

## Relations between several Adams spectral sequences

Mizuho HIKIDA

(Received January 18, 1988)

### Introduction

In the stable homotopy theory, the  $G$ -Adams spectral sequence

$$(1) \quad E(G) = \{E(G)_r^{s,t}, d_r: E(G)_r^{s,t} \rightarrow E(G)_r^{s+r,t+r-1}\} \text{ abutting to } \pi_{t-s}(X)$$

(cf. [4, III, §15]) is useful, where  $X$  is a CW spectrum,  $\pi_*(X)$  is its homotopy group and  $G$  is a ring spectrum. For  $X$  and  $G = E, F$  with some conditions, H. R. Miller [10] introduced the May and Mahowald spectral sequences

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} E^{\text{May}} &= \{E_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r^{\text{May}}: E_{u,r}^{s,t} \rightarrow E_{u+r,r}^{s+1,t+r}\} \text{ abutting to } E(E)_2^{s,u-t} \text{ and} \\ E^{\text{Mah}} &= \{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r^{\text{Mah}}: \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s+r,t-r+1}\} \text{ converging to } E(F)_2^{s+t,u} \end{aligned}$$

for  $E(G)_2$  in (1), which satisfy the following

- (o)  $E_{u,1}^{s,t} = \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} = A_u^{s,t}$ ; and for any  $x \in A_u^{s,t}$ ,
- (ii) if  $x$  converges to  $x^F$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ , then so does  $d_1^{\text{May}}x$  to  $(-1)^t d_2^F x^F$ .

Especially, he defined these algebraically in case when

- (3)  $X = S^0$ ,  $E = BP$  at a prime  $p$ , and  $F = HZ_p$  ( $BP$  is the Brown-Peterson spectrum, and  $HZ_p$  is the spectrum of the ordinary homology  $H_*(\ ; Z_p)$ ); and calculated some differential  $d_2^{HZ_p}$  in (1) for  $X = S^0$ .

The purpose of this paper is to argue the existence and relations of these spectral sequences. Let  $\bar{G}$  denote the mapping cone of the unit  $S^0 \rightarrow G$  of a ring spectrum  $G$ , and  $\bar{G}^n$  the smash product of  $n$  copies of  $\bar{G}$ . Then the main result in this paper, stated in Theorem 7.2, implies the following

**THEOREM.** For a CW spectrum  $X$  and ring spectra  $E, F$ , assume that

- (4) there is a unit-preserving map  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$ , and
- (5) the  $F$ -Adams spectral sequence abutting to  $\pi_*(E \wedge \bar{E}^n \wedge X)$  in (1) converges and collapses for any  $n \geq 0$ .

Then we have the spectral sequences  $E^{\text{May}}$  and  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  in (2) satisfying (o), (ii),

- (i)  $d_1^{\text{May}} d_2^{\text{Mah}} x = d_2^{\text{Mah}} d_1^{\text{May}} x$  for any  $x \in A_u^{s,t}$ ,
- (iii) if  $x$  converges to  $x^E$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$ , then so does  $d_2^{\text{Mah}} x$  to  $d_2^E x^E$ , and
- (iv) if the assumptions in (ii)–(iii) hold, then some  $y \in A_{u+1}^{s+2,t}$  converges to  $d_2^E x^E$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  and to  $(-1)^t d_2^F x^F$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ .

Especially, in case (3), we see (4)–(5) by the Thom map  $BP \rightarrow HZ_p$ , and

$$A_u^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{P_*}^{s,u}(Z_p, \text{Ext}_{A_*}^{t,*}(Z_p, P_*)) \quad \text{in (o)}$$

( $A_* = (HZ_p)_*(HZ_p)$ ,  $P_* = (HZ_p)_*(BP)$ , and  $\text{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(Z_p, P_*) = Z_p[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  ( $a_n \in \text{Ext}^{1, 2p^n-1}$ )), and we obtain Examples 8.3-4 on the differentials  $d_2^{2p}$  and  $d_{2p-1}^{BP}$  in (1) for  $X = S^0$ .

For our purpose, we argue in §§1–3 the construction of the Adams spectral sequences. We introduce the notion of an  $E_2$ -group  $B = \{B_i^s\}$  related to a given homology theory  $h_*$  in Definition 1.8, so that we have in Theorem 1.9 the spectral sequence of Adams type

$$(6) \quad \{E(B)_r^{s,t}, d_r^B\} \text{ abutting to } h_{t-s}(X) \text{ and satisfying } E(B)_2^{s,t} = B_i^s(X).$$

Then for any ring spectrum  $G$ , we have the  $E_2$ -group  $GA = \{GA_i^s\}$  in (2.1.1-4) related to  $\pi_*$  and define the  $G$ -Adams spectral sequence  $E(G)$  in (1) by

$$E(G) = E(GA), \text{ i.e., } E(G)_2^{s,t} = GA_i^s(X) \quad (\text{see Theorem 2.3}).$$

We note that the  $E_2$ -term may be seen by the definition of  $GA$  even if  $G_*(G)$  is not flat over  $G_*(S^0)$ ; e.g., we have Example 2.5 for the connective  $K$ -theory spectrum  $bu$  or the corresponding one  $buQ_2$  with coefficients in  $Q_2$ .

We define an  $E_2$ -functor  $B = \{B_i^s\}$  to be an  $E_2$ -group satisfying the functoriality on the category of cofiberings in Definition 3.2, so that we can compare  $E(B)$  in (6) for  $B = C, D$  (see Theorems 3.4-5)). Then  $GA$  is an  $E_2$ -functor by definition,  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$  in (4) induces the homomorphism  $\bar{\lambda}_*: E(E)_r^{s,t} \rightarrow E(F)_r^{s,t}$  between  $G$ -Adams spectral sequences, and we have Theorem 3.8 on the conditions that  $\bar{\lambda}_*$  is isomorphic, monomorphic or epimorphic. Examples 3.9-10 hold when  $\lambda$  is the Thom map  $BP \rightarrow HZ_p$ , etc.; in particular, we see  $E(MO) \cong E(HZ_2)$  for the Thom spectrum  $MO$  of the bordism theory.

Moreover, we introduce in §§4–5 the notion of a *double*  $E_2$ -functor  $A = \{A_u^{s,t}\}$  related to an  $E_2$ -functor  $D$  or indirectly related to  $C$  (see (Definitions 4.3 and 5.3), so that we have the Mahowald or May spectral sequence

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r^{\text{Mah}}\} \text{ converging to } D_u^{s,t}(X) \text{ with } \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} = A_u^{s,t}(X), \text{ or} \\ \{E_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r^{\text{May}}\} \text{ abutting to } C_{u-t}^s(X) \text{ with } E_{u,1}^{s,t} = A_u^{s,t}(X) \end{cases}$$

(see Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 5.6)). In particular, for some ring spectra  $E$  and  $F$  (e.g., satisfying (4)–(5)), we have the double  $E_2$ -functor  $EFA = \{EFA_u^{s,t}\}$  in (4.6.8) and the spectral sequences in (7) by taking  $A = EFA$ ,  $D = FA$  and  $C = EA$  (see Theorems 4.7 and 5.8), which are taken to be  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  and  $E^{\text{May}}$  in (2). Example 4.8 gives a note on  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  for  $E = BP$  at  $p$  and  $F = KQ_p$  (the  $K$ -theory spectrum with coefficients in  $Q_p$ ) when  $p$  is an odd prime.

Now, we prepare in §6 some lemmas on commutative diagrams of cofiberings. Then we can consider the case stated in Definition 7.1 that for a  $CW$  spectrum  $X$ , a homology theory  $h_*$ ,  $E_2$ -functors  $B = C, D$  and a double  $E_2$ -functor  $A$ , the spectral sequences of Adams type in (6) and the Mahowald and May ones in (7) are all defined (see (7.1.8)); and we prove in Theorem 7.2 some relations between them. By taking  $h_* = \pi_*$ ,  $C = EA$ ,  $D = FA$  and  $A = EFA$ , Theorem 7.2 implies the above theorem and Examples 8.3-4.

Here, we notice that the cohomology version of  $E_2$ -functors can be obtained by the dual consideration, by which we may argue several spectral sequences, e.g., the Adams universal coefficient one or the one of Bousfield-Kan type; the details will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

The author is deeply in debt to Professors M. Sugawara, T. Kobayasi and T. Matumoto for their valuable suggestions and discussions. He also thanks Professor J. F. Adams for the kind letter of Nov. 24, 1981 on  $KQ_p A(S^0)$  in Example 4.8.

### §1. Spectral sequences and $E_2$ -groups

Throughout this paper, we work in the category  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $CW$  spectra (cf. [4] or [16] for the definition and the basic properties of  $CW$  spectra and the related notions).

Let  $h_*$  be a homology theory on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and for a given  $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , assume that

(1.1.1) there are cofiberings  $\alpha_n: X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} W_n \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} X_{n+1}$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) in  $\mathcal{C}$  (i.e.,  $X_{n+1}$  is the mapping cone  $W_n \cup_{f_n} CX_n$  of  $f_n$  and  $g_{n+1}$  is the inclusion map, up to homotopy equivalence).

Then, we have the induced exact sequences

$$(1.1.2) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow h_t(X_s) \xrightarrow{f_*} h_t(W_s) \xrightarrow{g_*} h_t(X_{s+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} h_{t-1}(X_s) \\ \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (f_* = f_{s*}, g_* = g_{s+1*})$$

for any  $t$  and any  $s \geq 0$ ; and the standard argument on exact couples defines the spectral sequence given by (1.1.3), where  $\partial^r = \partial \circ \cdots \circ \partial: h_{t+r}(X_{s+r}) \rightarrow h_t(X_s)$ :

$$(1.1.3) \quad Z_r^{s,t} = g_*^{-1} \text{Im} [\partial^{r-1}: h_{t+r-1}(X_{s+r}) \rightarrow h_t(X_{s+1})] \subset h_t(W_s), \quad Z_\infty^{s,t} = \bigcap_{r \geq 1} Z_r^{s,t}, \\ B_r^{s,t} = f_* \text{Ker} [\partial^{r-1}: h_t(X_s) \rightarrow h_{t-r+1}(X_{s-r+1})] (r \leq s+1), \\ = B_{s+1}^{s,t} = B_\infty^{s,t} \quad (r \geq s+1) \\ E_r^{s,t} = Z_r^{s,t}/B_r^{s,t}, \quad d_r: E_r^{s,t} \xrightarrow{P^t} Z_r^{s,t}/Z_{r+1}^{s,t} \cong B_{r+1}^{s+r,t+r-1}/B_r^{s+r,t+r-1} \subset E_r^{s+r,t+r-1}, \\ E_\infty^{s,t} = Z_\infty^{s,t}/B_\infty^{s,t}, \quad F^{s,t} = \text{Im} [\partial^s: h_t(X_s) \rightarrow h_{t-s}(X_0)], \quad \bar{Z}_\infty^{s,t} = \text{Ker } g_* = \text{Im } f_* \subset Z_\infty^{s,t}, \\ A^{s,t} = \text{Im } g_* \cap \bigcap_{r \geq 1} \text{Im} [\partial^r: h_{t+r}(X_{s+r+1}) \rightarrow h_t(X_{s+1})] \subset h_t(X_{s+1}).$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. For a homology theory  $h_*$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  and cofiberings  $\alpha_n$  in (1.1.1), the exact sequences (1.1.2) associate the spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}, d_r\}$  in (1.1.3) such that

$$(1.2.1) \quad E_1^{s,t} = h_t(W_s), \quad d_1 = f_* \circ g_*: E_1^{s,t} = h_t(W_s) \rightarrow h_t(X_{s+1}) \rightarrow h_t(W_{s+1}) = E_1^{s+1,t}, \text{ and}$$

(1.2.2) by the filtration  $h_{t-s}(X_0) = F^{0,t-s} \supset \cdots \supset F^{s,t} \supset F^{s+1,t+1} \supset \cdots$ , we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F^{s,t}/F^{s+1,t+1} (\cong \bar{Z}_\infty^{s,t}/B_\infty^{s,t}) \rightarrow E_\infty^{s,t} \rightarrow A^{s,t} (\cong Z_\infty^{s,t}/\bar{Z}_\infty^{s,t}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In this paper, we present such a case by the following

$$(1.2.3) \quad \{E_r^{s,t}\} \text{ abuts to } h_{t-s}(X_0): E_1^{s,t} = h_t(W_s) \Rightarrow h_{t-s}(X_0) \text{ (abut)}.$$

To represent the  $E_2$ -term of this spectral sequence, we consider the following

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $C = \{C_t^s | s, t \in Z\}$  be a collection of covariant functors

$$C_t^s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \text{ (the category of abelian groups) with } C_t^s = 0 \text{ for } s < 0.$$

Then, we say that  $C$  is related to a homology theory  $h_*$  at  $X_0$  by a natural transformation  $\phi: h_t \rightarrow C_t^0$  ( $t \in Z$ ) and cofiberings  $\alpha_n$  in (1.1.1), if

(1.3.1)  $\phi: h_t(W_n) \cong C_t^0(W_n)$ ,  $C_t^s(W_n) = 0$  for  $s > 0$ , and there are homomorphisms  $\bar{\delta}$  so that the following sequences are exact:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_t^s(X_n) \xrightarrow{f_{n*}} C_t^s(W_n) \xrightarrow{g_{n+1*}} C_t^s(X_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} C_t^{s+1}(X_n) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

(1.3.2) Then, we have  $\bar{\delta}: C_t^s(X_{n+1}) \cong C_t^{s+1}(X_n)$  ( $s > 0$ ) and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_t^0(X_n) \xrightarrow{f_{n*}} C_t^0(W_n) \xrightarrow{g_{n+1*}} C_t^0(X_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} C_t^1(X_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, for  $d_1^{s,t} = d_1 = f_* \circ g_*$  in (1.2.1), we have the commutative diagram

$$(1.3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} E_1^{s-1,t} & \xrightarrow{d_1^{s-1,t}} & E_1^{s,t} & \xrightarrow{d_1^{s,t}} & E_1^{s+1,t} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ h_t(W_{s-1}) & \xrightarrow{g_*} h_t(X_s) \xrightarrow{f_*} & h_t(W_s) & \xrightarrow{g_*} h_t(X_{s+1}) \xrightarrow{f_*} & h_t(W_{s+1}) \\ \cong \downarrow \phi & & \cong \downarrow \phi & & \cong \downarrow \phi \\ C_t^0(W_{s-1}) & \xrightarrow{g_{s*}} C_t^0(X_s) \xrightarrow{f_{s*}} & C_t^0(W_s) & \xrightarrow{g_{s+1*}} C_t^0(X_{s+1}) \xrightarrow{f_{s+1*}} & C_t^0(W_{s+1}). \end{array}$$

Then, (1.3.2) implies that  $f_{s*}$  is monomorphic and we have the isomorphisms  $f_{s*}^{-1} \circ \phi: \text{Ker } d_1^{s,t} \cong C_t^0(X_s)$ ,  $\text{Im } d_1^{s-1,t} \cong \text{Im } g_{s*}$  and

$$(1.3.4) \quad \bar{\phi} = \bar{\delta}^{s-1} \circ \bar{\delta} \circ (f_{s*}^{-1} \circ \phi): E_2^{s,t} \cong C_t^0(X_s)/\text{Im } g_{s*} \cong C_t^1(X_{s-1}) \cong C_t^s(X_0)$$

( $\bar{\delta}^{s-1} = \bar{\delta} \cdots \circ \bar{\delta}$ ). Thus, we see the following

**THEOREM 1.4.** *In case of Definition 1.3, we have the associated spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}\}$  in Proposition 1.2, which abuts to  $h_*(X_0)$  and whose  $E_2$ -term  $E_2^{s,t}$  is isomorphic to  $C_t^s(X_0)$  by  $\bar{\phi}$  in (1.3.4):*

$$E_2^{s,t} = C_t^s(X_0) \Rightarrow h_{t-s}(X_0) \quad (\text{abut}).$$

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *In Theorem 1.4, the following (1.5.1-3) are equivalent:*

$$(1.5.1) \quad E_2^{s,t} = C_t^s(X_0) = 0 \text{ for } s > 0 \text{ and } \bar{\phi} = \phi: E_2^{0,t} = h_t(X_0) \cong C_t^0(X_0).$$

$$(1.5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow h_t(X_n) \xrightarrow{g_*} h_t(W_n) \xrightarrow{g_n} h_t(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact in (1.1.2) for all } n \geq 0.$$

$$(1.5.3) \quad \phi: h_t(X_n) \cong C_t^0(X_n) \text{ and } C_t^s(X_n) = 0 \text{ for all } s > 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

**PROOF.** (1.5.2) implies  $\partial = 0$  and so (1.5.1) by (1.1.3). (1.5.1) means (1.5.3) for  $n = 0$ ; and (1.5.3) for  $n$  implies (1.5.2) for  $n$  and (1.5.3) for  $n + 1$  by (1.3.2) and 5-Lemma. Thus, (1.5.1-3) are equivalent by induction. q.e.d.

We use the following terminologies for  $\{E_r, d_r\}$  in Proposition 1.2:

$$(1.6.1) \quad d_r x = x' \text{ for } x \in E_u^{s,t}, x' \in E_u^{s',t'} \text{ with } u \leq r, \text{ if } s' = s + r, t' = t + r - 1, x \in Z_r/B_u, x' \in Z_r'/B_u' \text{ and the equality holds for their images } x \in E_r, x' \in E_r' (G_* = G_*^{s,t}, G_*' = G_*^{s',t'}).$$

$$(1.6.2) \quad \bar{Z}E_r^{s,t} = \bar{Z}_\infty^{s,t}/B_r^{s,t} \text{ is the subgroup of all permanent cycles in } E_r^{s,t}, \text{ and } x \in E_r^{s,t} \text{ converges to } y \in h_{t-s}(X_0) \text{ if } x \in \bar{Z}E_r^{s,t}, y \in F^{s,t} \text{ and they coincide in } \bar{Z}E_\infty^{s,t} = F^{s,t}/F^{s+1,t+1}.$$

$$(1.6.3) \quad \{E_r, d_r\} \text{ converges: } E_r^{s,t} \Rightarrow h_{t-s}(X_0) \text{ (conv), if } \bar{Z}_\infty = Z_\infty \text{ (or } A^{s,t} = 0) \text{ and } \bigcap_{n \geq 0} F^{n,t+n} = 0; \text{ and it collapses (for } r \geq 2) \text{ if } d_r = 0 \text{ or } E_r = E_\infty \text{ for } r \geq 2.$$

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *In Theorem 1.4, consider*

$$(1.7.1) \quad \bar{Z}C_t^s(X_0) = \bar{Z}E_2^{s,t} \subset E_2^{s,t} = C_t^s(X_0) \text{ (by regarding } \bar{\phi} = \text{id), and}$$

$$(1.7.2) \quad \bar{\phi} = \bar{\delta}^s \circ \phi: h_t(X_s) \rightarrow C_t^0(X_s) \rightarrow C_t^1(X_{s-1}) \cong C_t^s(X_0).$$

(i) *Then,  $\bar{Z}C_t^s(X_0) = \text{Im } \bar{\phi}$ ; and  $x \in C_t^s(X_0) = E_2^{s,t}$  converges to  $y \in h_{t-s}(X_0)$  if and only if  $x = \phi y_s$  and  $\partial^s y_s = y$  for some  $y_s \in h_t(X_s)$ . Also  $d_r x = x'$  holds for  $x \in C_t^s(X_0), x' \in C_t^{s'}(X_0)$  if and only if  $s' = s + r, t' = t + r - 1$  and  $x = \bar{\delta}^s x_s, f_{s*} x_s = \phi w, g_{s+1*} w = \partial^{r-1} y$  and  $\bar{\phi} y = x'$  for some  $x_s \in C_t^0(X_s), w \in h_t(w_s)$  and  $y \in h_t(X_s)$ .*

(ii)  *$\{E_r\}$  converges and collapses if and only if (1.7.3) and one of (1.7.4-6) hold:*

$$(1.7.3) \quad \text{inv } \lim_n \{h_{t+n}(X_n), \partial: h_{t+n+1}(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow h_{t+n}(X_n)\} = 0 \text{ for any } t.$$

$$(1.7.4) \quad \{E_r^{s,t}\} \text{ converges weakly (i.e., } \bar{Z}_\infty = Z_\infty \text{ or } A^{s,t} = 0) \text{ and collapses.}$$

$$(1.7.5) \quad \phi: h_t(X_s) \rightarrow C_t^0(X_s) \text{ is epimorphic for any } s, t.$$

$$(1.7.6) \quad \text{Ker } \partial^n = \text{Ker } \partial \text{ for } \partial^n: h_t(X_s) \rightarrow h_{t-n}(X_{s-n}), \text{ for any } n (1 \leq n \leq s) \text{ and } s, t.$$

**PROOF.** (i) follows immediately from (1.1.3) and (1.3.1-4) and (1.6.1-2).

(ii) Assume (1.7.6), and take any  $x \in C_t^0(X_s)$ . Then by (1.3.2-3), we see  $f_{s*} x = \phi w$  for some  $w \in h_t(W_s)$ , and so  $\phi f_{s*} g_* w = 0$  and  $g_* w = \partial y$  for some

$y \in h_{t+1}(X_{s+2})$ . Hence  $\partial^2 y = 0$ ,  $g_* w = \partial y = 0$  by (1.7.6), and  $w = f_* y'$  for some  $y' \in h_t(X_s)$ . Thus  $x = \phi y'$  since  $f_{s*}$  is monomorphic; and (1.7.5) holds. (1.7.5) implies  $\bar{Z}_\infty = Z_\infty = Z_r = Z_2$  ( $r \geq 2$ ) by (i), and so  $d_r = 0$  and (1.7.4).

Conversely, assume (1.7.4), and take any  $y \in \text{Ker } \partial^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) in (1.7.6). Then by (1.1.3) and (1.7.4), we have  $f_* y \in B_{n+1} = B_2$ ,  $f_* y = f_* y'$ ,  $y - y' = \partial z$  and  $\partial y = \partial^2 z$  for some  $y' \in \text{Ker } \partial$  and  $z \in h_{t+1}(X_{s+1})$ . Hence  $\partial y \in \bigcap_r \text{Im } \partial^r$  by induction. Therefore,  $\partial^{n-1} y \in \text{Ker } \partial \cap \bigcap_r \text{Im } \partial^r = A^{s-n, t-n+1} = 0$  by (1.7.4); and  $\partial y = 0$  by induction, which shows (1.7.6). Thus (1.7.4-6) are equivalent.

Now, consider  $p: \bar{h}_t = \text{inv lim}_n h_{t+n}(X_n) \rightarrow \bar{F}_t = \bigcap_n F^{n, t+n}$  given by  $p\{y_n\} = y_0$  for  $y_n \in h_{t+n}(X_n)$  with  $\partial y_{n+1} = y_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ); and assume (1.7.6). If  $p\{y_n\} = y_0 = 0$ , then  $y_{n+1} \in \text{Ker } \partial^{n+1} = \text{Ker } \partial$  by (1.7.6), and  $y_n = 0$ . If  $y_0 \in \bar{F}_t$ , then we have  $y_n \in h_{t+n}(X_n)$  with  $\partial^n y_n = y_0$ . Thus  $\partial y_{n+2} - y_{n+1} \in \text{Ker } \partial$  by (1.7.6), and  $\{\partial y_{n+1}\} \in \bar{h}_t$  with  $p\{\partial y_{n+1}\} = y_0$ . Therefore  $p$  is isomorphic, and we see (ii).

q.e.d.

The exact sequence in the assumption (1.3.1) is given by the following

DEFINITION 1.8. (1) For covariant functors  $C_t^s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  ( $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with  $C_t^s = 0$  for  $s < 0$ , assume the following (1.8.1):

(1.8.1) For any cofibered  $\alpha: X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there are given abelian groups  $KC_t^s(\alpha; i)$  ( $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}; i = 0, 1, 2$ ) and exact sequences

$$\cdots \longrightarrow KC_t^s(\alpha; i) \xrightarrow{i} C_t^s(X_i) \xrightarrow{\kappa} KC_t^s(\alpha; i+1) \xrightarrow{\delta} KC_t^{s+1}(\alpha; i) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

( $\rho = \rho_i$  for  $\rho = \iota, \kappa, \delta$ ) with  $KC_t^s(\alpha; 3) = KC_{t-1}^s(\alpha; 0)$ ,  $KC_t^s(\alpha; i) = 0$  for  $s < 0$  and

$$(1.8.2) \quad \iota_{i+1} \circ \kappa_i = f_{i*}: C_t^s(X_i) \longrightarrow KC_t^s(\alpha; i+1) \longrightarrow C_t^s(X_{i+1}) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

Then, we call a collection  $C = \{C_t^s, KC_t^s(\ ; i)\}$  an  $E_2$ -group. In this case, we call  $X \in \mathcal{C}$   $C$ -injective if  $C_t^s(X) = 0$  for  $s > 0$ ; and  $\alpha: X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  a  $C$ -cofibered if  $KC_t^s(\alpha; 0) = 0$ , and a  $C$ -injective cofibered if  $X_1$  is  $C$ -injective in addition.

(2) Furthermore, we say that  $C$  has enough injective objects if

$$(1.8.3) \quad \text{any } X \in \mathcal{C} \text{ is in a } C\text{-injective cofibered } \omega(X): X \xrightarrow{f} W(X) \xrightarrow{g} \bar{W}(X).$$

By this definition, we see the following (1.8.4-6):

(1.8.4) For any  $C$ -cofibered  $\alpha: X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2$ , we have the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_t^s(X_0) \xrightarrow{f_{0*}} C_t^s(X_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} C_t^s(X_2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} C_t^{s+1}(X_0) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

by taking  $\bar{\delta} = \kappa_0^{-1} \circ \delta_1 \circ \iota_2^{-1}: C_t^s(X_2) \cong KC_t^s(\alpha; 2) \rightarrow KC_t^{s+1}(\alpha; 1) \cong C_t^{s+1}(X_0)$  in (1.8.1). In fact, the exact sequences in (1.8.1) show that  $\iota_2$  and  $\kappa_0$  are isomorphic by  $KC_t^s(\alpha; 0) = 0$ , and then the desired one is exact by (1.8.2).

(1.8.5) If  $\alpha_n$ 's in (1.1.1) are  $C$ -cofiberings, then exact sequences in (1.3.1) are given by (1.8.4); and if they are  $C$ -injective cofiberings, then (1.3.2) holds.

(1.8.6) We note that  $C_t^s(*) = 0$  for any  $s, t$ , where  $*$  is the one point spectrum. In fact, consider  $\iota, \kappa$  in (1.8.1) for  $\alpha: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$  and  $i = 1$ . Then  $\iota_1$  is epimorphic and  $\kappa_1$  is monomorphic by (1.8.2); hence  $C_t^s(*) = 0$  by exactness.

Therefore, Theorem 1.4 implies the following

**THEOREM 1.9.** *Let  $h_*$  be a homology theory,  $C = \{C_t^s, KC_t^s\}$  be an  $E_2$ -group, and  $\phi: h_t \rightarrow C_t^0$  be a natural transformation. For  $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , let be given*

(1.9.1)  *$C$ -injective cofiberings  $\alpha_n: X_n \rightarrow W_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  with  $\phi: h_t(W_n) \cong C_t^0(W_n)$ .*

*Then,  $C = \{C_t^s\}$  is related to  $h_*$  at  $X_0$  by  $\phi$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}$ , and we have the spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}\}$  in Theorem 1.4 with  $E_2^{s,t} = C_t^s(X_0) \Rightarrow h_{t-s}(X_0)$  (abut).*

*When  $C$  has enough injective objects by  $\omega(X)$  in (1.8.3) with  $\phi: h_t(W(X)) \cong C_t^0(W(X))$ , this is obtained for any  $X_0$  by taking*

(1.9.2)  $\alpha_n = \omega(X_n): X_n \rightarrow W_n = W(X_n) \rightarrow X_{n+1} = \bar{W}(X_n)$ , inductively.

## § 2. Adams spectral sequences

We recall the Adams spectral sequence for a given ring spectrum  $E$  with unit  $\iota = \iota_E: S^0 \rightarrow E$  and product  $\mu = \mu_E: E \wedge E \rightarrow E$ .

For any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , consider the homotopy and homology groups

$$\pi_t(X) = [\sum^t S^0, X] \quad \text{and} \quad E_t(X) = \pi_t(E \wedge X).$$

Then, we obtain the cochain complex

$$(2.1.1) \quad E_t^*(X) = \{E_t^s(X) = \pi_t(E^{s+1} \wedge X) \quad (s \geq 0), = 0 \quad (s < 0)\}$$

with coboundary  $\delta^s = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} (-1)^i \delta_{i*}^s$ , where  $E^n = E \wedge \cdots \wedge E$  ( $n$  copies) and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i^s &= 1 \wedge \iota \wedge 1: E^{s+1} \wedge X = E^{s+1-i} \wedge S^0 \wedge E^i \wedge X \\ &\rightarrow E^{s+1-i} \wedge E \wedge E^i \wedge X = E^{s+2} \wedge X. \end{aligned}$$

(2.1.2) We note that if a map  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$  between ring spectra  $E$  and  $F$  preserves units (i.e.,  $\iota_F \sim \lambda \circ \iota_E: S^0 \rightarrow F$ ), then  $\lambda^{s+1} \wedge 1: E^{s+1} \wedge X \rightarrow F^{s+1} \wedge X$  induces the cochain map  $\lambda_* = \{(\lambda^{s+1} \wedge 1)_*\}: E_t^*(X) \rightarrow F_t^*(X)$ .

Furthermore, for any cofibering  $\alpha: X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2$ ,

(2.1.3) we have the homotopy exact sequences

$$\cdots \longrightarrow E_t^s(X_0) \xrightarrow{f_{0*}} E_t^s(X_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} E_t^s(X_2) \xrightarrow{f_{2*}} E_{t-1}^s(X_0) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (f_{2*} = \partial),$$

the subcomplexes  $KE_t^*(\alpha; i) = \{\text{Ker } f_{i*}\}$  of  $E_t^*(X_i)$  and the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow KE_t^*(\alpha; i) \rightarrow E_t^*(X_i) \rightarrow KE_t^*(\alpha; i+1) \rightarrow 0 \quad (KE_t^*(\alpha; 3) = KE_{t-1}^*(\alpha; 0))$$

of cochain complexes. Thus, taking their cohomologies,

(2.1.4) we obtain the  $E_2$ -group  $EA = \{EA_t^s, KEA_t^s(\alpha; i)\}$  given by

$$EA_t^s(X) = H^s(E_t^*(X)) \quad (X \in \mathcal{C}), \quad KEA_t^s(\alpha; i) = H^s(KE_t^*(\alpha; i)) \quad (\alpha \in \mathcal{CF}).$$

Now, the Hurewicz map  $(\iota \wedge 1)_*: \pi_t(X) \rightarrow E_t^0(X)$  induced from  $\iota \wedge 1: X = S^0 \wedge X \rightarrow E \wedge X$  satisfies  $\delta^0 \circ (\iota \wedge 1)_* = 0$  for  $\delta^0$  in (2.1.1). Thus we have the natural Hurewicz map

$$(2.1.5) \quad \phi^E = (\iota_E \wedge 1)_*: \pi_t(X) \rightarrow EA_t^0(X) = H^0(E_t^*(X)) = \text{Ker } \delta^0 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Furthermore, we have the induced cofiberings

$$(2.1.6) \quad \omega^E: S^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{j} \bar{E} = C_t, \quad \omega^E X: X \xrightarrow{\iota \wedge 1} E \wedge X \xrightarrow{j \wedge 1} \bar{E} \wedge X \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha_n^E = \omega^E \wedge X_n: X_n \rightarrow E \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1} \quad \text{with } X_n = \bar{E}^n \wedge X_0 \quad (n \geq 0).$$

LEMMA 2.2.  $(1 \wedge \mu \wedge 1)_* \circ (\iota \wedge 1)_* = \text{id}: E_t^s(X) \rightarrow E_t^s(E \wedge X) \rightarrow E_t^s(X)$  for  $1 \wedge \mu \wedge 1: E^s \wedge E^2 \wedge X \rightarrow E^s \wedge E \wedge X$ ; and  $KE_t^s(\omega^E \wedge X; 0) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\phi^E: \pi_t(E \wedge X) \cong EA_t^0(E \wedge X)$  and  $EA_t^s(E \wedge X) = 0$  ( $s > 0$ ). Thus  $\omega^E \wedge X$  is an  $EA$ -injective cofiber, and  $EA$  has enough injective objects.

PROOF. The first part holds since  $\mu \circ (1 \wedge \iota) \sim 1: E \rightarrow E$ . Consider  $\delta_{i*}^s$ ,  $\delta^s: \pi_t(E^{s+1} \wedge W) \rightarrow \pi_t(E^{s+2} \wedge W)$  in (2.1.1) for  $W = E \wedge X$  when  $s \geq 0$ , and  $\delta_0^{-1} = \iota \wedge 1$ ,  $\delta^{-1} = \delta_0^{-1}$  when  $s = -1$ ; and

$$\sigma^s = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \sigma_{i*}^s: \pi_t(E^{s+1} \wedge W) \rightarrow \pi_t(E^s \wedge W) \quad \text{for } s \geq 0,$$

where  $\sigma_i^s = 1 \wedge \mu \wedge 1: E^{s-i} \wedge E^2 \wedge E^i \wedge X \rightarrow E^{s-i} \wedge E \wedge E^i \wedge X$ . Then,  $\sigma_{i*}^{s+1} \circ \delta_{j*}^s$  is  $\delta_{j-1*}^{s-1} \circ \sigma_{i*}^s$  if  $i < j$ ,  $\text{id}$  if  $i = j, j+1$ , and  $\delta_{j*}^{s-1} \circ \sigma_{i-1*}^s$  if  $i > j+1$ ; hence  $\sigma^0 \circ \delta^{-1} = \text{id}: \pi_t(W) \rightarrow \pi_t(W)$  and

$$\sigma^{s+1} \circ \delta^s + \delta^{s-1} \circ \sigma^s = \text{id}: \pi_t(E^{s+1} \wedge W) \rightarrow \pi_t(E^{s+1} \wedge W) \quad \text{when } s \geq 0.$$

Since  $\phi^E = \delta^{-1}$  by (2.1.5), these imply the second part. q.e.d.

By this lemma and Theorem 1.9, we see the following

THEOREM 2.3. For the homotopy theory  $\pi_*$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  and any ring spectrum  $E$ , we have the  $E_2$ -group  $EA$  in (2.1.4) with the Hurewicz map  $\phi$  in (2.1.5). Thus, we have the  $E$ -Adams spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}\}$  for any CW spectrum  $X_0$ , given in Theorem 1.9 by  $\{\alpha_n^F\}$  in (2.1.6), with

$$(2.3.1) \quad E_2^{s,t} = EA_t^s(X_0) = H^s(E_t^*(X_0)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(X_0) \quad (\text{abut}).$$

Moreover, it satisfies

$$(2.3.2) \quad E_2^{s,t} = EA_t^s(X_0) = \text{Ext}_{E_*(E)}^{s,t}(E_*(S^0), E_*(X_0)) \quad \text{when}$$

(2.3.3) the  $E_*(S^0)$ -module  $E_*(E)$  is flat.

PROOF. The cofiber  $\{\alpha_n^E\}$  in (2.1.6) induces the one  $E \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma X_n$  (the subsuspension of  $X_n$ ), and we have the filtration  $X_0 \leftarrow \Sigma^{-1}X_1 \leftarrow \Sigma^{-2}X_2 \leftarrow \dots$  of  $X_0$ , which is the Adams filtration. Thus we have the Adams spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}\}$  given by Proposition 1.2 for  $h_* = \pi_*$  and  $\{\alpha_n^E\}$ . The latter half holds by the following:

(2.3.4) If (2.3.3) holds, then  $E_*^s(X)$  in (2.1.1) is  $E_*(E) \otimes \dots \otimes E_*(E) \otimes E_*(X)$  (the tensor product over  $E_*(S^0)$  of  $s$  copies of  $E_*(E)$  and  $E_*(X)$ ) (cf. [16, 13.75]) and  $E_*^*(X_0) = \{E_*^s(X_0), \delta^s\}$  is just the cobar complex for  $E_*(X_0)$ . q.e.d.

In this paper, we consider the following ring spectra as examples:

(2.4.1) For a ring  $R$ ,  $HR$  is the *Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum* of the ordinary homology theory  $H_*(\ ; R)$ ,  $SR$  is the *Moore spectrum of type  $R$* , and for any ring spectrum  $E$ ,  $ER = E \wedge SR$  is the corresponding one *with coefficients in  $R$* .  $KO$  or  $K$  is the spectrum of *real* or *complex  $K$ -theory*, and  $bu$  is the one of the *connective  $K$ -theory*. For  $G = O, U$  or  $SU$ ,  $MG$  is the *Thom spectrum* of the  $G$ -bordism theory. For a prime  $p$ ,  $BP$  is the *Brown-Peterson spectrum at  $p$* .

(2.4.2) ([4, III, 15.1]) (2.3.2-4) hold for  $E = HR$  or  $SR$  when  $R$  is a field,  $KO, K, MO, MU$  or  $BP$ .

(2.4.3) In this case,  $EA_*^0(X) = PE_*(X)$ , the group of all primitive elements in  $E_*(X)$ , by (2.3.2) and definition.

When  $E_*(E)$  is not flat, we have to calculate  $EA_*^s(X_0) = H^s(E_t^*(X_0))$  in (2.3.1) directly by definition. As examples, we have the following

EXAMPLE 2.5. Consider  $bu$  or  $buQ_2$  in (2.4.1) for  $Q_2 = \{a/b \in Q | b: \text{odd}\}$ . Then:

- (i)  $EA_t^0(S^0) = Z$  (resp.  $Q_2$ ) if  $t = 0$ ,  $= 0$  if  $t \neq 0$ , for  $E = bu$  (resp.  $buQ_2$ ).
- (ii)  $buQ_2A_*^1(S^0)$  is the direct sum of the groups  $Z_2\langle h_n \rangle$  in degree  $n = 2^v \geq 2$  and  $Z_{a(n)}\langle \alpha_n \rangle$  in degree  $2n \geq 2$ , where the generators  $h_n$  and  $\alpha_n$  are given in (2.5.4,7) below, and  $a(n) = 2^{v+2}$  if  $n$  is even  $\geq 4 = 2^{v+1}$  otherwise, for  $n = 2^v q$  ( $q: \text{odd}$ ).

PROOF. We use the following (2.5.1-3) given by Adams [4, III, §§ 16–17]:

(2.5.1) There is a map  $j (= f^0j$  in [4]):  $bu \rightarrow HZ_2$  preserving units such that

$$j_*: (HZ_2)_*(bu) \rightarrow (HZ_2)_*(HZ_2) = A_* = Z_2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots]$$

is monomorphic and  $\text{Im } j_* = Z_2[\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2, \xi_3, \dots]$ . Also, the  $HZ_2$ -Adams spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}\}$  in Theorem 2.3 for  $X_0 = bu^2$  with (2.3.2) satisfies

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_B^{s,t}(Z_2, (HZ_2)_*(bu)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(bu^2) \quad \text{for } B_* = A_*/(\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2, \xi_3, \dots)$$

by the change-of-rings theorem, which converges weakly and collapses for  $r \geq 2$ ;

and  $(j \wedge 1)_* = \text{in} \circ \phi: \pi_*(bu^2) \rightarrow E_2^{0,*} \subset (HZ_2)_*(bu)$  for its edge homomorphism  $\phi$ . Moreover, there is a homomorphism  $E_r^{s,t} \rightarrow E_r^{s+1,t+1}$  which for  $r = 2$  is multiplication by  $\xi_1$  and for  $r = \infty$  is obtained by passing to quotients from multiplication by 2.

(2.5.2)  $HZ_*(bu^n)$  is a direct sum of groups  $Z_p$  ( $p$ : prime) and groups  $Z$  in even degree; hence so is  $HZ_*(BU^n) = HZ_*(bu^n) \otimes Q_2$  of  $Z_2$  and  $Q_2$ , where  $BU = buQ_2$  in this proof. Also,  $\pi_*(BU^n) = \pi_*(bu^n) \otimes Q_2$ , the Hurewicz homomorphism  $h: \pi_*(BU^2) \rightarrow HZ_*(BU^2)$  is monomorphic, and it induces the monomorphism  $h: \tilde{F}^{s,t} \otimes Q_2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}^{s,t} (\tilde{H}^{s,t} = H^{s,t}/H^{s+1,t+1})$  for the filtrations  $\{F^{s,t}\}$  of  $\pi_*(bu^2)$  corresponding to  $\{E_r^{s,t}\}$  in (2.5.1) and  $\{G^{s,t} = 2^s HZ_{t-s}(BU^2)\}$ . Moreover, the torsion subgroup  $T_*^n$  of  $\pi_*(BU^{n+1})$  is a direct sum of groups  $Z_2$ , and  $(j \wedge 1)_* (= (j \wedge 1)_* \otimes 1): \pi_*(BU^{n+1}) \rightarrow (HZ_2)_*(BU^n)$  is monomorphic on  $T_*^n$ .

(2.5.3)  $\pi_*(bu) = Z[t]$  ( $\deg t = 2$ ) and  $\pi_*(bu^2) \otimes Q = Q[u, v]$  for  $u = (1 \wedge 1)_* t$  and  $v = (t \wedge 1)_* t$ . Moreover, a polynomial  $f(u, v) \in Q[u, v]$  lies in  $\text{Im} [\pi_*(BU^2) = \pi_*(bu^2) \otimes Q_2 \rightarrow \pi_*(bu^2) \otimes Q = Q[u, v]]$  if and only if  
 (\*)  $f(kx, lx) \in Q_2[x, x^{-1}]$  for any odd integers  $k$  and  $l$ , and  $f(u, v) \in Q_2[u/2, v/2]$ .

Proof of (i): The coboundary  $\delta^0: bu_*^0(S^0) = Z[t] \rightarrow bu_*^1(S^0) = \pi_*(bu^2)$  in (2.1.1) satisfies  $\delta^0 t^n = u^n - v^n$  ( $\neq 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ ) by definition and (2.5.3). Hence we see (i) for  $bu$ , and for  $BU = buQ_2$  in the same way.

In (2.5.1),  $\Delta \xi_1 = \xi_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi_1$  for the coproduct  $\Delta: A_* \rightarrow A_* \otimes A_* \rightarrow B_* \otimes A_*$ ; hence for  $n = 2^v \geq 2$ ,  $j_*^{-1} \xi_1^n \in (HZ_2)_*(bu)$  lies in  $E_2^{0,n}$  since  $\Delta \xi_1^n = 1 \otimes \xi_1^n$ , and we have  $x_n \in \pi_n(bu^2)$  with  $(j \wedge 1)_* x_n = \phi x_n = j_*^{-1} \xi_1^n$  since  $\phi$  is epimorphic by (1.7.5). Also,  $\xi_1 \cdot j_*^{-1} \xi_1^n = 0$  in  $E_2^{1,n+1}$  since  $\Delta \xi_1^{n+1} = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_1^n + 1 \otimes \xi_1^{n+1}$ , and so  $2x_n = 0$  in  $\tilde{F}^{1,n+1} \subset E_\infty$ . Therefore, in (2.5.2),  $hx_n \in \tilde{G}^{0,n}$  for  $x_n \in \pi_n(BU^2)$  is mapped to 0 by  $\times 2: \tilde{G}^{0,n} \rightarrow \tilde{G}^{1,n+1}$ , whose kernel is a direct sum of groups  $Z_2$ ; and so  $x_n \in h_n + F^{1,n+1} \otimes Q_2$  for some  $h_n \in T_n^1$ . Moreover,  $(j^s)_*: \pi_*(BU^s) \rightarrow \pi_*((HZ_2)^s)$  is monomorphic on  $T_*^{s-1}$ , and is a cochain map by (2.1.2). Now,  $HZ_2 A_*^1(S^0) = \text{Ext}_{A_*}^1(HZ_2(S^0), HZ_2(S^0))$  is generated by  $\{\xi_1^n: n = 2^v \geq 1\}$  (cf. [16, p. 477]). Thus:

(2.5.4) For any  $n = 2^v \geq 2$ , there exists  $h_n \in T_n^1 \subset \pi_n(BU^2) = BU_n^1(S^0)$  ( $BU = buQ_2$ ) such that  $(j \wedge j)_* h_n = \xi_1^n$  in  $A_*$ ,  $h_n$  is a cocycle and its class  $h_n$  in  $BU A_n^1(S^0)$  generates  $Z_2$ . Moreover, if a cocycle  $y \in T_n^1$  is not 0 in  $BU A_n^1(S^0)$ , then  $n = 2^v \geq 2$  and  $y = h_n$ .

On the other hand, let  $t'_u: BP \rightarrow BU = buQ_2$  be the map for  $BP$  at 2 induced from the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro map  $t_u: MU \rightarrow K$  (cf. [5]). Then:

(2.5.5)  $t'_{u*} v_1 = t \in \pi_2(bu) \otimes Q_2 = \pi_2(BU)$  for  $v_1 = [CP^1] \in \pi_2(BP)$ .

(2.5.6) ([11, Cor. 4.23] or [12, Th. 5.5 (b)])  $\alpha'_n = ((1 \wedge \iota_{BP})_* - (\iota_{BP} \wedge 1)_*) v_1^n \in \pi_{2n}(BP^2)$  is divisible by  $a(n)$  given in (ii) of the example, and  $\alpha'_n/a(n) \in \pi_{2n}(BP^2) = BP_2^1(S^0)$  is a cocycle.

(2.5.7) We have the cocycle  $\alpha_n = (t'_u \wedge t'_v)_*(\alpha'_n/a(n)) \in \pi_{2n}(BU^2) = BU_{2n}^1(S^0)$  ( $BU = buQ_2$ ) with  $a(n)\alpha_n = u^n - v^n$  in  $\text{Im} [ ]$  in (2.5.3), and  $\alpha_n \in BU A_{2n}^1(S^0)$  generates  $Z_{a(n)}$ .

(2.5.8)  $f_i(u, v) = (u^n - v^n)/2^i \notin \text{Im} [ ]$  in (2.5.3) for any  $2^i > a(n)$ .

In fact, the first part of (\*) in (2.5.3) for  $f = f_i$  implies  $i \leq v + 2$  if  $v \geq 1$  and  $i \leq 1$  if  $v = 0$  where  $n = 2^v q$ ,  $q$ : odd (cf. [16, 19.21, 25]), and the second one implies  $i \leq n$ . Thus we see (2.5.8).

Proof of (ii): Take any  $x \in \pi_*(BU^2) = \pi_*(bu^2) \otimes Q_2$  with  $\delta^1 x = 0$ . Then, for its image  $\bar{x}$  in  $\pi_*(bu^2) \otimes Q$ , we have  $\delta^1 \bar{x} = 0$  and so  $\bar{x} = a(u^n - v^n)$  ( $a \in Q$ ) by [16, 19.20]. Hence,  $a = b/a(n)$  for  $b \in Q_2$  and  $x = b\alpha_n + y$  for  $y \in T_*^1$  with  $\delta^1 y = 0$  by (2.5.7-8); and we see (ii) by (2.5.4) and (2.5.7). q.e.d.

Here, we notice the following notions due to Miller [10]:

(2.6)  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  splits if  $g \circ f \sim 1: X \rightarrow X$  for some  $g: Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $X$  is  $E$ -injective if  $\iota_E \wedge 1: X \rightarrow E \wedge X$  splits, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $E$ -monic if  $1 \wedge f: E \wedge X \rightarrow E \wedge Y$  splits.

LEMMA 2.7. (i) For a ring spectrum  $E$ ,  $X$  is  $EA$ -injective if  $X$  is  $E$ -injective; and  $\alpha: X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is an  $EA$ -cofibring if  $f_0$  is  $E$ -monic.

(ii)  $K$  is  $HZA$ -injective but not  $HZ$ -injective; and  $\alpha^{HZ}: S^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} HZ \rightarrow C_1$  is a  $KA$ -cofibring, but  $\iota$  is not  $K$ -monic.

PROOF. (i) is seen by Lemma 2.2 and its proof.

(ii) By [16, 13.92, 16.25, 17.21],

(2.7.1)  $\pi_*(K) = Z[t, t^{-1}]$  ( $\deg t = 2$ ),  $HZ_*(K) = Q[u, u^{-1}]$  ( $\deg u = 2$ ) and  $K_*(K)$  is torsion free.

Thus,  $HZ_*^s(K) = \pi_*(HZ) \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_*(HZ) \otimes HZ_*(K)$  by [16, 17.9], which is  $Q[u, u^{-1}]$  for any  $s$  with  $\delta_{i_*}^s = \text{id}$  in (2.1.1). Hence,  $HZA_*^s(K) = 0$  for  $s \geq 1$ , and  $K$  is  $HZA$ -injective. Since  $K_i^s(S^0)$  is torsion free by (2.3.4) and (2.7.1),  $\iota_*: K_i^s(S^0) \rightarrow K_i^s(HZ) = K_i^s(S^0) \otimes Q[u, u^{-1}]$  is monomorphic. Hence  $\alpha^{HZ}$  is a  $KA$ -cofibring. Since  $(\iota \wedge 1)_*: \pi_2(K) = Z \rightarrow \pi_2(HZ \wedge K) = Q$  does not split as groups, we see that  $K$  is not  $HZ$ -injective and  $\iota$  is not  $K$ -monic. q.e.d.

### §3. $E_2$ -functors and comparison of spectral sequences

Let denote by  $\mathcal{CF}$  the category of cofiberings in  $\mathcal{C}$ , where

(3.1) a *mah*  $\psi: \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$  between cofiberings  $\alpha_j: X_{j0} \xrightarrow{f_{j0}} X_{j1} \xrightarrow{f_{j1}} X_{j2}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) consists of maps  $\psi_i: X_{1i} \rightarrow X_{2i}$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) which make the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \alpha_1: X_{10} & \xrightarrow{f_{10}} & X_{11} & \xrightarrow{f_{11}} & X_{12} & \xrightarrow{f_{12}} & \Sigma X_{10} \\
 \psi_0 \downarrow & & \psi_1 \downarrow & & \psi_2 \downarrow & & \Sigma \psi_0 \downarrow \\
 \alpha_2: X_{20} & \xrightarrow{f_{20}} & X_{21} & \xrightarrow{f_{21}} & X_{22} & \xrightarrow{f_{22}} & \Sigma X_{20}
 \end{array}$$

of the induced cofiber sequences of  $\alpha_j$  for the suspension functor  $\Sigma$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2.** We define an  $E_2$ -functor on  $\mathcal{C}$  to be an  $E_2$ -group  $C = \{C_i^s, KC_i^s(\ ; i)\}$  in Definition 1.8 with the following (3.2.1) in addition:

(3.2.1)  $C_i^s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a homotopy functor,  $KC_i^s(\ ; i): \mathcal{CF} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a covariant functor and the exact sequences in (1.8.1) are *natural*, i.e.,  $\iota$ ,  $\kappa$  and  $\delta$  commute with the induced homomorphism  $\psi_*$  and  $\psi_{i*}$  for any map  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}: \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$  in (3.1); hence so are the ones in (1.8.4) for  $C$ -cofiberings.

By definition, we see immediately the following

(3.2.2) For a ring spectrum  $E$ , the  $E_2$ -group  $EA$  in (2.1.4) is an  $E_2$ -functor.

Now, for  $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , a homology theory  $h_*$  and  $E_2$ -functors  $B = C$  and  $D$ , let be given

(3.3.1)  $B$ -injective cofiberings  $\alpha_n^B: X_n^B \rightarrow W_n^B \rightarrow X_{n+1}^B$  and maps  $\bar{\lambda} = \{\bar{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\lambda}_n\}: \alpha_n^C \rightarrow \alpha_n^D$  in  $\mathcal{CF}$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) in homotopy commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \alpha_n^C: X_n^C & \xrightarrow{f_n^C} & W_n^C & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}^C} & X_{n+1}^C & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X_n^C \\ \downarrow \bar{\lambda}_n & & \downarrow \tilde{\lambda}_n & & \downarrow \bar{\lambda}_{n+1} & & \downarrow \Sigma \bar{\lambda}_n \\ \alpha_n^D: X_n^D & \xrightarrow{f_n^D} & W_n^D & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}^D} & X_{n+1}^D & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X_n^D \end{array} \quad \bar{\lambda}_0 = \text{id on } X_0^B = X_0,$$

(3.3.2) natural transformations  $\phi^B: h_t \rightarrow B_t^0$  with  $\phi^B: h_t(W_n^B) \cong B_t^0(W_n^B)$ , and

(3.3.3) an  $E_2$ -map  $\lambda: C \rightarrow D$ , consisting of natural transformations  $\lambda: C_i^s \rightarrow D_i^s$ ,  $KC_i^s \rightarrow KD_i^s$  compatible with  $\iota$ ,  $\kappa$  and  $\delta$  in (1.8.1), such that  $\phi^D = \lambda \circ \phi^C: h_t \rightarrow C_t^0 \rightarrow D_t^0$ .

Then,  $\phi^B$  and  $\{\alpha^B\}$  in (3.3.1-2) give us the spectral sequences

(3.3.4)  $\{E(B)_r^{s,t}\}$  in Theorem 1.9 with  $E(B)_2^{s,t} = B_t^s(X_0) \Rightarrow h_{t-s}(X_0)$  (abut).

Furthermore, the maps  $\bar{\lambda}$  in (3.3.1) induce the commutative diagrams

$$(3.3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & h_t(X_s^C) & \xrightarrow{f_*^C} & h_t(W_s^C) & \xrightarrow{g_*^C} & h_t(X_{s+1}^C) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & h_{t-1}(X_s^C) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & \downarrow \bar{\lambda}_{s*} & & \downarrow \tilde{\lambda}_{s*} & & \downarrow \bar{\lambda}_{s+1*} & & \downarrow \bar{\lambda}_{s*} & & (\bar{\lambda}_{0*} = \text{id}) \\ \cdots \longrightarrow & h_t(X_s^D) & \xrightarrow{f_*^D} & h_t(W_s^D) & \xrightarrow{g_*^D} & h_t(X_{s+1}^D) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & h_{t-1}(X_s^D) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

of the exact sequences in (1.1.2). Therefore, by Proposition 1.2, we have the induced map

(3.3.6)  $\bar{\lambda}_*: \{E(C)_r^{s,t}\} \rightarrow \{E(D)_r^{s,t}\}$  between the spectral sequences in (3.3.4) with

$$\bar{\lambda}_* = \tilde{\lambda}_{s*}: E(C)_1^{s,t} = h_t(W_s^C) \rightarrow E(D)_1^{s,t} = h_t(W_s^D) \Rightarrow \text{id on } h_{t-s}(X_0) \quad (\text{abut}).$$

We see that this is represented on the  $E_2$ -terms by  $\lambda$  in (3.3.3):

$$(3.3.7) \quad \bar{\lambda}_* = \lambda: E(C)_2^{s,t} = C_t^s(X_0) \rightarrow E(D)_2^{s,t} = D_t^s(X_0), \text{ more precisely,} \\ \bar{\phi}^D \circ \bar{\lambda}_* = \lambda \circ \bar{\phi}^C \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\phi}^B = (\bar{\delta}^B)^s \circ (f_{s*}^B)^{-1} \circ \phi^B: E(B)_2^{s,t} \cong B_t^s(X_0) \quad \text{in (1.3.4).}$$

In fact, we see that  $(f_{s*}^D)^{-1} \circ \phi^D \circ \bar{\lambda}_{s*} = \bar{\lambda}_{s*} \circ \lambda \circ (f_{s*}^C)^{-1} \circ \phi^C$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_t^s(X_{n+1}^C) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & D_t^s(X_{n+1}^C) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}_{n+1*}} & D_t^s(X_{n+1}^D) \\ \downarrow \bar{\delta}^D & & & & \downarrow \bar{\delta}^D \\ C_t^{s+1}(X_n^C) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & D_t^{s+1}(X_n^C) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}_{n*}} & D_t^{s+1}(X_n^D) \end{array} \quad (\bar{\delta}^B = \kappa^{-1} \circ \delta \circ \iota^{-1} \text{ in (1.8.4)})$$

is commutative by (3.3.1-3) and (3.2.1); and these imply the desired equality

$$\bar{\phi}^D \circ \bar{\lambda}_* = (\bar{\delta}^D)^s \circ (f_{s*}^D)^{-1} \circ \phi^D \circ \bar{\lambda}_{s*} = \bar{\lambda}_{0*} \circ \lambda \circ (\bar{\delta}^C)^s \circ (f_{s*}^C)^{-1} \circ \phi^C = \lambda \circ \bar{\phi}^C.$$

For this induced map  $\bar{\lambda}_*$ , we have the following

**THEOREM 3.4.** *In addition to (3.3.1-3), assume that*  
 (3.4.1) *each  $\alpha_n^C$  is also a  $D$ -injective cofiber and  $\phi^D: h_t(W_n^C) \cong D_t^0(W_n^C)$ . Then, the spectral sequences  $\{E(B)_r^{s,t}\}$  ( $B = C, D$ ) in (3.3.4) are isomorphic for  $r \geq 2$  by the induced map  $\bar{\lambda}_*$  in (3.3.6), and  $\lambda: C_t^s(X_0) \cong D_t^s(X_0)$  for any  $s$  and  $t$ .*

**PROOF.** By (3.4.1), Theorem 1.9 for  $\phi^D$  and  $\{\alpha_n^C\}$  shows that

$$\lambda: C_t^s(X_0) \cong D_t^s(X_0), \quad \bar{\lambda}_*: E(C)_r^{s,t} \cong E(D)_r^{s,t} \quad \text{for} \quad r = 2;$$

hence the latter is isomorphic also for any  $r \geq 2$ .

q.e.d.

By weakening the assumption (3.4.1), we can prove the following

**THEOREM 3.5.** *In addition to (3.3.1-3), assume the following (3.5.1-3) for some integers  $a \geq 0$  and  $b$ :*

(3.5.1)  $\alpha_n^C$  is a  $D$ -cofiber if  $n \leq a$ .

(3.5.2)  $D_t^s(W_n^C) = 0$  if  $n < t - b - 1 = s + n < a$  (when  $a \geq 2$ ).

(3.5.3)  $\phi^D: h_t(W_s^C) \rightarrow D_t^0(W_s^C)$  is

(\*) *monomorphic if  $t - b = s \leq a$  and epimorphic if  $t - b - 1 = s < a$ .*

(i) Then,  $\bar{\lambda}_* = \lambda: E(C)_2^{s,t} = C_t^s(X_0) \rightarrow E(D)_2^{s,t} = D_t^s(X_0)$  in (3.3.7) is (\*).

(ii) Furthermore, for the subgroups  $\bar{Z}E$  in Corollary 1.7 (i), the restriction  $\lambda|_{\bar{Z}C_t^s(X_0)}: \bar{Z}C_t^s(X_0) \rightarrow \bar{Z}D_t^s(X_0)$  for  $t = b + s$  is epimorphic if  $s \leq a + 1$ ; hence it is isomorphic if  $s \leq a$  by (i).

**PROOF.** (i) By (3.5.1) and (3.3.3), we have the commutative diagram

$$(3.5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_t^s(X_n^C) & \longrightarrow & C_t^s(W_n^C) & \longrightarrow & C_t^s(X_{n+1}^C) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}^c} & C_t^{s+1}(X_n^C) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & D_t^s(X_n^C) & \longrightarrow & D_t^s(W_n^C) & \longrightarrow & D_t^s(X_{n+1}^C) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}^D} & D_t^{s+1}(X_n^C) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array} \quad (n \leq a)$$

of the exact sequences in (1.8.4) for  $n \leq a$ , where

(3.5.5)  $C_t^* = D_t^* = 0$  if  $* < 0$ ,  $C_t^*(W_n^C) = 0$  if  $* \geq 1$ , and  $\bar{\delta}^D: D_t^n(X_{s-n}^C) \rightarrow D_t^{n+1}(X_{s-n-1}^C)$  ( $0 < n < s$ ) and  $\lambda = \phi^D \circ (\phi^C)^{-1}: C_t^0(W_s^C) \rightarrow D_t^0(W_s^C)$  are (\*) in (3.5.3),

because  $\phi^D = \lambda \circ \phi^C$  and  $\phi^C$  is isomorphic for  $W_s^C$  by (3.3.2-3). Thus, by 5-Lemma and by induction, we see that

(3.5.6)  $\lambda: C_t^n(X_{s-n}^C) \rightarrow D_t^n(X_{s-n}^C)$  ( $0 \leq n \leq s$ ) is also (\*); and (i) holds.

(ii) By (3.3.5-6) and the definition of  $\bar{Z}B_t^s(X_0)$ ,  $\lambda(\bar{Z}C_t^s(X_0)) \subset \bar{Z}D_t^s(X_0)$  holds and (ii) is proved by showing the following in the commutative diagram (3.3.5):

(3.6.1) Let  $t = b + s \leq a + b + 1$ . Then, for any  $y \in h_t(X_s^D)$ , there exist  $x_n \in h_{b+n}(X_n^C)$  ( $0 \leq n \leq s$ ) with  $x_0 = y_0$  and  $\partial \bar{\lambda}_{n*} x_n = y_{n-1}$  for  $n > 0$ , where  $y_n = \partial^{s-n} y$ .

In fact, (3.6.1) shows that  $\partial \bar{\lambda}_{s*} x_s = \partial y$ ; hence  $y - \bar{\lambda}_{s*} x_s \in \text{Ker } \partial = \text{Im } g_*^D$ , and so  $f_*^D y - \tilde{\lambda}_{s*} f_*^C x_s \in \text{Im } d_1^D$  ( $d_1^D = f_*^B \circ g_*^B$ ) for any  $y \in h_t(X_s^D)$  and some  $x_s \in h_t(X_s^C)$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\lambda}_{s*}: \text{Im } f_*^C / \text{Im } d_1^C \rightarrow \text{Im } f_*^D / \text{Im } d_1^D$  is epimorphic, which means (ii).

Now, assume inductively that there exists  $x_n$  in (3.6.1) for  $n < s$ . Then,  $\bar{\lambda}_* x_n - y_n \in \text{Ker } \partial = \text{Im } g_*^D$  and so  $\tilde{\lambda}_{n*} f_*^C x_n = f_*^D(\bar{\lambda}_* x_n - \partial y_{n+1}) \in \text{Im } d_1^D$  ( $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}_n$ ). Thus  $\tilde{\lambda}_{n*} f_*^C x_n = 0$  in  $E(D)_2^{n,m}$  ( $m = b + n$ ); hence  $f_*^C x_n = 0$  in  $E(C)_2^{n,m}$  by (i), and  $f_*^C x_n = d_1^C w = f_*^C g_*^C w$ ,  $x_n - g_*^C w = \partial x$  for some  $w \in h_m(W_{n-1}^C)$ ,  $x \in h_{m+1}(X_{n+1}^C)$ . Therefore,  $\partial^2 \bar{\lambda}'_* x = \partial \bar{\lambda}'_* x_n = \partial y_n$  ( $\bar{\lambda}' = \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}$ ); hence

(3.6.2)  $g_*^D z = \partial \bar{\lambda}'_* x - y_n = \partial(\bar{\lambda}'_* x - y_{n+1})$  for some  $z \in h_m(W_{n-1}^D)$ .

This implies  $d_1^D z = f_*^D g_*^D z = 0$ , and so we see by (i) that

(3.6.3)  $z - \tilde{\lambda}_{n-1*} w' \in \text{Im } d_1^D$  for some  $w' \in h_m(W_{n-1}^C)$  with  $d_1^C w' = f_*^C g_*^C w' = 0$ ; hence  $g_*^C w' = \partial x'$  and so  $\partial \bar{\lambda}'_* x' = \bar{\lambda}_* g_*^C w' = g_*^D z$  for some  $x' \in h_{m+1}(X_{n+1}^C)$ .

Thus  $\partial \bar{\lambda}'_* x_{n+1} = y_n$  for  $x_{n+1} = x - x'$ ; and (3.6.1) is proved by induction. q.e.d.

As applications to Theorems 3.4-5, we compare the Adams spectral sequences  $\{E(G)_r^{s,t}\}$  given in Theorem 2.3 for

(3.7.1) ring spectra  $G = E$  and  $F$  with a unit-preserving map  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$  ( $i_F \sim \lambda \circ i_E: S^0 \rightarrow F$ ).

In this case,  $\lambda$  induces  $\bar{\lambda}: \bar{E} = C_{i_E} \rightarrow \bar{F} = C_{i_F}$  (cf. [16, 8.31]) and the maps

(3.7.2)  $\bar{\lambda} = \{\bar{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\lambda}_n\}: \alpha_n^E \rightarrow \alpha_n^F$  between the cofiberings of (2.1.6) in

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \alpha_n^E: X_n^E & \xrightarrow{i_E \wedge 1} & E \wedge X_n^E & \longrightarrow & X_{n+1}^E & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X_n^E \\ \downarrow \bar{\lambda}_n & & \downarrow \tilde{\lambda}_n & & \downarrow \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1} & & \downarrow \Sigma \bar{\lambda}_n \\ \alpha_n^F: X_n^F & \xrightarrow{i_F \wedge 1} & F \wedge X_n^F & \longrightarrow & X_{n+1}^F & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X_n^F \end{array} \quad (X_0^E = X_0 = X_0^F)$$

given by  $\bar{\lambda}_n = \bar{\lambda}^n \wedge 1: X_n^E = \bar{E}^n \wedge X_0 \rightarrow X_n^F = \bar{F}^n \wedge X_0$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \lambda \wedge \bar{\lambda}_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). Furthermore,  $\lambda^{s+1} \wedge 1: E^{s+1} \wedge X_0 \rightarrow F^{s+1} \wedge X_0$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) induce the cochain maps  $\lambda_*: E_r^*(X) \rightarrow F_r^*(X)$  ( $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ) and  $\lambda_*: KE_r^*(\alpha; i) \rightarrow KF_r^*(\alpha; i)$  ( $\alpha \in \mathcal{CF}$ ), which induce the  $E_2$ -map

$$(3.7.3) \quad \lambda_*: EA = \{EA_t^s, KEA_t^s(\ ; i)\} \rightarrow FA = \{FA_t^s, KFA_t^s(\ ; i)\}$$

between the  $E_2$ -functors  $GA$  given in (2.1.4) (see (3.2.2)). This satisfies

$$(3.7.4) \quad \phi^F = \lambda_* \circ \phi^E: \pi_t(X) \rightarrow EA_t^0(X) \rightarrow FA_t^0(X) \text{ for } \phi^G \text{ in (2.1.5).}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.3 and (3.3.6-7), we have the map

$$(3.7.5) \quad \bar{\lambda}_*: \{E(E)_r^{s,t}\} \rightarrow \{E(F)_r^{s,t}\} \text{ between the Adams spectral sequences with}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_* = \lambda_*: E(E)_2^{s,t} = EA_t^s(X_0) \rightarrow E(F)_2^{s,t} = FA_t^s(X_0) \Rightarrow \text{id on } \pi_{t-s}(X_0) \text{ (abut).}$$

Now,  $(t_E \wedge 1)_* = (\lambda \wedge 1)_* \circ (t_E \wedge 1)_*: F_t^s(X) \rightarrow F_t^s(E \wedge X) \rightarrow F_t^s(F \wedge X)$  is monomorphic by Lemma 2.2, and so is  $(t_E \wedge 1)_*$ . Hence:

(3.7.6)  $KF_t^s(\omega^E \wedge X; 0) = 0$  and  $\alpha_n^E = \omega^E \wedge X_n^E$  is also an  $FA$ -cofiber, by definition. Therefore, Theorems 3.4-5 imply the following

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$  be a unit-preserving map between ring spectra, and consider  $W_n^E = E \wedge X_n^E = E \wedge \bar{E}^n \wedge X_0$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) in (3.7.2) for  $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then:*

(i)  $\bar{\lambda}_*: E(E)_r^{s,t} \rightarrow E(F)_r^{s,t}$  in (3.7.5) is isomorphic for  $r \geq 2$ , if  
(3.8.1) each  $W_n^E$  is  $FA$ -injective and  $\phi^F$  (or  $\lambda_*$ ) in (3.7.4) for  $X = W_n^E$  is isomorphic.

(ii) Assume that there are integers  $a \geq 0$  and  $b$  such that  
(3.8.2)  $FA_t^s(W_n^E) = 0$  if  $n < t - b - 1 = n + s < a$  (when  $a \geq 2$ ), and  
(3.8.3)  $\phi^F$  (or  $\lambda_*$ ) in (3.7.4) for  $X = W_s^E$  is

(\*) monomorphic if  $t - b = s \leq a$  and epimorphic if  $t - b - 1 = s < a$ .

Then,  $\bar{\lambda}_*: E(E)_2^{s,t} \rightarrow E(F)_2^{s,t}$  in (3.7.5) is also (\*). Furthermore the restriction

$$(3.8.4) \quad \bar{\lambda}_* = \lambda_*: \bar{Z}E(E)_2^{s,t} = \bar{Z}EA_t^s(X_0) \rightarrow \bar{Z}E(F)_2^{s,t} = \bar{Z}FA_t^s(X_0)$$

for  $t = b + s$  is isomorphic if  $s \leq a$  and epimorphic if  $s = a + 1$ .

(iii) (ii) holds for  $a = 1$  (resp. 0) and any  $b$ , if  
(3.8.5)  $\phi^F: \pi_*(E) \rightarrow FA_*^0(E)$  is isomorphic (resp. monomorphic), and  
(3.8.6)  $E_*(E)$  and  $E_*(X_0)$  (resp.  $E_*(X_0)$ ) are the flat  $E_*(S^0)$ -modules.

**PROOF OF (iii).** We see inductively that

(3.8.7) if  $E_*(E)$  and  $E_*(X_0)$  are flat, then so is  $E_*(X_n^E)$  for any  $n$ , because then  $E_*(W_n^E) = E_*(E) \otimes E_*(X_n^E)$  by [16, 13.75], and

(3.8.8) the split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow E_*(X_n^E) \rightarrow E_*(W_n^E) \rightarrow E_*(X_{n+1}^E) \rightarrow 0$  holds, by Lemma 2.2. Then, by [16, Note after 13.75], we see that

(3.8.9) if (3.8.6) holds, then for  $n \leq a = 1$  (resp. 0),  $F_*^t(W_n^E) = \pi_*(F^{t+1} \wedge E) \otimes E_*(X_n^E)$ , and so  $FA_*^t(W_n^E) = FA_*^t(E) \otimes E_*(X_n^E)$  and  $\phi^F = \phi^F \otimes \text{id}: \pi_*(W_n^E) = \pi_*(E) \otimes E_*(X_n^E) \rightarrow FA_*^0(W_n^E) = FA_*^0(E) \otimes E_*(X_n^E)$ .

Thus (3.8.5-6) imply (3.8.3) for  $a = 1$  (resp. 0).

q.e.d.

EXAMPLE 3.9. In Theorem 3.8, (i) is valid when  $E = F$  for any unit-preserving map  $\lambda: E \rightarrow E$ , or when  $\lambda$  is the Thom map  $\Phi: MO \rightarrow HZ_2$ . Also, under the assumption that  $E_*(X_0)$  is flat, (iii) is valid for  $a = 1$  when  $\lambda$  is the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro map  $t_u: MU \rightarrow K$  or  $t_u^{BP}: BP \rightarrow KQ_p$  at a prime  $p$  induced from  $t_u$ ; and for  $a = 0$  when  $\lambda$  is the Conner-Floyd map  $t_{su}: MSU \rightarrow KO$  (cf. [15, 7.10]).

PROOF. When  $F = E$ , (3.8.1) holds by Lemma 2.2.  $MO \simeq \bigvee_i \Sigma^{n_i} HZ_2$  (homotopy equivalent) by [4, p. 207], and so  $W_n^{MO} \simeq (\bigvee_i \Sigma^{n_i} HZ_2) \wedge X_n^{MO}$ . Hence, we see that  $HZ_2 A_t^s(W_n^{MO}) = \text{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(Z_2, (HZ_2)_*(W_n^{MO}))$  ( $A_* = (HZ_2)_*(HZ_2)$ ) in (2.3.2) is isomorphic to  $\pi_t(W_n^{MO})$  by  $\phi^{HZ_2}$  if  $s = 0$  and is 0 if  $s > 0$ ; and (3.8.1) holds.

(3.8.6) holds in each case by (2.4.2).  $\phi^K: \pi_*(MU) \cong PK_*(MU)$  by the Hattori-Stong theorem (cf. [4, II, 14.1]). By [4, II, §16],  $BP$  is the direct summand of  $MUQ_p$ , and so the isomorphism  $\phi^K$  induces  $\phi^{K'}: \pi_*(BP) \cong PK'_*(BP)$  ( $K' = KQ_p$ ). Also,  $\phi^{KO}: \pi_*(MSU) \rightarrow PKO_*(MSU)$  is monomorphic by [15, 7.10]. Since  $PF_*(X) = FA_*(X)$  by (2.4.3), these show the latter half.

q.e.d.

EXAMPLE 3.10. Theorem 3.8 (ii) is valid for the Thom map  $\Phi^{BP}: BP \rightarrow HZ_p$  at a prime  $p$ ,  $X_0 = S^0$ ,  $a = q - r - 1$  and  $b = kq + r$  with  $0 < r < q$ , where  $q = 2(p - 1)$ ; and  $BPA_q^s(S^0)$  ( $q \nmid t$ ),  $HZ_p A_{nq+t}^s(S^0)$  ( $s + 1 < t < q$ ),  $\overline{HZ}_p A_{nq+t}^s(S^0)$  ( $s < t < q$ ) are 0, and  $\Phi_*^{BP}: \overline{BPA}_{nq}^s(S^0) \rightarrow \overline{HZ}_p A_{nq}^s(S^0)$  ( $s < q$ ) is epimorphic.

PROOF. We use the following (3.10.1) (cf. [4, II, §16]):

(3.10.1) If  $q \nmid t$ , then  $\pi_t(BP)$ ,  $BP_t(BP)$  and  $HZ_p A_{t+s}^s(BP)$  are all 0, (for the last one, we see that  $\text{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(Z_p, (HZ_p)_*(BP))$  ( $A_* = (HZ_p)_*(HZ_p)$ ) in (2.3.2) is  $Z_p[a_0, a_1, \dots]$  ( $a_i \in \text{Ext}^{1,t_i}$ ,  $t_i = 2(p^i - 1) + 1$ ) by the structure of  $(HZ_p)_*(BP)$  in [7] and by the same argument as in [16, pp. 500–503].)

Then, according to (2.4.2) and (3.8.7-9), we see the following

(3.10.2) If  $q \nmid t$ , then  $BP_t^s(S^0)$ ,  $BP_t(X_n^{BP})$  and  $HZ_p A_{t+s}^s(W_n^{BP})$  are 0, where  $X_0 = S^0$ ; which implies (3.8.2) and the desired results.

q.e.d.

#### §4. Mahowald spectral sequences and double $E_2$ -functors

Let  $D = \{D_u^t, KD_u^t\}$  be an  $E_2$ -functor, and for a given  $X_0$ , assume that (4.1.1) there exist  $D$ -cofiberings  $\omega_s: X_s \xrightarrow{i_s} W_s \xrightarrow{j_{s+1}} X_{s+1}$  for  $s \geq 0$ . Then, by (1.8.4), we have the exact sequences

$$(4.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow D_u^t(X_s) &\xrightarrow{i_*} D_u^t(W_s) \xrightarrow{j_*} D_u^t(X_{s+1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} D_u^{t+1}(X_s) \\ &\longrightarrow \cdots (i_* = i_{s*}, j_* = j_{s+1*}); \end{aligned}$$

and the same argument as Proposition 1.2 and  $D_u^t = 0$  ( $t < 0$ ) imply the following

PROPOSITION 4.2. For an  $E_2$ -functor  $D$  and  $X_0$  with (4.1.1), we have the spectral sequence  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r: \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s+r,t-r+1}\}$  associated to (4.1.2) such that

$$(4.2.1) \quad d_1 = i_* \circ j_*: \tilde{E}_{u,1}^{s,t} = D_u^t(W_s) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{u,1}^{s+1,t} = D_u^t(W_{s+1}), \text{ and}$$

(4.2.2)  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  converges to  $D_u^{s+t}(X_0)$ ,  $\tilde{E}_{u,\infty}^{s,t} \cong F_u^{s,t}/F_u^{s+1,t-1}$ , in the sense of (1.6.2), by the finite filtration  $D_u^{s+t}(X_0) = F_u^{0,s+t} \supset \cdots \supset F_u^{s,t} = \text{Im} [\bar{\delta}^s: D_u^t(X_s) \rightarrow D_u^{t+s}(X_0)] \supset F_u^{s+1,t-1} \supset \cdots \supset F_u^{s+t+1,-1} = 0$ .

We now represent the  $E_2$ -term of this spectral sequence in a similar way to Theorem 1.9.

DEFINITION 4.3. Let be given a collection of covariant functors

$$A = \{A_u^{s,t}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; KA_u^{s,t}(\ ; i), LA_u^{s,t}(\ ; i, j): \mathcal{CF} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} | s, t, u \in \mathbb{Z}; i, j = 0, 1, 2\}$$

with  $A_u^{s,t} = KA_u^{s,t}(\ ; i) = LA_u^{s,t}(\ ; i, j) = 0$  for  $s < 0$  or  $t < 0$ .

(1) We say that  $A$  is a *double  $E_2$ -functor* on  $\mathcal{C}$ , if

(4.3.1) for any  $\alpha: X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2$  in  $\mathcal{CF}$ , there hold *natural exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\longrightarrow LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i, j) \xrightarrow{\iota} A_{u,j}^{s,t}(\alpha; i) \xrightarrow{\kappa} LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i+j, j+1) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta} LA_u^{s+1,t}(\alpha; i, j) \longrightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

$\rho = \rho_{i,j}$  for  $\rho = \iota, \kappa, \delta$ , where  $A_{u,j}^{s,t}(\alpha; i) = KA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i)$  ( $j = 0, 2$ ),  $A_{u,1}^{s,t}(\alpha; i) = A_u^{s,t}(X_i)$  and  $LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; a, b) = LA_{u-1}^{s,t}(\alpha; a-3, b)$  if  $a \geq 3$ ,  $= LA_{u+1}^{s,t+1}(\alpha; a, b-3)$  if  $b \geq 3$ ; and these satisfy the equalities

$$(4.3.2) \quad f_{i*} = \iota_{i+1,1} \circ \kappa_{i+1,0} \circ \iota_{i+1,2} \circ \kappa_{i,1}: A_u^{s,t}(X_i) \rightarrow A_u^{s,t}(X_{i+1}) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

(2) We call  $\alpha: X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  in  $\mathcal{CF}$  an  *$A(1)$ -injective cofibering* if it is an  *$A(1)$ -cofibering*, i.e.,  $KA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 0) = 0 = LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i, j)$  for  $j = 0$  (hence for  $i = 0$  by (4.3.1)), and  $X_1$  is  *$A(1)$ -injective*, i.e.,  $A_u^{s,t}(X_1) = 0$  for  $s \neq 0$ .

(3) We say that  $A$  is *related to an  $E_2$ -functor  $D$  at  $X_0$*  by  $\psi^D$  and  $\{\omega_s\}$ , if (4.3.3) each  $\omega_s: X_s \xrightarrow{\iota_s} W_s \xrightarrow{j_{s+1}} X_{s+1}$  is a  $D$ -cofibering and  $A(1)$ -injective cofibering and  $\psi^D: D_u^t \rightarrow A_u^{0,t}$  is a natural transformation with  $\psi^D: D_u^t(W_s) \cong A_u^{0,t}(W_s)$ .

By this definition, the exact sequences in (4.3.1-2) imply the following:

(4.3.4) Any  $A(1)$ -cofibering  $\alpha: X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2$  induces the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A_u^{s,t}(X_0) \xrightarrow{f_{0*}} A_u^{s,t}(X_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} A_u^{s,t}(X_2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} A_u^{s+1,t}(X_0) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where  $\bar{\delta} = (\kappa_{1,0} \circ \iota_{1,2} \circ \kappa_{0,1})^{-1} \circ \delta_{1,1} \circ (\iota_{2,1} \circ \kappa_{2,0} \circ \iota_{2,2})$  by the isomorphisms  $\kappa$  and  $\iota$  in it.

Hence, for  $\omega_s$  and  $\psi$  in (4.3.3), the following (4.3.5-6) hold:

(4.3.5)  $\bar{\delta}: A_u^{n,t}(X_{s+1}) \cong A_u^{n+1,t}(X_s)$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_u^{0,t}(X_s) \xrightarrow{i_{s*}} A_u^{0,t}(W_s) \xrightarrow{j_{s+1*}} A_u^{0,t}(X_{s+1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} A_u^{1,t}(X_s) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(4.3.6)  $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\delta}^s \circ (i_{s*}^{-1} \circ \psi): \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} \cong A_u^{0,t}(X_s)/\text{Im } j_{s*} \cong A_u^{s,t}(X_0)$  for  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in Proposition 4.2. Moreover,  $\psi: D_u^0(X_s) \cong A_u^{0,0}(X_s)$ .

In fact, the first isomorphism is seen in the same way as (1.3.4) by the exact sequences in (4.1.2) and (4.3.5) with  $\psi$  in (4.3.3); and the second one by those for  $t = 0$ ,  $D_u^{-1} = 0$  and 5-Lemma. Thus, we have proved the following

**THEOREM 4.4** (Mahowald spectral sequence). *In case of Definition 4.3(3), we have the spectral sequence  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in Proposition 4.2 which converges to  $D_u^{s+t}(X_0)$  and whose  $E_2$ -term  $\tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t}$  is isomorphic to  $A_u^{s,t}(X_0)$  by  $\bar{\psi}$  in (4.3.6):  $\tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} = A_u^{s,t}(X_0) \Rightarrow D_u^{s+t}(X_0)$  (conv).*

The same proof as Corollary 1.7 and the last half of (4.3.6) give us the following

**COROLLARY 4.5.** (i) *In Theorem 4.4.  $\bar{Z}A_u^{s,t}(X_0) = \text{Im } [\bar{\psi} = \bar{\delta}^s \circ \psi: D_u^t(X_s) \rightarrow A_u^{s,t}(X_0)]$  for  $\bar{Z}A_u^{s,t}(X_0) = \bar{\psi}(\tilde{Z}_{u,\infty}^{s,t}/\tilde{B}_{u,2}^{s,t}) = \bar{\psi}(\text{Im } i_*/i_* \text{ Ker } \bar{\delta})$ ; and  $\bar{Z}A_u^{s,0}(X_0) = A_u^{s,0}(X_0)$ .*

(ii) *When  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  collapses, the similar results to Corollary 1.7 (ii) hold.*

By Theorem 4.4, we can construct a spectral sequence which converges to a given  $E_2$ -functor, or to the  $E_2$ -term of a spectral sequence in Theorem 1.9, by finding a double  $E_2$ -functor related to it. We call a spectral sequence of this theorem a *Mahowald* one according to Miller [10].

For a ring spectrum  $E$  and an  $E_2$ -functor  $D = \{D_u^t, KD_u^t(\ ; i)\}$ , we obtain a double  $E_2$ -functor  $ED$  in the same way as (2.1.1-4), as follows: For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , let

$$(4.6.1) \quad DE_u^{s,t}(X) = \{DE_u^{s,t}(X) = D_u^t(E^{s+1} \wedge X) (s \geq 0), = 0 (s < 0)\}$$

be the cochain complex with  $\delta^s = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} (-1)^i \delta_{i*}^s$  for  $\delta_i^s: E^{s+1} \wedge X \rightarrow E^{s+2} \wedge X$  in (2.1.1). Also, for  $\alpha: X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2$  in  $\mathcal{CF}$ , consider  $E^s \wedge \alpha: E^s \wedge X_0 \xrightarrow{1 \wedge f_0} E^s \wedge X_1 \xrightarrow{1 \wedge f_1} E^s \wedge X_2$  and  $\delta_i^s = \delta_i^s \wedge 1: E^{s+1} \wedge \alpha \rightarrow E^{s+2} \wedge \alpha$  in  $\mathcal{CF}$ . Then, according to (3.2.1),

$$(4.6.2) \quad KDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i) = \{KDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i) = KD_u^t(E^{s+1} \wedge \alpha; i) (s \geq 0), = 0 (s < 0)\}$$

is the cochain complex with  $\delta^s = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} (-1)^i \delta_{i*}^s$ , and by the exact sequences

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & KDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i) & \xrightarrow{l} & DE_u^{s,t}(X_i) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & KDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i+1) \\ & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\delta} & KDE_u^{s,t+1}(\alpha; i) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

in (1.8.1) for  $E^{s+1} \wedge \alpha$ ,  $l_{i,0} = l$ ,  $l_{i,1} = \kappa$  and  $l_{i+1,2} = \delta$  give us

(4.6.3) the subcomplexes  $LDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i, j) = \{\text{Ker } l_{i,j}\}$  with the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow LDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i, j) \rightarrow DE_u^{*,t}(\alpha; i) \rightarrow LDE_u^{*,t}(\alpha; i+j, j+1) \rightarrow 0$$

of cochain complexes  $(DE_u^{*,t} = DE_{u,2}^{*,t} = KDE_u^{*,t}, DE_{u,1}^{*,t}(\alpha; i) = DE_u^{*,t}(X_i)$ , and  $LDE_u^{*,t}(\alpha; a, b) = LDE_{u-1}^{*,t}(\alpha; a-3, b)$  ( $a \geq 3$ ),  $= LDE_{u+1}^{*,t+1}(\alpha; a, b-3)$  ( $b \geq 3$ )).

(4.6.4) Thus we have the double  $E_2$ -functor  $ED$ , where  $ED_u^{s,t}(X)$ ,  $KED_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i)$  and  $LED_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i, j)$  are the cohomologies  $H^s$  of the cochain complexes in (4.6.1-3). Moreover, in the same way as  $\phi^E$  in (2.1.5), we have

$$(4.6.5) \quad \psi^D = (\iota_E \wedge 1)_* : D_u^t(X) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \delta^0 = H^0(DE_u^{*,t}(X)) = ED_u^{0,t}(X);$$

and by the same proof as Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$(4.6.6) \quad \psi^D : D_u^t(E \wedge X) \cong ED_u^{0,t}(E \wedge X), \quad \text{and} \quad ED_u^{s,t}(E \wedge X) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad s > 0.$$

Now, consider the case that

$$(4.6.7) \quad \text{each } E^s \wedge \alpha_n^E \text{ for } \alpha_n^E : X_n \xrightarrow{\iota_E \wedge 1} E \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1} \text{ in (2.1.6) is a } D\text{-cofibring.}$$

Then  $KDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha_n^E; 0) = 0$  by definition. Hence  $\text{Ker } \iota_{0,0} = 0$  and  $\text{Ker } \iota_{2,0} = \text{Im } \iota_{0,2} = 0$  in (\*). Also,  $\iota_{1,2} = 0$ ,  $\text{Ker } \iota_{1,2} = \text{Im } \iota_{0,1}$  and  $\iota_{1,0} \circ \iota_{0,1} = \iota \circ \kappa = (\iota_E \wedge 1)_*$  by (1.8.2), which show that  $\iota_{1,0}$  is monomorphic since so is  $(\iota_E \wedge 1)_*$  and  $\iota_{0,1}$  is epimorphic. Thus  $LDE_u^{s,t}(\alpha_n^E; i, 0) = \text{Ker } \iota_{i,0} = 0$ ; and we see the following:

(4.6.8) If (4.6.7) holds, then  $\alpha_n^E$  is an  $ED(1)$ -cofibring, and  $ED$  is related to  $D$  at  $X_0$  by  $\psi^D$  and  $\{\alpha_n^E\}$ . In particular, when  $D = FA$  in (2.1.4) for a ring spectrum  $F$ , (4.6.7) holds if

(4.6.9)  $(1 \wedge \iota_E \wedge 1)_* : F_*(F^t \wedge X_n) \rightarrow F_*(F^t \wedge E \wedge X_n)$  is monomorphic, e.g., there is a unit-preserving map  $\lambda : E \rightarrow F$ .

Therefore, we have proved the following

**THEOREM 4.7.** Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum and  $D = \{D_u^t, KD_u^t\}$  an  $E_2$ -functor.

(i) If (4.6.7) holds, then we have the Mahowald spectral sequence  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in Theorem 4.4 for  $A = ED$  in (4.6.4):

$$(4.7.1) \quad \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} = ED_u^{s,t}(X_0) \Rightarrow D_u^{s,t}(X_0) \quad (\text{conv}).$$

(ii) (Miller [10]) If (4.6.9) holds for another ring spectrum  $F$ , then we have the one  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in (i) for  $D = FA$  in (2.1.4):

$$(4.7.2) \quad \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} = EFA_u^{s,t}(X_0) \Rightarrow FA_u^{s+t}(X_0) \quad (\text{conv}).$$

If  $G_*(G)$  is flat over  $G_*(S^0)$  for  $G = E, F$ , in addition, then

$$(4.7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} EFA_u^{s,t}(X_0) &= \text{Ext}_{E_*(E)}^{s,u}(E_*(S^0), FA_*(E \wedge X_0)), \\ FA_u^t(X) &= \text{Ext}_{F_*(F)}^{t,u}(F_*(S^0), F_*(X)) \quad (X = E \wedge X_0, X_0). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, (4.7.3) is seen in the same way as the proof of (2.3.2).

EXAMPLE 4.8. *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then, on the groups in (4.7.3) for  $E = BP$  at  $p$  and  $F = KQ_p$  ( $Q_p = \{a/b \in Q \mid (b, p) = 1\}$ ), we have the following*

(i) (Adams–Baird)  $KQ_p A_u^t(S^0)$  is  $Q_p$  if  $t = u = 0$ ,  $Z_{p^v}$  if  $t = 1$ ,  $u = 2(p - 1)bp^{v-1}$  with  $(b, p) = 1$ ,  $Q/Q_p$  if  $t = 2$ ,  $u = 0$ , and 0 otherwise.

(ii)  $KQ_p A_u^t(BP) = 0$  for  $t \geq 2$ .

$$(iii) \quad \begin{aligned} BPA_u^s(S^0) &\cong KQ_p A_u^s(S^0) && (\text{if } s = 0, 1) \\ &\cong BPKQ_p A_u^{s-2,1}(S^0) && (\text{if } s \geq 4 \text{ or } s = 2, 3, u \neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Denote simply by  $K = KQ_p$  in this proof. Then, by [16, §17],

(4.8.1)  $K_*(K)$  is flat over  $\pi_*(K) = Q_p[t, t^{-1}]$  ( $\deg t = 2$ ) and is identified with the subring of all finite Laurent series  $f(u, v) \in K_*(K) \otimes Q = Q[u, v, u^{-1}, v^{-1}]$  ( $u = (1 \wedge t)_* t, v = (t \wedge 1)_* t$ ) satisfying

(\*)  $f(\lambda t, \mu t) \in Q_p[t, t^{-1}]$  for any integers  $\lambda, \mu$  prime to  $p$ .

(i) Let  $k$  be a generator for the multiplicative group of reduced residue classes mod  $p^2$  (and so mod  $p^n$  for any  $n$ ). Then, we have the exact sequence

$$(4.8.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_*(S^0) \xrightarrow{\iota} K_*(K) \xrightarrow{\psi} K_*(K) \xrightarrow{c} K_*(SQ) (= Q[t, t^{-1}]) \longrightarrow 0,$$

with  $\iota = u$ ,  $\psi(u^i v^j) = (k^j - 1)u^i v^j$ , and  $c(u^i v^j) = 0$  ( $j \neq 0$ ),  $= t^i$  ( $j = 0$ ), by taking  $\iota = \iota_*$ ,  $\psi = \psi_*^k - \text{id}$  ( $\psi^k \in K^0(K) = \text{Hom}_{\pi_*(K)}(K_*(K), \pi_*(K))$ ) is the Adams operation given by  $\psi^k(u^i v^j) = k^j t^{i+j}$  and  $c = \text{ch}_*$  ( $\text{ch}: K \rightarrow SQ$  is the Chern character).

In fact, the equalities are seen by definition; and  $\psi \circ \iota = 0 = c \circ \psi$ . Let  $f = \sum f_{ij} u^i v^j \in K_*(K)$ . If  $\psi f = 0$ , then  $f_{ij} = 0$  ( $j \neq 0$ ),  $f_{i0} \in Q_p$  (by (\*) in (4.8.1)) and  $f = \sum f_{i0} u^i \in \text{Im } \iota$ . If  $c f = 0$ , then  $f_{i0} = 0$  and we have  $g = \sum_{j \neq 0} f_{ij} u^i (v^j - u^j) / (k^j - 1)$  with  $\psi g = f$  and  $g(\lambda t, \lambda t) = 0$ . Thus  $g(\lambda t, k \mu t) = g(\lambda t, \mu t) + f(\lambda t, \mu t)$  by  $\psi g(u, v) = g(u, kv) - g(u, v)$ , and  $g(\lambda t, k^n \lambda t) \in Q_p[t, t^{-1}]$  for  $\lambda$  prime to  $p$  and any  $n$  by (\*) for  $f$  and induction; hence  $g(\lambda t, \mu t) \in Q_p[t, t^{-1}]$  for any  $\lambda, \mu$  prime to  $p$ , and  $g \in K_*(K)$ . Finally,  $q_n = \{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (v - iu)\} / n! v^{n-1} \in K_*(K)$  (cf. [16, 17.31]) and  $c q_n = 1/n!$ ; hence  $c$  is epimorphic. Therefore, the sequence (4.8.2) is exact.

Now, consider  $I = \text{Im } \psi$  in (4.8.2). Then, we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K_*(S^0) \longrightarrow K_*(K) \xrightarrow{\psi} I \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \\ 0 &\longrightarrow I \longrightarrow K_*(K) \xrightarrow{c} K_*(SQ) \longrightarrow 0; \end{aligned}$$

and these induce the long exact sequences for  $\text{Ext}^{s,*}(-) = \text{Ext}_{K_*(K)}^{s,*}(K_*(S^0), -)$ ,

where  $\text{Ext}^{s,*}(K_*(X)) = KA_*^s(X)$  and  $KA_*^s(X) = 0$  ( $s > 0$ ),  $= \pi_*(X)$  ( $s = 0$ ) for  $X = K$  by Lemma 2.2 and for  $X = SQ$  by taking  $\sigma_0^s = 1 \wedge \text{ch}: K^s \wedge K \wedge SQ \rightarrow K^s \wedge SQ$ ,  $W = SQ$  in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Thus, we see that

(4.8.3)  $KA_*^s(S^0)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ext}^{s-1,*}(I)$  if  $s \geq 2$ , which is 0 if  $s \geq 3$ ; and to  $\text{Coker ch}_*$  if  $s = 2$ ,  $\text{Ker ch}_*/\text{Im}(\psi_*^k - \text{id})$  if  $s = 1$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\psi_*^k - \text{id})$  if  $s = 0$ , for  $\text{ch}: \pi_*(K) \rightarrow \pi_*(SQ)$  and  $\psi_*^k - \text{id}: \pi_*(K) \rightarrow \pi_*(K) = Q_p[t, t^{-1}]$  with  $\text{ch}_* t^i = 0$  ( $i \neq 0$ ),  $= 1$  ( $i = 0$ ) and  $\psi_*^k t^i = k^i t^i$ .

Then, the order of  $k \in (Z/p^v Z)^\times$  is  $p^{v-1}(p-1)$ , and so (i) is seen by (4.8.3).

(ii) By taking the tensor products over  $\pi_*(K)$  with the flat module  $K_*(BP) = \pi_*(K)[t_i]$ , the exact sequence (4.8.2) gives us the one

$$(4.8.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_*(BP) \xrightarrow{(t \wedge 1)_*} K_*(K \wedge BP) \xrightarrow{(\psi^k \wedge 1)_* - \text{id}} K_*(K \wedge BP) \\ \xrightarrow{(\text{ch} \wedge 1)_*} K_*(SQ \wedge BP) \longrightarrow 0;$$

hence, we see in the same way as (4.8.3) that

(4.8.5)  $KA_*^t(BP)$  is the cohomology of the cochain complex  $0 \rightarrow \pi_*(K \wedge BP) \xrightarrow{\psi_*^k} \pi_*(K \wedge BP) \xrightarrow{c'} \pi_*(SQ \wedge BP) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$  for  $\psi' = (\psi^k \wedge 1)_* - \text{id}$  and  $c' = (\text{ch} \wedge 1)_*$ . Here,

$K_*(BP) = \pi_*(K)[t_i] \xrightarrow{c'} SQ_*(BP) = \pi_*(BP) \otimes Q = Q[l_i] \xrightarrow{\phi} K_*(BP) \otimes Q$   
 $(\pi_*(K) = Q_p[t, t^{-1}], t'_0 = 1, \phi = \phi^k \otimes 1)$  satisfy by [4, II, 16.1, pp. 63–64] that

$$c'(t^n \phi \alpha) = \alpha(n = 0), = 0 (n \neq 0), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi l_i = \sum_{j=0}^i t^{-1} (t t'_{i-j})^{p^j} / p^j.$$

Now, for any  $\alpha = \prod l_i^{\alpha_i} \neq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ , consider the elements

$$x = p^{a-1} \phi \alpha - (t^b/p), \quad x_n = (-t^b)^{1-n} x^n \quad (a = \sum \alpha_i, b = \sum (p^i - 1) \alpha_i).$$

Then, by the above equalities for  $\phi$  and  $c'$ , we see that  $x$  is in  $K_*(BP)$ , so is  $x_n$  for any  $n$ , and  $c' x_n = n \alpha / p^{n-a}$ ,  $c'(t^{-b} x_n) = -1/p^n$ . Thus  $c'$  is epimorphic; and (ii) is proved.

(iii)  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in Theorem 4.7 (ii) for  $E = BP$ ,  $F = K (= KQ_p)$  and  $X_0 = S^0$  satisfies

(4.8.6)  $\tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} = BPKA_u^{s,t}(S^0) = 0$  if  $t \geq 2$  and  $\tilde{E}_{u,\infty}^{s,t-s} = 0$  if  $t \geq 3$  or  $t = 2$ ,  $u \neq 0$ ,

by (4.7.2-3) and (i)–(ii). Thus, the differential  $d_r: \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s+r,t-r+1}$  is 0 except for  $r = 2$ ,  $t = 1$ ; and  $d_2: \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,1} \cong \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s+2,0}$  for  $s \geq 2$  or  $s = 0, 1$ ,  $u \neq 0$ . Since  $BPKA_u^{s,0}(S^0) = BPA_u^s(S^0)$  by the Hattori-Stong theorem (cf. [4, II, 14.1]), the above isomorphism  $d_2$  implies (iii). q.e.d.

In the rest of this section, we note on the differential of  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in Theorem 4.7 (ii) for ring spectra  $E$  and  $F$  with (4.6.9). For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , we consider

(4.9.1)  $FE_u^{s,t}(X) = \pi_u(F^{t+1} \wedge E^{s+1} \wedge X)$  ( $s, t \geq 0$ ),  $= 0$  (otherwise), with co-boundary  $\delta^G = \sum_{i=0}^{*+1} (-1)^i \delta_{i*}^G: FE_u^{s,t}(X) \rightarrow FE_u^{s+1,t}(X)$  or  $FE_u^{s,t+1}(X)$  for  $G = E$

or  $F$ , respectively, ( $*$  =  $s$  or  $t$ ,  $\delta_i^G = 1 \wedge \iota_G \wedge 1: Y \wedge S^0 \wedge Z \rightarrow Y \wedge G \wedge Z$ ,  $Z = E^i \wedge X$  or  $F^i \wedge E^{s+1} \wedge X$ ); i.e.,  $\{FE_u^{s,*}(X); \delta^F\} = F_u^*(E^{s+1} \wedge X)$  with  $H^t(FE_u^{s,*}(X)) = FAE_u^{s,t}(X)$  and  $\{FAE_u^{*,t}(X); \delta_*^E\}$  with  $H^s(FAE_u^{*,t}(X)) = EFA_u^{s,t}(X)$  are the ones in (2.1.1-4) and (4.6.1-4).

According to the assumption (4.6.9), the cofibering

$$\alpha_n^E: X_n \xrightarrow{i} E \wedge X_n \xrightarrow{j} X_{n+1} = \bar{E} \wedge X_n \\ (i = \iota_E \wedge 1, j = j \wedge 1 \text{ for } \omega^E: S^0 \xrightarrow{\iota_E} E \xrightarrow{j} \bar{E})$$

in (2.1.6) induces the short exact sequence of the cochain complexes  $\{F_u^*; \delta^F\}$ :

$$(4.9.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow F_u^*(E^m \wedge X_n) \xrightarrow{i_*} F_u^*(E^{m+1} \wedge X_n) \xrightarrow{j_*} F_u^*(E^m \wedge X_{n+1}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k = 1 \wedge k):$$

and by the definition of  $\delta^G$  in (4.9.1), we see the equalities

$$(4.9.3) \quad \delta^F \circ j_* = j_* \circ \delta^F, \quad \delta^F \circ j^s = j^s \circ \delta^F \quad \text{and} \quad i_* \circ j_* \circ j^s = (-1)^{s+1} j^{s+1} \circ \delta^E, \\ \text{for the compositions } j^s = (j_*)^s: FE_u^{s,*}(X_0) \rightarrow FE_u^{0,*}(X_s) \text{ and } i_* \circ j_*: FE_u^{0,*}(X_s) \rightarrow \\ F_u^*(X_{s+1}) \rightarrow FE_u^{0,*}(X_{s+1}), \text{ where } i_* \circ j_* = (\iota_E \wedge j)_*: F_u^*(S^0 \wedge E \wedge X_s) \rightarrow \\ F_u^*(E \wedge X_{s+1}).$$

Moreover, (4.9.2) induces the cohomology exact sequence

$$(4.9.4) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow FA_u^t(E^m \wedge X_n) \xrightarrow{i_*} FA_u^t(E^{m+1} \wedge X_n) \xrightarrow{j_*} FA_u^t(E^m \wedge X_{n+1}) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta_*} FA_u^{t+1}(E^m \wedge X_n) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (k_* = (k_*)_*; \delta_* = (i_*^{-1} \circ \delta^F \circ j_*^{-1})_*);$$

and by the definition of  $\delta_*$  and the equalities in (4.9.3), we see the following:

$$(4.9.5) \quad \text{If } \delta^F y = (-1)^{s+1} \delta^E x \text{ for } x \in FE_u^{s,t+1}(X_0) \text{ and } y \in FE_u^{s+1,t}(X_0), \text{ then} \\ \delta^F j_* j^{s+1} y = 0 \text{ and } \delta_* [j_* j^{s+1} y] = [j_* j^s x] \text{ in } FA_u^{t+1}(X_{s+1}) \text{ for the cohomology} \\ \text{classes [ ]}.$$

On the other hand, by (4.6.9) and the definition of  $FA$  in (2.1.1-4), we see that

$$(4.9.6) \quad (4.9.4) \text{ is the one in (1.8.4) for the } FA\text{-cofiber } E^m \wedge \alpha_n^E \text{ (i.e.} \\ \delta_* = \bar{\delta}).$$

(4.9.7) Thus,  $\{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r\}$  in Theorem 4.7 (ii) is the one in Proposition 4.2 associated to (4.9.4) for  $m = 0$ . So  $\tilde{E}_{u,1}^{s,t} = FA_u^t(E \wedge X_s)$ ,  $d_1 = i_* \circ j_*$ , and we have

$$J_*: EFA_u^{s,t}(X_0) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} \text{ induced by } J = (j^s)_*: FAE_u^{s,*}(X_0) \rightarrow FAE_u^{0,*}(X_s) = \tilde{E}_{u,1}^{s,*},$$

where  $j^s$  is the composition in (4.9.3).

Therefore, we see the following

LEMMA 4.10. (i) Assume that  $x_i \in FE_u^{s+i,t-i}(X_0)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) satisfy  $\delta^F x_0 = 0$  and  $\delta^F x_{i+1} = (-1)^{s+i+1} \delta^E x_i$  for  $i < n$ . Then, for the cohomology classes  $[x_0] \in FAE_u^{s,t}(X_0)$ ,  $[\delta^E x_n] \in FAE_u^{s+n,t-n}(X_0)$  and the differential  $d_r$  in (4.9.7), there hold

$$d_r J[x_0] = 0 \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{n+1} J[x_0] = (-1)^{s+n+1} J[\delta^E x_n].$$

(ii) Assume that  $x_i \in FE_u^{s-i-1, t+i}(X_0)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ ) and  $x_s \in F_u^{s+t}(X_0)$  satisfy  $\delta^F \delta^E x_0 = 0$ ,  $\delta^F x_i = (-1)^{s-i-1} \delta^E x_{i+1}$  for  $i < s-1$ ,  $\delta^F x_{s-1} = i_* x_s$  (i.e.,  $\delta_* j_* x_{s-1} = x_s$ ). Then, for  $[\delta^E x_0] \in FAE_u^{s,t}(X_0)$  and  $[x_s] \in FA_u^{s+t}(X_0)$  in (4.9.7),  $(-1)^s J[\delta^E x_0]$  converges to  $[x_s]$ .

(iii) Assume that we have a unit-preserving map  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$  and  $\delta^{FE} x = 0$ ,  $\delta^F \delta^E x = 0$  for  $x \in FE_u^{s-1, t}(X_0)$ , where  $\delta^{FE} = \delta^E \circ \lambda_* + (-1)^s \delta^F: FE_u^{s-1, t}(X_0) \rightarrow FE_u^{s-1, t+1}(X_0)$  ( $\lambda_* = (\lambda \wedge 1)_*: F_u^n(E \wedge E^m \wedge X_0) \rightarrow F_u^n(F \wedge E^m \wedge X_0)$ ). Then, for  $[\delta^E x] \in FAE_u^{s,t}(X_0)$  and  $[\lambda_* \lambda^{s-1} x] \in FA_u^{s+t}(X_0)$  ( $\lambda^i = (\lambda_*)^i: FE_u^{s-1, t}(X_0) \rightarrow FE_u^{s-i-1, t+i}(X_0)$ ),  $(-1)^s J[\delta^E x]$  converges to  $[\lambda_* \lambda^{s-1} x]$ .

(iv)  $J_*: EFA_u^{s,t}(X_0) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t}$  is isomorphic.

PROOF. By (1.6.1-2), (1.1.3) and (4.9.3), (4.9.5) implies (i)-(ii).

(iii) By the definition of  $\delta^{FE}$ ,  $\delta^{FE} \circ \lambda_* = \delta^E \circ \lambda^{t+1} + (-1)^{s-i} \delta^F \circ \lambda^i$ ; and so  $\delta^F \lambda^i x = (-1)^{s-i-1} \delta^E \lambda^{i+1} x$  ( $0 \leq i < s-1$ ) and  $\delta^F \lambda^{s-1} x = i_* \lambda_* \lambda^{s-1} x$ . By (ii), these imply (iii).

(iv) We consider the cochain complexes  $M(r)_u^{s,t} = \{M(r)_u^{s,t}, \delta(r)_M^s\}$  and  $K(r)_u^{s,t} = \{K(r)_u^{s,t}, \delta(r)_K^s\}$  for  $r \geq 0$  given as follows:

$$M(r)_u^{s,t} = \tilde{E}_{u,1}^{s,t} \text{ in (4.9.7) if } s \leq r, = FA_u^t(E^{s-r+1} \wedge X_r) \text{ if } s > r, \text{ and}$$

$$\delta(r)_M^s = d_1 = (i_E \wedge j)_* \text{ in (4.9.7) if } 0 \leq s < r, = \delta^{s-r} \text{ in (4.6.1)}$$

$$(D = FA, X = X_r) \text{ if } s \geq r,$$

$$K(r)_u^{s,t} = 0 \text{ if } s \leq r, = FA_u^t(E^{s-r} \wedge X_r) \text{ if } s > r, \text{ and}$$

$$\delta(r)_K^s = 0 \text{ if } s \leq r, = (i_E \wedge 1)_* \text{ if } s = r+1, = \delta^{s-r-2} \text{ in (4.6.1)}$$

$$(D = FA, X = E \wedge X_r) \text{ if } s \geq r+2.$$

Furthermore, we have the cochain maps  $i(r) = \{i(r)_*\}: K(r)_u^{s,t} \rightarrow M(r)_u^{s,t}$  and  $j(r) = \{j(r)_*\}: M(r)_u^{s,t} \rightarrow M(r+1)_u^{s,t}$  by taking

$$i(r)_* = 0 \quad \text{if } s \leq r, \quad = (1 \wedge i)_* \quad \text{if } s > r, \text{ and}$$

$$j(r)_* = \text{id} \quad \text{if } s \leq r, \quad = (-1)^{s-r} (1 \wedge j)_* \quad \text{if } s > r.$$

Then, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K(r)_u^{s,t} \xrightarrow{i(r)} M(r)_u^{s,t} \xrightarrow{j(r)} M(r+1)_u^{s,t} \longrightarrow 0;$$

because  $i_*$  in (4.9.4) is monomorphic for  $m \geq 1$ . By (4.6.6) ( $D = FA, X = X_r$ ),  $H^s(K(r)_u^{s,t}) = 0$  for any  $s$ ; hence  $j(r)_*$  is isomorphic on the cohomology groups. Thus, by  $M(0)_u^{s,t} = FAE_u^{s,t}(X_0)$  and  $J = (-1)^s j(s)^s \circ \cdots \circ j(0)^s: M(0)_u^{s,t} \rightarrow M(s+1)_u^{s,t} = \tilde{E}_{u,1}^{s,t}$  ( $\varepsilon = s(s+1)/2$ ), this implies (iv). q.e.d.

### §5. May spectral sequences

In this section, we construct another spectral sequence which abuts to an  $E_2$ -functor and whose  $E_1$ -term is a double  $E_2$ -functor.

Let  $C = \{C_u^s, KC_u^s\}$  be an  $E_2$ -functor, and assume that

$$(5.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & \omega_{s,t} & & \eta_{s,t} & & \omega_{s,t+1} \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \alpha_{s,t}: & X_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{f} & V_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{g} & X_{s,t+1} \\ & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i \\ \beta_{s,t}: & W_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{g} & W_{s,t+1} \\ & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\ \alpha_{s+1,t}: & X_{s+1,t} & \xrightarrow{f} & V_{s+1,t} & \xrightarrow{g} & X_{s+1,t+1} \end{array} \quad (s, t \geq 0)$$

are diagrams of cofiberings  $\xi_{s,t}$  ( $\xi = \alpha, \beta, \omega, \eta$ ) with the following (5.1.2-4):

(5.1.2)  $\{k\}$  ( $k = i, j, f, g$ ) are maps in  $\mathcal{CF}$  (see (3.1)).

(5.1.3) Each  $\omega_{s,0}$  is a  $C$ -injective cofiberings.

(5.1.4) Each  $\beta = \beta_{s,t}$  is  $C^0$ -homological, i.e., we have the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_u^0(W) \xrightarrow{f_*} C_u^0(Y) \xrightarrow{g_*} C_u^0(W_2) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{u-1}^0(W) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$(Z = Z_{s,t}, Z_2 = Z_{s,t+1})$$

by the composition  $\partial = \iota \circ \kappa: C_u^0(W_2) \rightarrow KC_{u-1}^0(\beta; 0) \rightarrow C_{u-1}^0(W)$  in (1.8.1).

(5.1.5) When  $W, Y$  and  $W_2$  are  $C$ -injective, (5.1.4) holds if  $KC_u^*(\beta; i) = 0$  ( $* \neq 0$ ) for some (or any)  $i$ , which is seen by (1.8.1-2).

(5.1.6) For  $\phi: h_u \rightarrow C_u^0$  in (1.3.1), assume that  $\partial$  in the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow h_u(W) \xrightarrow{f_*} h_u(Y) \xrightarrow{g_*} h_u(W_2) \xrightarrow{\partial} h_{u-1}(W) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and  $\partial$  in (5.1.4) satisfy  $\phi \circ \partial = \partial \circ \phi$  (then  $\phi$  is called *natural for  $\beta$* ), and that  $\phi$  is isomorphic for  $W$  and  $Y$ . Then, (5.1.4) is equivalent to  $\phi: h_u(W_2) \cong C_u^0(W_2)$ .

Then, the same construction as Proposition 1.2 gives us the following:

(5.2.1) For any  $s \geq 0$ , the spectral sequence  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}, d_r: E(s)_r^{t,u} \rightarrow E(s)_{r+1}^{t,u}\}$  is associated to the exact sequences in (5.1.4) such that

$$E(s)_1^{t,u} = C_u^0(Y_{s,t}) \Rightarrow C_{u-t}^0(W_{s,0}) = G_{u-t}^{s,0} \quad (\text{abut}), \text{ i.e.,}$$

(5.2.2)  $G_{u-t}^{s,0} \supset G_{u-t}^{s,t} \supset G_{u-t+1}^{s,t+1}$  and  $G_{u-t}^{s,t}/G_{u-t+1}^{s,t+1} \cong \bar{Z}(s)_\infty^{t,u}/B(s)_\infty^{t,u} \subset E(s)_\infty^{t,u}$  for  $\bar{Z}(s)_\infty^{t,u} = \text{Im } f_*$ ,  $B(s)_\infty^{t,u} = f_*(\text{Ker } \partial^t)$  and  $G_{u-t}^{s,t} = \text{Im } \partial^t$  where  $\partial^t: C_u^0(W_{s,t}) \rightarrow C_{u-t}^0(W_{s,0})$ .

On the other hand,  $\omega_{s,0}$  in (5.1.3) induces the exact sequence

$$(5.2.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_u^0(X_{s,0}) \xrightarrow{i_*} C_u^0(W_{s,0}) \xrightarrow{j_*} C_u^0(X_{s+1,0}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}^{s+1}} C_{u+1}^0(X_{0,0}) \longrightarrow 0$$

by (1.8.4). Also, by (5.1.2) and (3.2.1), we see the following:

(5.2.4)  $\delta = (i \circ j)_* : C_u^0(Z_{s,t}) \rightarrow C_u^0(Z_{s+1,t})$  for  $Z = W, Y$  satisfy  $\delta \circ \delta = 0$ ,  $\delta \circ k_* = k_* \circ \delta$  and  $\delta \circ \partial = \partial \circ \delta$  for  $k_* = f_*, g_*$  and  $\partial = \iota \circ \kappa$  in (5.1.4).

Thus, in (5.2.1-2), we have the cochain complexes

(5.2.5)  $\{E_u^{s,t} = E(s)_2^{t,u}\} \supset \{\bar{Z}_u^{s,t} = \bar{Z}_u(s)_\infty^{t,u}/B\} \supset \{B_u^{s,t} = B(s)_\infty^{t,u}/B\}$  ( $B = B(s)_2^{t,u} = f_*(\text{Ker } \partial)$ ) and  $\{G_u^{s,t}\}$  with coboundary  $\delta = (i \circ j)_*$ .

Taking their cohomologies, we see the following by (5.2.2-3):

(5.2.6)  $\bar{\delta}^s \circ i_*^{-1} : H^s(G_{u-t}^{*,0}) \cong C_{u-t}^s(X_{0,0})$ . Furthermore, the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^s(G_u^{*,t}) \rightarrow H^s(G_u^{*,t}/G_{u+1}^{*,t+1}) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(G_{u+1}^{*,t+1}) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(G_u^{*,t}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

associates the spectral sequence  $\{E_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r : E_{u,r}^{s,t} \rightarrow E_{u+r,r}^{s+1,t+r}\}$  with

$$E_{u,1}^{s,t} = H^s(G_u^{*,t}/G_{u+1}^{*,t+1}) \cong H^s(\bar{Z}_u^{*,t}/B_u^{*,t}) \Rightarrow H^s(G_{u-t}^{*,0}) \cong C_{u-t}^s(X_{0,0}) \quad (\text{abut}),$$

i.e.,  $F_u^{s,t}/E_{u+1}^{s,t+1} \subset E_{u,\infty}^{s,t}$  for  $F_u^{s,t} = \text{Im} [H^s(G_u^{*,t}) \rightarrow H^s(G_{u-t}^{*,0})]$ .

To represent  $H^s(E_u^{*,t})$  of  $\{E_u^{s,t}\}$  in (5.2.5), we use the following

**DEFINITION 5.3.** Let  $A = \{A_u^{s,t}, KA_u^{s,t}, LA_u^{s,t}\}$  be a double  $E_2$ -functor in Definition 4.3 (1).

(1) We call  $X \in \mathcal{C}$   $A(2)$ -injective if  $A_u^{s,t}(X) = 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{CF}$  an  $A(2)$ -cofibring if  $KA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 0) = 0 = LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; i, 0)$  for  $i = 0, 2$  and  $LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 1, 1) = 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ .

(2) We say that  $A$  is indirectly related to an  $E_2$ -functor  $C$  at  $X_{0,0}$  by a natural transformation  $\psi : C_u^s \rightarrow A_u^{s,0}$  and cofiberings in (5.1.1) with (5.1.2-4), if

(5.3.1) each  $\omega_{s,0}$  is an  $A(1)$ -cofibring,  $W_{s,0}$  is  $A(1)$ -injective,  $\beta_{s,t}$  is an  $A(2)$ -cofibring,  $Y_{s,t}$  is  $A(1)$ - and  $A(2)$ -injective, and

(5.3.2)  $\psi : C_u^0(Y_{s,t}) \cong A_u^{0,0}(Y_{s,t})$  for any  $s, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

In (1) of this definition, the exact sequences in (4.3.1-2) imply the following:

(5.3.3) Let  $\alpha : X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2$  be an  $A(2)$ -cofibring. Then,  $\iota_{2,1} \circ \kappa_{2,0} : KA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 2) \cong A_u^{s,t}(X_2)$  and  $\iota_{1,2} \circ \kappa_{0,1} : A_u^{s,t}(X_0) \cong KA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 1)$  by  $LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 0, 1) \cong 0 \cong LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 0, 2)$ ,  $\kappa_{1,0} : KA_u^{s,0}(\alpha; 1) \cong LA_u^{s,0}(\alpha; 1, 1)$  by  $LA_u^{s,0}(\alpha; 1, 0) = LA_u^{s,-1}(\alpha; 4, 3) = 0$ ;  $\iota_{1,0} : LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 1, 0) \cong KA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 1)$ ,  $\kappa_{1,1} : A_u^{s,t}(X_1) \cong LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 2, 2)$  ( $t > 0$ ); and we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\longrightarrow A_u^{s,0}(X_0) \xrightarrow{f_{0*}} A_u^{s,0}(X_1) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{1,1}} LA_u^{s,0}(\alpha; 2, 2) \longrightarrow A_u^{s+1,0}(X_0) \longrightarrow \cdots, \\ \cdots &\longrightarrow LA_u^{s,t}(\alpha; 2, 2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} A_u^{s,t}(X_2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}} A_u^{s,t+1}(X_0) \\ &\longrightarrow LA_u^{s+1,t}(\alpha; 2, 2) \longrightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\iota} = \iota_{2,1} \circ \kappa_{2,0} \circ \iota_{2,2}$  (hence  $\bar{\iota} \circ \kappa_{1,1} = f_{1*}$ ) and  $\bar{\kappa} = (\iota_{1,2} \circ \kappa_{0,1})^{-1} \circ \iota_{1,0} \circ \kappa_{2,2} \circ (\iota_{2,1} \circ \kappa_{2,0})^{-1}$ .

(5.3.4) In (5.3.3), if  $X_1$  is  $A(2)$ -injective, then

$$\bar{\kappa}: A_u^{s,t}(X_2) \cong A_u^{s,t+1}(X_0) \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

If  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  are  $A(1)$ -injective, then so is  $X_2$ . Furthermore, if both of these hold, then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_u^{0,0}(X_0) \xrightarrow{f_{0*}} A_u^{0,0}(X_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} A_u^{0,0}(X_2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}} A_u^{0,1}(X_0) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, consider the case of Definition 5.3 (2). Then, for  $\beta_{s,t}$  with (5.3.1),

$$(5.4.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A_u^{0,0}(W_{s,t}) \xrightarrow{f_*} A_u^{0,0}(Y_{s,t}) \xrightarrow{g_*} A_u^{0,0}(W_{s,t+1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^{t+1}} A_u^{0,t+1}(W_{s,0}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact by (5.3.3-4), since  $W_{s,t}$  is  $A(1)$ -injective by induction on  $t$ . Thus, in the same way as Theorem 1.4, (5.4.1) and a natural transformation  $\psi: C_u^s \rightarrow A_u^{s,0}$  with (5.3.2) imply the isomorphism

$$(5.4.2) \quad \bar{\psi} = \bar{\kappa}^t \circ (f_*^{-1} \circ \psi): E(s)_2^{t,u} \cong A_u^{0,0}(W_{s,t})/\text{Im } g_* \cong A_u^{0,t}(W_{s,0})$$

for the spectral sequence  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$  in (5.2.1).

On the other hand, (4.3.4) for the  $A(1)$ -cofibring  $\omega_{s,0}$  in (5.3.1) implies the exact sequence

$$(5.4.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A_u^{0,t}(X_{s,0}) \xrightarrow{i_*} A_u^{0,t}(W_{s,0}) \xrightarrow{j_*} A_u^{0,t}(X_{s+1,0}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}^{s+1}} A_u^{s+1,t}(X_{0,0}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and  $i_*$  and  $j_*$  commute with  $\bar{\kappa}$  in (5.4.1) (see (5.3.3)) by (5.1.2). Thus:

(5.4.4) The cochain complex  $\{A_u^{0,t}(W_{s,0}), \delta = (i \circ j)_*\}$  is isomorphic to  $\{E_u^{s,t} = E(s)_2^{t,u}, \delta = (i \circ j)_*\}$  in (5.2.5) by  $\bar{\psi}$  in (5.4.2), and  $\bar{\delta}^s \circ i_*^{-1}: H^s(A_u^{0,t}(W_{s,0})) \cong A_u^{s,t}(X_{0,0})$ .

Therefore, we have proved the following

**THEOREM 5.5** (May spectral sequence). *If a double  $E_2$ -functor  $A = \{A_u^{s,t}, KA_u^{s,t}, LA_u^{s,t}\}$  is indirectly related to an  $E_2$ -functor  $C = \{C_u^s, KC_u^s\}$  at  $X_{0,0}$ , then we have the spectral sequence  $\{E_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in (5.2.6) such that it abuts to  $C_{u-t}^s(X_{0,0})$  and*

$$E_{u,1}^{s,t} = H^s(\bar{Z}_u^{*,t}/B_u^{*,t}), \quad H^s(E_u^{*,t}) = A_u^{s,t}(X_{0,0})$$

for the cochain complexes  $E_u^{*,t} \supset \bar{Z}_u^{*,t} \supset B_u^{*,t}$  in (5.2.5).

**COROLLARY 5.6** (i) *If each  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$  in (5.2.1) converges and collapses, then  $E_u^{*,t} = \bar{Z}_u^{*,t} \supset B_u^{*,t} = 0$  and  $E_{u,1}^{s,t} = A_u^{s,t}(X_{0,0})$  in Theorem 5.5.*

(ii) *The assumption in (i) is equivalent to (5.6.1) and one of (5.6.2-3):*

$$(5.6.1) \quad \text{inv } \lim_n \{C_{t+n}^0(W_{s,n}), \partial\} = 0 \quad (\text{for } \partial \text{ in (5.1.4)}).$$

$$(5.6.2) \quad \psi: C_u^0 \rightarrow A_u^{0,0} \text{ is epimorphic for } W_{s,t}.$$

$$(5.6.3) \quad \text{Ker } [\partial^n: C_u^0(W_{s,t}) \rightarrow C_{u-n}^0(W_{s,t-n})] = \text{Ker } \partial \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq t.$$

In fact, (ii) is the same as Corollary 1.7 (ii).

For given ring spectra  $E$  and  $F$ , and  $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , we take

(5.7.1) the commutative diagram (5.1.1) defined by  $X_{s,0} = \bar{E}^s \wedge X_0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s,t} &= \bar{F}^t \wedge X_{s,0}, & V_{s,t} &= F \wedge X_{s,t}, & W_{s,t} &= \bar{F}^t \wedge E \wedge X_{s,0}, & Y_{s,t} &= F \wedge W_{s,t}, \\ \alpha_{s,t} &= \omega^F \wedge X_{s,t}, & \beta_{s,t} &= \omega^F \wedge W_{s,t}, & \omega_{s,t} &= \bar{F}^t \wedge \omega^E \wedge X_{s,0}, & \eta_{s,t} &= F \wedge \omega_{s,t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega^G \wedge X: X \xrightarrow{i_G \wedge 1} G \wedge X \rightarrow \bar{G} \wedge X$  is the cofiber in (2.1.6). Then, by Lemma 2.2 and (5.1.5-6), we see the following:

(5.7.2) The above diagram satisfies (5.1.2-4) for  $C = EA$  in (2.1.4), where the exact sequence in (5.1.4) is isomorphic to the homotopy one

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_u(W_{s,t}) \longrightarrow \pi_u(Y_{s,t}) \longrightarrow \pi_u(W_{s,t+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{u-1}(W_{s,t}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

by  $\phi^E: \pi_u(E \wedge X) \cong EA_u^0(E \wedge X)$ . Thus the spectral sequence  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$  in (5.2.1) is (isomorphic to) the  $F$ -Adams one:  $E(s)_2^{t,u} = FA_u^t(W_{s,0}) \Rightarrow \pi_{u-t}(W_{s,0})$ .

On the other hand, by (4.6.1-5) for  $D = FA$ , we have

(5.7.3) the double  $E_2$ -functor  $EFA$ , with the natural transformations  $\psi^{FA}: FA_u^t(X) \rightarrow EFA_u^{0,t}(X)$  and  $\psi^E: EA_u^s(X) \rightarrow EFA_u^{s,0}(X)$  induced from  $\phi^F: \pi_*(Y) \rightarrow FA_*^0(Y)$  ( $Y = E^{s+1} \wedge X$ ), satisfying  $\psi^E \circ \phi^E = \psi^{FA} \circ \phi^F: \pi_*(X) \rightarrow EFA_*^{0,0}(X)$ .

Then, by Lemma 2.2 for  $F$ , (4.6.6-9) for  $D = FA$  and definition, we see that

(5.7.4)  $Y_{s,t}$  is  $EFA(i)$ -injective for  $i = 1, 2$ , so is  $W_{s,0}$  for  $i = 1$ ,  $\psi^E: EA_u^0(Y_{s,t}) \cong EFA_u^{0,0}(Y_{s,t})$  and  $\beta_{s,t}$  is an  $EFA(2)$ -cofiber. If (4.6.9) holds for  $X_n = X_{n,0}$  then  $\omega_{s,0}$  is an  $EFA(1)$ -cofiber so that  $EFA$  is indirectly related to  $EA$  at  $X_{0,0}$  by  $\psi^E$  in (5.7.3) and the cofiberings in (5.7.1).

Therefore, Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.6 imply the following

**THEOREM 5.8.** *Let  $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $E$  and  $F$  are ring spectra satisfying (4.6.9) for  $X_n = X_{n,0}$ . Then, we have the May spectral sequence  $\{E_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  in Theorem 5.5 abutting to  $EA_{u-t}^s(X_0)$  in (2.1.4). Moreover, if the  $F$ -Adams spectral sequence  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$  in (5.7.2) converges and collapses for any  $s \geq 0$ , then we have  $E_{u,1}^{s,t} = EFA_u^{s,t}(X_0)$  (in (4.9.1))  $\Rightarrow EA_{u-t}^s(X_0)$  (abut).*

## § 6. Some preliminary lemmas

For the main result in the next section, we prepare some lemmas.

**LEMMA 6.1.** *If the compositions of maps  $X' \xrightarrow{i} W' \xrightarrow{f} Y'$  and  $X' \xrightarrow{f'} V' \xrightarrow{i'} Y'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  are homotopic to each other, then these are homotopy equivalent to inclusions*

$$(6.1.1) \quad X \subset W \subset Y \text{ and } X \subset V \subset Y \text{ with } X = W \cap V.$$

**PROOF.** The double mapping cylinder  $\bar{X} = W' \cup_i X' \wedge [0, 1]^+ \cup_{f'} V'$  of  $i$  and  $f'$  is the union of the mapping cylinders  $W = W' \cup_i X' \wedge [0, 1/2]^+$  and

$V = V' \cup_{f'} X' \wedge [1/2, 1]^+$  and  $X = X' \wedge \{1/2\}^+ = W \cap V$ . Furthermore,  $i, f'$  and a homotopy  $h': X' \wedge [0, 1]^+ \rightarrow Y'$  of  $f \circ i$  to  $i' \circ f'$  define the map  $h: \bar{X} \rightarrow Y'$  and  $Y = Y' \cup_h \bar{X} \wedge [0, 1]^+ \supset \bar{X}$ , as desired. q.e.d.

According to this lemma, we may assume the following:

(6.1.2) In (5.1.1), denoting by  $Z_{s,t} = Z, Z_{s+1,t} = Z_1$  and  $Z_{s,t+1} = Z_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} X &= W \cap V \subset \bar{X} = W \cup V \subset Y, & X_1 &= W/X = \bar{X}/V \subset Y/V = V_1, \\ X_2 &= V/X = \bar{X}/W \subset Y/W = W_2, & X_{s+1,t+1} &= Y/\bar{X} = V_1/X_1 = W_2/X_2, \end{aligned}$$

and the horizontal and vertical sequences  $\alpha, \beta, \omega$ , and  $\eta$  are the cofiberings  $\xi: A \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} B/A$  with the inclusions  $a = f, i$  and the collapsing maps  $b = g, j$ .

(6.1.3) Hence,  $\{i\}, \{j\}, \{f\}$  and  $\{g\}$  are maps in  $\mathcal{CF}$ , and (5.1.2) holds.

LEMMA 6.2 For a homology theory  $h_*$ , consider the induced exact sequences

$$(6.2.1) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow h_u(A) \xrightarrow{a_*} h_u(B) \xrightarrow{b_*} h_u(B/A) \xrightarrow{\partial_i} h_{u-1}(A) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of the above cofiberings  $\xi$ , and the diagram formed by them. Then:

$$(6.2.2) \quad \partial_\omega \circ \partial_{\alpha'} = -\partial_\alpha \circ \partial_{\omega'}: h_{u+1}(X_{s+1,t+1}) \rightarrow h_{u-1}(X_{s,t})$$

for  $\xi = \xi_{s,t}, \alpha' = \alpha_{s+1,t}$  and  $\omega' = \omega_{s,t+1}$ ; and the other squares are commutative.

(6.2.3) For  $y \in h_u(Y_{s,t})$  with  $j_* g_* y = 0$ , there are  $x_k \in h_u(X_k)$  ( $X_k = X_{s+2-k,t-1+k}, k = 1, 2$ ) with  $\partial_\omega x_1 = -\partial_\alpha x_2, f_* x_1 = j_* y$  and  $i_* x_2 = g_* y$ . Conversely, for  $x_k$  with the first equality, there is  $y$  with the last two ones. In particular, if each  $i_*: h_u(V_{s,t}) \rightarrow h_u(Y_{s,t})$  is monomorphic, then for any  $x_1 \in h_u(X_1)$ , there is  $x_2 \in h_u(X_2)$  with  $\partial_\omega x_1 = \partial_\alpha x_2$ .

(6.2.4) For  $z \in h_{u+1}(X_{s+1,t+1})$  with  $\partial_\omega \partial_{\alpha'} z = 0$ , there are  $w \in h_u(W_{s,t})$  and  $v \in h_u(V_{s,t})$  with  $j_* w = \partial_{\alpha'} z, g_* v = \partial_\omega z$  and  $f_* w = -i_* v$ . Here, if  $w$  or  $v$  is given, then there is  $v$  or  $w$ .

PROOF. In addition to  $\xi$  with the maps in (6.1.2-3), we have also

(6.2.5) the cofiberings  $\gamma: X \xrightarrow{i} \bar{X} \xrightarrow{j} \bar{X}/X = X_1 \vee X_2, \rho: \bar{X} \xrightarrow{f'} Y \xrightarrow{g'} Y/\bar{X}$  and  $X_k \xrightarrow{i_k} X_1 \vee X_2 \xrightarrow{j_k} X_l$  ( $l = 3 - k$ ) with the maps  $\{1, f_1: W \subset \bar{X}, i_1\}: \omega \rightarrow \gamma, \{1, f_2: V \subset \bar{X}, i_2\}: \alpha \rightarrow \gamma, \{j'_1, j, 1\}: \rho \rightarrow \alpha', \{j'_2, g, 1\}: \rho \rightarrow \omega' (j'_k = j_k \circ j')$  for  $\xi, \alpha'$  and  $\omega'$  in (6.2.2), so that

$$(6.2.6) \quad \partial_\omega = \partial_\gamma \circ i_{1*}, \partial_\alpha = \partial_\gamma \circ i_{2*}, \partial_{\alpha'} = j'_{1*} \circ \partial_\rho, \partial_{\omega'} = j'_{2*} \circ \partial_\rho, \text{ and}$$

$$(6.2.7) \quad (j_{1*}, j_{2*}): h_*(X_1 \vee X_2) \cong h_*(X_1) \oplus h_*(X_2) \text{ with } (j_{1*}, j_{2*})^{-1} = i_{1*} + i_{2*}.$$

(6.2.2):  $\partial_\omega \circ \partial_{\alpha'} + \partial_\alpha \circ \partial_{\omega'} = \partial_\gamma \circ (i_{1*} \circ j'_{1*} + i_{2*} \circ j'_{2*}) \circ \partial_\rho = \partial_\gamma \circ j'_* \circ \partial_\rho = 0$  by (6.2.6-7); and the other squares are commutative by (6.1.3).

(6.2.3): If  $j_* g_* y = 0$ , then  $g'_* y = 0$  and  $y = f'_* \bar{x}$  for some  $\bar{x} \in h_u(\bar{X})$ ; hence  $x_k = j'_k \bar{x}$  are the desired ones, since  $\partial_\omega x_1 + \partial_\alpha x_2 = \partial_\gamma j'_* \bar{x} = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\partial_\omega x_1 = -\partial_\alpha x_2$ , then  $\partial_\gamma \bar{x} = 0$  for  $\bar{x} = i_{1*} x_1 + i_{2*} x_2$ , and  $\bar{x} = j'_* \bar{x}$  for some

$\bar{x} \in h_u(\bar{X})$ ; hence  $y = f'_* \bar{x}$  is the desired one. The last holds, since  $f_* \partial_\omega x_1 = 0$  by  $i_* f_* \partial_\omega x_1 = f_* i_* \partial_\omega x_1 = 0$  and assumption.

(6.2.4): If  $\partial_\omega \partial_\alpha z = 0$ , then  $\partial_\alpha \partial_\omega z = 0$  by (6.2.2), and there are  $w$  and  $v$  with the first two equalities. Hence  $j'_* \bar{x} = 0$  for  $\bar{x} = f_{1*} w + f_{2*} v - \partial_\rho z$ , and  $\bar{x} = i'_* x$  for some  $x \in h_u(X)$ . Thus  $f_* w + i_* v = f'_*(\bar{x} + \partial_\rho z) = i_* f_* x = f_* i_* x$ ; and (6.2.4) holds for  $w$  and  $v - f_* x$ , or  $w - i_* x$  and  $v$ . q.e.d.

According to (3.2.1), (6.1.3) and (6.2.5-7), the same proof gives us the following

LEMMA 6.3. For an  $E_2$ -functor  $D = \{D_u^t, KD_u^t\}$ , we assume that  
 (6.3)  $\xi$  in (6.1.2) and  $\gamma, \rho$  in (6.2.5) are all  $D$ -cofiberings, and  $D$  splits with wedge sum, i.e., for  $i_k$  and  $j_k$  in (6.2.5), there holds the isomorphism

$$(j_{1*}, j_{2*}): D_u^t(X_1 \vee X_2) \cong D_u^t(X_1) \oplus D_u^t(X_2) \text{ with } (j_{1*}, j_{2*})^{-1} = i_{1*} + i_{2*}.$$

(6.3.1) Then,  $\xi$  induces the exact sequence in (1.8.4):

$$\cdots \longrightarrow D_u^r(A) \xrightarrow{a_*} D_u^r(B) \xrightarrow{b_*} D_u^r(B/A) \xrightarrow{\delta_\xi} D_u^{r+1}(A) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (\delta_\xi = \bar{\delta}).$$

(6.3.2) These sequences form the diagram, which is commutative except for

$$\partial_\omega \circ \delta_\alpha = -\delta_\alpha \circ \partial_\omega: D_u^{r-1}(X_{s+1, t+1}) \rightarrow D_u^{r+1}(X_{s, t}) \quad (\text{by the notations in (6.2.2)}).$$

(6.3.3) For  $y^D \in D_u^r(Y_{s, t})$  with  $j_* g_* y^D = 0$ , there are  $x_k^D \in D_u^r(X_k)$  (for  $X_k$  in (6.2.3)) satisfying the equalities  $\delta_\omega x_1^D = -\delta_\alpha x_2^D$ ,  $f_* x_1^D = j_* y^D$  and  $i_* x_2^D = g_* y^D$ . Conversely, for  $x_k^D$  with the first equality, there is  $y^D$  with the last two ones.

(6.3.4) For  $z^D \in D_u^{r-1}(X_{s+1, t+1})$  with  $\delta_\omega \delta_\alpha z^D = 0$ , there are  $w^D \in D_u^r(W_{s, t})$  and  $v^D \in D_u^r(V_{s, t})$  with  $j_* w^D = \delta_\alpha z^D$ ,  $g_* v^D = \delta_\omega z^D$  and  $f_* w^D = -i_* v^D$ . Here, if  $w^D$  or  $v^D$  is given, then there is  $v^D$  or  $w^D$ .

LEMMA 6.4. Furthermore, let  $\phi^D: h_u \rightarrow D_u^0$  be a natural transformation. Then:

(6.4.1)  $i_*$  and  $f_*$  for  $D_u^0$  are monomorphic, and  $\phi^D \circ \partial_\xi = 0$  for  $\partial_\xi$  in (6.2.1).

(6.4.2) For  $x_k \in h_u(X_k)$  with  $\partial_\omega x_1 = -\partial_\alpha x_2$  (cf. (6.2.3)),  $\delta_\omega \phi^D x_1 = -\delta_\alpha \phi^D x_2$  holds.

(6.4.3) In (6.3.3) for  $r = 0$ , the last two equalities imply the first one.

(6.4.4) For  $z, w$  and  $v$  in (6.2.4), there is  $x^D \in D_u^0(X_{s, t})$  with  $i_* x^D = \phi^D w$  and  $f_* x^D = -\phi^D v$ .

PROOF. (6.4.1): We see the first half by (6.3.1) and  $D_u^{-1} = 0$ , and so the second half since  $a_* \circ \phi \circ \partial_\xi = \phi \circ a_* \circ \partial_\xi = 0$  ( $a = i, f$ ), where  $\phi = \phi^D$ .

(6.4.2):  $j_* g_* \phi y = 0$  for  $y$  in (6.2.3), and there are  $x_k^D$  in (6.3.3) for  $y^D = \phi y$  and  $r = 0$ . Then  $f_* x_1^D = \phi j_* y = f_* \phi x_1$  and  $x_1^D = \phi x_1$  by (6.4.1); and  $x_2^D = \phi x_2$  similarly. Thus (6.4.2) holds.

(6.4.3) holds, since the last two equalities determine  $x_k^D$  uniquely by (6.4.1).

(6.4.4):  $f_* j_* \phi w = \phi f_* \partial_\alpha z = 0$ , and  $j_* \phi w = 0$  by (6.4.1); hence  $\phi w = i_* x^D$  for some  $x^D$ . Then  $i_* f_* x^D = f_* \phi w = -i_* \phi v$ , and  $f_* x^D = -\phi v$  by (6.4.1).

q.e.d.

## §7. Comparison of spectral sequences by a double $E_2$ -functor

Under Definitions 1.8, 4.3 and 5.3, we consider the following

DEFINITION 7.1. We say that a double  $E_2$ -functor  $A = \{A_u^{s,t}, KA_u^{s,t}, LA_u^{s,t}\}$  is related to a homology theory  $h_*$  at  $X_0 = X_{0,0}$  by

(7.1.1)  $E_2$ -functors  $B = \{B_u^s, KB_u^s\}$  ( $B = C, D$ ), natural transformations  $\phi^B: h_u \rightarrow B_u^0$ ,  $\psi^C: C_u^s \rightarrow A_u^{s,0}$ ,  $\psi^D: D_u^t \rightarrow A_u^{0,t}$  with  $\psi^C \circ \phi^C = \psi^D \circ \phi^D$ , and cofiberings

$$(7.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \alpha_{s,t}: X_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{f} & V_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{g} & X_{s,t+1} & , & \omega_{s,t}: X_{s,t} \xrightarrow{i} W_{s,t} \xrightarrow{j} X_{s+1,t} , \\ \beta_{s,t}: W_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_{s,t} & \xrightarrow{g} & W_{s,t+1} & , & \eta_{s,t}: V_{s,t} \xrightarrow{i} Y_{s,t} \xrightarrow{j} V_{s+1,t} , \end{array}$$

in (5.1.1) with (6.1.2), if these satisfy the following (7.1.3-5):

(7.1.3) For each  $\eta_{s,t}$ ,  $0 \rightarrow h_u(V_{s,t}) \xrightarrow{i_*} h_u(Y_{s,t}) \xrightarrow{j_*} h_u(V_{s+1,t}) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

(7.1.4)  $\xi_{s,t}(\xi = \alpha, \beta, \omega, \eta)$  and  $\gamma, \rho$  in (6.2.5) are all  $D$ -cofiberings, and  $D$  splits with wedge sum (cf. (6.3)).

(7.1.5) Each  $\beta_{s,t}$  is also a  $C^0$ -homological  $A(2)$ -cofiberings,  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$  in (5.2.1) converges and collapses,  $\phi^C$  is natural for  $\beta_{s,t}$  (cf. (5.1.4-6)), and  $\omega_{s,0}$  is a  $C$ - and  $A(1)$ -injective cofiberings;  $Y_{s,t}$  is  $D$ - and  $A(i)$ -injective ( $i = 1, 2$ );  $\phi^C, \phi^D$  and  $\psi^C: C_u^0 \rightarrow A_u^{0,0}$  are isomorphic for  $Y_{s,t}$ , and so are  $\phi^C$  and  $\psi^D$  for  $W_{s,0}$ .

Under this definition, we see the following:

(7.1.6) Lemmas 6.2-4 hold by (7.1.4).  $\phi^D$  is isomorphic also for  $V_{s,t}$  which is  $D$ -injective, by Corollary 1.5 for  $\eta_{s,t}$ .

(7.1.7) For  $W_{s,t}$ ,  $\phi^C$  and  $\psi^D$  are isomorphic since so are for  $Y_{s,t}$  and  $W_{s,0}$ , and  $\phi^D$  is epimorphic; and  $\text{Ker } \partial_\beta^n = \text{Ker } \partial_\beta$  for  $t \geq n \geq 1$  and  $\partial_\beta^n: h_u(W_{s,t}) \rightarrow h_{u-n}(W_{s,t-n})$  in (6.2.1), by (5.1.6) and (5.6.2-3).

(7.1.8) Moreover,  $A$  is indirectly related to  $C$  at  $X_0$  by  $\psi^C$  and (7.1.2); and  $A$  (resp.  $C, D$ ) is related to  $D$  (resp.  $h_*, h_*$ ) by  $\psi^D$  and  $\{\omega_{s,0}\}$  (resp.  $\phi^C, \phi^D$  and  $\{\omega_{s,0}\}, \{\alpha_{0,t}\}$ ). Thus, *Theorem 1.9, 4.4 and Corollary 5.6 give us the following spectral sequences:*

$$\text{the May one} \quad E^{\text{May}} = \{E_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r^{\text{May}}: E_{u,r}^{s,t} \rightarrow E_{u+r,r}^{s+1,t+r}\},$$

$$\text{the Mahowald one} \quad E^{\text{Mah}} = \{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}, d_r^{\text{Mah}}: \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s+r,t-r+1}\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$E(B) = \{E(B)_r^{s,t}, d_r^B: E(B)_r^{s,t} \rightarrow E(B)_r^{s+r,t+r-1}\}, \quad \text{with}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_u^{s,t}(X_0) &= E_{u,1}^{s,t} \underset{\text{abut}}{\rightrightarrows} C_{u-t}^s(X_0) = E(C)_2^{s,u-t} \underset{\text{abut}}{\rightrightarrows} h_{u-s-t}(X_0) \\
 &\parallel \\
 A_u^{s,t}(X_0) &= \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} \underset{\text{conv}}{\rightrightarrows} D_u^{s+t}(X_0) = E(D)_2^{s+t,u} \underset{\text{abut}}{\rightrightarrows} h_{u-s-t}(X_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

(7.1.9) If  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  collapses, then  $\psi^D$  is epimorphic also for  $X_{s,0}$  and  $\text{Ker } \delta_\omega^n = \text{Ker } \delta_\omega$  for  $s \geq n \geq 1$  and  $\delta_\omega^n: D_u^t(X_{s,0}) \rightarrow D_u^{t+n}(X_{s-n,0})$  in (6.3.1), by Corollary 4.5 (ii).

The purpose of this section is to argue some relations between these spectral sequences by the following main result.

**THEOREM 7.2.** *In case of Definition 7.1, consider the condition*

$C(a, b, n): h_{b-a+i}(W_{i,0}) = 0$  for  $a \leq i < a + n$  (this is nothing when  $n = 0$ ).

Then, the spectral sequences in (7.1.8) satisfy the following (i)–(iv) for  $x \in A_u^{s,t}(X_0) = \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} = E_{u,1}^{s,t}$ :

(i)  $d_1^{\text{May}} d_2^{\text{Mah}} x = d_2^{\text{Mah}} d_1^{\text{May}} x$  in  $A_{u+1}^{s+3,t}(X_0)$ . More generally, if  $C(a, b, n)$  for  $a = s + 2$ ,  $s + 3$  and  $b = u - t + 1$  hold for an integer  $n \geq 0$ , then  $d_r^{\text{Mah}} x = 0 = d_r^{\text{Mah}} d_1^{\text{May}} x$  for  $r \leq \min \{n + 1, t\}$ ; and  $d_1^{\text{May}} d_{n+2}^{\text{Mah}} x = d_{n+2}^{\text{Mah}} d_1^{\text{May}} x$  when  $n < t$ , and  $x$  converges in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  when  $n \geq t$ .

(ii) If  $x$  converges to  $x^D \in D_u^{s+t}(X_0)$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ , then so does  $d_1^{\text{May}} x \in A_{u+1}^{s+1,t+1}(X_0)$  to  $(-1)^t d_2^D x^D \in D_{u+1}^{s+t+2}(X_0)$ . If  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  collapses and  $d_2^D x^D = 0$  in addition, then so does  $d_2^{\text{May}} x \in A_{u+2}^{s+1,t+2}(X_0)$  to  $(-1)^t d_3^D x^D \in D_{u+2}^{s+t+3}(X_0)$ .

(iii) If  $x$  converges to  $x^C \in C_{u-t}^s(X_0)$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$ , then so does  $d_2^{\text{Mah}} x \in A_{u+2}^{s+2,t-1}(X_0)$  to  $d_2^C x^C \in C_{u-t+1}^{s+2}(X_0)$ . If  $C(s + 2, u - t + 1, n)$  holds in addition, then  $d_r^{\text{Mah}} x = 0 = d_r^C x^C$  for  $r \leq \min \{n + 1, t\}$ ; and  $d_{n+2}^{\text{Mah}} x \in A_{u+n+2}^{s+n+2,t-n-1}(X_0)$  converges to  $d_{n+2}^C x^C \in C_{u-t+n+1}^{s+n+2}(X_0)$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  when  $n < t$ , and  $x$  converges in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  when  $n \geq t$ .

(iv) If  $x$  converges to  $x^C$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  and to  $x^D$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ , then there is  $y \in A_{u+1}^{s+2,t}(X_0)$  converging to  $d_2^C x^C$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  and to  $(-1)^t d_2^D x^D$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ . If  $C(s + 2, u - t + 1, n)$  holds in addition, then  $d_r^C x^C = 0$  for  $r \leq n + 1$ ,  $d_r^D x^D = 0$  for  $r \leq n - t + 1$ , and there is  $y' \in A_{u+1+b}^{s+n+2,a}(X_0)$  converging to  $d_{n+2}^C x^C$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  and to  $(-1)^t d_{b+2}^D x^D$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ , where  $a = \max \{t - n, 0\}$  and  $b = \max \{n - t, 0\}$ .

Here, ‘converge’ is used in the sense of (1.6.2). Thus, in the same way as Corollary 1.7 (i), we see the following by the definitions of  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  and  $E^{\text{May}}$  in §§ 4–5:

(7.3.1)  $x \in A_u^{s,t}(X_0)$  converges to  $x^D \in D_u^{s+t}(X_0)$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  if and only if  $x = \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}^D$  and  $\delta_\omega^s \bar{x}^D = x^D$  for some  $\bar{x}^D \in D_u^t(X_{s,0})$ , where  $\bar{\psi}_\omega = (\delta_\omega^A)^s \circ \psi^D: D_u^t(X_{s,0}) \rightarrow A_u^{s,t}(X_{s,0}) \rightarrow A_u^{s,t}(X_0)$ ,  $\delta_\omega^A = \bar{\delta}$ , is in Corollary 4.5, and  $\delta_\omega$  in (7.1.9).

(7.3.2) For  $x$  in (7.3.1) and  $x_r \in A_u^{s',t'}(X_0)$ ,  $d_r^{\text{Mah}} x = x_r$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  (cf. (1.6.1)) if and only if  $s' = s + r$ ,  $t' = t - r + 1$  and  $x = (\delta_\omega^A)^s \bar{x}$ ,  $i_* \bar{x} = \psi^D \bar{w}^D$ ,  $j_* \bar{w}^D =$

$\delta_\omega^{r-1} \bar{x}_r^D$  and  $\bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}_r^D = x_r$  for some  $\bar{x} \in A_u^{0,t}(X_{s,0})$ ,  $\bar{w}^D \in D_u^t(W_{s,0})$  and  $\bar{x}_r^D \in D_u^t(X_{s',0})$ .  
(7.3.3)  $x$  in (7.3.1) converges to  $x^C \in C_{u-t}^s(X_0)$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  if and only if  $x = (\delta_\omega^A)^s \bar{x}$ ,  $i_* \bar{x} = \psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta w$ ,  $\phi^C \partial_\beta^i w = i_* \bar{x}^C$  and  $(\delta_\omega^C)^s \bar{x}^C = x^C$  for some  $\bar{x}$  in (7.3.2),  $w \in h_u(W_{s,t})$  and  $\bar{x}^C \in C_{u-t}^0(X_{s,0})$ , where  $\bar{\phi}_\beta = \delta_\beta^i \circ \phi^D: h_u(W_{s,t}) \rightarrow D_u^0(W_{s,t}) \rightarrow D_u^t(W_{s,0})$ ,  $\delta_\beta = \bar{\delta}$ , is in Corollary 1.7 (i) for  $\beta = \beta_{s,t}$ ,  $\partial_\beta$  in (7.1.7), and  $\delta_\omega^C = \bar{\delta}: C_u^t(X_{s,0}) \rightarrow C_{u-1}^{t+1}(X_{s-1,0})$  in (1.8.4).

(7.3.4) For  $x$  in (7.3.1) and  $y_r \in A_{u'}^{s',t'}(X_0)$ ,  $d_r^{\text{May}} x = y_r$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  if and only if  $s' = s + 1$ ,  $t' = t + r$ ,  $u' = u + r$  and  $x = (\delta_\omega^A)^s \bar{x}$ ,  $i_* \bar{x} = \psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta w$ ,  $i_* j_* \partial_\beta^i w = \partial_\beta^i w_r$ ,  $\psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta w_r = i_* \bar{y}_r$  and  $(\delta_\omega^A)^{s'} \bar{y}_r = y_r$  for some  $\bar{x}$ ,  $w$  in (7.3.3),  $w_r \in h_{u'}(W_{s',t'})$  and  $\bar{y}_r \in A_{u'}^{0,t'}(X_{s',0})$ .

Also, (6.2.3) and (7.1.3) imply inductively the following:

(7.4.1) For any  $z \in h_u(X_{s,t})$ , there are  $z_i \in h_u(X_{i,j})$  ( $j = s + t - i$ ) for  $s \geq i \geq 0$  with  $z_s = z$  and  $\partial_\alpha z_i = \partial_\omega z_{i+1}$ ; hence

$$(7.4.2) \quad \delta_\omega^{s-i} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z = (-1)^{\varepsilon(j,t)} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_i \quad (\varepsilon(j,t) = \sum_{k=t}^{j-1} k)$$

by (6.3-4.2) for  $\bar{\phi}_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^* \circ \phi^D: h_u(X_{i,*}) \rightarrow D_u^0(X_{i,*}) \rightarrow D_u^*(X_{i,0})$ .

Moreover, (6.2.3-4), (7.1.3) and (6.4.4) imply the following:

(7.4.3) For  $\tilde{x}^D \in D_u^0(X_{s,t})$ ,  $w \in h_u(W_{s,t})$  and  $z \in h_{u+1}(X_{s+1,t+1})$  with  $i_* \tilde{x}^D = \phi^D w$  and  $j_* w = \partial_\alpha z$ , there are  $z_i \in h_{u+1}(X_{i,j+2})$ ,  $x_i^D \in D_u^0(X_{i,j})$ ,  $v_i \in h_u(V_{i,j})$ ,  $w_i \in h_u(W_{i-1,j+1})$  and  $y_i \in h_u(Y_{i-1,j})$  ( $j = s + t - i$ ) for  $s \geq i \geq 0$  with  $\partial_\alpha z_i = \partial_\omega z_{i+1} = g_* v_i$  ( $z_{s+1} = z$ ),  $i_* v_i = -f_* w_{i+1}$  ( $w_{s+1} = w$ ),  $i_* x_i^D = \phi^D w_{i+1}$ ,  $f_* x_i^D = -\phi^D v_i$ ,  $v_i = j_* y_i$ ,  $w_i = g_* y_i$  and so  $j_* w_i = \partial_\alpha z_i$ ; hence  $i_* \tilde{x}^D = i_* x_s^D$  and so  $\tilde{x}^D = x_s^D$  by (6.4.1); and  $\delta_\omega x_i^D = \delta_x x_{i-1}^D$  by (6.4.3). Thus,

$$(7.4.4) \quad \delta_\omega^{s-i} \delta_x^t \tilde{x}^D = (-1)^{\varepsilon(j,t)} \delta_x^j x_i^D \quad (\varepsilon(j,t) \text{ is in (7.4.2)}) \text{ by (6.3.2).}$$

On the other hand,  $C(a, b, n)$  implies  $\partial_\beta^m = 0: h_k(W_{i,j}) \rightarrow h_{k-m}(W_{i,j-m})$  ( $k = b + i + j - a$ ) for  $a \leq i < a + n$ ,  $j \geq m \geq 1$ , by (7.1.7); hence for any  $z \in h_k(X_{i,j})$ , there is  $z' \in h_k(X_{i+1,j-1})$  with  $\partial_\omega z' = \partial_\alpha z$  when  $j \geq 1$ , and  $z' \in h_{k+1}(X_{i+1,0})$  with  $\partial_\omega z' = z$  when  $j = 0$ . Thus:

(7.4.5) Assume  $C(a, b, n)$ . Then for any  $z \in h_u(X_{a,c})$  ( $u = b + c$ ), there are  $z_i \in h_u(X_{i,j})$  ( $j = a + c - i$ ) for  $a \leq i \leq a + \min\{n, c\}$  with  $z_a = z$  and  $\partial_\omega z_i = \partial_\alpha z_{i-1}$ , hence  $\delta_\omega^{i-a} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_i = (-1)^{\varepsilon(c,j)} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z$  in the same way as (7.4.2); and moreover when  $n > c$ , we have  $z_i \in h_{b+i-a}(X_{i,0})$  for  $c < i - a \leq n$  with  $\partial_\omega z_i = z_{i-1}$ .

Also, by (6.2.2,4) and (6.4.4), we see the following:

(7.4.6) Assume  $C(a, b, n)$  and  $C(a + 1, b, n)$ . Then for  $\tilde{x}^D$ ,  $w$  and  $z$  in (7.4.3) with  $s = a$ ,  $t = c$  and  $u = b + c$ , there are  $z_i \in h_{u+1}(X_{i+1,j+1})$ ,  $y_i \in h_u(Y_{i-1,j})$ ,  $v_i = j_* y_i \in h_u(V_{i,j})$ ,  $w_i \in h_u(W_{i,j})$  and  $x_i^D \in D_u^0(X_{i,j})$  ( $j = a + c - i$ ) for  $a < i \leq a + \min\{n, c\}$  with  $\partial_\omega z_i = \partial_\alpha z_{i-1} = g_* v_i$  ( $z_a = z$ ),  $g_* y_i = w_{i-1}$  ( $w_a = w$ ),  $j_* w_i = \partial_\alpha z_i$ ,  $f_* w_i = -i_* v_i$ ,  $i_* x_i^D = \phi^D w_i$ , and  $f_* x_i^D = -\phi^D v_i$ ; hence  $\delta_\omega^{i-a} \delta_x^j x_i^D = (-1)^{\varepsilon(c,j)} \delta_x^c \tilde{x}^D$  by the same way as (7.4.4); and moreover  $\delta_\alpha^c \tilde{x}^D = 0$  when  $n > c$ , since  $w_{a+c} \in h_u(W_{a+c,0}) = 0$  and so  $x_{a+c}^D = 0$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 7.2. (i) For  $x$ ,

(1) put  $y_1 = d_1^{\text{Mah}} x$ ,  $a' = a + 1$ , and take  $\bar{x}$ ,  $w$ ,  $w_1$  and  $\bar{y}_1$  in (7.3.4) for  $r = 1$ .

Then,  $\partial_\beta^t w' = 0$  for  $w' = i_* j_* w - \partial_\beta w_1$ , and  $\partial_\beta w' = 0$  by (7.1.7). Thus,  $w' = g_* y$  for some  $y \in h_u(Y_{s', t-1})$ , and  $j_* g_* \phi^D y = -j_* \phi^D \partial_\beta w_1 = 0$  by (6.4.1) (in (7.1.6)). Hence, there are  $x_k^D \in D_u^0(X_{s+3-k, t-2+k})$  in (6.3.3) with  $\delta_\omega x_1^D = -\delta_\alpha x_2^D$ ,  $f_* x_1^D = j_* \phi^D y$  and  $i_* x_2^D = g_* \phi^D y$ . Therefore,  $i_* x_2^D = i_* j_* \phi^D w$  and  $x_2^D = j_* \phi^D w$  by (6.4.1). Thus, by (6.3.2), (1) and (7.3.2),

(2)  $j_* \bar{\phi}_\beta w = \delta_\alpha x_2^D = \delta_\omega \bar{x}_1^D$  for  $\bar{x}_1^D = (-1)^t \delta_\alpha^{t-1} x_1^D$ , and so  $\bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}_1^D = d_2^{\text{Mah}} x$ .

Also,  $\partial_\beta^2 i_* j_* w_1 = -i_* j_* \partial_\beta w' = 0$  and  $\partial_\beta i_* j_* w_1 = 0$  by (7.1.7); hence  $\partial_\alpha i_* j_* w_1 = \partial_\omega z$  for some  $z \in h_u(X_{s+3, t})$ , and  $\delta_\alpha \phi^D j_* w_1 = \delta_\omega \phi^D z$  by (6.4.2). Thus, in the same way,

(3)  $j_* \bar{\phi}_\beta w_1 = \bar{\phi}_\alpha j_* w_1 = (-1)^t \delta_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z$ , and so  $(-1)^t \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z = d_2^{\text{Mah}} y_1 (\bar{\phi}_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^t \circ \phi^D)$ .

Moreover,  $\partial_\omega z = j_* \partial_\beta w_1 = -g_* j_* y$ . Hence, (6.2.4) and (6.4.4) for  $v = -j_* y$  give us  $w_{s+2} \in h_u(W_{s+2, t-1})$  and  $x^D \in D_u^0(X_{s+2, t-1})$  with  $j_* w_{s+2} = \partial_\alpha z$ ,  $f_* w_{s+2} = -i_* v = i_* j_* y$ ,  $i_* x^D = \phi^D w_{s+2}$  and  $f_* x^D = \phi^D j_* y = f_* x_1^D$ . Thus  $x^D = x_1^D$  by (6.4.1), and

(4)  $i_* \psi^D \bar{x}_1^D = (-1)^t \psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta w_{s+2}$  and so  $d_1^{\text{Mah}} (\bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}_1^D) = (-1)^t \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z$  for  $x_1^D$  in (2),

by (7.3.4). Now, (1)–(4) show the desired first equality in (i). (Note that  $w'$ ,  $z$ ,  $w_{s+2}$  and  $x^D$  are all 0 when  $t = 0$ .)

Assume  $C(a, b, n)$  and  $C(a + 1, b, n)$  for  $a = s + 2$  and  $b = u - t + 1$ . Then, by (7.4.6) for  $x^D$ ,  $z$  and  $w_a$  ( $a = s + 2, c = t - 1$ ) of above, we have elements  $x_i^D$ ,  $z_i$ ,  $w_i$  ( $a \leq i \leq a + \min\{n, c\}$ ) in (7.4.6) with  $x_a^D = x^D$ ,  $z_a = z$ ,  $i_* x_i^D = \phi^D w_i$  and  $j_* w_i = \partial_\alpha z_i$ . Thus, by (7.3.2-4) and (1)–(4),

(5)  $\bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}_i^D = d_{i-s}^{\text{Mah}} x$  and  $d_1^{\text{Mah}} \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}_i^D = (-1)^{\varepsilon(c, j) + t} \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_i = d_{i-s}^{\text{Mah}} y_1$  for  $\bar{x}_i^D = (-1)^{\varepsilon(c, j) + t} \delta_\alpha^j x_i^D$  (these are 0 when  $i < a + \min\{n, c\}$ ); and when  $n \geq t$ ,  $d_r^{\text{Mah}} x = 0$  for any  $r \geq 2$  by taking  $\bar{x}_r^D = 0$  in (7.3.2), and so  $x$  converges in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ .

These imply the last half of (i).

(ii) Assume that  $x$  converges to  $x^D$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ . Then, by (7.3.1), (7.1.4, 6-7) and (1.3.2),

(1) we have  $\bar{x}^D \in D_u^t(X_{s, 0})$ ,  $\tilde{x}^D \in D_u^0(X_{s, t})$ ,  $w \in h_u(W_{s, t})$  and  $z \in h_u(X_{s', t'})$  ( $a' = a + 1$ ) with  $x = \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}^D$ ,  $x^D = \delta_\omega^s \bar{x}^D$ ,  $\bar{x}^D = \delta_\alpha^s \tilde{x}^D$ ,  $i_* \tilde{x}^D = \phi^D w$  and  $j_* w = \partial_\alpha z$ ;

because the fourth equality implies  $\phi^D f_* j_* w = f_* j_* i_* \tilde{x}^D = 0$  and so  $f_* j_* w = 0$ . Hence,

(2)  $d_1^{\text{Mah}} x = \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z$  by (7.3.4), and this converges to  $\delta_\omega^s \bar{\phi}_\alpha z$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  by (7.3.1).

Now, by  $i_* \tilde{x}^D = \phi^D w$  and  $j_* w = \partial_\alpha z$ , we have elements  $z_i$ ,  $x_i^D$ ,  $v_i$ ,  $y_i$  and  $w_i$  ( $s \geq i \geq 0$ ) in (7.4.3). Then,

(3)  $d_2^D(\delta_\alpha^{t+s}x_0^D) = -\bar{\phi}_\alpha z_0$  by the last part of Corollary 1.7 (i) for  $E(D)$ ,  $x^D = \delta_\omega^s \bar{x}^D = \delta_\omega^s \delta_\alpha^t \tilde{x}^D = (-1)^{\varepsilon(s+t,t)} \delta_\alpha^{s+t} x_0^D$  by (1) and (7.4.4), and  $\delta_\omega^{s'} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z = (-1)^{\varepsilon(s'+t',t')} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_0$  by (7.4.2).

By (7.3.1), (2)–(3) imply the first half of (ii).

Assume in addition that  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  collapses and  $d_2^D x^D = 0$ . Then,  $\delta_\omega^{s'} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z = 0$  by (3), and so  $\delta_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z = 0$  by Corollary 4.5 (ii). Hence, (7.1.4), (7.1.6-7) and (1.3.2) imply that  $\bar{\phi}_\alpha z = j_* \phi_\beta w'$ ,  $\phi^D z - j_* \phi^D w' = g_* \phi^D v'$  and  $z - j_* w' - g_* v' = \partial_\alpha z'$  for some  $w' \in h_u(W_{s',t'})$ ,  $v' \in h_u(V_{s',t'})$  and  $z' \in h_{u'+1}(X_{s',t'+1})$ ; and  $\partial_\alpha^2 z' = j_* w''$  and  $\phi^D w'' = i_* \tilde{x}^D$  for  $w'' = w - \partial_\beta w'$ . Therefore,

(4)  $d_2^{\text{May}} x = \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'$  by (7.3.4).

Then, we have  $z'_i \in h_{u'+1}(X_{i,j})$  ( $j = s' + t' - i + 1$ ) for  $s' \geq i \geq 0$  in (7.4.1) with  $z = z'$ . Also, we have  $v'_i, y'_i, w'_i$  and  $x_i^D$  ( $s \geq i \geq 0$ ) in (7.4.3) for  $\tilde{x}^D, w''$  and  $\partial_\alpha z'$  with  $\partial_\alpha^2 z'_i = -\partial_\omega \partial_\alpha z'_{i+1} = (-1)^{s'-i} g_* v'_i$  and the equalities in (7.4.3). Thus, in the same way as (3),

(5)  $d_3^D(\delta_\alpha^{t+s}x_0^D) = (-1)^s \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'_0$ ,  $x^D = (-1)^\varepsilon \delta_\alpha^{s+t} x_0^D$  ( $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(s+t, t)$ ), and  $\delta_\omega^{s'} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z' = (-1)^{\varepsilon'} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'_0$  ( $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon(s' + t' + 1, t' + 1)$ ).

(4)–(5) imply the last half of (ii) by  $\varepsilon' - \varepsilon - s = t + 2s + 2$ .

(iii) Assume that  $x$  converges to  $x^C$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$ . Then,

(1) we have  $\bar{x}, w$  and  $\bar{x}^C$  in (7.3.3), and so  $z \in h_u(X_{s+2,t-1})$  with  $\partial_\omega z = \partial_\alpha j_* w$ ;

because  $\phi^C \partial_\beta^i j_* w = 0$  by the third equality in (7.3.3), and  $i_* \partial_\alpha j_* w = \partial_\beta i_* j_* w = 0$  by (7.1.7). Therefore,  $j_* \partial_\beta^i w = (-1)^{t-1} \partial_\omega \partial_\alpha^{i-1} z$  by (6.2.2), and  $\delta_\omega \phi^D z = \delta_\alpha \phi^D j_* w$  and  $\delta_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z = (-1)^{t-1} \bar{\phi}_\alpha j_* w$  by (6.4.2), (6.3.2). Thus, by Corollary 1.7 (i) and (7.3.2),

(2)  $d_2^C x^C = (-1)^{t-1} \bar{\phi}_\omega^C \partial_\alpha^{t-1} z$  ( $\bar{\phi}_\omega^C = (\delta_\omega^C)^* \circ \phi^C$ ) and  $d_2^{\text{Mah}} x = (-1)^{t-1} \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z$ .

Hence  $d_2^{\text{Mah}} x$  converges to  $d_2^C x^C$  by (7.3.3).

Assume in addition  $C(a, b, n)$  for  $a = s + 2$  and  $b = u - t + 1$ . Then,

(3) we have  $z_i$  ( $a \leq i \leq a + \min\{n, c\}$ ); and when  $n > c$ ,  $z_{a+c+1}$  in (7.4.5), for  $z$  and  $c = t - 1$ .

Then,  $\partial_\omega^{i-a+1} \partial_\alpha^j z_i = (-1)^{\varepsilon+c} j_* \partial_\beta^{c+1} w$  and  $\delta_\omega^{i-a+1} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_i = (-1)^{\varepsilon+c} \bar{\phi}_\alpha j_* w$  where  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(c, j)$ ; and when  $n \geq t$ ,  $\phi^D z_{a+c} = 0$  by (6.4.1), and  $\bar{\phi}_\alpha j_* w = 0$ . Therefore, by Corollary (1.7) (i) and (7.3.2),

(4)  $d_r^C x^C = (-1)^{\varepsilon+c} \bar{\phi}_\omega^C \partial_\alpha^j z_i$  and  $d_r^{\text{Mah}} x = (-1)^{\varepsilon+t-1} \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_i$  ( $r = i - a + 2$ ,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(c, j)$ ) for  $a \leq i \leq a + \min\{n, c\}$ ; and when  $n > c$ ,  $d_r^{\text{Mah}} x = 0$  for any  $r \geq 2$  and so  $x$  converges in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ .

These imply the last half of (iii).

(iv) Assume that  $x$  converges to  $x^D$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  and to  $x^C$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$ . Then, we have  $\bar{x}^D, \tilde{x}^D, w$  and  $z$  in (1) (in the proof) of (ii), and  $\bar{x}, w'$  (this is  $w$  in (7.3.3)),

$\bar{x}^C$  in (7.3.3). Now,  $\psi^D \bar{x}^D - \bar{x} = j_* \psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta w_1$  for some  $w_1 \in h_u(W_{s-1,t})$  ( $w_1 = 0$  if  $s = 0$ ) by  $\bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{x}^D = x = (\delta_\omega^A)^s \bar{x}$ , (7.1.5) and (7.1.7), and so  $\psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta i_* j_* w_1 = \psi^D i_* \bar{x}^D - i_* \bar{x} = \psi^D \delta_\beta^j i_* \bar{x}^D - \psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta w' = \psi^D \bar{\phi}_\beta (w - w')$  by (1) of (ii) and (7.3.3). Hence,  $\phi^D (w - w' - i_* j_* w_1) = \phi^D g_* y'$  and  $w - w' - i_* j_* w_1 - g_* y' = \partial_\beta w_2$  for some  $y' \in h_u(Y_{s,t-1})$  ( $y' = 0$  if  $t = 0$ ) and  $w_2 \in h_u(W_{s,t'})$  ( $a' = a + 1$ ) by (1.3.2) and (7.1.5-6). Therefore, by taking  $w - \partial_\beta w_2$ ,  $z - j_* w_2$ ,  $\psi^D \bar{x}^D$  and  $\bar{x}^C + j_* \phi^C \partial_\beta^t w_1$  to be new  $w$ ,  $z$ ,  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{x}^C$ , respectively,

(1) we have  $\bar{x}^D$ ,  $\bar{x}^D$ ,  $w$ ,  $z$ ,  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{x}^C$  with the equalities in (7.3.3) and (1) of (ii).

Then, by the same way as (1) of (iii), we have  $z' \in h_u(X_{s'+1,t'})$  with  $\partial_\omega z' = \partial_\alpha z = j_* w$ ; and so  $(-1)^t \partial_\omega \partial_\alpha^t z' = \partial_\alpha^t z = j_* \partial_\beta^t w$ . Therefore, by Corollary 1.7 (i) and (7.3.3),

(2)  $y = (-1)^t \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'$  converges to  $d_2^C x^C = (-1)^t \bar{\phi}_\omega^C \partial_\alpha^t z'$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$ .

Also, by the same way as (3) of the proof of (ii), we have  $z_i$  ( $z_{s'} = z$ ),  $x_i^D$  ( $x_s^D = \bar{x}^D$ ),  $v_i$ ,  $y_i$  and  $w_i$  ( $w_{s'} = w$ ) in (7.4.3) for  $s \geq i \geq 0$ , and

(3)  $d_2^D (\delta_\alpha^{t+s} x_0^D) = -\bar{\phi}_\alpha z_0$ ,  $x^D = \delta_\omega^s \bar{x}^D = (-1)^{\varepsilon'} \delta_\alpha^{s+t} x_0^D$  ( $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon(s+t, t)$ ), and  $(-1)^t \delta_\omega^{s'+1} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z' = \delta_\omega^{s'} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z = (-1)^{\varepsilon(s'+t', t')}$ .

Therefore,

(4)  $y = (-1)^t \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'$  in (2) converges to  $(-1)^t d_2^D x^D$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ .

(2) and (4) imply the first half of (iv).

Assume  $C(s+2, u-t+1, n)$  in addition. Then, we have  $z_i$  ( $z_a = z'$ ) in (7.4.5) for  $a = s+2$ ,  $b = u-t+1$  and  $c = t$ . Hence, for  $a \leq i \leq a + \min\{n, t\}$ ,  $\partial_\omega^{i-s-1} \partial_\alpha^j z_i = (-1)^{\varepsilon(t+1, j)} j_* \partial_\beta^j w$  by (6.2.2) and  $\partial_\omega \partial_\alpha^t z' = (-1)^t j_* \partial_\beta^t w$ ; and for  $a+t < i \leq a+n$ ,  $\partial_\omega^{i-s-1} z_i = \partial_\omega^{t+1} z_{s+t+2} = (-1)^{\varepsilon(t+1, 0)} j_* \partial_\beta^t w$ . Therefore, by Corollary 1.7 (i) and (7.3.3),

(5)  $d_{i-s}^C x^C = 0$  for  $s+2 \leq i < s+n+2$ , and  $y' = (-1)^\varepsilon \bar{\psi}_\omega \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_{s+n+2}$  converges to  $d_{n+2}^C x^C$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  ( $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(t+1, t-n)$  if  $n \leq t$ ,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(t+1, 0)$  if  $n > t$ ).

Also, when  $n \leq t$ ,  $(-1)^{\varepsilon(t, t-n)} \delta_\omega^n \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_{a+n} = \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'$  by (6.3.2), and so  $(-1)^\varepsilon \delta_\omega^{a+n} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_{a+n} = (-1)^{\varepsilon(s'+t', t')} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z_0$  ( $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(t+1, t-n)$ ) for  $z_0$  in (3) by  $z_a = z'$ . Therefore, by (3),

(6) when  $n \leq t$ ,  $y'$  in (5) converges to  $(-1)^t d_2^D x^D$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ .

If  $n > t$ , then we have  $z'_i$  ( $z'_{a+n} = z_{a+n}$ ) for  $a+n \geq i \geq 0$  in (7.4.1) for  $z = z_{a+n}$ ; and  $z_{a+t} = \partial_\omega^{n-t} z_{a+n} = (-1)^{\varepsilon''} \partial_\alpha^{n-t} z'_{a+t}$  ( $\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon(n-t, 0)$ ) by (6.2.2), and  $\partial_\alpha z_i = (-1)^{\varepsilon''+e} \partial_\alpha^{n-t+1} z'_i$  ( $e = (a+t-i)(n-t)$ ) for  $a+t > i \geq 0$  by induction. In fact,  $z_i - (-1)^{\varepsilon''+e} \partial_\alpha^{n-t} z'_i = g_* v'$  for some  $v' \in h_{u+1}(V_{i,j-1})$ , ( $g_* v' = 0$  for  $i = a+t$ ,  $j=0$ ) by the assumption of induction, and so  $\partial_\alpha z_{i-1} = \partial_\omega z_i = (-1)^{\varepsilon''+e} \partial_\omega \partial_\alpha^{n-t} z'_i = (-1)^{\varepsilon''+e+n-t} \partial_\alpha^{n-t+1} z'_{i-1}$  by (6.2.2) and (7.1.3). Especially,  $(-1)^{\varepsilon''+e} \partial_\alpha^{n-t+1} z'_0 = \partial_\alpha z_0 = g_* v_0$  ( $e = (s+t+2)(n-t)$ ) for  $z_0$  and  $v_0$  in (3). Therefore,

(7)  $d_r^D (\delta_\alpha^{t+s} x_0^D) = 0$  for  $r < n-t+2$ ,  $d_{n-t+2}^D (\delta_\alpha^{t+s} x_0^D) = (-1)^{\varepsilon''+e+1} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'_0$ , and  $\delta_\omega^{a+n} \phi^D z_{a+n} = (-1)^{\varepsilon'''} \bar{\phi}_\alpha z'_0$  ( $\varepsilon''' = \varepsilon(a+n, 0)$ ). Thus, by (3) and  $\varepsilon''' - \varepsilon'' - \varepsilon' + \varepsilon - a - 1 = t^2 + 2t + 2s$ ,

- (8) when  $n > t$ ,  $d_r^D x^D = 0$  for  $r < n - t + 2$ , and  $y'$  in (6) converges to  $(-1)^t d_{n-t+2}^D x^D$ .  
 (6)–(8) imply the latter half of (iv). q.e.d.

### §8. The case $B = GA$ for ring spectra $G = E, F$

For ring spectra  $G = E, F$  and a  $CW$  spectrum  $X_0$ , consider

(8.1.1) the  $E_2$ -functors  $GA$  with  $\phi^G: \pi_* \rightarrow GA_*^0$  in (2.1.1-6), the double  $E_2$ -functor  $EFA$  with  $\psi^F = \psi^{FA}: FA_u^t \rightarrow EFA_u^{0,t}$ ,  $\psi^E: EA_u^s \rightarrow EFA_u^{s,0}$  in (4.6.1-8) for  $D = FA$  and in (5.7.3), and the diagram (5.1.1) of the cofiberings given by (5.7.1), by assuming the following (8.1.2):

(8.1.2) (4.6.9) holds for  $X_n = X_{n,0}$  (e.g., there is a unit-preserving map  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$ ), and the  $F$ -Adams spectral sequence  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$ ,  $E(s)_2^{t,u} = FA_u^t(W_{s,0}) \Rightarrow \pi_{u-t}(W_{s,0})$ , in (5.7.2) converges and collapses for any  $s \geq 0$ .

(8.1.3) Then, for  $A = EFA$ ,  $C = EA$ ,  $D = FA$ ,  $h_* = \pi_*$  and the ones in (8.1.1), (7.1.3-5) hold by (4.6.1-9), (5.7.1-4) and Lemma 2.2; and

(8.1.4) we have the spectral sequences in (7.1.8), which are the  $G$ -Adams ones  $E(G) = \{E(G)_r^{s,t}, d_r^G\}$ , the Mahowald and May ones  $E^{\text{Mah}} = \{\tilde{E}_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  and  $E^{\text{May}} = \{E_{u,r}^{s,t}\}$  given in Theorem 2.3, 4.7 and 5.8, respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EFA_u^{s,t}(X_0) = E_{u,1}^{s,t} \xrightarrow{\text{May}} EA_{u-t}^s(X_0) = E(E)_2^{s,u-t} \xrightarrow{E\text{-Adams}} \pi_{u-s-t}(X_0) & & \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ EFA_u^{s,t}(X_0) = \tilde{E}_{u,2}^{s,t} \xrightarrow{\text{Mah}} FA_{u-t}^{s+t}(X_0) = E(F)_2^{s+t,u} \xrightarrow{F\text{-Adams}} \pi_{u-s-t}(X_0) . \end{array}$$

(8.1.5) Moreover, Theorem 7.2 holds for the spectral sequences in (8.1.4).

In the rest of this section, we consider the case that

(8.2.1)  $X_0 = S^0$ ,  $E = BP$  at a prime  $p$  and  $F = HZ_p$  with the Thom map  $\Phi^{BP} BP \rightarrow HZ_p$ ,

(cf. Example 3.10). We notice that

(8.2.2) the Thom map  $\Phi^{BP}$  induces a monomorphism  $\Phi_*^{BP}: (HZ_p)_*(BP) = P_* = Z_p[t_i] \rightarrow (HZ_p)_*(HZ_p) = A_*$ , and  $\Phi_*^{BP} t_i = \eta_i$  if  $p$  is an odd prime,  $= \eta_i^2$  if  $p = 2$ , where  $\eta_i$  is the conjugate of Milnor's  $\zeta_i$ , and we regard  $P_*$  as a subalgebra of  $A_*$  by  $\Phi_*^{BP}$ .

Then  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$  in (5.7.2) satisfies

$$(8.2.3) \quad \{E(s)_r^{t,u}, d(s)_r\} = \{E(0)_r^{t,u} \otimes BP_*(X_{s,0}), d(0)_r \otimes 1\},$$

because  $BP_*(X_{s,0})$  is flat over  $BP_*(S^0)$  for  $s \geq 0$  by (3.8.7); and

$$(8.2.4) \quad E(0)_2^{t,u} = \text{Ext}_{A_*}^{t,u}(Z_p, P_*) = Z_p[a_i],$$

( $a_i \in \text{Ext}^{1,*}$ ,  $*$  =  $2(p^i - 1) + 1$ ), which is 0 if  $u - t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2p - 2}$ , by (3.10.1).

Thus,  $d(0)_r = 0$ ,  $d(s)_r = 0$  and  $\{E(s)_r^{t,u}\}$  collapses. Also, this converges by [16, 19.12]. Thus:

(8.2.5) In case (8.2.1), the assumption (8.1.2) and so (8.1.4-5) hold.

(8.2.6) Moreover,  $C(a, b, n)$  in Theorem 7.2 holds if  $b - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2p - 2}$  and  $n = 2p - 3$ , by (3.10.2); and  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  collapses if  $p$  is odd, by [10, 8.15].

Therefore, Theorem 7.2 implies the following

EXAMPLE 8.3. In case (8.2.1), the spectral sequences in (8.1.4) satisfy the following (i)–(iv) for  $x \in EFA_u^{s,t}(S^0)$  ( $E = BP$ ,  $F = HZ_p$ ):

(i)  $d_1^{\text{May}} d_{2p-1}^{\text{Mah}} x = d_{2p-1}^{\text{Mah}} d_1^{\text{May}} x$  if  $t \geq 2p - 2$ , and  $x$  converges in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  if  $t < 2p - 2$ .

(ii) If  $x$  converges to  $x^F \in FA_{u-t}^{s+t}(S^0)$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ , then so does  $d_1^{\text{May}} x$  to  $(-1)^t d_2^F x^F$ . If  $p$  is odd and  $d_2^F x^F = 0$  in addition, then so does  $d_2^{\text{May}} x$  to  $(-1)^t d_3^F x^F$ .

(iii) If  $x$  converges to  $x^E \in EA_{u-t}^{s,t}(S^0)$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$ , then so does  $d_{2p-1}^{\text{Mah}} x$  to  $d_{2p-1}^E x^E$  when  $t \geq 2p - 2$ , and  $x$  converges in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$  when  $t < 2p - 2$ .

(iv) If  $x$  converges to  $x^E$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  and to  $x^F$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ , then there is  $y \in EFA_{u+m}^{s+2p-1,v}(S^0)$  ( $v = \max\{t - 2p + 3, 0\}$ ,  $m = \max\{1, 2p - t - 2\}$ ) which converges to  $d_{2p-1}^E x^E$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$  and to  $(-1)^t d_{m+1}^F x^F$  in  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ .

Now, by [4, II, 16.1],

(8.3.1)  $\pi_*(E) = Q_p[v_i]$  and  $E_*(E) = \pi_*(E)[t_i]$  ( $E = BP$ ) with  $\Delta t_1 = 1 \otimes t_1 + t_1 \otimes 1$ ,  $\eta v_1 = v_1 + pt_1$  for the coproduct  $\Delta: E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E) \otimes E_*(E)$  and the (right) unit  $\eta: \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$  ( $\eta_L x = x$  for the left unit  $\eta_L$ ).

Then, for the cochain complex  $E_u^*(S^0)$  in (2.1.1),  $E_*^s(S^0) = E_*(E) \otimes \cdots \otimes E_*(E)$  ( $s$  times), and  $\delta^s = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} (-1)^i \delta_{i*}^s$ ,  $\delta_{i*}^s = 1 \otimes \Delta \otimes 1: E_*^{s-i}(S^0) \otimes E_*(E) \otimes E_*^{i-1}(S^0) \rightarrow E_*^{s-i}(S^0) \otimes E_*(E) \otimes E_*(E) \otimes E_*^{i-1}(S^0)$  for  $0 < i \leq s$ ,  $\delta_{0*}^s x = x \otimes 1$  and  $\delta_{s+1*}^s(x) = 1 \otimes x$ .

(8.3.2) Thus, we have the elements

$$\alpha_i^E \in EA_*^1(S^0) \text{ and } \beta_{q/i}^E \in EA_*^2(S^0) \text{ for } q = p^n \text{ (} E = BP \text{) (cf. [11]),}$$

represented respectively by  $\alpha_i^E = (\eta v_1^t - v_1^t)/p$  in  $E_*^1(S^0)$  ( $\alpha_1 = t_1$ ) and  $\beta_{q/i}^E = \{\eta v_1^{q-t} \otimes t_1^{pq} - \eta v_1^{pq-t} \otimes t_1^q - v_1^{q-t} \cdot \Delta t_1^{pq} + v_1^{pq-t} \cdot \Delta t_1^q + v_1^{q-t} t_1^{pq} \otimes 1 - v_1^{pq-t} t_1^q \otimes 1\}/p$  in  $E_*^2(S^0)$ .

(8.3.3) Also, we have the elements

$$a_0^F, h_n^F \in FA_*^1(S^0) \text{ and } b_n^F \in FA_*^2(S^0) \text{ (} F = HZ_p \text{),}$$

represented respectively by  $a_0^F = e_0$ ,  $h_n^F = \eta_1^q$  ( $q = p^n$ ) in  $F_*^1(S^0) = A_*$  and  $b_n^F = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i \eta_1^{(p-i)q} \otimes \eta_1^{iq}$  ( $q = p^n$ ,  $pc_i = \binom{p}{i}$ ) in  $F_*^2(S^0) = A_* \otimes A_*$ , where  $e_i$  and  $\eta_i$  are the conjugates of Milnor's  $\tau_i$  and  $\zeta_i$ , respectively.

Moreover, for  $E = BP$ ,  $F = HZ_p$  and  $X = S^0$ , consider

$$FE_*^{s,t}(S^0) = (A_*)^t \otimes (P_*)^{s+1} \text{ with } \delta^G = \sum_{i=0}^{*+1} (-1)^i \delta_{i*}^G \quad (* = s \text{ or } t) \text{ in (4.9.1),}$$

where  $(N_*)^t = N_* \otimes \cdots \otimes N_*$  ( $t$  times) (cf. (2.3.2)). Then for  $x \in (A_*)^t \otimes (P_*)^{s+1}$ ,  $\delta_{i*}^G x = x \otimes 1$  if  $G = E$  and  $i = 0$ ,  $= 1 \otimes x$  if  $G = F$  and  $i = t + 1$ , and  $\delta_{i*}^G = 1 \otimes \Delta \otimes 1$  otherwise, where the coproduct  $\Delta: A_* \rightarrow A_* \otimes A_*$ ,  $P_* \rightarrow A_* \otimes P_*$  or  $P_* \rightarrow P_* \otimes P_*$  satisfies  $\Delta\eta_1 = \eta_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \eta_1$ ,  $\Delta\eta_2 = \eta_2 \otimes 1 + \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1^p + 1 \otimes \eta_2$ ,  $\Delta t_1 = t_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_1$ . Also, by (4.9.1) (cf. (2.3.2)),

$$\begin{aligned} C_u^{s,t} &= FAE_u^{s,t}(S^0) = H^t(FE_u^{s,*}(S^0); \delta^F) = FA_*^t(E) \otimes (P_*)^s, \\ FA_*^t(E) &= Z_p[a_i] \text{ in (8.2.4) and } FE_u^{s,t}(S^0) = H^s(C_u^{*,t}; \delta_*^E). \end{aligned}$$

Here, by (8.2.3-5) and dimensional reason, we take  $a_i$  so that

$$(8.3.4) \quad a_i \text{ converges to } v_i \in \pi_*(E) \ (v_0 = p) \text{ in } \{E(0)_r^{t,u}\}.$$

(8.3.5) Hence, for  $E = BP$  and  $F = HZ_p$ , we have the elements

$$h_n, b_n, a_0, \alpha_t, \alpha_1^s, \beta_{q/t}, \alpha_1^s \beta_{q/q-1} \ (q = p^n) \text{ in } FEA_*^{u,v}(S^0),$$

represented respectively by the elements

$$h_n = 1 \otimes t_1^q, \quad b_n = 1 \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i t_1^{(p-i)q} \otimes t_1^{iq} \quad \left( q = p^n, p c_i = \binom{p}{i} \right), \quad a_0,$$

$$\alpha_t = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \binom{t}{i} a_0^{t-i-1} a_1^i \otimes t_1^{-i}, \quad \alpha_1^s = 1 \otimes t_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes t_1 \quad (s \text{ times}),$$

$$\beta_{q/t} = \alpha_{q-t} \otimes t_1^{pq}, \quad \alpha_1^s \beta_{q/q-1} = \alpha_1^{s+1} \otimes t_1^{pq} \ (q = p^n) \text{ in } C_*^{u,v},$$

where,  $(u, v) = (1, 0), (2, 0), (0, 1), (1, t-1), (s, 0), (2, q-t-1), (s+2, 0)$ , respectively.

(8.3.6) In particular, when  $p = 2$ , the following elements  $a(n)$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2$ ) in  $F_*^2(E) = A_* \otimes A_* \otimes P_*$ , represent  $a_0^{2-n} a_1^n \in FA_*^2(E)$  ( $E = BP$  at 2,  $F = HZ_2$ ):

$$a(0) = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes 1, \quad a(1) = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes 1 + \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes t_1,$$

$$a(2) = (\eta_2 \otimes \eta_2 + \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1^2 \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2 \otimes \eta_1^2) \otimes 1 + \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes t_1^2 + \eta_1^2 \otimes \eta_1^2 \otimes t_1 \quad (t_1 = \eta_1^2).$$

Moreover, for  $\Delta: P_* \rightarrow P_* \otimes P_*$ ,  $(1 \otimes \Delta)a(n) - a(n) \otimes 1$  is equal to 0 if  $n = 0$ ,  $a(0) \otimes t_1$  if  $n = 1$ , and  $a(0) \otimes t_1^2 + \eta_1^2 \otimes \eta_1^2 \otimes 1 \otimes t_1$  if  $n = 2$ .

Now, by (8.2.3) and (8.3.4),

(8.3.7)  $\{G_u^{s,t}\}$  in (5.2.2) satisfies  $G_{*+t}^{0,t} = (I^t)_* \subset \pi_*(E)$ ,  $(I^t/I^{t+1})_* = FA_{*+t}^t(E)$ ,  $G_{*+t}^{s,t} = I^t \cdot E_*(\bar{E}^s) \subset E_*(\bar{E}^s)$  ( $\bar{E}^s = X_{s,0}$ ) and  $G_{*+t}^{s,t}/G_{*+t}^{s,t+1} = FA_*^t(E \wedge \bar{E}^s) = \bar{E}_{*,1}^{s,t}$  for the ideal  $I = (v_0 = p, v_1, \dots)$  of  $\pi_*(E)$  ( $E = BP$  at  $p$ ,  $F = HZ_p$ ). Moreover, for  $\tilde{G}_{*+t}^{s,t} = I^t \cdot E_*(E^s) \subset E_*^s(S^0)$  with  $\tilde{G}_{*+t}^{s,t}/\tilde{G}_{*+t}^{s,t+1} = FAE_*^{s,t}(S^0) = C_*^{s,t}$ ,  $j^s: E^s \rightarrow \bar{E}^s$  of  $j: E \rightarrow \bar{E}$  induces the following maps:

$$J^E = (j^s)_* : E_*^s(S^0) \rightarrow E_*(\bar{E}^s), \text{ the restriction } J^G = J^E|_{\tilde{G}_*^{s,t}} : \tilde{G}_*^{s,t} \rightarrow G_*^{s,t},$$

$$J : C_*^{s,t} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_*^{s,t} \text{ in (4.9.7) and } J' = \text{pr} \circ J^G = J \circ \text{pr} : \tilde{G}_*^{s,t} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_*^{s,t}$$

for the projections  $\text{pr} : G_*^{s,t} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_*^{s,t}$  and  $\tilde{G}_*^{s,t} \rightarrow C_*^{s,t}$ .

Furthermore, for  $\delta^*$  in (2.1.1) and  $(i \circ j)_* : E_*(\bar{E}^s) \rightarrow \pi_*(\bar{E}^{s+1}) \rightarrow E_*(\bar{E}^{s+1})$  in (5.2.5) ( $E_*(X) \cong EA_*^0(E \wedge X)$ ),

$$(8.3.8) \quad (i \circ j)_* \circ J^E = (-1)^{s+1} J^E \circ \delta^*; \text{ hence we have the map}$$

$$J_*^E = (J^E)_* : EA_u^s(S^0) = H^s(E_u^*(S^0); \delta^*) \rightarrow H^s(E_u(\bar{E}^s); (i \circ j)_*) = EA_u^s(S^0).$$

Then, by (8.3.8), (5.2.6) and (1.6.1-2), we see the following:

(8.3.9) Assume that  $x \in \tilde{G}_{u+t}^{s,t} \subset E_u^s(S^0)$  satisfies  $\delta^s x \in \tilde{G}_{u+t+r}^{s+1,t+r} \subset E_{u+1}^{s+1}(S^0)$ . Then,  $J'x \in \tilde{E}_{u+t,1}^{s,t}$  and  $J'\delta^s x \in \tilde{E}_{u+t+r,1}^{s+1,t+r}$  represent the elements in  $\tilde{E}_{*,2}^{*,*} = E_{*,1}^{*,*}$  such that  $d_r^{\text{May}}[J'x] = (-1)^{s+1}[J'\delta^s x]$  ( $[J'x] = J_*[\text{pr } x]$  for  $J_* : \tilde{E}_{*,2}^{s,t} \cong \tilde{E}_{*,2}^{s,t}$  in Lemma 4.10 (iv)). If  $\delta^s x = 0$ , then  $[J'x] = J_*[\text{pr } x]$  converges to  $J_*^E[x]$  in  $E^{\text{May}}$ .

EXAMPLE 8.4. In Example 8.3 ( $E = BP$  at  $p$ ,  $F = HZ_p$  and  $X_0 = S^0$ ), the elements given in (8.3.2-5) satisfy the following:

(i) In  $E^{\text{Mah}}$ ,  $J_* h_n$  (resp.  $J_* b_n$ ,  $J_*(a_0 b_n)$ ) converges to  $h_n^F$  (resp.  $b_n^F$ ,  $a_0^F b_n^F$ ). In  $E^{\text{May}}$ ,  $J_* \alpha_t$  (resp.  $J_* \beta_{q/t}$ ,  $J_*(\alpha_1^s \beta_{q/q-1})$ ) for  $q = p^n$  converges to  $J_*^E \alpha_t^E$  (resp.  $J_*^E \beta_{q/t}^E$ ,  $J_*^E((\alpha_1^E)^s \beta_{q/q-1}^E)$ ).

(ii) For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $d_1^{\text{May}} J_* h_{n+1} = -J_*(a_0 b_n)$ ; hence  $d_2^F h_{n+1}^F = -a_0^F b_n^F$ .

(iii) Assume  $p = 2$ . Then  $d_3^{\text{Mah}} J_* \alpha_3 = J_*(\alpha_1^4)$  and  $d_3^{\text{Mah}} J_* \beta_{q/q-3} = J_*(\alpha_1^3 \beta_{q/q-1})$  for  $q = 2^n$ ; hence  $d_3^E J_*^E \alpha_3 = (J_*^E \alpha_1^E)^4$  (cf. [13]) and  $d_3^E J_*^E \beta_{q/q-3}^E = J_*^E((\alpha_1^E)^3 \beta_{q/q-1}^E)$  for  $q = 2^n$ .

PROOF. (i) The first half is seen by the equality of  $\Phi_*^{BP}$  in (8.2.2) and Lemma 4.10 (iii). By (8.3.9) and  $\text{pr } \alpha_t^E = \alpha_t$  ( $\alpha_t^E \in \tilde{G}_*^{1,t-1}$ ),  $J \alpha_t$  converges to  $J_*^E \alpha_t^E$ . Also,  $\beta_{q/t}^E = (\eta v_1^{q-1} - v_1^{q-1})/p \otimes t_1^{pq} + I^{q-1} \cdot E_*(E) \otimes E_*(E) \in G_*^{2,q-t-1}$  and  $\text{pr } \beta_{q/t}^E = \beta_{q/t}$ ; hence we see (i) by (8.3.9).

(ii)  $t_1^{pq} \in E_*^1(S^0) = G_*^{1,0}$  and  $\delta^1 t_1^{pq} \equiv -p \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i t_1^{(p-i)q} \otimes t_1^{iq} \pmod{p^2} \in G_*^{2,1}$ , and so  $\text{pr } t_1^{pq} = h_{n+1}$  and  $\text{pr}(\delta^1 t_1^{pq}) = -a_0 b_n$ . Hence  $d_1^{\text{May}} J_* h_{n+1} = -J_* a_0 b_n$  by (8.3.9). Thus, (i) and Example 8.3 (iii) imply (ii).

(iii) By (8.3.5-6),  $\bar{\alpha}_3 = \sum_{n=0}^2 a(n) \otimes t_1^{n+1} \in A_*^2 \otimes P_*^2$  represents  $\alpha_3$ . Then, for  $x = \eta_1^2 \otimes t_1 \otimes t_1 \otimes t_1 \in A_* \otimes P_*^3$  and  $y = t_1 \otimes t_1 \otimes t_1 \otimes t_1 \in P_*^4$ , we see that

$$\delta^E \bar{\alpha}_3 = \eta_1^2 \otimes \eta_1^2 \otimes 1 \otimes t_1 \otimes t_1 = \delta^F x, \quad \delta^E x = \delta^F y \text{ and } \delta^E y = \alpha_1^4.$$

Thus,  $d_3^{\text{Mah}} J_* \alpha_3 = J_*(\alpha_1^4)$  by Lemma 4.10 (i). Also,  $\bar{\alpha}_3 \otimes t_1^{2q}$  ( $q = 2^n$ ) represents  $\beta_{q/q-3}$ ; and the above equalities hold for  $\bar{\alpha}_3 \otimes t_1^{2q}$ ,  $x \otimes t_1^{2q}$ ,  $y \otimes t_1^{2q}$  and  $\alpha_1^3 \otimes t_1 \otimes t_1^{2q}$  instead of  $\bar{\alpha}_3$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $\alpha_1^4$ , which show the second equality by Lemma 4.10

(i). Thus, Example 8.3 (iii) implies (iii). q.e.d.

## References

- [ 1 ] J. F. Adams, On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **32** (1958), 180–244.
- [ 2 ] J. F. Adams, Vector fields on spheres, *Ann. of Math.*, **75** (1962), 603–632.
- [ 3 ] J. F. Adams, On the groups  $J(X)$ , IV, *Topology*, **5** (1966), 21–71.
- [ 4 ] J. F. Adams, Stable Homotopy and Generalized Homology, The University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [ 5 ] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott and A. Shapiro, Clifford modules, *Topology*, **3** (1964), Suppl. **1**, 3–38.
- [ 6 ] W. Browder, A. Liulevicius and F. P. Peterson, Cobordism theories, *Ann. of Math.*, **84** (1966), 91–101.
- [ 7 ] E. H. Brown and F. P. Peterson, A spectrum whose  $Z_p$ -cohomology is the algebra of reduced  $p$ -th powers, *Topology*, **5** (1966), 149–154.
- [ 8 ] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, Torsion in  $SU$ -bordism, *Memoir Amer. Math. Soc.*, **60** (1966).
- [ 9 ] M. Hazewinkel, A universal formal group law and complex cobordism, *Bull. A.M.S.*, **81** (1975), 930–933.
- [10] H. R. Miller, On relation between Adams spectral sequences, with an application to the stable homotopy type of a Moore space, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **20** (1981), 287–312.
- [11] H. R. Miller, D. C. Ravenel and W. S. Wilson, Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, *Ann. of Math.*, **106** (1977), 469–516.
- [12] H. R. Miller, D. C. Ravenel and W. S. Wilson, A novice's guide to the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, *Geometric Application of Homotopy Theory II*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **658**, Springer, Berlin, 1977, 404–475.
- [13] S. P. Novikov, The methods of algebraic topology from the viewpoint of cobordism theories, *Math. U.S.S.R.-Izvestiia*, **1** (1967), 827–913.
- [14] D. G. Quillen, On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism, *Bull. A.M.S.*, **75** (1969), 1293–1298.
- [15] N. Ray, Some results in generalized homology,  $K$ -theory and bordism, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **71** (1972), 283–300.
- [16] R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic Topology—Homotopy and Homology*, Springer-Berlin, 1975.

*Yuge Merchant College*