

## Boundedness of singular integral operators of Calderón type (IV)

Takafumi MURAI

(Received October 24, 1983)

(Revised February 6, 1984)

### 1. Introduction

We denote by  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) the  $L^p$ -space on the real line  $\mathbf{R}$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  with respect to the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure  $|\cdot|$ . We denote by  $S^\infty$  the totality of rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ . We say that a locally integrable function  $f(x)$  is of bounded mean oscillation if  $\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup (1/|I|) \int_I |f(x) - m_I f| dx < \infty$ , where  $m_I f = (1/|I|) \int_I f(x) dx$  and the supremum is taken over all finite intervals  $I$ . The space  $BMO$  of functions of bounded mean oscillation, modulo constants, is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{BMO}$ . For  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and a complex-valued kernel  $K(x, y)$  ( $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ), we define  $\omega_\delta(K)$  by the infimum over all  $A$ 's with the following three inequalities:

$$|K(x, y)| \leq A/|x-y| \quad (x \neq y)$$

$$|K(x, y) - K(x', y)| \leq A|x-x'|^\delta/|x-y|^{1+\delta} \quad (|x-x'| \leq |x-y|/2, x \neq y)$$

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, y')| \leq A|y-y'|^\delta/|x-y|^{1+\delta} \quad (|y-y'| \leq |x-y|/2, x \neq y).$$

(If such an  $A$  does not exist, we put  $\omega_\delta(K) = \infty$ .) We say that  $K(x, y)$  is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel (CZ-kernel), if  $\omega_\delta(K) < \infty$  for some  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ ,

$$Kf(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x, y)f(y) dy = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} K(x, y)f(y) dy$$

exists almost everywhere (a.e.) for any  $f \in L^2$  and  $\|K\| = \sup \{\|Kf\|_2/\|f\|_2; f \in L^2\} < \infty$ . For a CZ-kernel  $K(x, y)$ , a complex-valued function  $h(x)$  and a real-valued function  $\phi(x)$ , we put

$$K[h, \phi](x, y) = K(x, y)h\left\{\frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{x - y}\right\}.$$

Calderón [1] showed that  $K[h, \phi]$  is a CZ-kernel if  $K(x, y) = 1/(x-y)$ ,  $\phi' \in L^\infty$  and  $h(x)$  is extended as an entire function, where " $\phi' \in L^\infty$ " implies that  $\phi(x)$  is differentiable a.e. and its derivative is essentially bounded. Coifman-David-Meyer [4] showed that Calderón's theorem is valid with the above condition on  $h(x)$  replaced by " $h \in S^\infty$ ". The author [7] showed that their theorem

is valid with “ $\phi' \in L^\infty$ ” replaced by “ $\phi' \in BMO$ ”. The purpose of this paper is to show an analogous property for CZ-kernels  $K(x, y)$  defined by pseudo-differential operators of classic order 0.

Given a non-negative integer  $n$ , we say that an infinitely differentiable function  $\tau(x, \xi)$  in  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  is a symbol of (classic) order  $n$  if, to any pair  $(p, q)$  of non-negative integers, there corresponds a constant  $C(p, q)$  such that

$$(1) \quad |\partial_x^p \partial_\xi^q \tau(x, \xi)| \leq C(p, q)(1 + |\xi|)^{n-q} \quad (x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}).$$

We denote by  $C(p, q; \tau)$  the infimum of  $C(p, q)$ 's satisfying (1) and put  $\mathfrak{C}(\tau) = \{C(p, q; \tau)\}_{(p,q)}$ . We write  $\mathfrak{C}(\tau) \leq \mathfrak{C}_0 = \{C_0(p, q)\}_{(p,q)}$  if  $C(p, q; \tau) \leq C_0(p, q)$  for any pair  $(p, q)$ . The pseudo-differential operator  $\tau(x, D)$  from  $S^\infty$  to  $C^\infty$  associated with  $\tau(x, \xi)$  is defined by

$$\tau(x, D)f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \tau(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \quad (f \in S^\infty),$$

where  $\hat{f}(\xi)$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f(x)$  and  $C^\infty$  the totality of infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ . We say that  $K(x, y)$  is defined by  $\tau(x, D)$  if

$$(2) \quad Kf(x) = \tau(x, D)f(x) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (f \in S^\infty).$$

Let us note that, for  $K(x, y)$  defined by a pseudo-differential operator of order 0, there exists a sequence  $(K_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  of CZ-kernels such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} K_m(x, y) = K(x, y)$  a.e. in  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $\sup_m \|K_m\| < \infty$  ([3, p. 83]). We show

**THEOREM 1.** *For any  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_\delta$  depending only on  $\delta$  with the following property: If  $K(x, y)$  is a CZ-kernel with  $\omega_\delta(K) < \infty$  and  $\rho_K(n_\delta) < \infty$ , then  $K[h, \phi]$  is also a CZ-kernel as long as  $\phi' \in BMO$  and  $h \in S^\infty$ , where*

$$\rho_K(n_\delta) = \sup \{ \|K[t^n, \psi]\|; n = 0, 1, \dots, n_\delta, \|\psi'\|_\infty \leq 1 (\psi' \in L^\infty) \}.$$

As an application of this theorem, we show

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $K(x, y)$  be a CZ-kernel defined by a pseudo-differential operator of order 0. Then  $K[h, \phi]$  is also a CZ-kernel as long as  $\phi' \in BMO$  and  $h \in S^\infty$ .*

## 2. Known facts

We use  $C$  for absolute constants. Throughout the paper, we fix  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and use  $C_\delta$  for constants depending only on  $\delta$ . The values of  $C, C_\delta$  differ in general from one occasion to another. We write by  $L_{\mathbf{R}}^\infty$  the totality of real-valued functions  $f(x)$  with  $f' \in L^\infty$ . For a kernel  $K(x, y)$  with  $\omega_\delta(K) < \infty$ , we define an operator  $K^*$  by

$$K^*f(x) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\varepsilon < |x-y| < \eta} K(x, y)f(y)dy \right| ; 0 < \varepsilon < \eta \right\} \quad (f \in L^2).$$

The norm  $\|K^*\|$  is analogously defined to  $\|K\|$ . We say that  $K(x, y)$  is a  $\delta$ -CZ-kernel if it is a CZ-kernel with  $\omega_\delta(K) < \infty$ . For  $\phi \in S^\infty$  and a pseudo-differential operator  $\tau(x, D)$ , we inductively define operators  $[\phi, \tau(\cdot, D)]_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) from  $S^\infty$  to  $C^\infty$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi, \tau(\cdot, D)]_1 f(x) &= \phi(x)\tau(x, D)f(x) - \tau(x, D)(\phi f)(x) \quad (f \in S^\infty), \\ [\phi, \tau(\cdot, D)]_n f(x) &= \phi(x)[\phi, \tau(\cdot, D)]_{n-1} f(x) \\ &\quad - [\phi, \tau(\cdot, D)]_{n-1}(\phi f)(x) \quad (n \geq 2, f \in S^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Here are some known facts necessary for the proof of our theorems.

LEMMA 3 (The Calderón-Zygmund decomposition: Journé [6, p. 12]). *Let  $f \in L^1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of mutually disjoint finite intervals such that, with  $J = \cup_{k=1}^\infty J_k$ ,*

$$|J| \leq \|f\|_1/\lambda, \quad m_{J_k}|f| \leq 2\lambda \quad (k \geq 1), \quad |f(x)| \leq \lambda \quad \text{a.e. in } J^c.$$

LEMMA 4 (cf. Journé [6, Chap. 4]). *For a kernel  $K(x, y)$ ,  $\|K^*\| \leq C_\delta\{\|K\| + \omega_\delta(K)\}$ .*

The following lemma is a version of David's theorem [6, p. 110]. Since the proof is analogous, we omit the proof.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $B \geq 0$  and let  $L(x, y)$  be a kernel with the following property: To every finite open interval  $I$ , there corresponds a pair  $(E_I, L_I)$  of a Borel set  $E_I$  in  $I$  with  $|E_I| \leq 2|I|/3$  and a kernel  $L_I = L_I(x, y)$  such that*

$$\|L_I^*\| \leq B, \quad \omega_\delta(L_I) \leq B$$

and

$$L_I(x, y) = L(x, y) \quad (x, y \in I - E_I).$$

Then  $\|L^*\| \leq C_\delta\{B + \omega_\delta(L)\}$ .

LEMMA 6 (Coifman-Meyer [2]). *Let  $\phi \in S^\infty$  and let  $\tau(x, D)$  be a pseudo-differential operator of order  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $[\phi, \tau(\cdot, D)]_n$  is uniquely extended as a bounded operator from  $L^2$  to itself and the norm is dominated by  $D_n(\tau)\|\phi'\|_n^2$ , where  $D_n(\tau)$  is a constant depending only on  $n$  and  $\mathfrak{C}(\tau)$ .*

LEMMA 7 (Coifman-Meyer [2]). *Let  $H(x, y) = 1/(x - y)$ . Then*

$$\|H[t^n, \phi]\| \leq D_n\|\phi'\|_n^2 \quad (n \geq 0, \phi \in L_R^\infty),$$

where  $D_n$  is a constant depending only on  $n$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. We begin by showing some lemmas.

**LEMMA 8.** *Let  $K(x, y)$  be an  $\eta$ -CZ-kernel ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ),  $h(t)$  a function in  $L^\infty$  with  $h' \in L^\infty$  and let  $\phi \in L_R^\infty$ . Then  $\omega_\eta(K[h, \phi]) \leq C\omega_\eta(K) \{\|h\|_\infty + \|h'\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_\infty\}$ . If  $0 < \eta < 1$  and  $\phi(x)$  is a real-valued function with  $\phi' \in BMO$ , then the above inequality is valid with  $\|\phi'\|_\infty$  and  $C$  replaced by  $\|\phi'\|_{BMO}$  and a constant depending only on  $\eta$ , respectively.*

**PROOF.** Since the first assertion is easily shown, we give only the proof of the second assertion. We have  $|K[h, \phi](x, y)| \leq \omega_\eta(K) \|h\|_\infty / |x - y|$  ( $x \neq y$ ). Let  $(x, x', y)$  be a triple of real numbers with  $0 < |x - x'| \leq |x - y|/2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= |K[h, \phi](x, y) - K[h, \phi](x', y)| \\ &\leq |K(x, y) - K(x', y)| \left| h \left\{ \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{x - y} \right\} \right| \\ &\quad + |K(x', y)| \left| h \left\{ \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{x - y} \right\} - h \left\{ \frac{\phi(x') - \phi(y)}{x' - y} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \omega_\eta(K) \|h\|_\infty |x - x'|^\eta / |x - y|^{1+\eta} \\ &\quad + \{\omega_\eta(K) \|h'\|_\infty / |x' - y|\} \left| \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{x - y} - \frac{\phi(x') - \phi(y)}{x' - y} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $Q' = |(\phi(x) - \phi(y))/(x - y) - (\phi(x') - \phi(y))/(x' - y)|$ , we consider the interval  $Y$  with endpoints  $x, x'$  and put  $\tilde{\phi}(s) = \phi(s) - (m_Y \phi')s$ . Let  $\nu$  be the smallest integer such that  $2^\nu |Y| \geq 2|x - y|$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) and let  $\tilde{Y}$  be the interval with midpoint  $x$  and of length  $2^\nu |Y|$ . Then we have  $\nu \leq C \log(|x - y|/|x - x'|)$  and  $|m_Y \phi' - m_{\tilde{Y}} \phi'| \leq C\nu \|\phi'\|_{BMO}$  (cf. [5, p. 142]). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q' &= \left| \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x) - \tilde{\phi}(y)}{x - y} - \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x') - \tilde{\phi}(y)}{x' - y} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(x' - x)}{(x - y)(x' - y)} (\tilde{\phi}(x) - \tilde{\phi}(y)) + \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x) - \tilde{\phi}(x')}{x' - y} \right| \\ &\leq C|x - x'|/(x - y)^2 \cdot \int_Y |\phi'(s) - m_Y \phi'| ds + C/|x - y| \cdot \int_Y |\phi'(s) - m_Y \phi'| ds \\ &\leq C\nu \|\phi'\|_{BMO} |x - x'|/|x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have, with a constant  $C'_\eta$  depending only on  $\eta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\leq \omega_\eta(K) \|h\|_\infty |x - x'|^\eta / |x - y|^{1+\eta} + C\omega_\eta(K) \|h'\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} \nu |x - x'|/(x - y)^2 \\ &\leq C'_\eta \omega_\eta(K) \{\|h\|_\infty + \|h'\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO}\} |x - x'|^\eta / |x - y|^{1+\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we have, for any triple  $(x, y, y')$  with  $0 < |y - y'| \leq |x - y|/2$ ,

$$|K[h, \phi](x, y) - K[h, \phi](x, y')| \leq C'_\eta \omega_\eta(K) \{ \|h\|_\infty + \|h'\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} \} |y - y'|^\eta / |x - y|^{1+\eta}.$$

Hence the required inequality holds.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 9. *There exist two constants  $n_\delta$  and  $M_\delta$  depending only on  $\delta$  such that, for any  $\delta$ -CZ-kernel  $K(x, y)$ ,*

$$(3) \quad \|K[t^n, \phi]^*\| \leq \{ \rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K) \} M_\delta^2 \|\phi'\|_\infty^2 \quad (n \geq n_\delta, \phi \in L_R^\infty).$$

PROOF. We choose  $n_\delta \geq 1$  and  $M_\delta$  later. Put

$$\rho_K^*(n) = \sup \{ \|K[t^j, \psi]^*\|; j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \|\psi'\|_\infty \leq 1 (\psi \in L_R^\infty) \} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Then we have

$$(4) \quad \rho_K^*(n) = \sup \{ \|K[t^j, \psi]^*\|; j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \|\psi'\|_\infty \leq 1 (\psi \in S^\infty) \}.$$

To see this, for  $\psi \in L_R^\infty$ , we choose a sequence  $(\psi_l)_{l=1}^\infty$  in  $S^\infty$  so that  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \psi_l(x) = \psi(x)$  ( $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ) and  $\|\psi_l'\|_\infty \leq \|\psi'\|_\infty$  ( $l \geq 1$ ). Then, for any  $f \in L^2$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $K[t^j, \psi]^* f(x) \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} K[t^j, \psi_l]^* f(x)$ . Hence Fatou's lemma shows that  $\|K[t^j, \psi]^*\| \leq \sup \{ \|K[t^j, \lambda]^*\|; \|\lambda'\|_\infty \leq \|\psi'\|_\infty (\lambda \in S^\infty) \}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n$ ), which gives that  $\rho_K^*(n)$  is dominated by the quantity in the right-hand side of (4). Since the inverse inequality evidently holds, we have (4).

Now let  $n \geq n_\delta$ . For a while we assume that  $\rho_K^*(m) < \infty$  for all  $m \geq 0$  and estimate  $\rho_K^*(n)$ . To do this, we choose  $\psi \in S^\infty$  so that  $\|\psi'\|_\infty \leq 1$ . With  $L = K[t^n, \psi]$ , we shall associate pairs  $\{(E_l, L_l)\}_l$  as in Lemma 5. Given a finite open interval  $I = (a, b)$ , we may assume that  $\psi(a) \leq \psi(b)$ ; otherwise we deal with  $-\psi(x)$ . We define  $\theta(x)$  by

$$(5) \quad \theta(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(a) & (x \leq a) \\ \inf \{ \lambda(x); \lambda \geq \psi \text{ on } I, \lambda' \geq -v/2, \lambda \in S^\infty \} & (a < x \leq b) \\ \psi(b) & (x > b), \end{cases}$$

where  $v = \|\psi'\|_\infty$ . Let

$$(6) \quad E_I = \{x \in I; \theta(x) \neq \psi(x)\}.$$

Since  $-v/2 \leq \theta'(x) \leq v$  everywhere and  $E_I \subset \{x \in I; \theta'(x) = -v/2\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \theta(b) - \theta(a) &= \int_I \theta'(x) dx = \int_{E_I} + \int_{I-E_I} \\ &\leq -v|E_I|/2 + v|I - E_I| = v(|I| - 3|E_I|/2), \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $|E_I| \leq 2|I|/3$ . We put  $L_I = K[t^n, \theta]$ . Using Lemma 8 with  $h(t) = \{(\text{sign } t) \min(|t|, 1)\}^n$ , we have  $\omega_\delta(L_I) = \omega_\delta(K[h, \theta]) \leq Cn\omega_\delta(K)$ . To estimate  $\|L_I^*\|$ , we put

$$(7) \quad \tilde{\theta}(x) = \theta(x) - \sigma v(x-a) \quad (\sigma = 1/4).$$

Then  $\|\tilde{\theta}'\|_\infty \leq 1 - \sigma$  and

$$L_I = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (\sigma v)^{n-j} (1-\sigma)^j K[t^j, \tilde{\theta}/(1-\sigma)].$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_I^*\| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (\sigma v)^{n-j} (1-\sigma)^j \|K[t^j, \tilde{\theta}/(1-\sigma)]^*\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \sigma^{n-j} (1-\sigma)^j \rho_K^*(j) \leq (1-\sigma)^n \rho_K^*(n) + \rho_K^*(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the pair  $(E_I, L_I)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 5 with  $B = (1-\sigma)^n \rho_K^*(n) + \rho_K^*(n-1) + Cn\omega_\delta(K)$ . By Lemma 5, we have, with a constant  $M_\delta^*$ ,

$$(8) \quad \|K[t^n, \psi]^*\| \leq C_\delta \{B + \omega_\delta(L)\} \leq M_\delta^* \{(1-\sigma)^n \rho_K^*(n) + \rho_K^*(n-1) + n\omega_\delta(K)\}.$$

Since  $\psi \in S^\infty$  is arbitrary as long as  $\|\psi'\|_\infty \leq 1$ , (4) shows that  $\rho_K^*(n)$  is dominated by the last quantity in (8). Now we choose  $n_\delta \geq 1$  so that  $M_\delta^*(1-\sigma)^{n_\delta} \leq 1/2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad \rho_K^*(n) &\leq (2M_\delta^*) \{\rho_K^*(n-1) + n\omega_\delta(K)\} \leq \dots \\ &\leq (2M_\delta^*)^{n-n_\delta} \rho_K^*(n_\delta) + \{(2M_\delta^*)n + (2M_\delta^*)^2(n-1) + \dots + (2M_\delta^*)^{n-n_\delta} n_\delta\} \omega_\delta(K) \\ &\leq \{\rho_K^*(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K)\} C_\delta^n. \end{aligned}$$

To remove the assumption that  $\rho_K^*(m) < \infty$  for all  $m \geq 0$ , we consider  $K_\varepsilon(x, y) = K(x, y)\mu_\varepsilon(x-y)$  ( $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$ ), where  $\mu_\varepsilon(s)$  is the even function on  $\mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$\mu_\varepsilon(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & (0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon) \\ (1/\varepsilon)(s-\varepsilon) & (\varepsilon < s \leq 2\varepsilon) \\ 1 & (2\varepsilon < s \leq 1/\varepsilon) \\ \varepsilon(2/\varepsilon - s) & (1/\varepsilon < s \leq 2/\varepsilon) \\ 0 & (s > 2/\varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Then elementary calculus yields that  $\omega_\delta(K_\varepsilon) \leq C\omega_\delta(K)$ ,  $\rho_{K_\varepsilon}^*(m) < \infty$  ( $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ). We put  $\tilde{\rho}(l) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2} \rho_{K_\varepsilon}^*(l)$  ( $l \geq 0$ ) and show that

$$(10) \quad \rho_K^*(l) \leq \tilde{\rho}(l) \leq \rho_K^*(l) + C\omega_\delta(K).$$

We have, for any  $f \in L^2$ ,  $\psi \in L_R^{\infty}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq l$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $K[t^j, \psi]^* f(x) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\varepsilon[t^j, \psi]^* f(x)$ . Hence Fatou's lemma shows that  $\|K[t^j, \psi]^* f\| \leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2} \|K_\varepsilon[t^j, \psi]^* f\|$ , which gives the first inequality in (10). For any  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$ ,  $0 < \eta' < \eta''$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\eta' < |x-y| < \eta''} K_\varepsilon[t^j, \psi](x, y) f(y) dy \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\eta' < |x-y| < \eta'', \varepsilon < |x-y| < 2\varepsilon} \right| + \left| \int_{\eta' < |x-y| < \eta'', 2\varepsilon < |x-y| < 1/\varepsilon} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\eta' < |x-y| < \eta'', 1/\varepsilon < |x-y| < 2/\varepsilon} \right| \quad (= R_1 + R_2 + R_3, \text{ say}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 & \leq \int_{\varepsilon < |x-y| < 2\varepsilon} |K_\varepsilon[t^j, \psi](x, y) f(y)| dy \\ & \leq \omega_\delta(K_\varepsilon) \|\psi'\|_\infty^j \int_{\varepsilon < |x-y| < 2\varepsilon} |f(y)| |x-y| dy \leq C \omega_\delta(K) \|\psi'\|_\infty^j \mathfrak{M} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{M} f(x)$  denotes the maximal function of  $f(x)$  [6, p. 7]. We have analogously  $R_3 \leq C \omega_\delta(K) \|\psi'\|_\infty^j \mathfrak{M} f(x)$ . We can write  $R_2 = \left| \int_{\tilde{\eta}' < |x-y| < \tilde{\eta}''} K[t^j, \psi] \cdot (x, y) f(y) dy \right|$  with some pair  $(\tilde{\eta}', \tilde{\eta}'')$ , and hence  $R_2 \leq K[t^j, \psi]^* f(x)$ . Thus  $K_\varepsilon[t^j, \psi]^* f(x) \leq K[t^j, \psi]^* f(x) + C \omega_\delta(K) \|\psi'\|_\infty^j \mathfrak{M} f(x)$ , which shows  $\|K_\varepsilon[t^j, \psi]^*\| \leq \|K[t^j, \psi]^*\| + C \omega_\delta(K) \|\psi'\|_\infty^j$  (cf. [6, p. 7]). This inequality yields the second inequality in (10). Consequently (10) holds.

Since  $\rho_{K_\varepsilon}^*(m) < \infty$  for all  $m \geq 0$ , (9) is valid with  $K(x, y)$  replaced by  $K_\varepsilon(x, y)$ . Since  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$  is arbitrary, we have, by (10) and  $\omega_\delta(K_\varepsilon) \leq C \omega_\delta(K)$ ,

$$\rho_K^*(n) \leq \tilde{\rho}(n) \leq \{\tilde{\rho}(n_\delta) + C \omega_\delta(K)\} C_\delta^n \leq \{\rho_K^*(n_\delta) + C \omega_\delta(K)\} C_\delta^n.$$

By Lemmas 4 and 8, we have  $\rho_K^*(n_\delta) \leq C_\delta \{\rho_K(n_\delta) + n_\delta \omega_\delta(K)\}$ . Hence we have, with a constant  $M_\delta$  depending only on  $\delta$ ,  $\rho_K^*(n) \leq \{\rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K)\} M_\delta^n$  ( $n \geq n_\delta$ ).

Since  $\|K[t^n, \phi]^*\| = \|K[t^n, \phi / \|\phi'\|_\infty]^*\| \|\phi'\|_\infty^n$ , we have (3). Q. E. D.

LEMMA 10. *There exists a constant  $N_\delta \geq 1$  depending only on  $\delta$  such that, for any CZ-kernel  $K(x, y)$ ,*

$$\|K[e^{it}, \phi]^*\| \leq C_\delta \{\rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K)\} (1 + \|\phi'\|_\infty^{N_\delta}) \quad (\phi \in L_R^{\infty}),$$

where  $n_\delta$  is the constant in Lemma 9.

PROOF. We put

$$\kappa(\alpha) = \sup \{ \|K[e^{it}, \psi]^*\|; \|\psi'\|_\infty \leq \alpha (\psi \in L_R^{\infty}) \} \quad (\alpha \geq 1).$$

Then, in the same manner as in the proof of (4), we have  $\kappa(\alpha) = \sup \{ \|K[e^{it}, \psi]^*\|;$

$\|\psi'\|_\infty \leq \alpha$  ( $\psi \in S^\infty$ ). Lemma 9 shows that  $\kappa(\alpha) < \infty$  for all  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\kappa(1) \leq C_\delta\{\rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K)\}$ . To estimate  $\kappa(\alpha)$  ( $\alpha > 1$ ), we choose  $\psi \in S^\infty$  so that  $\|\psi'\|_\infty \leq \alpha$ . With  $L = K[e^{it}, \psi]$ , we shall associate pairs  $\{(E_I, L_I)\}_I$  as in Lemma 5. Given  $I = (a, b)$ , we may assume that  $\psi(a) \leq \psi(b)$ . We define  $\theta(x)$ ,  $E_I$  and  $\tilde{\theta}(x)$  by (5), (6) and (7), respectively and put  $L_I = K[e^{it}, \theta]$ . Then  $\|\tilde{\theta}'\|_\infty \leq (1-\sigma)\alpha$  and  $\|L_I^*\| = \|K[e^{it}, \tilde{\theta}]^*\| \leq \kappa((1-\sigma)\alpha)$ . Lemma 8 gives  $\omega_\delta(L_I) \leq C\alpha\omega_\delta(K)$ . Thus the pair  $(E_I, L_I)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 5 with  $B = \kappa((1-\sigma)\alpha) + C\alpha\omega_\delta(K)$ . By Lemma 5, we have

$$(11) \quad \|K[e^{it}, \psi]^*\| \leq C_\delta\{B + \omega_\delta(K[e^{it}, \psi])\} \leq C_\delta\{\kappa((1-\sigma)\alpha) + \alpha\omega_\delta(K)\}.$$

Since  $\psi \in S^\infty$  is arbitrary as long as  $\|\psi'\|_\infty \leq \alpha$ ,  $\kappa(\alpha)$  is dominated by the last quantity in (11). Consequently, we have, with a constant  $N_\delta \geq 1$ ,

$$\kappa(\alpha) \leq \alpha^{N_\delta}\{\kappa(1) + \omega_\delta(K)\} \leq C_\delta\{\rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K)\} \{1 + \alpha^{N_\delta}\} \quad (\alpha \geq 1). \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

LEMMA 11. Let  $K(x, y)$  be a  $\delta$ -CZ-kernel such that  $\rho_K(n_\delta) < \infty$ . Then  $K[e^{it}, \phi]$  is also a  $\delta$ -CZ-kernel as long as  $\phi \in L_R^\infty$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 8, we have  $\omega_\delta(K[e^{it}, \phi]) \leq C\omega_\delta(K) \{1 + \|\phi'\|_\infty\}$ . Lemma 10 shows that  $\|K[e^{it}, \phi]^*\| < \infty$ . Hence it is sufficient to show that  $K[e^{it}, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e. for any  $f \in L^2$ .

Let  $f \in L^2$  and  $\psi \in S^\infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K[t, \psi](x, y)f(y)dy &= \int_{\varepsilon < |x-y| < 1} K(x, y)\{\psi'(y) + O(x-y)\}f(y)dy \\ &+ \int_{|x-y|>1} K[t, \psi](x, y)f(y)dy \quad (0 < \varepsilon < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K(x, y)$  is a CZ-kernel, this shows that  $K[t, \psi]f(x)$  exists a.e.. Note that

$$(12) \quad |x; K[t, \psi]^*g(x) > \lambda| \leq C_\delta(\rho_K(1) + \omega_\delta(K)) \{\|\psi'\|_1 \|g\|_1 / \lambda\}^{1/2} \\ (\lambda > 0; \psi', g \in L^1).$$

(See for example [7, Lemma 11].) Using this inequality, we show that  $K[t, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e. in a finite open interval  $I$ . Let  $I^*$  be an interval with the same midpoint as  $I$  and of length  $3|I|$ . We denote by  $\chi(x)$ ,  $\chi^*(x)$  the characteristic functions of  $I$ ,  $I^*$ , respectively. Since  $K[t, \phi]\{(1-\chi)f\}(x)$  exists everywhere in  $I$ , we show that  $K[t, \phi](\chi f)(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$ . Note that, for  $x \in I$ ,  $K[t, \phi](\chi f)(x)$  exists if and only if  $K[t, \chi^*\phi](\chi f)(x)$  exists. Choose a sequence  $(\psi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $S^\infty$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi^*\phi - \psi_n\|_1 = 0$ . Then we have  $\{x \in I; K[t, \chi^*\phi](\chi f)(x) \text{ does not exist}\} \subset \{x \in I; \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} K[t, \chi^*\phi - \psi_n]^*f(x) > 0\}$ . Inequality (12) shows that the measure of the second set equals zero, and hence  $K[t, \phi](\chi f)(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$ . Thus  $K[t, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$ . Since  $I$  is arbitrary,  $K[t, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e..

Lemma 9 shows that, for any finite interval  $I$ ,

$$\int_I \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/n!) K[t^n, \phi]^* f(x) \} dx \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/n!) \sqrt{|I|} \|K[t^n, \phi]^* f\|_2$$

$$\leq \sqrt{|I|} \|f\|_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/n!) \|K[t^n, \phi]^*\| < \infty,$$

and hence  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/n!) K[t^n, \phi]^* f(x) < \infty$  a.e. in  $I$ . Thus the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem shows that  $K[e^{it}, \phi] f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (i^n/n!) K[t^n, \phi] f(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$ . Since  $I$  is arbitrary,  $K[e^{it}, \phi] f(x)$  exists a.e. Q. E. D.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1. Since  $\omega_\eta(K)$  is increasing with respect to  $\eta$ , we may assume that  $\delta < 1$ . By Lemma 8, we have

$$\omega_\delta(K[h, \phi]) \leq C_\delta \omega_\delta(K) \{ \|h\|_\infty + \|h'\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} \}.$$

To estimate  $\|K[h, \phi]^*\|$ , we discuss  $\|K[e^{it}, \phi]^*\|$ . With  $L = K[e^{it}, \phi]$ , we associate pairs  $\{(E_I, L_I)\}_I$  as in Lemma 5. Given a finite open interval  $I$ , we use the preceding notation  $I^*, \chi^*(x)$ . Since  $K[e^{it}, \phi] = e^{iu} K[e^{it}, \phi]$  ( $u = m_{I^*} \phi'$ ,  $\phi(x) = \phi(x) - ux$ ), we may assume that  $m_{I^*} \phi' = 0$ . We put  $\phi_*(x) = \phi'(x) \chi^*(x)$ . Then  $\|\phi_*\|_1 \leq C \|\phi'\|_{BMO} |I|$ . By Lemma 3 ( $\lambda = C \|\phi'\|_{BMO}$ ), there exists a sequence  $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of mutually disjoint finite intervals such that, with  $J = \cup_{k=1}^\infty J_k$ ,

$$\begin{cases} |J| \leq |I|/10, & m_{J_k} |\phi_*| \leq C \|\phi'\|_{BMO} \quad (k \geq 1) \\ |\phi_*(x)| \leq C \|\phi'\|_{BMO} & \text{a.e. in } J^c. \end{cases}$$

We define  $\theta_*(x)$  and  $\theta(x)$  by

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \theta_*(x) = m_{J_k} \phi_* \quad (x \in J_k, k \geq 1), & \theta_*(x) = \phi_*(x) \quad (x \in J^c) \\ \theta(x) = \phi(d) + \int_d^x \theta_*(s) ds \quad (d: \text{a point in } I \setminus J). \end{cases}$$

Then  $\|\theta'\|_\infty = \|\theta_*\|_\infty \leq C \|\phi'\|_{BMO}$ . We put  $E_I = I \cap J$  and  $L_I = K[e^{it}, \theta]$ . Then  $\omega_\delta(L_I) \leq C \omega_\delta(K) \{1 + \|\phi'\|_{BMO}\}$ . Lemma 10 shows that  $\|L_I^*\| \leq C_\delta \{ \rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K) \} \cdot \{1 + \|\phi'\|_{BMO}^{N_\delta}\}$ . Thus the pair  $(E_I, L_I)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 5 with  $B = C_\delta \{ \rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K) \} \{1 + \|\phi'\|_{BMO}^{N_\delta}\}$ . We have

$$\|K[e^{it}, \phi]^*\| \leq C_\delta \{ B + \omega_\delta(K[e^{it}, \phi]) \} \leq C_\delta \{ \rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K) \} \{1 + \|\phi'\|_{BMO}^{N_\delta}\}.$$

Since  $K[h, \phi] = C \int_{-\infty}^\infty \hat{h}(\xi) K[e^{it}, \xi \phi] d\xi$ , we have

$$(14) \quad \|K[h, \phi]^*\| \leq C \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\hat{h}(\xi)| \|K[e^{it}, \xi \phi]^*\| d\xi$$

$$\leq C_\delta \{ \rho_K(n_\delta) + \omega_\delta(K) \} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\hat{h}(\xi)| \{1 + (|\xi| \|\phi'\|_{BMO})^{N_\delta}\} d\xi < \infty,$$

It remains to show that  $K[h, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e. for any  $f \in L^2$ . Given  $f \in S^\infty$ , we begin by discussing  $K[e^{it}, \phi]f$ . For a finite open interval  $I$ , we use the preceding notation  $I^*$ ,  $\chi(x)$  and  $\chi^*(x)$ . We show that  $K[e^{it}, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$ . To do this, it is sufficient to show that  $K[e^{it}, \phi](\chi f)(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$ . We may assume that  $m_{I^*}\phi' = 0$ . Let  $0 < \eta < 1/10$ . For any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$ ), there exists a sequence  $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of mutually disjoint finite intervals such that, with  $\phi_* = \phi'\chi^*$  and  $J^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty J_k$ ,

$$\begin{cases} |J^\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon|I|, m_{J_k}|\phi_*| \leq (C/\varepsilon)\|\phi'\|_{BMO} \quad (k \geq 1) \\ |\phi_*(x)| \leq (C/\varepsilon)\|\phi'\|_{BMO} \quad \text{a.e. in } J^{\varepsilon c}. \end{cases}$$

We define  $\theta_k^\varepsilon(x)$  and  $\theta^\varepsilon(x)$  in the same manner as in (13). Then  $\theta^\varepsilon \in L_R^\infty$ . Let  $J^{*\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty J_k^*$ , where  $J_k^*$  is an interval with the same midpoint as  $J_k$  and of length  $2|J_k|$ . Then, for any  $x \in I \setminus J^{*\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M^\varepsilon(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K[e^{it}, \phi](x, y) - K[e^{it}, \theta^\varepsilon](x, y)| |(\chi f)(y)| dy \\ &\leq \omega_\delta(K) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(y) - \theta^\varepsilon(y)| / (x-y)^2 \cdot |(\chi f)(y)| dy \\ &= \omega_\delta(K) \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{J_k} |\phi(y) - \theta^\varepsilon(y)| / (x-y)^2 \cdot |(\chi f)(y)| dy \\ &\leq \omega_\delta(K) \|f\|_\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{J_k \cap I} \left\{ \int_{J_k} |\phi'(s) - m_{J_k}\phi'| ds \right\} / (x-y)^2 dy \\ &\leq \omega_\delta(K) \|f\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |J_k| \int_{J_k} 1/(x-y)^2 dy, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I \setminus J^{*\varepsilon}} M^\varepsilon(x) dx \\ &\leq \omega_\delta(K) \|f\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |J_k| \int_{J_k^{*c}} dx \int_{J_k} 1/(x-y)^2 dy \\ &\leq 2\omega_\delta(K) \|f\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{J_k} dy \leq 2\varepsilon|I| \omega_\delta(K) \|f\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO}. \end{aligned}$$

We have, with  $I^\varepsilon = \{x \in I \setminus J^{*\varepsilon}; M^\varepsilon(x) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |I^\varepsilon| &\geq |I| - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}|I| \omega_\delta(K) \|f\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} - |J^{*\varepsilon}| \\ &\geq |I| \{1 - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega_\delta(K) \|f\|_\infty \|\phi'\|_{BMO} - 2\varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K[e^{it}, \theta^\varepsilon](\chi f)(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$  for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$ ,  $K[e^{it}, \phi](\chi f)(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I_\eta = \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty I^{\varepsilon_j}$ , where  $\varepsilon_j = 2^{-j}\eta$ . Since  $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} |I_\eta| = |I|$ ,  $K[e^{it}, \phi](\chi f)(x)$  exists a.e. in  $I$ . Consequently,  $K[e^{it}, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e.. Since  $f \in S^\infty$  is arbitrary,

rary,  $K[e^{it}, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e. for any  $f \in L^2$ .

Let  $f \in L^2$ . By (14), we have, for any finite interval  $I$ ,

$$\int_I \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{h}(\xi)| K[e^{it}, \xi\phi]^* f(x) d\xi \right\} dx \leq \sqrt{|I|} \|f\|_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{h}(\xi)| \|K[e^{it}, \xi\phi]^*\| d\xi < \infty,$$

and hence  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{h}(\xi)| K[e^{it}, \xi\phi]^* f(x) d\xi < \infty$  a.e.. This yields that  $K[h, \phi]f(x)$  exists a.e..

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $K(x, y)$  be a CZ-kernel defined by a pseudo-differential operator  $\sigma(x, D)$  of order 0. Then  $K(x, y)$  is a 1-CZ-kernel [3, p. 87]. By Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that  $\rho_K(n_1) < \infty$ . We shall deduce this fact from Lemmas 6 and 7. We write simply  $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma) = \mathfrak{C}_0 = \{C_0(p, q)\}_{(p,q)}$ .

Let  $\beta(s)$  be a non-negative even function in  $S^\infty$  such that

$$\|\beta\|_\infty \leq 4, \quad \|\beta\|_1 = 2, \quad \text{supp}(\beta) \subset \{1/2 \leq |s| \leq 1\},$$

where  $\text{supp}(\beta)$  denotes the support of  $\beta(s)$ . We put  $\beta_m(s) = (1/m)\beta(s/m)$ ,  $\beta_{m,n}(s) = |s|^{n+1}\beta_m(s)$  ( $m \geq 1, n \geq 0$ ). We easily see that

$$\|\beta_{m,n}^{(n+1)}\|_1 \leq A_n, \quad |\beta_{m,n}^{(q)}(s)| \leq \Gamma_{n,q}(1+|s|)^{n-q} \quad (n, q \geq 0),$$

where  $A_n = 2^{n+1}(n+1)! \max\{\|\beta^{(j)}\|_1; 0 \leq j \leq n+1\}$  and  $\Gamma_{n,q} = 2^q(n+1)! \times \max\{\|\beta^{(j)}\|_\infty; 0 \leq j \leq q\}$ .

LEMMA 12. Suppose that  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  satisfies  $\sigma(x, \xi) = 0$  ( $|\xi| \geq m$ ) for some positive integer  $m$ . We inductively define two sequences  $(\sigma_n^\pm(x, \xi))_{n=1}^\infty$  ( $\ell = \pm$ ) of symbols by

$$\sigma_n^\pm(x, \xi) = \int_0^\xi \sigma_{n-1}^\pm(x, s) ds - b_{n-1}^\pm(x) \int_0^\xi \beta_{m,n-1}(s) ds \quad (\ell = \pm, n \geq 1, \sigma_0^\pm = \sigma),$$

where

$$b_{n-1}^\pm(x) = \left\{ \int_0^{\pm\infty} \sigma_{n-1}^\pm(x, s) ds \right\} / \left\{ \int_0^{\pm\infty} \beta_{m,n-1}(s) ds \right\}.$$

Then  $\sigma_n^\pm(x, \xi)$  is a symbol of order  $n$  with  $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma_n^\pm) \leq \mathfrak{C}_n = \{C_n(p, q)\}_{(p,q)}$  and  $\sigma_n^\pm(x, \xi) = 0$  ( $\ell\xi \geq m$ ) for any  $\ell = \pm, n \geq 1$ , where  $C_n(p, q)$  depends only on  $n, \Gamma_{n-1,q}$  and  $C_0(j, k)$  ( $0 \leq j \leq p, 0 \leq k \leq q$ ).

PROOF. The symbol  $\sigma_0^\pm = \sigma$  is of order 0 and satisfies  $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma_0^\pm) = \mathfrak{C}_0$  and  $\sigma_0^\pm(x, \xi) = 0$  ( $\xi \geq m$ ). Suppose that  $\sigma_{n-1}^\pm(x, \xi)$  satisfies the required conditions. Then we have, for any pair  $(p, q)$  with  $q \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^p \partial_\xi^q \sigma_n^+(x, \xi)| &\leq |\partial_x^p \partial_\xi^{q-1} \sigma_{n-1}^+(x, \xi)| + |D^p b_{n-1}^+(x) D^{q-1} \beta_{m, n-1}(\xi)| \\
&\leq C_{n-1}(p, q-1)(1+|\xi|)^{n-1-(q-1)} \\
&\quad + \left\{ \int_0^m C_{n-1}(p, 0)(1+s)^{n-1} ds \int_{m/2}^\infty \beta_{m, n-1}(s) ds \right\} |D^{q-1} \beta_{m, n-1}(\xi)| \\
&\leq C_{n-1}(p, q-1)(1+|\xi|)^{n-q} + 4^n C_{n-1}(p, 0) \Gamma_{n-1, q-1} (1+|\xi|)^{n-1-(q-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(15) \quad |\partial_x^p \partial_\xi^q \sigma_n^+(x, \xi)| \leq C_n(p, q)(1+|\xi|)^{n-q} \quad (x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}),$$

where

$$(16) \quad C_n(p, q) = C_{n-1}(p, \bar{q}) + 4^n C_{n-1}(p, 0) \Gamma_{n-1, \bar{q}} \quad (\bar{q} = \max \{q-1, 0\}).$$

Here note that (15) is valid for any pair  $(p, 0)$  with  $C_n(p, 0)$  defined by (16). Thus  $\sigma_n^+$  is of order  $n$  and satisfies  $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma_n^+) \leq \mathfrak{C}_n = \{C_n(p, q)\}_{(p, q)}$ , where each  $C_n(p, q)$  is inductively defined by (16). Since  $\sigma_{n-1}^+(x, \xi) = \beta_{m, n-1}(\xi) = 0$  ( $\xi \geq m$ ), we have  $\sigma_n^+(x, \xi) = 0$  ( $\xi \geq m$ ). Thus  $\sigma_n^+$  satisfies the required conditions. In the same manner, we see that  $\sigma_n^-$  satisfies the required conditions with  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  defined by (16). Q. E. D.

LEMMA 13. Suppose that  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  satisfies  $\sigma(x, \xi) = 0$  ( $|\xi| \geq m$ ) for some  $m \geq 1$ . Then

$$(17) \quad \|K[t^n, \phi]\| \leq \hat{D}_n(\mathfrak{C}_0) \|\phi'\|_\infty^n \quad (n \geq 0, \phi \in S^\infty),$$

where  $\hat{D}_n(\mathfrak{C}_0)$  is a constant depending only on  $n$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_0$ .

PROOF. In the case  $n=0$ , (17) evidently holds. Let  $n \geq 1$ . By (2), we have

$$K(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Repeating the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{t\infty} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma_n(x, \xi) d\xi &= [-i(x-y)]^{-n} \int_0^{t\infty} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma(x, \xi) d\xi \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n [-i(x-y)]^{-j} b_{n-j}^t(x) \int_0^{t\infty} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \beta_{m, n-j}(\xi) d\xi \quad (t = \pm),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(18) \quad K[t^n, \phi](x, y) &= (-i)^n \int_0^\infty e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma_n^+(x, \xi) d\xi (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n \\
&\quad + (-i)^n \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma_n^-(x, \xi) d\xi (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{j=1}^n (-i)^{n-j} \int_0^\infty e^{i(x-y)\xi} \beta_{m,n-j}(\xi) d\xi b_{n-j}^+(x) (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n / (x-y)^j \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^n (-i)^{n-j} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i(x-y)\xi} \beta_{m,n-j}(\xi) d\xi b_{n-j}^-(x) (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n / (x-y)^j \\
 = & (-i)^n \int_0^\infty e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma_n^+(x, \xi) d\xi (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n \\
 & + (-i)^n \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma_n^-(x, \xi) d\xi (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n \\
 & + i \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{i(x-y)\xi} \beta_{m,n-j}^{(n-j+1)}(\xi) d\xi b_{n-j}^+(x) (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n / (x-y)^{n+1} \\
 & + i \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i(x-y)\xi} \beta_{m,n-j}^{(n-j+1)}(\xi) d\xi b_{n-j}^-(x) (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n / (x-y)^{n+1} \\
 & (= L_n^+(x, y) + L_n^-(x, y) + i \sum_{j=1}^n L_{n-j}^+(x, y) + i \sum_{j=1}^n L_{n-j}^-(x, y), \text{ say}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\|b_{n-j}^\iota\|_\infty \leq 4^{n-j} C_{n-j}(0, 0)$  and recall that  $\|\beta_{m,n-j}^{(n-j+1)}\|_1 \leq A_{n-j}$  ( $\iota = \pm$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). By Lemmas 7 and 12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad \|L_{n-j}^\iota\| & \leq \|H[t^n, \phi]\| \|b_{n-j}^\iota\|_\infty \|\beta_{m,n-j}^{(n-j+1)}\|_1 \\
 & \leq \{C4^{n-j} C_{n-j}(0, 0) A_{n-j}\} \|\phi'\|_\infty^\iota \quad (\iota = \pm, 1 \leq j \leq n).
 \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $\|L_n^\iota\|$  ( $\iota = \pm$ ), we choose a non-negative function  $\gamma \in C^\infty$  so that  $\gamma(s) = 1$  ( $s \in [0, \infty)$ ),  $\text{supp}(\gamma) \subset [-1/2, \infty)$ , and put

$$L_{n,\gamma}^\iota(x, y) = (-i)^n \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma_n^\iota(x, \xi) \gamma(\iota\xi) d\xi (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n \quad (\iota = \pm).$$

Then Lemmas 6 and 12 show that

$$\|L_{n,\gamma}^\iota\| = \|[\phi, \sigma_n^\iota(\cdot, D)\gamma(\iota D)]_n\| \leq D'_n \|\phi'\|_\infty^\iota \quad (\iota = \pm),$$

where  $D'_n$  depends only on  $n, \mathfrak{C}_0, \beta(s)$  and  $\gamma(s)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & L_{n,\gamma}^\iota(x, y) - L_n^\iota(x, y) \\
 = & \iota(-i)^n \int_{-1/2}^0 e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma_n^\iota(x, \xi) \gamma(\iota\xi) d\xi (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n \\
 = & \iota i \int_{-1/2}^0 e^{i(x-y)\xi} \partial_\xi^{n+1} \{\sigma_n^\iota(x, \xi) \gamma(\iota\xi)\} d\xi (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n / (x-y)^{n+1} \\
 & - \iota i \sigma_n^\iota(x, 0) (\phi(x) - \phi(y))^n / (x-y)^{n+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|L_{n,\gamma}^\iota - L_n^\iota\| \\
 \leq & \left\{ \left| \int_{-1/2}^0 \|\partial_\xi^{n+1} \{\sigma_n^\iota(\cdot, \xi) \gamma(\iota\xi)\}\|_\infty d\xi \right| + \|\sigma_n^\iota(\cdot, 0)\|_\infty \right\} \|H[t^n, \phi]\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq D_n'' \|\phi'\|_\infty^2 \quad (\iota = \pm),$$

where  $D_n''$  depends only on  $n, \mathfrak{C}_0, \beta(s)$  and  $\gamma(s)$ . Thus

$$(20) \quad \|L_n^\iota\| \leq \|L_{n,\gamma}^\iota\| + \|L_{n,\gamma}^\iota - L_n^\iota\| \leq (D_n' + D_n'') \|\phi'\|_\infty^2 \quad (\iota = \pm).$$

Consequently, (18), (19) and (20) show (17) with  $D_n(\mathfrak{C}_0) = C\{D_n' + D_n'' + D_n 4^n C_n(0, 0)A_n\}$ . Q. E. D.

Now we prove Theorem 2. To do this, we show that

$$(21) \quad \|K[t^n, \phi]\| \leq D_n^*(\mathfrak{C}_0) \|\phi'\|_\infty^2 \quad (n \geq 0, \phi \in L_R^\infty),$$

where  $D_n^*(\mathfrak{C}_0)$  is a constant depending only on  $n$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_0$ .

We define a function  $v \in S^\infty$  so that  $v(s) = 1$  ( $s \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ ) and  $\text{supp}(v) \subset [-1, 1]$ , and put

$$K_m(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \sigma(x, \xi) v_m(\xi) d\xi \quad (m \geq 1),$$

where  $v_m(\xi) = v(\xi/m)$ . Note that  $\sigma(x, \xi)v_m(\xi)$  is of order 0 and satisfies  $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma v_m) \leq \mathfrak{C}_0^*$  for some  $\mathfrak{C}_0^* = \{C_0^*(p, q)\}_{(p,q)}$ , where each  $C_0^*(p, q)$  is independent of  $m$ . Also note that  $\omega_1(K_m) \leq C \sum_{j=0}^3 C_0^*(0, j)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) ([3, p. 88]). Let  $\psi \in S^\infty$ . Then Lemma 13 shows that  $\|K_m[t^n, \psi]\| \leq \hat{D}_n(\mathfrak{C}_0^*) \|\psi'\|_\infty^2$ . Lemma 8 yields that  $\omega_1(K_m[t^n, \psi]) \leq C(n+1)\omega_1(K_m) \|\psi'\|_\infty^2$ . Hence we have, by Lemma 4,

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \|K_m[t^n, \psi]^*\| &\leq C\{\hat{D}_n(\mathfrak{C}_0^*) \|\psi'\|_\infty^2 + \omega_1(K_m[t^n, \psi])\} \\ &\leq C\{\hat{D}_n(\mathfrak{C}_0^*) + (n+1)\omega_1(K_m)\} \|\psi'\|_\infty^2 \\ &\leq C\{\hat{D}_n(\mathfrak{C}_0^*) + (n+1) \sum_{j=0}^3 C_0^*(0, j)\} \|\psi'\|_\infty^2 \quad (= D_n^*(\mathfrak{C}_0) \|\psi'\|_\infty^2, \text{ say}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2), we have, for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $f \in S^\infty$  with  $x \notin \text{supp}(f)$ ,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} K_m f(x) = Kf(x)$ . Since  $\sup_m \omega_1(K_m) < \infty$ , the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem yields that  $K_m(x, y)$  converges locally uniformly to  $K(x, y)$  in  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} - \{(x, x); x \in \mathbf{R}\}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By (22) and Fatou's lemma, we have  $\|K[t^n, \psi]^*\| \leq D_n^*(\mathfrak{C}_0) \|\psi'\|_\infty^2$ . Given  $\phi \in L_R^\infty$ , we can choose a sequence  $(\psi_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subset S^\infty$  so that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_j = \phi$  and  $\|\psi_j'\|_\infty \leq \|\phi'\|_\infty$ . Hence, again by Fatou's lemma, we have  $\|K[t^n, \phi]^*\| \leq D_n^*(\mathfrak{C}_0) \|\phi'\|_\infty^2$ , which shows (21).

By (21), we have immediately  $\rho_K(n_1) < \infty$ . Thus Theorem 1 yields Theorem 2.

Note. Recently, the author estimated  $n_\delta$  and obtained that  $n_\delta = 2$  is sufficient. Perhaps the condition " $\rho_K(n_\delta) < \infty$ " is not necessary.

### References

[1] A. P. Calderón, Cauchy integrals on Lipschitz curves and related operators, Proc. Nat.

- Acad. Sci. USA, **74** (1977), 1324–1327.
- [ 2 ] R. R. Coifman-Y. Meyer, Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multi-linéaires, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **28**-3 (1978), 177–202.
  - [ 3 ] R. R. Coifman-Y. Meyer, Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, *Astérisque*, **57** (1978).
  - [ 4 ] R. R. Coifman-G. David-Y. Meyer, La solution des conjectures de Calderón, *Adv. Math.*, **48** (1983), 144–148.
  - [ 5 ] C. Fefferman, E. M. Stein,  $H^p$  spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.*, **129** (1972), 137–193.
  - [ 6 ] J. L. Journé, Calderón-Zygmund operators, Pseudo-differential operators and the Cauchy integral of Calderón, *L. N. in Math.*, 994, Springer, 1983.
  - [ 7 ] T. Murai, Boundedness of singular integral operators of Calderón type (III), *Nagoya Math. J.*, to appear.

*Department of Mathematics,  
College of General Education,  
Nagoya University.*

