless $\lambda = 2n\alpha$ and $a_{2n\alpha}$ is given by*

$$(4.3) \quad a_{2n\alpha}(v) = \left(\frac{|\eta_\alpha|^2}{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(v_\alpha + 1)}{n! \Gamma(v_\alpha + n + 1)}$$

where $v_\alpha = \langle v, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the classical gamma function.

PROOF. The first assertion is obvious from Lemma 4.2. If $F = \{\alpha\}$ and $\lambda = 2n\alpha$, then it follows from (4.2) that for $n \geq 1$

$$(4n^2 \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle + 4n \langle \alpha, v \rangle) a_{2n\alpha}(v) = 2 |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{2(n-1)\alpha}(v)$$

and hence

$$a_{2n\alpha}(v) = (|\eta_\alpha|^2 / 2 \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle) (1/n(v_\alpha + n)) a_{2(n-1)\alpha}(v).$$

This implies (4.3).

For each non-negative integer n , we set $L_n = \{\lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L; \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha = n\}$. The following estimate on a_λ is important to construct a certain solution of (3.2).

LEMMA 4.5. Let U be an arbitrary compact subset in \mathfrak{a}^* and n an arbitrary non-negative integer. Then there exists a positive constant c depending only on U such that for $v \in U$ and $\lambda \in L_n$

$$(4.4) \quad |a_\lambda(v)| \leq c^n / (n!)^2.$$

PROOF. The case $n=0$ is obvious. So we may assume $n \geq 1$. It is known (cf. [3]) that we can select a positive constant c_1 depending only on U such that $|\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle| \geq c_1 n^2$ for all $\lambda \in L_n$ and $v \in U$. If we put $c_2 = \max\{2|\eta_\alpha|^2; \alpha \in \Pi\}$, then it follows from (4.1) that

$$|a_\lambda(v)| \leq c_2 (c_1 n^2)^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |a_{\lambda-2\alpha}(v)|.$$

For $v \in U$, set $A_n(v) = \max\{|a_\lambda(v)|; \lambda \in L_n\}$. Then the above inequality implies that there exists a positive constant c such that $A_n(v) \leq cn^{-2} A_{n-1}(v)$. We define $B_n(v)$ by the recursion formula $B_0(v) = 1$ and $B_n(v) = cn^{-2} B_{n-1}(v)$ for $n \geq 1$. Then it is obvious that $B_n(v) = c^n / (n!)^2$. On the other hand it holds by induction that $A_n(v) \leq B_n(v)$ for all n . Hence we obtain $A_n(v) \leq c^n / (n!)^2$ for $n \geq 0$. This immediately shows (4.4).

Fix $\psi = \psi_n \in N^*$ and consider the series

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi(h; v, \psi) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda$$

where $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, $h \in A$ and a_λ ($\lambda \in L$) are defined by (4.1). We remark that when $\psi = \psi_0$ (the trivial character) it follows from Remark 4.3 that

$$(4.6) \quad \Phi(h; v, \psi_0) = h^v \quad \text{for } h \in A \quad \text{and } v \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

In what follows we again assume that $\psi = \psi_n$ is a non-trivial character of N .

LEMMA 4.6. *The series $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $h \in A$ and $v \in 'a^*$. It defines an analytic function of $(h, v) \in A \times 'a^*$.*

PROOF. It suffices to show that the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on $A \times 'a^*$. Let U and V be any relatively compact open subsets in ' a^* ' and A respectively. From Lemma 4.5, we can deduce that for $v \in U$,

$$|\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda| \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} c^n / (n!)^2 \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} h^\lambda.$$

Let $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_l\}$ be the basis of a_0 which is dual to $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l\}$. If we write $h = \exp(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} t_i H_i)$, then $h^\lambda = \exp(2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} n_i t_i)$ for $\lambda = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} n_i \alpha_i \in L_n$. Put

$$r = \sup \{e^{t_i}; h = \exp(\sum t_i H_i) \in V, 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Then $r < +\infty$ and for any $(h, v) \in V \times U$

$$(4.7) \quad |\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda| \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} |L_n| (cr^2)^n / (n!)^2$$

where $|L_n|$ denotes the number of elements of L_n . Note that $|L_n| = (n+l-1)! / (l-1)!n!$, which is a polynomial in n of degree l . Hence the right hand side of (4.7) converges. This proves the lemma immediately.

COROLLARY 4.7. *Under the same assumption as in Corollary 4.4, we have*

$$(4.8) \quad \Phi(h; v, \psi) = \Gamma(v_\alpha + 1) (|\eta_\alpha| / \sqrt{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle})^{-v_\alpha} h^{v - v_\alpha} I_{v_\alpha}(2|\eta_\alpha| h^\alpha / \sqrt{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}),$$

where $I_{v_\alpha}(\cdot)$ denotes the modified Bessel function of first kind and order v_α .

PROOF. In view of Corollary 4.4, we have $\Phi(h; v, \psi) = h^v \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{2n\alpha}(v) h^{2n\alpha}$, and by (4.3)

$$\Phi(h; v, \psi) = \Gamma(v_\alpha + 1) h^v \sum_{n \geq 0} (|\eta_\alpha| h^\alpha / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{2n} / n! \Gamma(v_\alpha + n + 1).$$

Since $I_s(z) = (z/2)^s \sum_{n \geq 0} (z/2)^{2n} / n! \Gamma(s+n+1)$, we can easily obtain the corollary.

Our next aim is to show that as a function of h , $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$ belongs to $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$. We start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.8. *Let ω be the Casimir operator on G . Then for $h \in A$ and $v \in 'a^*$,*

$$\Phi(h; \delta(\omega); v, \psi) = \chi_v(\omega) \Phi(h; v, \psi).$$

PROOF. If we apply the formula (2.12) of $\delta(\omega)$ to $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(h; \delta(\omega); v, \psi) &= h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} (\langle v + \lambda, v + \lambda \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle) a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda \\ &\quad - h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} (2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{\lambda-2\alpha}(v)) h^\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\chi_v(\omega) = \langle v, v \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(h; \delta(\omega); v, \psi) &= \chi_v(\omega) \Phi(h; v, \psi) \\ &\quad + h^v \sum \{(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, v \rangle) a_\lambda(v) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{\lambda-2\alpha}(v)\} h^\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

However $a_\lambda(v)$ is defined by (4.1) and hence the second term vanishes. So we have the lemma.

To show that $\Phi(h; v, \psi) \in C^\infty_\psi(A, \chi_v)$, we shall need some preparations. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all mappings $b: \lambda \rightarrow b_\lambda$ of L into \mathbf{C} such that the series $\sum_{\lambda \in L} b_\lambda h^\lambda$ gives an analytic function on A . For $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, we define an analytic function on A by $\phi_v(h) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} b_\lambda h^\lambda$. We shall compute $\phi_v(h; \delta(u))$ where $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. From Lemma 2.6 we know that there exist a finite number of elements $f_j \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $q_j \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $\delta(u) = \gamma(u) + \sum f_j q_j$ for $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. We remark that each $f \in \mathcal{R}^+$ can be written as $f(h) = \sum d_\mu h^\mu$ where μ runs through a finite subset of L' . Moreover for each $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ it holds that

$$(4.9) \quad \phi_v(h; p) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} p(v + \lambda) b_\lambda h^\lambda.$$

Combining these facts, we can deduce that $\phi_v(h; \delta(u))$ is again of the form $\phi_v(h; \delta(u)) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} c_\lambda h^\lambda$ for a suitable choice of $c \in \mathcal{B}$. To make clear the dependence of c on v, u and b , we will write $c(v, u, b)$ instead of c .

LEMMA 4.9. *Keeping the notations above, we have*

(i) *for fixed u and b , $c_\lambda(v, u, b)$ is a polynomial function of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ for all $\lambda \in L$,*

$$(ii) \quad c_0(v, u, b) = \gamma(u)(v)b_0,$$

$$(iii) \quad c_\lambda(v, \omega, b) = (\chi_v(\omega) + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, v \rangle) b_\lambda - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 b_{\lambda-2\alpha}$$

for $\lambda \in L'$ where ω is the Casimir operator on G and finally

$$(iv) \quad c_\lambda(v, z_1 z_2, b) = c_\lambda(v, z_1, c(v, z_2, b)) \text{ for } z_1, z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t \text{ and } \lambda \in L.$$

PROOF. The assertion (i) is clear from (4.9). We consider the term $\sum f_j(h) \phi_v(h; q_j)$ in $\phi_v(h; \delta(u))$. Since each $f_j \in \mathcal{R}^+$, the term corresponding to $\lambda=0$ does not appear. This implies (ii). The proof of the assertion (iii) is quite analogous to that of Lemma 4.8. From Proposition 2.4 it follows that $\delta(z_1 z_2) = \delta(z_1) \delta(z_2)$ for $z_1, z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ and hence $\phi_v(h; \delta(z_1 z_2)) = \phi_v(h; \delta(z_1) \delta(z_2))$. This implies (iv).

THEOREM 4.10. *Let $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$ be the analytic function on $A \times \mathfrak{a}^*$ defined by (4.5). Then it satisfies for all $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$, $\Phi(h; \delta(z); v, \psi) = \chi_v(z) \Phi(h; v, \psi)$.*

PROOF. For fixed $v \in 'a^*$, we denote by $a(v)$ the mapping $\lambda \mapsto a_\lambda(v)$ of L into C defined by the recursion formula (4.1). Since $\Phi(h: v, \psi) = h^v \sum a_\lambda(v)h^\lambda$, Lemma 4.6 implies that $a(v) \in \mathcal{B}$. Remembering that the Casimir operator ω lies in the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence $\omega z = z\omega$ for all $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$, we can deduce from (iv) of Lemma 4.9 that

$$c(v, \omega, c(v, z, a(v))) = c(v, z, c(v, \omega, a(v))).$$

However, we have already seen that $\Phi(h; \delta(\omega): v, \psi) = \chi_v(\omega)\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ and hence $c(v, \omega, a(v)) = \chi_v(\omega)a(v)$. Thus we get

$$c(v, \omega, c(v, z, a(v))) = \chi_v(\omega)c(v, z, a(v)).$$

Applying (iii) in Lemma 4.9, we obtain for $\lambda \in L'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_v(\omega)c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) &= (\chi_v(\omega) + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, v \rangle)c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 c_{\lambda-2\alpha}(v, z, a(v)) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, v \rangle)c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 c_{\lambda-2\alpha}(v, z, a(v)).$$

Therefore $c_\lambda(v, z, a(v))$ ($\lambda \in L'$) satisfies the same recursion formula as that of $a_\lambda(v)$. The only difference lies in the initial terms. Combining these facts with (ii) in Lemma 4.9, we obtain $c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) = \chi_v(z)a_\lambda(v)$. Since

$$\Phi(h; \delta(z): v, \psi) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} c_\lambda(v, z, a(v))h^\lambda,$$

it follows that $\Phi(h; \delta(z): v, \psi) = \chi_v(z)\Phi(h: v, \psi)$.

COROLLARY 4.11. *Let $\psi \in N^*$ and define a function $V(x: v, \psi)$ on $G \times 'a^*$ by*

$$(4.10) \quad V(x: v, \psi) = \psi(n(x))h(x)^{\rho}\Phi(h(x): v, \psi)$$

where $x = n(x)h(x)k(x)$ is the Iwasawa decomposition of $x \in G$. Then $V(x: v, \psi) \in C_c^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$.

PROOF. The corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.1 and the above theorem.

Before ending this section, we will study the dependence of $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ and hence $V(x: v, \psi)$ on $\psi \in N^*$ more closely. Let $\psi = \psi_\eta \in N^*$ and let $F = F(\psi)$ be the subset of Π such that $F = F(\psi) = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$ where $|\eta_\alpha|$ is defined in (2.10). We remark that ψ is a non-degenerate character if and only if $F = \Pi$ and ψ is the trivial character if and only if $F = \emptyset$.

Let $L(F) = \{\lambda \in L; \lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in F} n_\alpha \alpha\}$ and $L(F)' = L(F) - (0)$. We denote by $'a_F^*$

the complement in \mathfrak{a}^* of the union of all hyperplanes σ_λ ($\lambda \in L(F)'$). Clearly ' \mathfrak{a}_F^* contains ' \mathfrak{a}^* '. From Lemma 4.2, it follows that a_λ ($\lambda \in L(F)$) are well defined on ' \mathfrak{a}_F^* ' and moreover $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ can be written

$$\Phi(h: v, \psi) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda.$$

Without any essential change of the proof of Lemma 4.5, we can deduce that $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ converges in fact for $(h, v) \in A \times \mathfrak{a}_F^*$.

Let P_F be the standard parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the subset $F=F(\psi)$ of Π . We denote the Langlands decomposition of P_F by $P_F=N_F A_F M_F$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{0,F}$ of A_F is given by $\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_0; \alpha(H)=0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in F\}$. Let $\Sigma_+(F)$ be the subset of Σ_+ consisting of roots which are integral linear combinations of elements of F . Then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{0,F}$ of N_F is given by $\mathfrak{n}_{0,F}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+ - \Sigma_+(F)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^\delta$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_0(F)=\sum_{\alpha \in F} \mathbf{R} H_\alpha$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_0(F)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{a}_0 and $\mathfrak{a}_0=\mathfrak{a}_{0,F} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0(F)$. If we denote by $A(F)$ the analytic subgroup of A with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_0(F)$, then any $h \in A$ can be written uniquely as $h=h_1 h_2$ where $h_1 \in A_F$ and $h_2 \in A(F)$. Let $\mathfrak{n}_0(F)$ be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{n}_0 given by $\mathfrak{n}_0(F)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^\delta$ and $N(F)$ the corresponding analytic subgroup of N . Then $\mathfrak{n}_0=\mathfrak{n}_{0,F} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_0(F)$ and the map $(n_1, n_2) \mapsto n_1 n_2$ of $N_F \times N(F)$ into N is an analytic isomorphism of varieties. By definition, $\psi(n_1)=1$ for all $n_1 \in N_F$ and the restriction ψ_F of ψ to $N(F)$ induces a non-degenerate character of $N(F)$. We further remark that $N(F)=N \cap M_F$, $A(F)=A \cap M_F$ and if we put $K(F)=K \cap M_F$, then $M_F=N(F)A(F)K(F)$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of M_F compatible with that of G .

Using these facts, we proceed the study of $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$. Since $h_1^\alpha=1$ for all $h_1 \in A_F$ and $\alpha \in F$, we can easily obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \Phi(h_1 h_2: v, \psi) = h_1^v \Phi(h_2: v, \psi) \quad (h_1 \in A_F, h_2 \in A(F)).$$

Furthermore, we can deduce from the recursion formula (4.2) that $a_\lambda(v)$ ($\lambda \in L(F)$) depend only on the restriction v_F of v to $\mathfrak{a}_0(F)$ and the restriction ψ_F of ψ to $N(F)$.

In view of the above results, we conclude that the function $\Phi(h_2: v, \psi)$ ($h_2 \in A(F)$) is nothing but the one constructed, by replacing the role of G by that of M_F , for the character ψ_F of $N(F)$ and $v_F \in \mathfrak{a}(F)^*$. Henceforth we may write $\Phi(h_2: v, \psi)=\Phi_F(h_2: v_F, \psi_F)$ if we emphasize its dependence on M_F .

Finally, we consider the function $V(x: v, \psi)$ introduced in (4.10). Recall that $V(nhk: v, \psi)=\psi(n)h^\rho \Phi(h: v, \psi)$ where $n \in N$, $h \in A$ and $k \in K$. If we write $n=n_1 n_2$ ($n_1 \in N_F$, $n_2 \in N(F)$) and $h=h_1 h_2$ ($h_1 \in A_F$, $h_2 \in A(F)$), then

$$V(nhk: v, \psi) = \psi(n_2) h_1^{v_F + \rho} h_2^\rho \Phi(h_2: v, \psi).$$

If we define $\rho(F)=2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} m(\alpha)\alpha$ and $\rho_F=\rho-\rho(F)$, then we can easily check that $h_1^\rho=h_1^{\rho_F}$ and $h_2^\rho=h_2^{\rho(F)}$. Hence

$$V(nhk: v, \psi) = h_1^{v+\rho_F} \psi_F(n_2) h_2^{\rho(F)} \Phi_F(h_2: v_F, \psi_F).$$

At this point, we define a function $V_F(m: v_F, \psi_F)$ on M_F by

$$(4.12) \quad V_F(n_2 h_2 k_2: v_F, \psi_F) = \psi_F(n_2) h_2^{\rho(F)} \Phi_F(h_2: v_F, \psi_F),$$

where $n_2 \in N(F)$, $h_2 \in A(F)$ and $k_2 \in K(F)$. Using the decomposition $G = P_F K = N_F A_F M_F K$, we can conclude $V(n_1 h_1 m k: v, \psi) = h_1^{v+\rho_F} V_F(m: v_F, \psi_F)$ for $n_1 \in N_F$, $h_1 \in A_F$, $m \in M_F$ and $k \in K$. Thus the essential properties of $V(x: v, \psi)$ are reduced to those of $V_F(m: v_F, \psi_F)$, which is defined on the subgroup M_F of lower rank with a non-degenerate character ψ_F .

We summarize the above results in the following:

PROPOSITION 4.12. *Let $\psi \in N^*$ and set $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$. If we write $x \in G$ as $x = n_1 h_1 m k$ according to the decomposition $G = N_F A_F M_F K$, then we have*

$$V(x: v, \psi) = h_1^{v+\rho_F} V_F(m: v_F, \psi_F)$$

where $V_F(m: v_F, \psi_F)$ is given by (4.12).

§5. The fundamental solutions

Using the results in the preceding sections, we shall construct w linearly independent elements of $C_v^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ for certain values $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Here w is the order of W . The method is quite similar to the one developed by Harish-Chandra in [3].

Let $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and define the subgroup W_v of W by $W_v = \{s \in W; sv = v\}$. Let J_v be the algebra of all W_v -invariants in $S(\mathfrak{a})$. Then J_v contains J . For $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, let $S(\mu)$ be the maximal ideal of $S(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $S(\mu) = \{p \in S(\mathfrak{a}); p(\mu) = 0\}$ and set $J_v(\mu) = J_v \cap S(\mu)$.

For any open subset U in \mathfrak{a}^* , we denote the algebra of holomorphic functions on U by $\mathcal{O}(U)$. Clearly $S(\mathfrak{a})$ is regarded as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(U)$. For each $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, let $\partial(\mu)$ be the derivation of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ defined by $f(v; \partial(\mu)) = (d/dt) f(v + t\mu)|_{t=0}$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. It is obvious that the map $\mu \mapsto \partial(\mu)$ can be uniquely extended to an algebra isomorphism of the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ into the algebra of holomorphic differential operators on $\mathcal{O}(U)$.

For $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, let $\mathcal{H}(v)$ be the subspace of $S(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}(v) = \{v \in S(\mathfrak{a}^*); p(v; \partial(v)) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in S(\mathfrak{a})J_v(v)\}.$$

Then it is well known (cf. [3]) that $S(\mathfrak{a}^*) = \mathcal{H}(v) \oplus S(\mathfrak{a}^*)J_v^+$ where J_v^+ is an ideal of J_v of elements of positive degree and moreover $\dim \mathcal{H}(v) = w(v)$. Here $w(v)$ is the order of W_v .

Now fix $\psi \in N^*$ and let $F = F(\psi)$ be the subset of Π introduced in § 4.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $v \in {}'a_F^*$. For $v \in \mathcal{H}(v)$, we define a function A by $\Phi_v(h) = \Phi(h; v; \partial(v), \psi)$. Then $\Phi_v \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$.*

PROOF. We know from Theorem 4.10 that $\Phi(h; \delta(z); v, \psi) = \gamma(z)(v)\Phi(h; v, \psi)$ for $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$. Since $\partial(v)$ commutes with $\delta(z)$, we have

$$\Phi_v(h; \delta(z)) = \Phi(h; v; \partial(v) \circ \gamma(z), \psi).$$

For each $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$, let D_z be a differential operator on a^* defined by $D_z = \partial(v) \circ \gamma(z) - \gamma(z)(v)\partial(v)$. Then for all $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$, it holds that

$$\Phi_v(h; \delta(z)) - \gamma(z)(v)\Phi_v(h) = \Phi(h; v; D_z, \psi).$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove $D_z = 0$ for all z . Suppose $D_z \neq 0$ for some $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$. Then we can select $p_1 \in S(a)$ such that $p_1(v; D_z) \neq 0$. Put $p_2 = (\gamma(z) - \gamma(z)(v))p_1$. Then it is clear that $p_1(v; D_z) = p_2(v; \partial(v))$. On the other hand we know $\gamma(z) \in J$ and hence $\gamma(z) \in J_v$. From the definition of p_2 , we have $p_2 \in S(a)J_v(v)$. But since $v \in \mathcal{H}(v)$, it follows that $p_2(v; \partial(v)) = 0$ and consequently $p_1(v; D_z) = 0$. This contradicts the choice of p_1 .

For $v \in a^*$ we put $r(v) = [W: W_v]$ and select a set of complete representatives $s_1 = 1, s_2, \dots, s_{r(v)}$ of W/W_v . Then the elements $v_i = s_i v$ ($1 \leq i \leq r(v)$) are all distinct. Moreover each W_{v_i} is isomorphic to W_v and hence $w(v_i) = w(v)$ and $r(v_i) = r(v)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$.

Let Ω_F be the set of $v \in {}'a_F^*$ such that

- (i) $v_i \in {}'a_F^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ and
- (ii) $v_i - v_j \notin L(F)^\sim$ for any pair of indices $i \neq j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq r(v)$), where $L(F)^\sim = \sum_{\alpha \in F} \mathbf{Z}\alpha$.

Then Ω_F is again a connected, open, dense subset of a^* . For simplicity, put $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}(v_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r(v)$). Then $\dim \mathcal{H}_i = w(v)$ for all i . Let $\{v_{ij}; 1 \leq j \leq w(v)\}$ be a basis of \mathcal{H}_i . We define w functions Φ_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq r(v)$, $1 \leq j \leq w(v)$) on A by $\Phi_{ij}(h) = \Phi(h; v_i; \partial(v_{ij}), \psi)$.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $v \in \Omega_F$. Then the above defined w functions Φ_{ij} form a basis of $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$.*

PROOF. From Lemma 5.1, it follows that $\Phi_{ij} \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$. So we have only to show the following fact; if we choose non-zero elements $v_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r(v)$), then the functions $\Phi_{v_i}(h) = \Phi(h; v_i; \partial(v_i), \psi)$ are linearly independent. For simplicity, we put $\xi_\lambda(h; v) = a_\lambda(v)h^{v+\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in L(F)$. Then we may write $\Phi(h; v, \psi) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} \xi_\lambda(h; v)$. It can be easily checked that there exists a certain polynomial function $p_{\lambda, v}$ of $\log h \in a_0$ for $\lambda \in L(F)$ and $v \in S(a^*)$ such that

$$\xi_\lambda(h; v; \partial(v)) = p_{\lambda, v}(\log h) h^{v+\lambda}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\Phi_{v_i}(h) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} \xi_\lambda(h: v_i; \partial(v_i)) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} p_{\lambda, v_i}(\log h) h^{v_i + \lambda}.$$

Now suppose that c_i ($1 \leq i \leq r(v)$) are complex numbers such that $\sum c_i \Phi_{v_i} = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r(v)} \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} c_i p_{\lambda, v_i}(\log h) h^{v_i + \lambda} = 0.$$

Since $v_i - v_j \notin L(F)^\sim$ ($i \neq j$), the exponents $v_i + \lambda$ ($1 \leq i \leq r(v)$, $\lambda \in L(F)$) are all distinct. By the above fact and Lemma 4.6, we can apply the corollary to Lemma 57 in [3]. The result is $c_i p_{\lambda, v_i} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ and $\lambda \in L(F)$. On the other hand, it is evident that $p_{0, v_i}(\log h) = v_i(\log h)$ for all i . Since $v_i \neq 0$, it follows that $p_{0, v_i} \neq 0$ and so $c_i = 0$.

We say that v is a regular element of \mathfrak{a}^* if $\langle v, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$. If v is a regular element, then $W_v = (1)$, all sv ($s \in W$) are distinct and $\mathcal{H}(v) = (0)$.

Let Ω'_F be the set of regular elements $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ satisfying

- (i) $sv \in \mathfrak{a}_F^*$ for all $s \in W$ and
- (ii) $sv - tv \notin L(F)^\sim$ for any pair $(s, t) \in W \times W$ such that $s \neq t$.

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let $v \in \Omega'_F$. Then w functions $\Phi(h: sv, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) form a basis of $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$.*

In view of Proposition 3.1 and the above corollary, we establish the following result.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let $v \in \Omega'_F$. Then the functions $V(x: sv, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) form a basis of $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$.*

§ 6. The Whittaker function $W(x: v, \psi)$

In this section, we introduce a joint eigenfunction $W(x: v, \psi)$ in $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$, which is closely related to the Whittaker model of a class one principal series representation of G .

Let $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. We denote by X_v^∞ the space of all smooth functions φ on G satisfying $\varphi(nhmg) = h^{v+\rho} \varphi(g)$ for $n \in N$, $h \in A$, $m \in M$ and $g \in G$. Let π_v be the representation of G on X_v^∞ defined by $\pi_v(g)\varphi(x) = \varphi(xg)$ for $g, x \in G$ and $\varphi \in X_v^\infty$. The representation π_v is called a class one principal series representation of G . We denote by X_v the subspace of all K -finite elements in X_v^∞ .

We define a function 1_v on G by

$$(6.1) \quad 1_v(x) = h(x)^{v+\rho} \quad (x \in G)$$

where we write the Iwasawa decomposition of x as $x = n(x)h(x)k(x)$ with $n(x) \in N$,

$h(x) \in A$ and $k(x) \in K$. It can be easily checked that

$$(6.2) \quad 1_v(n h m x k) = h^{v+\rho} 1_v(x)$$

for $n \in N$, $h \in A$, $m \in M$, $x \in G$ and $k \in K$. This means that the function 1_v is a K -fixed element of X_v . We remark that 1_v satisfies

$$(6.3) \quad 1_v(x; z) = \chi_v(z) 1_v(x) \quad (x \in G)$$

for all $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$. This follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that the space of K -fixed elements in X_v is one dimensional and stable under $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$.

Let $\psi = \psi_n \in N^*$ and $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. We introduce an integral $W(x; v, \psi)$ by

$$(6.4) \quad W(x; v, \psi) = \int_N 1_v(s_0^{-1} n x) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \quad (x \in G).$$

Here dn is the Haar measure on N normalized in § 1 and s_0 is a representative in K of the unique element, denoted by the same letter s_0 , in W such that $s_0 \Sigma_+ = -\Sigma_+$. Note that (6.4) does not depend on the choice of the representatives of $s_0 \in W$. When ψ is a non-degenerate character, the above integral was already studied in [2], [4], [6] and [10].

Before considering the convergence of (6.4), we shall examine the formally consistent properties of the integral $W(x; v, \psi)$. It follows from (6.2) that

$$(6.5) \quad W(nxk; v, \psi) = \psi(n) W(x; v, \psi)$$

for $n \in N$, $x \in G$ and $k \in K$. Since A normalizes N and it holds that $d(hnh^{-1}) = h^{2\rho} dn$, we can deduce

$$W(h; v, \psi) = h^{s_0 v + \rho} \int_N 1_v(s_0^{-1} n) \psi^h(n)^{-1} dn \quad (h \in A)$$

where ψ^h is a character of N given by

$$\psi^h(n) = \psi(hnh^{-1}) \quad (h \in A, n \in N).$$

When $x = e$ (the identity element of G), we denote the value $W(e; v, \psi)$ simply by $W(v, \psi)$, that is,

$$(6.6) \quad W(v, \psi) = \int_N 1_v(s_0^{-1} n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn.$$

Then we can write

$$(6.7) \quad W(h; v, \psi) = h^{s_0 v + \rho} W(v, \psi^h) \quad (h \in A).$$

Hence we conclude from (6.5) and (6.7) that if $x = nhk$ (the Iwasawa decomposition of x),

$$(6.8) \quad W(x: v, \psi) = \psi(n) h^{so_v + \rho} W(v, \psi^h).$$

Thus the study of (6.4) can be reduced to that of $W(v, \psi)$. We shall rewrite it in a more convenient form. Recall that the map $\bar{n} \mapsto s_0 \bar{n} s_0^{-1}$ is an analytic isomorphism of \bar{N} onto N and it holds that $d(s_0 \bar{n} s_0^{-1}) = d\bar{n}$ where $d\bar{n}$ is the Haar measure on \bar{N} introduced in § 1. Since 1_v is right K -invariant, it follows from (6.6)

$$(6.9) \quad W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}} 1_v(\bar{n}) \psi_*(\bar{n})^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

where ψ_* is a character of \bar{N} defined by

$$\psi_*(\bar{n}) = \psi(s_0 \bar{n} s_0^{-1}) \quad (\bar{n} \in \bar{N}).$$

Let D be the subset of α^* given by

$$D = \{v \in \alpha^*; \operatorname{Re}(v_\alpha) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma_+\}$$

where $v_\alpha = \langle v, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ and $\operatorname{Re}(v_\alpha)$ denotes the real part of $v_\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let $\psi \in N^*$. Then the integral $W(x: v, \psi)$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $(x, v) \in G \times D$. It gives a smooth function of $x \in G$, which is holomorphic in $v \in D$.*

PROOF. First we consider the case when $\psi = \psi_0$ (the trivial character of N). Since $\psi_0^h = \psi_0$ for $h \in A$, it follows from (6.8) and (6.9) that $W(x: v, \psi) = h^{so_v + \rho} W(v, \psi_0)$ ($x = nhk$) and

$$W(v, \psi_0) = \int_{\bar{N}} 1_v(\bar{n}) d\bar{n}.$$

But this integral is well known to be uniformly convergent for $v \in D$, which is usually called Harish-Chandra's c -function and denoted by $c(v)$ (cf. [5]). Thus we obtain the proposition when $\psi = \psi_0$ and moreover

$$(6.10) \quad W(x: v, \psi_0) = c(v) h^{so_v + \rho} \quad (x = nhk).$$

Next we consider the general $\psi \in N^*$. Since $|\psi^h_*(\bar{n})| = 1$ for $h \in A$ and $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$, we conclude from (6.9) that

$$|W(v, \psi^h)| \leq \int_{\bar{N}} |1_v(\bar{n})| d\bar{n}.$$

But since the right hand side is convergent for $v \in D$, $W(v, \psi^h)$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $(h, v) \in A \times D$. From this and (6.8), we get the proposition.

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let $\psi \in N^*$ and $v \in D$. Then $W(x: v, \psi) \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$.*

PROOF. The corollary is a direct consequence of (6.3) and the above proposition.

REMARK 6.3. We have already shown in [4] that if ψ is a non-degenerate character then $W(x: v, \psi)$ can be extended to an entire function of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

Our next aim is to prove that for general $\psi \in N^*$, the integral $W(x: v, \psi)$ can be continued to a meromorphic function of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. For that purpose, we first write down the explicit formula of $c(v)$. Let Σ_+° be the set of $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ such that $\alpha/2$ is not a root. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma_+^\circ$, we set

$$(6.11) \quad c_\alpha(v) = d_\alpha \frac{\Gamma(v_\alpha) \Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2))}{\Gamma(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2) \Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2 + m(2\alpha)))}$$

where d_α is the constant given by

$$d_\alpha = 2^{(m(\alpha)-m(2\alpha))/2} (\pi/\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{(m(\alpha)+m(2\alpha))/2}.$$

Then it is well known (cf. [10]) that under the normalization of a Haar measure on \bar{N} introduced in § 1, the c -function is given by

$$(6.12) \quad c(v) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+^\circ} c_\alpha(v).$$

This implies that $c(v)$ and hence $W(x: v, \psi_0)$ are in fact meromorphic functions of v .

To proceed further, we shall need some preparations. Let $F = F(\psi)$ be the subset of Π such that $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$. To begin with, we shall consider the map $\alpha \mapsto -s_0^{-1}\alpha$ of Σ into itself. Since $s_0^{-1} = s_0$ in W and $s_0\Sigma_+ = -\Sigma_+$, we have $-s_0^{-1}\Sigma_+ = \Sigma_+$ and hence $-s_0^{-1}\Pi \subset \Sigma_+$. But $-s_0^{-1}\Pi$ is a simple root system and consequently $-s_0^{-1}\Pi = \Pi$. If we set $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F = \{-s_0^{-1}\alpha; \alpha \in F\}$, then F_* is again a subset of Π and it holds that $-s_0F_* = F$.

Let P_{F_*} be the standard parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the subset F_* of Π . We denote the Langlands decomposition of P_{F_*} by $P_{F_*} = N_{F_*} A_{F_*} M_{F_*}$. Let $\Sigma_{+}(F_*)$ be the subset of Σ_+ of integral linear combinations of the roots of F_* . Then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_{0,F_*} of A_{F_*} is given by $\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_0; \alpha(H) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in F_*\}$ and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{n}_{0,F_*} of N_{F_*} is of the form $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+ - \Sigma_{+}(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$. Put $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{+}(F_*)} \mathbf{R} H_\alpha$ and let $A(F_*)$ be the analytic subgroup of A with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$. Moreover set $\mathfrak{n}_0(F_*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+ - \Sigma_{+}(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ and denote by $N(F_*)$ the analytic subgroup of N with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_0(F_*)$. Then $A(F_*) = A \cap M_{F_*}$ and $N(F_*) = N \cap M_{F_*}$. Furthermore if we put $K(F_*) = K \cap M_{F_*}$, then it holds that $M_{F_*} = N(F_*)A(F_*)K(F_*)$ and it is an Iwasawa decomposition of M_{F_*} compatible with that of G . Finally we define subalgebras $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0(F_*)$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{0,F_*}$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ respectively by

$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0(F_*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\alpha}, \quad \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{0,F_*} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+ - \Sigma_{+}(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\alpha}.$$

Let $\bar{N}(F_*)$ and \bar{N}_{F_*} be the analytic subgroup of \bar{N} with Lie algebras $\bar{n}_0(F_*)$ and \bar{n}_{0,F_*} respectively. Then the map $(\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2) \mapsto \bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2$ is an analytic isomorphism of $\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)$ onto \bar{N} .

LEMMA 6.4. *For $v \in D$, the integral $W(v, \psi)$ can be reduced to*

$$(6.13) \quad W(v, \psi) = c^{F*}(v) \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_2$$

where $c^{F*}(v)$ is given by

$$(6.14) \quad c^{F*}(v) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+^\circ - \Sigma_+(F_*)} c_\alpha(v).$$

PROOF. From (6.9) it follows that

$$W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_1)^{-1} \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_1 d\bar{n}_2.$$

We remark that since $-s_0 F_* = F$ and consequently $s_0 \bar{N}(F_*) s_0^{-1} = N(F)$, it follows that $\psi_*(\bar{n}_1) = 1$ for all $\bar{n}_1 \in \bar{N}_{F_*}$ and the restriction of ψ_* to $\bar{N}(F_*)$ is a non-degenerate character of $\bar{N}(F_*)$. Hence we have

$$W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_1 d\bar{n}_2.$$

Let $\bar{n}_2 = n_2 h_2 k_2$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of \bar{n}_2 . Then $n_2 \in N(F_*)$, $h_2 \in A(F_*)$ and $k_2 \in K(F_*)$. Since the function 1_v is right K -invariant, it holds that $1_v(\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2) = 1_v(\bar{n}_1 n_2 h_2)$. Moreover since $n_2 h_2 \in M_{F_*}$, it follows that $v_1 = (n_2 h_2) \bar{n}_1 (n_2 h_2)^{-1} \in \bar{N}_{F_*}$ and $dv_1 = d\bar{n}_1$. Using these facts, we obtain

$$W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(n_2 h_2 \bar{n}_1) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_1 d\bar{n}_2.$$

But by (6.2), we know that $1_v(n_2 h_2 \bar{n}_1) = h_2^{v+\rho} 1_v(\bar{n}_1)$ and hence $1_v(n_2 h_2 \bar{n}_1) = 1_v(\bar{n}_1) 1_v(\bar{n}_2)$. Therefore the above integral can be decomposed into

$$(6.15) \quad W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*}} 1_v(\bar{n}_1) d\bar{n}_1 \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_2.$$

The first integral is evaluated as follows. We note that $c(v) = W(v, \psi_0)$ can be written, as in the same manner,

$$c(v) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*}} 1_v(\bar{n}_1) d\bar{n}_1 \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) d\bar{n}_2.$$

The second integral can be viewed as the c -function for M_{F_*} and hence its value is given by $\prod_{\Sigma_+^\circ(F_*)} c_\alpha(v)$ where $\Sigma_+^\circ(F_*) = \Sigma_+^\circ \cap \Sigma_+(F_*)$. Consequently we can deduce from (6.12) that

$$\int_{N_{F_*}} 1_v(\bar{n}_1) d\bar{n}_1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+ - \Sigma_+(F_*)} c_\alpha(v).$$

Let W_{F_*} be the subgroup of W generated by the reflections $s_\alpha (\alpha \in F_*)$. We denote the longest element in W_{F_*} by s_1 . Then $s_1^{-1} = s_1$ and $\Sigma_+(F_*) = -\Sigma_+(F_*)$. Let s_* be the element of W such that $s_* = s_0 s_1^{-1}$. Then $F = -s_0(F_*) = s_*(F_*)$. Recall that we denote by P_F the standard parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to $F \subset \Pi$ and we write the Langlands decomposition of P_F as $P_F = N_F A_F M_F$. Furthermore we remember that $M_F = N(F)A(F)K(F)$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of M_F , which was constructed in § 4. Since $s_*(F_*) = F$, it holds that $s_* P_{F_*} s_*^{-1} = P_F$, $s_* M_{F_*} s_*^{-1} = M_F$, $s_* A(F_*) s_*^{-1} = A(F)$ and $s_* N(F_*) s_*^{-1} = N(F)$.

Let ψ_{F_*} be a character of $N(F_*)$ defined by $\psi_{F_*}(n_2) = \psi(s_* n_2 s_*^{-1})$ for $n_2 \in N(F_*)$. Since the restriction ψ_F of ψ to $N(F)$ is a non-degenerate character, the character ψ_{F_*} of $N(F_*)$ is also non-degenerate. In what follows, we denote the restriction of v to $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$ by v_{F_*} if necessary.

We now introduce an integral $W_{F_*}(m_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$ with $m_* \in M_{F_*}$ by

$$(6.16) \quad W_{F_*}(m_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = \int_{N(F_*)} 1_v(s_1^{-1} n_2 m_*) \psi_{F_*}(n_2)^{-1} dn_2.$$

Then the value $W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$ at e of (6.16) can be written, by using the facts that $s_1^{-1} N(F_*) s_1 = \bar{N}(F_*)$ and $\psi_{F_*}(s_1 \bar{n}_2 s_1^{-1}) = \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)$ for $\bar{n}_2 \in \bar{N}(F_*)$,

$$(6.17) \quad W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_2.$$

COROLLARY 6.5. *For $v \in D$, the integral $W(v, \psi)$ can be written as*

$$(6.18) \quad W(v, \psi) = c^{F_*}(v) W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}).$$

Moreover it can be continued to a meromorphic function of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^$.*

PROOF. The first assertion follows from Lemma 6.4 and (6.17). We can deduce from (6.14) that $c^{F_*}(v)$ is in fact a meromorphic function of v . On the other hand, the integral (6.16) is exactly the same as the Whittaker integral for M_{F_*} with $v_{F_*} \in \mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ and the non-degenerate character ψ_{F_*} of $N(F_*)$. Hence it follows from Theorem 4.8 in [4] that the integral (6.16) can be extended to an entire function on $\mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$. Consequently we obtain the corollary.

We summarize the above results in the following;

THEOREM 6.6. *For any $\psi \in N^*$, the integral $W(x: v, \psi)$ ($x \in G$) can be continued to a meromorphic function of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, which remains to be an element of $C^\infty_{\psi}(G/K, \chi_v)$.*

DEFINITION 6.7. We say that $W(x: v, \psi)$ is the *class one Whittaker function*

on G of type (v, ψ) , or simply the Whittaker function on G .

In what follows, we shall relate the Whittaker function $W(x: v, \psi)$ on G with the Whittaker function $W_{F*}(m_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*})$ on M_{F*} . We recall that $s_*^{-1}P_F s_* = P_{F*}$ and $s_*^{-1}M_F s_* = M_{F*}$.

LEMMA 6.8. *Keeping the above notations, we have*

$$(6.19) \quad W(m: v, \psi) = c^{F*}(v) W_{F*}(m_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*})$$

where $m \in M_F$ and $m_* = s_*^{-1}ms_* \in M_{F*}$.

PROOF. To begin with, we shall show the lemma when $h \in A(F)$. Remember that $W(h: v, \psi) = h^{so\psi + \rho} W(v, \psi^h)$ and moreover it holds from Corollary 6.5 that $W(v, \psi^h) = c^{F*}(v) W_{F*}(v_{F*}, (\psi^h)_{F*})$. By definition, we have

$$(\psi^h)_{F*}(n_2) = \psi^h(s_* n_2 s_*^{-1}) = \psi(s_* h_* n_2 h_*^{-1} s_*^{-1})$$

where $n_2 \in N(F_*)$ and $h_* = s_*^{-1}hs_*$. Since $h \in A(F)$ and hence $h_* \in A(F_*)$, we can conclude that $(\psi^h)_{F*} = (\psi_{F*})^{h*}$. Consequently,

$$W(v, \psi^h) = c^{F*}(v) W_{F*}(v_{F*}, (\psi_{F*})^{h*}) \quad (h \in A(F)).$$

On the other hand, we can easily obtain, as in (6.7),

$$W_{F*}(h_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*}) = h_*^{s_1 v + \rho(F_*)} W_{F*}(v_{F*}, (\psi_{F*})^{h*})$$

where $\rho(F_*) = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F_*)} m(\alpha)\alpha$. Since

$$h_*^{s_1 v + \rho(F_*)} = h_*^{s_*(s_1 v + \rho(F_*))} = h^{s_1 v + \rho(F)}$$

where $\rho(F) = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} m(\alpha)\alpha$ and moreover $h^{\rho(F)} = h^\rho$ for $h \in A(F)$, we have

$$(6.20) \quad W(h: v, \psi) = h^{so\psi + \rho} W(v, \psi^h) = c^{F*}(v) W_{F*}(h_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*})$$

where $h \in A(F)$ and $h_* = s_*^{-1}hs_*$. This proves the lemma when $m = h \in A(F)$. Let $m = nhk$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of $m \in M_F$. Then $n \in N(F)$, $h \in A(F)$ and $k \in K(F)$. Correspondingly, the Iwasawa decomposition of $m_* = s_*^{-1}ms_* \in M_{F*}$ is given by $m_* = n_*h_*k_*$ where $n_* = s_*^{-1}ns_* \in N(F_*)$, $h_* = s_*^{-1}hs_* \in A(F_*)$ and $k_* = s_*^{-1}ks_* \in K(F_*)$. From (6.8), we know that $W(m: v, \psi) = \psi(n)W(h: v, \psi)$. On the other hand, we can easily obtain $W_{F*}(m_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*}) = \psi_{F*}(n_*)W_{F*}(h_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*})$. Since $n_* = s_*^{-1}ns_*$, we have $\psi_{F*}(n_*) = \psi(n)$. Combining these facts with (6.20), we obtain the lemma.

COROLLARY 6.9. *Retain the above notations. If we write $x \in G$ as $x = n_1 h_1 mk$ according to the decomposition $G = N_F A_F M_F K$, we obtain*

$$W(x: v, \psi) = c^{F*}(v) h_1^{so\psi + \rho_F} W_{F*}(m_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*})$$

where $m_* = s_*^{-1} m s_*$.

§ 7. The connection between $W(x: v, \psi)$ and $V(x: v, \psi)$

We have already seen in Theorem 5.4 that for $v \in \Omega'_F$, the functions $V(x: sv, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) form a basis of $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$. On the other hand, we have shown in Theorem 6.6 that $W(x: v, \psi) \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$. Hence there exist complex numbers $b_s(v, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) depending on v and ψ such that for $v \in \Omega'_F$, $\psi \in N^*$ and $x \in G$,

$$(7.1) \quad W(x: v, \psi) = \sum_{s \in W} b_s(v, \psi) V(x: sv, \psi).$$

Our aim is to decide $b_s(v, \psi)$ for $s \in W$. We start with the following lemmas. Let \mathfrak{a}_0^+ (resp. \mathfrak{a}_0^-) be the set of $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ such that $\alpha(H) > 0$ (resp. $\alpha(H) < 0$) for all $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$.

LEMMA 7.1. *Put $h_t = \exp(tH)$ where $t > 0$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0^-$. Then for $v \in D$ and $\psi \in N^*$, we have*

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t^{-(sv+\rho)} W(h_t: v, \psi) = c(v)$$

where $c(v)$ denotes Harish-Chandra's c -function.

PROOF. It follows from (6.7) that for $v \in D$,

$$h_t^{-(sv+\rho)} W(h_t: v, \psi) = \int_N 1_v(s_0^{-1}n) \psi^{h_t}(n)^{-1} dn.$$

If we assume that $\psi = \psi_n$ and $n = \exp(\sum X_{\alpha})$ where $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_0^{\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma_+$), then $\psi^{h_t}(n) = \exp(i\eta(\sum h_t^{\alpha} X_{\alpha}))$. Since $h_t \in \exp(\mathfrak{a}_0^-)$, it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ and hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^{h_t}(n) = 1$ for all $n \in N$. Thus we conclude from Proposition 6.1 that for $v \in D$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{-(sv+\rho)} W(h_t: v, \psi) = \int_N 1_v(s_0^{-1}n) dn.$$

But the right hand side is clearly equal to $c(v)$.

LEMMA 7.2. *Let h_t be as in Lemma 7.1. Then for $v \in D \cap \Omega'_F$, $\psi \in N^*$ and $s \in W$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{-(sv+\rho)} V(h_t: sv, \psi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = s_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \neq s_0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We note that

$$h^{-(sv+\rho)} V(h: sv, \psi) = h^{sv-sv} \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} a_{\lambda}(sv) h^{\lambda}$$

where the right hand side is convergent absolutely and uniformly for $(h, v) \in A \times \Omega'_F$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \in L(F)'$, to prove the lemma we have only to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{sv - s_0 v} = 0$ if $s \neq s_0$. Note that $(sv - s_0 v)(H) = (s_0^{-1} sv - v)(s_0^{-1} H)$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ and if $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0^-$ then $s_0^{-1} H \in \mathfrak{a}_0^+$. Since $v \in D$, that is, $\operatorname{Re}(\langle v, \alpha \rangle) > 0$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$, we can deduce from Lemma 3.3.2.1 in [14] that $\operatorname{Re}(v(s_0^{-1} H)) > \operatorname{Re}(s_0^{-1} sv(s_0^{-1} H))$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0^-$ and $s \neq s_0$. This means that $\operatorname{Re}((sv - s_0 v)(H)) < 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0^-$ and $s \neq s_0$. Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{sv - s_0 v} = 0$.

Applying Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 to (7.1), we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.3. *For $v \in D \cap \Omega'_F$ and $\psi \in N^*$ we have*

$$(7.3) \quad b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v).$$

To proceed further, we first assume that ψ is a non-degenerate character and hence $F = \Pi$. In this case we simply write $\Omega' = \Omega'_{\Pi}$. If we set

$$\Psi(h; v, \psi) = h^{-\rho} W(h; v, \psi) \quad \text{for } h \in A,$$

then it follows from (7.1) that

$$(7.4) \quad \Psi(h; v, \psi) = \sum_{s \in W} b_s(v, \psi) \Phi(h; sv, \psi).$$

LEMMA 7.4. *Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_w$ be the homogeneous generators of $S(\mathfrak{a})$ over J introduced in §2. Then $w \times w$ matrix*

$$(\Phi(h_0; \omega_i; sv, \psi))_{1 \leq i \leq w, s \in W}$$

is non-singular for any $h_0 \in A$ and $v \in \Omega'$.

PROOF. For otherwise, we can choose complex numbers a_s ($s \in W$), not all zero, such that $\sum_{s \in W} a_s \Phi(h_0; \omega_i; sv, \psi) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq w$). Put $f(h) = \sum_{s \in W} a_s \Phi(h; sv, \psi)$ for $h \in A$. Then $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_{\cdot})$. Since $f(h_0; \omega_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq w$), we conclude from the proof of Theorem 3.3 that $f(h_0; p) = 0$ for all $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$. But since f is analytic and A is connected, this implies $f = 0$ on A . On the other hand, $\Phi(h; sv, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) are linearly independent and hence $a_s = 0$ for all $s \in W$. This contradicts our choice of a_s .

LEMMA 7.5. *The coefficients $b_s(v, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) are holomorphic functions on Ω' .*

PROOF. Fix $h \in A$. From the above lemma, there exist holomorphic functions $a_{si}(v)$ on Ω' ($s \in W$, $1 \leq i \leq w$) such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} a_{si}(v) \Phi(h; \omega_i; tv, \psi) = 1$ or 0 according as $t = s$ or not. Hence from (7.4) we conclude

$$b_s(v, \psi) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} a_{si}(v) \Psi(h; \omega_i; v, \psi).$$

Since ψ is a non-degenerate character, $W(h; v, \psi)$ is an entire function of v and

hence $\Psi(h; \omega_i; v, \psi)$ are also entire functions of v . Thus we establish the lemma.

We have shown in [4] that for a non-degenerate character ψ , the Whittaker function $W(x; v, \psi)$ satisfies the functional equations

$$(7.5) \quad W(x; v, \psi) = M(s, v, \psi)W(x; sv, \psi)$$

for each $s \in W$. Here $M(s, v, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) are meromorphic functions of v , which are determined recursively as follows. If $s = s_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \Pi$), then

$$(7.6) \quad M(s_\alpha, v, \psi) = e_\alpha(v)e_\alpha(-v)^{-1}(|\eta_\alpha|/2(2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{2v_\alpha}$$

where $e_\alpha(v)$ is given by

$$e_\alpha(v)^{-1} = \Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2 + 1))\Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2 + m(2\alpha))).$$

If $s \in W$ and $\alpha \in \Pi$ such that $l(s_\alpha s) = l(s) + 1$, then

$$(7.7) \quad M(s_\alpha s, v, \psi) = M(s, v, \psi)M(s_\alpha, sv, \psi).$$

Here $l(s)$ denotes the length of $s \in W$.

LEMMA 7.7. *For $s \in W$, we have*

$$b_s(v, \psi) = M(s_0 s, v, \psi)b_{s_0}(s_0 sv, \psi).$$

PROOF. Combining (7.5) with (7.1), we can easily obtain that

$$b_s(v, \psi) = b_{st^{-1}}(tv, \psi)M(t, v, \psi)$$

for $s, t \in W$. In particular, if we take $t = s_0^{-1}s = s_0s$, we have the lemma.

THEOREM 7.8. *Let ψ be a non-degenerate character of N . Then $b_s(v, \psi)$ ($s \in W$) are holomorphic functions on Ω' and they are given by*

$$(7.8) \quad b_s(v, \psi) = M(s_0 s, v, \psi)c(s_0 sv)$$

and consequently it holds that

$$(7.9) \quad W(x; v, \psi) = \sum_{s \in W} M(s_0 s, v, \psi)c(s_0 sv)V(x; sv, \psi).$$

PROOF. In view of Lemma 7.7, it is enough to show that $b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v)$ for $v \in \Omega'$. But from Lemma 7.3, it follows that $b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v)$ for $v \in D \cap \Omega'$. Since Ω' is connected and both $b_{s_0}(v, \psi)$ and $c(v)$ are holomorphic on Ω' , we conclude that $b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v)$ on Ω' .

Now we shall consider the case when ψ is not necessarily a non-degenerate character. We set $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$ and $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F$. Let m_* (resp. \mathfrak{k}_*) be

the complexification of the Lie algebra of M_{F_*} (resp. $K(F_*)$) and let $U(\mathfrak{m}_*)^{t*}$ be the centralizer of \mathfrak{k}_* in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{m}_*)$ of \mathfrak{m}_* . For $v_* \in \mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ (the complex dual space of $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$), we define, as in (2.2), an algebra homomorphism χ_{v_*} of $U(\mathfrak{m}_*)^{t*}$ into \mathbf{C} . Let ψ_* be a character of $N(F_*)$. We denote by $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$ the space of $f \in C^\infty(M_{F_*})$ such that

- (I) $f(n_* m_* k_*) = \psi_*(n_*) f(m_*) \quad (n_* \in N(F_*), m_* \in M_{F_*}, k_* \in K(F_*)),$
- (II) $z f = \chi_{v_*}(z) f \quad \text{for all } z \in U(\mathfrak{m}_*)^{t*}.$

As in §4, we shall construct a basis of $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$. Let $L(F_*)$ be the set of all linear forms on $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$ which are linear combinations of elements of F_* with nonnegative integer coefficients. We consider a series

$$(7.10) \quad \Phi_{F_*}(h_*: v_*, \psi_*) = h_*^{v_*} \sum_{\lambda \in L(F_*)} a_\lambda(v_*) h_*^\lambda$$

where $h_* \in A(F_*)$ and a_λ ($\lambda \in L(F_*)$) are defined by the recursion formula: $a_0 = 1$ and

$$(7.11) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, v_* \rangle) a_\lambda(v_*) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_\alpha^*|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v_*)$$

for $\lambda \in L(F_*) - \{0\}$. Here η^* denotes the Lie algebra homomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}_0(F_*)$ into \mathbf{R} that corresponds to ψ_* . Then, as in Lemma 4.6, it defines a smooth function on $A(F_*)$, which is holomorphic in $v_* \in \mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$. Here ' $\mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ ' denotes the complement in $\mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ of all hyperplanes σ_λ ($\lambda \in L(F_*) - \{0\}$). Moreover if we set

$$(7.12) \quad V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \psi_*(n_*) h_*^{\rho(F_*)} \Phi_{F_*}(h_*: v_*, \psi_*)$$

where $m_* = n_* h_* k_*$ is the Iwasawa decomposition of $m_* \in M_{F_*}$, then we can deduce from Corollary 4.11 that $V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*)$ belongs to $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$. Let $\Omega(F_*)'$ be the set of regular elements v_* in $\mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ such that $sv_* \in \mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ for all $s \in W_{F_*}$ and $sv_* - tv_* \notin L(F_*)^\sim$ for any pair $(s, t) \in W_{F_*} \times W_{F_*}$ with $s \neq t$. Then as in Theorem 5.4, we see that for $v_* \in \Omega(F_*)'$ the functions $V_{F_*}(m_*: sv_*, \psi_*)$ ($s \in W_{F_*}$) form a basis of $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$.

In the following, we assume that $v_* = v_{F_*}$ and $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$. We remark that since v_* is the restriction of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ to $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$ it holds that $(v_*)_x = v_x$ where $(v_*)_x = \langle v_*, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ for $\alpha \in F_*$. Moreover we remark that ψ_* is a non-degenerate character of $N(F_*)$ and it follows from the definition of ψ_{F_*} that $\eta_x^* = \eta_{s_* x}$ for $\alpha \in F_*$.

LEMMA 7.9. *Let $v_* = v_{F_*}$ and $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$. Then it holds that*

$$\Phi_{F_*}(h_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \Phi(h: s_* v, \psi)$$

where $h \in A(F)$, $h_* = s_*^{-1} h s_* \in A(F_*)$.

PROOF. We recall that $\Phi(h: s_* v, \psi)$ is defined by

$$\Phi(h: s_* v, \psi) = h^{s_* v} \sum_{\mu \in L(F)} a_\mu(s_* v) h^\mu$$

where a_μ ($\mu \in L(F)$) are given by $a_0 = 1$ and

$$(7.13) \quad (\langle \mu, \mu \rangle + 2 \langle \mu, s_* v \rangle) a_\mu(s_* v) = 2 \sum_{\beta \in F} |\eta_\beta|^2 a_{\mu - 2\beta}(s_* v)$$

for $\mu \in L(F)'$. Since $s_* F_* = F$ and the map $\lambda \mapsto s_* \lambda$ is a bijection of $L(F_*)$ onto $L(F)$, we can rewrite (7.13) as

$$(\langle s_* \lambda, s_* \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle s_* \lambda, s_* v \rangle) a_{s_* \lambda}(s_* v) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_{s_* \alpha}|^2 a_{s_*(\lambda - 2\alpha)}(s_* v)$$

where $\lambda \in L(F_*)'$. Since s_* preserves $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we have

$$(7.14) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle) a_{s_* \lambda}(s_* v) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_{s_* \alpha}|^2 a_{s_*(\lambda - 2\alpha)}(s_* v).$$

On the other hand, the recursion formula of $a_\lambda(v_*)$ in (7.11) can be written as

$$(7.15) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle) a_\lambda(v) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_{s_* \alpha}|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v),$$

since $v_* = v_{F_*}$ and $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$. Comparing (7.14) with (7.15), we can conclude that $a_{s_* \lambda}(s_* v) = a_\lambda(v)$ for all $\lambda \in L(F_*)$. Hence

$$\Phi(h: s_* v, \psi) = h^{s_* v} \sum_{\alpha \in L(F_*)} a_\lambda(v) h^{s_* \lambda} = h_*^v \sum_{\alpha \in L(F_*)} a_\lambda(v) h_*^\lambda,$$

which implies the lemma.

COROLLARY 7.10. *Under the same assumption as in Lemma 7.9, we have*

$$V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = V(m: s_* v, \psi)$$

where $m \in M_F$ and $m_* = s_*^{-1} m s_* \in M_{F_*}$.

PROOF. Let $m = nhk$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of m . Then the Iwasawa decomposition of m_* is given by $m_* = n_* h_* k_*$ where $n_* = s_*^{-1} n s_*$, $h_* = s_*^{-1} h s_*$ and $k_* = s_*^{-1} k s_*$. By definition, we have

$$V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \psi_*(n_*) h_*^{\rho(F_*)} \Phi_{F_*}(h_*: v_*, \psi_*).$$

Since $\psi_*(n_*) = \psi(n)$, $h_*^{\rho(F_*)} = h^{\rho(F)}$ and $\Phi_{F_*}(h_*: v_*, \psi_*)$ is equal to $\Phi(h: s_* v, \psi)$, we get

$$V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \psi(n) h^{\rho(F)} \Phi(h: s_* v, \psi).$$

But the right hand side is clearly equal to $V(m: s_* v, \psi)$.

Keeping the assumption $v_* = v_{F_*}$ and $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$, we shall consider the Whittaker function $W_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*)$ on M_{F_*} introduced in (6.16). Following the same line of the proof of Theorem 6.6, we can conclude that $W_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) \in C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$. Hence it can be written as

$$(7.16) \quad W_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \sum_{s \in W_{F_*}} b_s(v_*, \psi_*) V_{F_*}(m_*: sv_*, \psi_*)$$

for suitable constants $b_s(v_*, \psi_*)$ ($s \in W_{F*}$). Since ψ_* is a non-degenerate character of $N(F_*)$, $W_{F*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*)$ is an entire function of $v_* \in \mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ and satisfies the functional equations

$$W_{F*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*) = M(s, v_*, \psi_*)W_{F*}(m_*; sv, \psi_*)$$

for all $s \in W_{F*}$. Here $M(s, v_*, \psi_*)$ ($s \in W_{F*}$) are defined recursively, by replacing v and η by v_* and η_* respectively in (7.6) and (7.7). We remark that since $(v_*)_\alpha = v_\alpha$ and $\eta_\alpha^* = \eta_{s*\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in F_*$ we may write $e_\alpha(v_*) = e_\alpha(v)$ and

$$(7.17) \quad M(s_\alpha, v_*, \psi_*) = e_\alpha(v)e_\alpha(-v)^{-1}(\eta_{s*\alpha}/2(2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{2v_\alpha}$$

for $\alpha \in F_*$. Furthermore we can deduce, as in Theorem 7.8, that the coefficients $b_s(v_*, \psi_*)$ are holomorphic in $\Omega(F_*)'$ and they are given by

$$(7.18) \quad b_s(v_*, \psi_*) = M(s_1 s, v_*, \psi_*) c_{F*}(s_1 sv)$$

where s_1 is the longest element of W_{F*} and c_{F*} is the c -function of M_{F*} , which is given by

$$(7.19) \quad c_{F*}(v) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+^0(F_*)} c_\alpha(v).$$

LEMMA 7.11. *Let $v_* = v_{F*}$ and $\psi_* = \psi_{F*}$. Then we have*

$$(7.20) \quad W(m; v, \psi) = c^{F*}(v) \sum_{s \in W_{F*}} c_{F*}(s_1 sv) M(s_1 s, v_*, \psi_*) V(m; s_* sv, \psi)$$

for $m \in M_F$ and $v_* \in \Omega(F_*)'$.

PROOF. We have already seen in Lemma 6.8 that $W(m; v, \psi) = c^{F*}(v)W_{F*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*)$ where $m_* = s_*^{-1}ms_*$. On the other hand, from Corollary 7.10 it follows that $V_{F*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*) = V(m; s_* v, \psi)$. Hence by (7.16), we have

$$W(m; v, \psi) = c^{F*}(v) \sum_{s \in W_{F*}} b_s(v_*, \psi_*) V(m; s_* sv, \psi).$$

Since $b_s(v_*, \psi_*)$ ($s \in W_{F*}$) are given by (7.18), the lemma follows.

THEOREM 7.12. *Let ψ be a character of N and define F and F_* by $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$ and $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F$. Let v_* be the restriction of $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ to $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$ and let ψ_* be the character of $N(F_*)$ defined by $\psi_*(n_*) = \psi(s_* n_* s_*^{-1})$ for $n_* \in N(F_*)$. Then the Whittaker function $W(x; v, \psi)$ on G can be expressed for $v_* \in \Omega(F_*)'$ as follows;*

$$W(x; v, \psi) = c^{F*}(v) \sum_{s \in W_{F*}} c_{F*}(s_1 sv) M(s_1 s, v_*, \psi_*) V(x; s_* sv, \psi).$$

Here the functions $c^{F*}(v)$ and $c_{F*}(v)$ are meromorphic functions on \mathfrak{a}^* given by (6.14) and (7.19) respectively. Moreover $M(s, v_*, \psi_*)$ ($s \in W_{F*}$) are meromorphic functions of v_* , which are determined recursively as follows; if $s = s_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in F_*$),

then $M(s_\alpha v_*, \psi_*)$ is given by (7.17) and if $s \in W_{F*}$ and $\alpha \in F_*$ such that $l(s_\alpha s) = l(s) + 1$, then $M(s_\alpha s, v_*, \psi_*) = M(s, v_*, \psi_*)M(s_\alpha sv_*, \psi_*)$. Finally the function $V(x: v, \psi)$ on G is already introduced in (4.10).

PROOF. If we write $x = n_1 h_1 m k$ following the decomposition $G = N_F A_F M_F K$, we can easily obtain

$$W(x: v, \psi) = h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_F} W(m: v, \psi)$$

and

$$V(x: s_* sv, \psi) = h_1^{s_* sv + \rho_F} V(m: s_* sv, \psi).$$

But since $h_1^{s_* sv} = (h_1)_*^{sv}$ and $(h_1)_* = s_*^{-1} h_1 s_* \in A_{F*}$, it holds that $h_1^{s_* sv + \rho_F} = h_1^{s_* v + \rho_F}$ for all $s \in W_{F*}$. Similarly since $s_0 = s_* s_1$, we have $h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_F} = h_1^{s_* v + \rho_F}$. Consequently, by Lemma 7.11 we can obtain the theorem immediately.

§8. An example

In this section we consider the case when $\psi \in N^*$ such that the corresponding subset $F(\psi)$ of Π consists of only one element α . We will show that in this case the Whittaker function $W(x: v, \psi)$ can be written in terms of the modified Bessel function of second kind. In what follows, we set $F = F(\psi) = \{\alpha\}$, $\beta = -s_0^{-1}\alpha$ and hence $F_* = \{\beta\}$.

THEOREM 8.1. *Let $\psi \in N^*$ satisfying the above condition. If we write $h \in A$ as $h = h_1 h_2$ where $h_1 \in A_F$ and $h_2 \in A(F)$, we have*

$$(8.1) \quad W(h: v, \psi) = c(v)\Gamma(-(s_0 v)_\alpha)^{-1} h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_\alpha} K(h_2: v, \psi)$$

and

$$(8.2) \quad K(h_2: v, \psi) = 2(|\eta_\alpha|/(2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{-(s_0 v)_\alpha} h_2^{\rho(\alpha)} K_{(s_0 v)_\alpha}(2|\eta_\alpha| h_2^\alpha / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})$$

where $\rho(\alpha) = (m(\alpha)/2 + m(2\alpha))\alpha$, $\rho_\alpha = \rho - \rho(\alpha)$ and $K_{(s_0 v)_\alpha}$ is the modified Bessel function of second kind and order $(s_0 v)_\alpha$.

In particular when G is of real rank one and $F(\psi) = \Pi = \{\alpha\}$, then for $h \in A$ we get

$$(8.3) \quad W(h: v, \psi) = 2c_\alpha(v)\Gamma(v_\alpha)^{-1} (|\eta_\alpha|/(2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{v_\alpha} h^\rho K_{v_\alpha}(2|\eta_\alpha| h^\alpha / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2}).$$

PROOF. Put $s_* = s_0 s_\beta^{-1}$. Then we have already seen in Corollary 6.9 that for $h_1 \in A_F$ and $h_2 \in A(F)$,

$$(8.4) \quad W(h_1 h_2: v, \psi) = c(v)c_\beta(v)^{-1} h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_\alpha} W_{F*}((h_2)_*: v_{F*}, \psi_{F*})$$

where $(h_2)_* = s_*^{-1} h_2 s_*$. Here we used the facts that $\rho_F = \rho_\alpha$ and $c^{F*}(v) =$

$c(v)c_\beta(v)^{-1}$. In the following we compute $W_{F_*}(h_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$ for $h \in A(F)$ explicitly. In the proof of Lemma 6.8 we have shown that

$$W_{F_*}(h_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = h^{sov + \rho(\alpha)} W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, (\psi^h)_{F_*}),$$

which can be given by the integral

$$h^{sov + \rho(\alpha)} \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}) \psi^h(s_0 \bar{n} s_0^{-1})^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

(cf. (6.17)). Since $F_* = \{\beta\}$, we have $\bar{n}_0(F_*) = g_0^{-\beta} \oplus g_0^{-2\beta}$ and hence each $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(F_*)$ can be written uniquely as $\bar{n} = \exp(Y + Z)$ where $Y \in g_0^{-\beta}$ and $Z \in g_0^{-2\beta}$. But since $-s_0\beta = \alpha$ and hence $\text{Ad}(s_0)g_0^{-\beta} = g_0^\alpha$, we conclude that if $\bar{n} = \exp(Y + Z)$,

$$\psi^h(s_0 \bar{n} s_0^{-1}) = \exp\{ih^\alpha \eta_\alpha(\text{Ad}(s_0)Y)\}.$$

For simplicity, we introduce a linear form ζ_β on $g_0^{-\beta}$ by $\zeta_\beta(Y) = \eta_\alpha(\text{Ad}(s_0)Y)$. Then it is clear that $|\zeta_\beta| = |\eta_\alpha|$. On the other hand, G. Schiffmann showed in [10] that if $\bar{n} = \exp(Y + Z)$,

$$1_v(\bar{n}) = \{(1 + 2^{-1}\langle \beta, \beta \rangle |Y|^2)^2 + 2\langle \beta, \beta \rangle |Z|^2\}^{-\mu}$$

where $|Y|^2 = -B(Y, \theta Y)$, $|Z|^2 = -B(Z, \theta Z)$ and $\mu = (v_\beta + m(\beta)/2 + m(2\beta))/2$. Consequently $W_{F_*}(h_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & h^{sov + \rho(\alpha)} \int_{g_0^{-\beta} \times g_0^{-2\beta}} \{(1 + 2^{-1}\langle \beta, \beta \rangle |Y|^2)^2 \\ & \quad + 2\langle \beta, \beta \rangle |Z|^2\}^{-\mu} \exp\{-ih^\alpha \zeta_\beta(Y)\} dY dZ. \end{aligned}$$

The above integral can be explicitly calculated (cf. [4]) and the result is

$$2c_\beta(v)\Gamma(v_\beta)^{-1}(|\zeta_\beta|/(2\langle \beta, \beta \rangle)^{1/2})^{v_\beta} h^{sov + \rho(\alpha) + v_\beta \alpha} K_{-v_\beta}(2|\zeta_\beta|h^\alpha/(2\langle \beta, \beta \rangle)^{1/2}).$$

Since $\beta = -s_0^{-1}\alpha$, it follows that $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$, $v_\beta = -(s_0 v)_\alpha$ and hence $h^{sov + v_\beta \alpha} = 1$ for $h \in A(F)$. In view of the fact that $|\zeta_\beta| = |\eta_\alpha|$, we can deduce that $W_{F_*}(h_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$ is equal to

$$c_\beta(v)\Gamma(-(s_0 v)_\alpha)^{-1} K(h: v, \psi).$$

Combining this with (8.4), we obtain (8.1). If G is of real rank one, then $s_0 = s_\alpha$ and $-s_0 v = v$. Moreover since $F = \Pi$, it holds that $A(F) = A$. If we note that $c(v) = c_\alpha(v)$ and the modified Bessel function satisfies $K_{-v_\alpha} = K_{v_\alpha}$, we conclude that (8.3) is a direct consequence of (8.1).

References

- [1] W. Casselman and J. Shalika, The unramified principal series of p-adic groups II; The Whittaker function, *Compositio Math.*, **41** (1980), 387–406.
- [2] R. Goodman and N. Wallach, Whittaker vectors and conical vectors, *J. Functional Analysis*, **39** (1980), 199–279.
- [3] Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semi-simple Lie group I, *Amer. J. Math.*, **80** (1958), 241–310, II, *ibid.*, 553–613.
- [4] M. Hashizume, Whittaker models for real reductive groups, *Japan. J. Math.*, **5** (1979), 349–401.
- [5] S. Helgason, A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations, *Advances in Math.*, **5** (1970), 1–154.
- [6] H. Jacquet, Fonctions de Whittaker associées aux groupes de Chevalley, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **95** (1967), 243–309.
- [7] H. Jacquet and R. Langlands, Automorphic forms on $GL(2)$, *Lecture Notes in Math.* No. 114, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [8] H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and J. Shalika, Automorphic forms on $GL(3)$ I, *Ann. of Math.*, **109** (1979), 169–212, II, *ibid.*, 213–258.
- [9] B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory, *Inventiones Math.*, **48** (1978), 101–184.
- [10] G. Schiffmann, Intégrales d'entrelacement et fonctions de Whittaker, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **99** (1971), 3–72.
- [11] F. Shahidi, Whittaker models for real groups, *Duke Math. J.*, **47** (1980), 99–125.
- [12] J. Shalika, The multiplicity one theorem for $GL(n)$, *Ann. of Math.*, **100** (1974), 171–193.
- [13] T. Shintani, On a explicit formula for class 1 Whittaker functions on GL_n over p-adic fields, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **52** (1976), 180–182.
- [14] G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups Vols. I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

