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## 0. Introduction

Studying the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation in conformal field theory, Matsuo found a new system of differential equations of first order for a function taking values in the group algebra $\mathbf{C}[W]$ of the Weyl group $W$ associated with an arbitrary root system in [4]. His system is equivalent to the system of the differential equations given by Heckman and Opdam which is a deformation of the system satisfied by the zonal spherical function of the Riemannian symmetric space $G / K$ of non compact type ([4] Theorem 5.4.1).

Let $\Phi$ be a solution of Matsuo's equations (see (1.1)). $\hat{W}$ denotes the set of the equivalence classes of the irreducible representations of $W$. For $\delta \in \hat{W}$ let $E_{\delta}$ be a representation space of $\delta$ and $n_{\delta}=\operatorname{dim} E_{\delta}$. Then $\mathbf{C}[W]=\sum_{\delta \in \hat{W}} \mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$, where $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_{\delta}} E_{\delta, i}$ and $E_{\delta, i}$ is equivalent to $E_{\delta}$ $\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{\delta}\right)$. Let $\delta_{0}$ be the trivial representation and $\Phi_{0}$ be the $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta_{0}}-$ component of $\Phi$. The Correspondence $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_{\delta_{0}}$ gives the equivalence of the above two systems.

For $\delta \in \hat{W}$ We consider the other $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$-components $\Phi_{\delta}$ of $\Phi$. In this paper we obtain a system of differential equations satisfied by $\Phi_{\delta}$.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be an n -Euclidean space with the inner product (, ) and $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. For $\alpha \in E$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ put $\alpha^{\vee}=2(\alpha, \alpha)^{-1} \alpha$ and denote $s_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\lambda-\left(\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right) \alpha$ for the orthogonal reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to $\alpha(\lambda \in E)$. Let $\Sigma \subset E$ be a root system with rank $(\Sigma)=\operatorname{dim} E=n$. Fix a system of positive roots $\Sigma^{+}$in $\Sigma$. Furthermore we put $\Sigma_{0}=\{\alpha \in \Sigma ; \alpha \notin 2 \Sigma\}$ and $\Sigma_{0}^{+}=\Sigma_{0} \cap \Sigma^{+}$. Let $W$ be the Weyl group and $\mathbf{C}[W]$ be the group algebra of $W$. Put $\mathfrak{a}=E^{*}, \mathfrak{h}=E^{*} \oplus i E^{*}$. The inner product in $E$ and the reflections can be extended to $\mathfrak{b}^{*}$ naturally. We identify $\mathfrak{b}^{*}$ with $\mathfrak{h}$ via the inner product (, ):

$$
\lambda(u)=(\lambda, u) \quad\left(\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}, u \in \mathfrak{h}\right) .
$$

We define the endomorphisms $\sigma_{\alpha}$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ of $\mathbf{C}[W]$ as follows:

$$
\sigma_{\alpha}(w)=s_{\alpha} w
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{\alpha}(w)= \begin{cases}w & \text { if } w^{-1} \alpha \in \Sigma^{+} \\ -w & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $w \in W, \alpha \in \Sigma$. Furthermore for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$ we define $e_{\xi}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbf{C}[W])$ by

$$
e_{\xi}(\lambda)(w)=(w \lambda, \xi) w .
$$

Consider the following system of differential equations for a $\mathbf{C}[W]$-valued function $\Phi$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\xi} \Phi(u)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \\
& =\left\{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{\Sigma}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)(\alpha, \xi)\left(\left(e^{\alpha(u)}+1\right)\left(e^{\alpha(u)}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\alpha}-1\right)+\sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}\right)\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+e_{\xi}(\lambda)\right\} \Phi(u) ; \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{h},
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{\alpha}$ are given complex numbers such that $k_{w \alpha}=k_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $w \in W$ (see Matsuo [4]).
$\hat{W}$ denotes the set of the equivalence classes of the irreducible representations of $W$ and $v$ denotes the left regular representation of $W$. For $\delta \in \hat{W}$ let $E_{\delta}$ be a representation space of $\delta$ and $n_{\delta}=\operatorname{dim} E_{\delta}$. Then it is well known that $\mathbf{C}[W]=\sum_{\delta \in \hat{W}} \mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$, where $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}=E_{\delta, 1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\delta, n_{\delta}}$ and $E_{\delta, i}$ is equivalent to $E_{\delta}\left(i=1,2, \cdots, n_{\delta}\right)$. Since $E_{\delta, i}$ is an irreducible left ideal of $\mathbf{C}[W]$, there is some irreducible idempotent $\varepsilon_{\delta, i} \in \mathbf{C}[W]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\delta, i}=\mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{\delta, i} \quad\left(i=1,2, \cdots, n_{\delta}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\chi_{\delta}$ denotes the character of $\delta$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\delta}=n_{\delta}|W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi_{\delta}\left(w^{-1}\right) v(w) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $P_{\delta}$ is the projection of $\mathbf{C}[W]$ onto $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\xi}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)(\alpha, \xi) \sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}+e_{\xi}(\lambda) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $v(w) C_{\xi} v(w)^{-1}=C_{w \xi}$ for any $w \in W$. Note that $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}$ commutes with the left regular representation of $W$ for any natural number $d$. Let $\mathscr{R}$ be the algebra of functions on $\left\{u \in \mathfrak{h}, e^{\alpha(u)} \neq 1\right.$ for any $\left.\alpha \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}\right\}$generated by $\left\{\left(1-e^{\alpha(u)}\right)^{-1} ; \alpha \in \Sigma^{+}\right\}$. $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h})$ denotes the set of all differential operators on $\mathfrak{h}$ with constant coefficients. If $P$ belongs to $\mathscr{R} \otimes \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{h}), P$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{\mu \in Q_{+}} e^{\mu} \partial\left(P^{\mu}\right), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{\mu}$ is some element of the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $Q_{+}=\left\{\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}} n_{\alpha} \alpha\right.$; $\left.n_{\alpha}=0,1,2, \cdots\right\}$. We denote by $\mathbf{C}\left[\mathfrak{h}^{*}\right]$ the polynomial algebra on $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$. For $P=\sum_{\mu \in Q_{+}} e^{\mu} \partial\left(P^{(\mu)}\right) \in \mathscr{R} \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ the Harish-Chandra homomorphism $r: \mathscr{R} \otimes \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{h})$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{C}\left[\mathfrak{b}^{*}\right]$ is the algebra homomorphism defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(P)(\lambda)=P^{(0)}(\lambda+\rho) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right) \alpha, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$. For $T \otimes P \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}\right) \otimes(\mathscr{R} \otimes \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{h})) \quad$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\delta}(T \otimes P)(\lambda)=r(P)(\lambda) T \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the differential operator $D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d)} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}\right) \otimes(\mathscr{R} \otimes \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{h}))$ for $\delta \in \hat{W}$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$ and a nonnegative integer $d$ inductively by

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d)} & =\left(1_{\delta} \otimes \partial_{\xi}\right) D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d-1)}  \tag{1.8}\\
& -\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)(\alpha, \xi)\left(e^{\alpha}+1\right)\left(e^{\alpha}-1\right)\left\{\left(v_{\delta}\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \otimes 1\right) D_{\delta, s_{\alpha} \xi^{(d)}}^{(d-1)}-D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d-1)}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\delta, \xi}^{(0)}=1_{\delta} \otimes 1, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1_{\delta}$ is the identity mapping on $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$ and $v_{\delta}=\left.v\right|_{\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{\delta, \xi}^{(d)}=\sum_{t \in W} D_{\delta, t \xi}^{(d)} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. The differential equations for the irreducible components

Our main theorem in this paper is the following
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $\Phi$ is a $\mathbf{C}[W]$-valued function and satisfies (1.1). Then $\Phi_{\delta}=P_{\delta} \circ \Phi$ satisfies the following formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{\delta, \xi}^{(d)} \Phi_{\delta}=\left(\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}\right) \Phi_{\delta} \quad(d=0,1,2, \cdots) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}$ is a scalar operator on $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{D}_{\delta, \xi}^{(2)} \Phi_{\delta}=r_{\delta}\left(\tilde{D}_{\delta, \xi}^{(2)}\right)(\lambda) \Phi_{\delta},  \tag{2.2}\\
& r_{\delta}\left(\widetilde{D}_{\delta, \xi}^{(2)}\right)(\lambda) \\
& \quad=\sum_{t \in W}(\lambda, t \xi)^{2}-n_{\delta}^{-1} \sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) \chi_{\delta}\left(s_{\alpha} s_{\beta}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We need the following lemmas to prove Theorem 2.1.
Lemma 2.2 ([4] Lemma 4.1.1). If $\Phi(u)$ is a solution of (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d)} \Phi_{\delta}=P_{\delta}\left(C_{\xi}^{d} \Phi\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We obtain (2.4) in the same way as [4] Lemma 4.1.1.
Lemma 2.3. Let $A \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbf{C}[W])$. If $A$ commutes with the left regular representation of $W$ and $A(1)$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W]$, then $A$ is a scalar operator on $\mathbf{C}[W]$.

Proof. From the conditions on $A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)=x A(1)=A(1) x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \mathbf{C}[W] .\left.A\right|_{E_{\delta, i}}$ is the endomorphism on $E_{\delta, i}$ from (2.5) and commutes with the left regular representation on $W$. So $A$ is a scalar operator on $E_{\delta, i}$ by Schur's lemma. There exists $f_{i, j} \in \mathbf{C}[W]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\delta, i} f_{i, j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j} \neq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\varepsilon_{\delta, i}$ and $\varepsilon_{\delta, j}$ are equivalent $\left(i, j=1,2, \cdots, n_{\delta}\right)$. If $\left.A\right|_{E_{\delta, i}}=\lambda_{i} \cdot 1 \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{C}\right.$, $\left.i=1,2, \cdots, n_{\delta}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \varepsilon_{\delta, i}=\lambda_{i} \varepsilon_{\delta, i}  \tag{2.7}\\
& A \varepsilon_{\delta, j}=\lambda_{j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(\varepsilon_{\delta, i}\right) f_{i, j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j}=\lambda_{i} \varepsilon_{\delta, i} f_{i, j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j},  \tag{2.9}\\
& \varepsilon_{\delta, i} f_{i, j} A\left(\varepsilon_{\delta, j}\right)=\lambda_{j} \varepsilon_{\delta, i} f_{i, j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.5) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(\varepsilon_{\delta, i}\right) f_{i, j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j}=\varepsilon_{\delta, i} A(1) f_{i, j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad=\varepsilon_{\delta, i} f_{i, j} \varepsilon_{\delta, j} A(1)=\varepsilon_{\delta, i} f_{i, j} A\left(\varepsilon_{\delta, j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and we obtain $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{j}$ from (2.9)-(2.11). Hence we can see that $A$ is a scalar operator on $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$.
q.e.d.

Lemma 2.4. $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}(1)$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W]$.
Proof. By the definition of $C_{\xi}^{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}(1)= & \sum_{t \in W}(\lambda, t \xi)^{2} \cdot 1  \tag{2.12}\\
& \quad-\sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

we set

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{0}= & \sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\beta},  \tag{2.13}\\
C_{1}= & \sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}, \beta \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\beta}  \tag{2.14}\\
& +\sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}, \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\beta},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=\sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\gamma \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$and $2 \gamma \notin \Sigma^{+}$. Then we see

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{\gamma}\left(\Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}\right)=\Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+},  \tag{2.16}\\
& s_{\gamma}\left(\Sigma_{0}^{+}\right)=\left(\Sigma_{0}^{+} \backslash\{\gamma\}\right) \cup\{-\gamma\} . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha} s_{\gamma}^{-1}=s_{s_{\gamma}(\alpha)}(\alpha \in \Sigma)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{\gamma} C_{1} s_{\gamma}^{-1}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \quad=\sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \sum_{0_{0}^{+}}, \beta \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{s_{\gamma(\alpha)}} s_{s_{\gamma(\beta)}} \\
& \quad+\sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}, \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \sum_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{s_{\gamma}(\alpha)} s_{s_{\gamma}(\beta)}
\end{align*}
$$

If we replace $s_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and $s_{\gamma}(\beta)$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$, (2.15)-(2.17) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{\gamma} C_{1} s_{\gamma}^{-1}=\sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}+\Sigma^{+} \\
\beta \in\left(\mathbb{L}_{o}^{+} \backslash\{\gamma\} \cup \cup\right\}}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.19) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{\gamma} C_{1} s_{\gamma}^{-1} & -C_{1}  \tag{2.20}\\
= & -2 \sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\gamma} k_{\beta} / 4\right)(\gamma, t \xi)(\beta, t \xi) s_{\gamma} s_{\beta} \\
& -2 \sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\gamma} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\gamma, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\gamma} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we put $\alpha=s_{\gamma}(\beta)$, we have $s_{\gamma} s_{\beta}=s_{\alpha} s_{\gamma}, k_{\alpha}=k_{s_{\gamma}(\beta)}=k_{\beta}$ and the second term of the right hand side of (2.20) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} k_{\gamma} / 4\right)(\alpha, t \xi)(\gamma, t \xi) s_{\alpha} s_{\gamma}  \tag{2.21}\\
= & \sum_{t \in W} \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+}}\left(k_{\beta} k_{\gamma} / 4\right)(\beta, t \xi)(-\gamma, t \xi) s_{\gamma} s_{\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

(2.20) and (2.21) imply $s_{\gamma} C_{1} s_{\gamma}^{-1}=C_{1}$. we can see that $s_{\gamma} C_{0} s_{\gamma}^{-1}=C_{0}$ and $s_{\gamma} C_{2} s_{\gamma}^{-1}=C_{2}$ similarly.

Next suppose $\gamma \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$and $2 \gamma \in \Sigma^{+}$. In this case we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{\gamma}\left(\Sigma^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{0}^{+} \cup\{2 \gamma\}\right)=\Sigma_{0}^{+} \cup\{2 \gamma\},  \tag{2.22}\\
& s_{\gamma}(2 \gamma)=-2 \gamma . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (2.22) and (2.23) we can prove $s_{\gamma} C_{1} s_{\gamma}^{-1}=C_{1}$ similarly. Hence $C_{1}$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W]$. In the same way we can see that $C_{0}$ and $C_{2}$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W]$ and this proves the lemma. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.5 (cf. [4] Lemma 4.1.2). For any $x \in \mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\delta}\left(D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d)}\right)(\lambda) x=C_{\xi}^{d}(1) x . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. When $d=0,(2.24)$ is valid. We assume that (2.24) holds for $d-1$. By using $v\left(s_{\alpha}\right) C_{s_{\alpha}}^{d-1}(1)=C_{\xi}^{d-1}\left(s_{\alpha}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{\delta}\left(D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d)}\right)(\lambda) x=  \tag{2.25}\\
- & r_{\delta}\left(\left(1_{\delta} \otimes \partial_{\delta}\right) D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d-1)}\right. \\
& \left.\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)\left(e^{\alpha}+1\right)\left(e^{\alpha}+1\right)\left(e^{\alpha}-1\right)^{-1}\left\{\left(v\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \otimes 1\right) D_{\delta, s_{\alpha} \beta}^{(d-1)}-D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d-1)}\right\}\right)(\lambda) x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\lambda, \xi) r_{\delta}\left(D_{\delta, \xi}^{(d-1)}\right)(\lambda) x+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)(\alpha, \xi) v\left(s_{\alpha}\right) r_{\delta}\left(D_{\delta, s_{\alpha} \xi}^{(d-1)}\right)(\lambda) x \\
& =(\lambda, \xi) C_{\xi}^{d-1}(1) x+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)(\alpha, t \xi) v\left(s_{\alpha}\right) C_{s_{\alpha} \xi}^{d-1}(1) x \\
& =\left\{(\lambda, \xi) C_{\xi}^{d-1}(1)+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)(\alpha, \xi) C_{\xi}^{d-1}\left(s_{\alpha}\right)\right\} x \\
& =C_{\xi}^{d-1}\left(C_{\xi}(1)\right) x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we get (2.25).
q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $\Phi(u)$ is a solution of (1.1). Since $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}$ is a linear mapping and commutes with $v(w)$ for any $w \in W$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\delta}\left(\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}\right)=\left(\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}\right) P_{\delta} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (1.3). (2.1) follows from (2.4) and (2.26). By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we see that $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}$ is a scalar operator on $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$. Since $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{2}(1)$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W]$ we get (2.2) from (2.1) and (2.25). We obtain (2.3) by calculations. q.e.d.

Remark. Let $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}$ be the trivial representation and the alternative representation, respectively. Since $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta_{0}}$ and $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta_{1}}$ are 1-dimensional spaces, $\widetilde{D}_{\delta_{0}, \xi}^{(d)}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{\delta_{1}, \xi}^{(d)}$ belong to $\mathscr{R} \otimes \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h})$. If $\Phi$ is a solution of (1.1), the following formulas are valid for $d=0,1,2, \cdots$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{D}_{\delta_{0}, \xi}^{(d)} \Phi_{\delta_{0}}=r\left(\tilde{D}_{\delta_{0}, \xi}^{(d)}\right)(\lambda) \Phi_{\delta_{0}},  \tag{2.27}\\
& \widetilde{D}_{\delta_{1}, \xi}^{(d)} \Phi_{\delta_{1}}=r\left(\widetilde{D}_{\left.\delta_{1}, \xi\right)}^{(d)}\right)(\lambda) \Phi_{\delta_{1}} . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.27) is proved in Matsuo [4]. Since $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}(1)$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta_{1}}$ we have (2.28) by (2.24).

## 3. An example of type $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$

In this section let $\Sigma$ be the $A_{3}$ type root ststem. We put $\mathfrak{a}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.R^{3} ; t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}=0\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{a}+i \mathfrak{a}$. For $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right) \in \mathfrak{h}$ we define $\alpha_{i} \in \Sigma^{+}$ ( $i=1,2,3$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}(h)=h_{1}-h_{2}, \\
& \alpha_{2}(h)=h_{2}-h_{3}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \alpha_{3}(h)=\alpha_{1}(h)+\alpha_{2}(h) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $s_{i}$ be the reflection along $\alpha_{i}$. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{0}=\left(1+s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{1} s_{2}+s_{2} s_{1}+s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}\right) / 6, \\
& \varepsilon_{1}=\left(1+s_{1}-s_{2} s_{1}-s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}\right) / 3,  \tag{3.2}\\
& \varepsilon_{2}=\left(1-s_{1}-s_{1} s_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}\right) / 3, \\
& \varepsilon_{3}=\left(1-s_{1}-s_{2}+s_{1} s_{2}+s_{2} s_{1}-s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}\right) / 6
\end{align*}
$$

$\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$, and $\varepsilon_{3}$ are irreducible idempotent elements of $\mathbf{C}[W]_{\delta}$ and $\mathbf{C}[W]=\underset{i=0}{3} \mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{i}$ is the irreducible decomposition of $\mathbf{C}[W] . v$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{0}$ and alternatively on $\mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{3} . \quad \mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{1}$ and $\mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{2}$ are equivalent. Furthermore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{3} \varepsilon_{i}=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}=\delta_{i, j} \varepsilon_{i}(i, j=0,1,2,3)
$$

If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i} x=x \varepsilon_{i}(i=0,1,2,3, x \in \mathbf{C}[W]), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P_{i}$ is the projection onto $\mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{i}$.
For $\sum_{w \in W} a(w) w$ and $\sum_{w \in W} b(w) w \in \mathbf{C}[W]$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{w \in W} a(w) w, \sum_{w \in W} b(w) w\right)=\sum_{w \in W} a(w) b(w), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(a(w), b(w) \in \mathbf{C}) . \quad($,$) is a non-degenerate bilinear form and for any w \in W$ and $u, v \in \mathbf{C}[W]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(w v, u)=\left(v, w^{-1} u\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $T$ is a linear mapping on $\mathbf{C}[W]$ and satisfies the formula $(T x, y)=(x, T y)$ (resp. ( $T x, y$ ) $=(x,-T y)$ ), we call $T$ is symmetric (resp. anti symmetric) with respect to the bilinear form (, ).

We put $v_{i}=\left.v\right|_{C_{[W] \varepsilon_{i}}}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{i, \xi}^{(d)} & =\left(1 \otimes \partial_{\xi}\right) D_{i, \xi}^{(d-1)}  \tag{3.7}\\
& -\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(k_{\alpha} / 2\right)(\alpha, \xi)\left(e^{\alpha}+1\right)\left(e^{\alpha}-1\right)\left\{\left(v_{i}\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \otimes 1\right) D_{i, s_{\alpha} \xi^{(d-1}}^{(d-1)}-D_{i, \xi}^{(d-1)}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i, \xi}^{(0)}=1 \otimes 1, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D}_{i, \xi}^{(d)}=\sum_{t \in W} D_{i, \xi}^{(d)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $T \otimes P \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{i}\right) \otimes(\mathscr{R} \otimes \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{h}))$ we define $r_{i}(T \otimes P)$ in the same way as (1.7).

We shall prove the following theorem in this section.
Theorem 3.1. If $\Phi$ is a solution of (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D}_{i, \xi}^{(d)} \Phi_{i}=r_{i}\left(\tilde{D}_{i, \xi}\right)(\lambda) \Phi_{i} \quad(d=0,1,2, \cdots), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we put $\Phi_{i}=P_{i} \Phi$.
We need the following lemma to prove Theorem 3.1.
Lemma 3.2. $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}(1)$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W](d=0,1,2, \cdots)$.
Proof. Since $\sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}$ is anti symmetric and $e_{\xi}(\lambda)$ is symmetric with respect to the bilinear form (, ), $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}$ is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}=A_{\xi, d}+B_{\xi, d}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\xi, d}$ is symmetric and $B_{\xi, d}$ is anti symmetric with respect to the bilinear form (, ) and $A_{\xi, d}(1)$ is a linear combination of even products of reflections and $B_{\xi, d}(1)$ is a linear combination of odd products of reflections. For any $w \in W$ we see that $v(w) A_{\xi, d} v(w)^{-1}$ is symmetric and $v(w) B_{\xi, d} v(w)^{-1}$ is anti symmetric by (3.6). Therefore $v(w) A_{\xi, d} v(w)^{-1}=A_{\xi, d}$ and $v(w) B_{\xi, d} v(w)^{-1}=B_{\xi, d}$ because $v(w)\left(\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}\right) v(w)^{-1}=\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}$ for any $w \in W$. Then we have for any $w \in W$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{\xi, d}(1), w-w^{-1}\right)=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\left(A_{\xi, d}(w), 1\right)=\left(w A_{\xi, d}(1), 1\right)=\left(A_{\xi, d}(1), w^{-1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{\xi, d}(w), 1\right)=\left(w, A_{\xi, d}(1)\right)$ $=\left(A_{\xi, d}(1), w\right)$. Similarly we have for any $w \in W$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{\xi, d}(1), w+w^{-1}\right)=0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{1, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}, s_{1} s_{2}+s_{2} s_{1}, s_{1} s_{2}-s_{2} s_{1}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{C}[W], A_{\xi, d}(1)$ and $B_{\xi, d}(1)$ are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\xi, d}(1)= & a_{0} \cdot 1+a_{1} s_{1}+a_{2} s_{2}+a_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}  \tag{3.13}\\
& +a_{4}\left(s_{1} s_{2}+s_{2} s_{1}\right), \\
B_{\xi, d}(1)= & a_{5}\left(s_{1} s_{2}-s_{2} s_{1}\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{0}, \cdots, a_{5} \in \mathbf{C}$. Hence we get $B_{\xi, d}(1)=0$ and $A_{\xi, d}(1)=a_{0} \cdot 1+a_{4}\left(s_{1} s_{2}+\right.$ $s_{2} s_{1}$ ). This shows that $\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d}(1)$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{C}[W]$. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In the same way as Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.5 we have for $i=0,1,2,3$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{D}_{i, \xi}^{(d)} \Phi_{i}=\sum_{t \in W} C_{t \xi}^{d} \Phi_{i},  \tag{3.15}\\
& r_{i}\left(D_{i, \xi}^{(d)}\right)(\lambda) x=C_{\xi}^{d}(1) x \quad\left({ }^{\forall} x \in \mathbf{C}[W] \varepsilon_{i}\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.15), (3.16) and Lemma 3.2 we can prove (3.9) in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.1.
q.e.d.
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