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#### Abstract

Let $\mathscr{E}(X)$ be the group consisting of all based homotopy classes of based self-homotopy equivalences on $X$. In this paper we shall study and determine the group $\mathscr{E}(X)$ for $X=S O(4)$. This is one of the problems proposed by M. Arkowitz [2].


## 1. Introduction

For a based spaces $X$ and $Y$, let $[X, Y]$ denote the set consisting of all the based homotopy classes of the based maps $X \rightarrow Y$. If $X=Y$, the homotopy set $[X, X]$ becomes a monoid whose multiplication induced from the composition of maps. Let $\mathscr{E}(X)$ be the group consisting of all invertible elements of the monoid $[X, X]$ and it is called the group of self-homotopy equivalences of $X$. When $X$ is a simply connected H -space of rank $\leq 2$, the group $\mathscr{E}(X)$ is already determined by several authors in [8], [9], [10], [11], [12]. The author would like to study the group $\mathscr{E}(X)$ for non-simply connected H -spaces $X$.

Problem (M. Arkowitz [2]). Determine the group $\mathscr{E}(X)$ for non-simply connected H-spaces of rank 2. More specially, calculate the group $\mathscr{E}(X)$ for $X=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{i} \times S^{j}$ (with $i=3,7$ and $j=1,3,7$ ) or for $X=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{i} \times \mathbf{R P}^{k}$ (with $i=$ 3,7 and $k=3,7$ ).

In this paper we shall consider this problem for the case $X=S O(4)=$ $S^{3} \times S O(3)=S^{3} \times \mathbf{R P}^{3}$.

Defintion 1.1. (i) Let $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbf{R})$ be the ring consisting of all $2 \times 2$ real matrices and let $M_{2}(\sqrt{2}) \subset M_{2}(\mathbf{R})$ denote the subset of $M_{2}(\mathbf{R})$ consisting of all $2 \times 2$ matrices $A$ of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1,1} & \sqrt{2} a_{1,2} \\
\sqrt{2} a_{2,1} & a_{2,2}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\text { where } a_{i, j} \in \mathbf{Z}\right) .
$$

Clearly $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\sqrt{2})$ is a subring of $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbf{R})$.
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(ii) For a ring $R$ with unit 1 , let $\operatorname{Inv}(R)$ denote the group consisting of all invertible elements $r \in R$.

We shall prove the following results.
Theorem 1.2. There is a short exact sequence of multiplicative groups

$$
1 \longrightarrow G_{4} \xrightarrow{1+\tilde{q}^{*}} \mathscr{E}(S O(4)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Inv}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}(\sqrt{2})\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $G_{4}$ denotes the certain group of order $2^{8} \cdot 3^{2}$.
Theorem 1.3. Let $\mu:[S O(4), S O(4)] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(\pi_{3}(S O(4))\right)$ be the natural representation given by $\mu(f)=\pi_{3}(f)$. Then the map $\mu$ induces the multiplicative epimorphism $\tilde{\mu}:[S O(4), S O(4)] \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2}(\sqrt{2})$ with its kernel isomorphic to $G_{4}$.

The main part of the proof is to use the product decomposition $S O(4)=$ $S^{3} \times S O(3)$ and is to compute several homotopy groups using the composition method [13] and classical homotopy technique [4], [5], [6], [7]. In section 2, we shall compute several homotopy groups and homotopy sets. In section 3, we shall give the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

## 2. Homotopy groups

In this section we consider the cofibre sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{1} \xrightarrow{2 l_{1}} S^{1} \xrightarrow{i} S^{1} \cup_{2} e^{2} & =\mathbf{R P}^{2} \xrightarrow{q} S^{2} \xrightarrow{2 l_{2}} S^{2} \xrightarrow{\Sigma i} \Sigma \mathbf{R P}^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
& =S^{2} U_{2} e^{3} \xrightarrow{\Sigma q} S^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\rho: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}$ denote the double covering projection. Since $S O(3)=\mathbf{R P}^{3}$, there is a cofibre sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbf{R P}^{2} \longrightarrow S O(3) \xrightarrow{\pi} S^{3} \xrightarrow{\Sigma \rho} \Sigma \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}=S^{2} U_{2} e^{3} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S O(3)$ has the cell structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
S O(3)=\mathbf{R P}^{2} \cup_{\rho} e^{3}=S^{1} \cup_{2} e^{2} \cup_{\rho} e^{3} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the following fact:
Lemma 2.4 ([13]).
(1) If $J: \pi_{1}(S O(2)) \cong \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{\iota_{1}\right\} \rightarrow \pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{\eta_{2}\right\}$ denotes the $J$ homomorphism, then $J$ is an isomorphism and $J\left(l_{1}\right)=\eta_{2}$, where $\eta_{2} \in$ $\pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{\eta_{2}\right\}$ denotes the Hopf map.
(2) Let $\eta_{n}=\Sigma^{n-2} \eta_{2} \in \pi_{n+1}\left(S^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 3$. Then $\pi_{n+1}\left(S^{n}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 2\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ for $n \geq$ 3.
(3) If we take $\eta_{n}^{2}=\eta_{n} \circ \eta_{n+1} \in \pi_{n+2}\left(S^{2}\right), \pi_{n+2}\left(S^{n}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 2\left\{\eta_{n}^{2}\right\}$ for $n \geq 2$.
(4) If $\omega \in \pi_{6}\left(S^{3}\right)$ denotes the Blakers-Massay element, $\pi_{6}\left(S^{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 12\{\omega\}$.

Lemma 2.5 .

$$
\pi_{k}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R P}^{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{Z} / 2\{\Sigma i\} & (k=2) \\ \mathbf{Z} / 4\left\{\Sigma i \circ \eta_{2}\right\} & (k=3)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\alpha} \in \pi_{3}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R P}^{2}, S^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\{\bar{\alpha}\}$ be the charactersitic map of the top cell $e^{3}$ in $\Sigma \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}=S^{2} U_{2} e^{3}$ and consider the homotopy exact sequence

$$
\mathbf{Z}\{\bar{\alpha}\}=\pi_{3}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}, S^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \pi_{2}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{\iota_{2}\right\} \xrightarrow{\Sigma i_{*}} \pi_{2}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\partial_{3}(\bar{\alpha})=2 \imath_{2}, \partial_{3}$ is injective and $\pi_{2}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 2\{\Sigma i\}$. Hence there is an exact sequence
(i)

where $[,]_{r}$ denotes the relative Whitehead product (cf. [3]). Since $\left[l_{2}, l_{2}\right]=2 \eta_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{4}\left(\left[\bar{\alpha}, l_{2}\right]_{r}\right)=-\left[\partial_{3}(\bar{\alpha}), l_{2}\right]=-\left[2 \iota_{2}, l_{2}\right]=-2\left[\iota_{2}, l_{2}\right]=-4 \eta_{2} . \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_{4}\left(D^{3}, S^{2}\right) & \xrightarrow[\partial_{4}^{\prime}]{\cong} & \pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{\eta_{2}\right\} \\
\bar{\alpha}_{*} \\
\downarrow & & \left(2 z_{2}\right)_{*} \downarrow \\
\pi_{4}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R P}^{2}, S^{2}\right) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{4}} & \pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{\eta_{2}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Because $\left[\imath_{2}, l_{2}\right]=2 \eta_{2}, h_{0}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=l_{3}$ and $\left[\eta_{2}, l_{2}\right]=0$,
(iii) $\quad\left(2 l_{2}\right) \circ \eta_{2}=2 \eta_{2}+\binom{2}{2}\left[l_{2}, l_{2}\right] \circ h_{0}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-\binom{3}{3}\left[\left[l_{2}, l_{2}\right], l_{2}\right] \circ h_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$

$$
=2 \eta_{2}+\left(2 \eta_{2}\right) \circ l_{3}-2\left[\eta_{2}, l_{2}\right] \circ h_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=4 \eta_{2} .
$$

Hence it follows from the diagram (ii) and (iii) that the image of $\partial_{4}$ is $\mathbf{Z}\left\{4 \eta_{2}\right\}$. Therefore, $\pi_{3}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R P}^{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 4\left\{\Sigma i \circ \eta_{2}\right\}$.

Lemma 2.6.
(1) $\Sigma \rho= \pm 2\left(\Sigma i \circ \eta_{2}\right) \in \pi_{3}\left(\Sigma \mathbf{R P}^{2}\right)=\pi_{3}\left(S^{2} U_{2} e^{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 4\left\{\Sigma i \circ \eta_{2}\right\}$.
(2) There is a homotopy equivalence

$$
\Sigma^{2} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{3}=\Sigma^{2} S O(3) \simeq \Sigma^{2} \mathbf{R} P^{2} \vee S^{5}=S^{3} \cup_{2} e^{4} \vee S^{5}
$$

Proof. Since $\Sigma^{2} \mathbf{R} P^{3}=\Sigma^{2} S O(3)=\Sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{R P}^{2} U_{\rho} e^{3}\right)=\Sigma^{2} \mathbf{R P}^{2} U_{\Sigma^{2} \rho} e^{5}$ and $2 \eta_{3}=0$, it suffices to prove (1). It follows from the formula of James ((3.1) of [4]) that

$$
\Sigma \rho= \pm \Sigma i \circ J(c(\xi))= \pm \Sigma i \circ J\left(2 l_{1}\right)= \pm 2\left(\Sigma i \circ \eta_{2}\right)
$$

Consider the cofibre sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{4} \xrightarrow{2 l_{4}} S^{4} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{3} i} \Sigma^{3} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}=S^{4} U_{2} e^{5} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{3} q} S^{5} \xrightarrow{2 l_{5}} S^{5} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\eta_{3} \circ 2 l_{4}=0$, there is an extension $\bar{\eta}_{3} \in\left[\Sigma^{3} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}, S^{3}\right]$ of $\eta_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\eta}_{3} \circ \Sigma^{3} i=\eta_{3} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.9.

$$
\left[\Sigma^{k} \mathbf{R P}^{2}, S^{3}\right]= \begin{cases}\mathbf{Z} / 2\{\Sigma q\} & (k=1) \\ \mathbf{Z} / 2\left\{\eta_{3} \circ \Sigma^{2} q\right\} & (k=2) \\ \mathbf{Z} / 4\left\{\bar{\eta}_{3}\right\} & (k=3)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since the proofs of these cases are similar, we only prove the case $k=3$. Since $\left(2 l_{j}\right)^{*}: \pi_{j}\left(S^{3}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j}\left(S^{3}\right)$ is trivial for $j=4,5$, (2.7) induces the exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \longrightarrow \pi_{5}\left(S^{3}\right) & =\mathbf{Z} / 2\left\{\eta_{3}^{2}\right\} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{3} q^{*}}\left[S^{4} U_{2} e^{5}, S^{3}\right] \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{3} i^{*}} \pi_{4}\left(S^{3}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\mathbf{Z} / 2\left\{\eta_{3}\right\} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $2 l_{4} \circ \eta_{4}=0$, there is a coextension $\tilde{\eta}_{4} \in \pi_{6}\left(S^{4} U_{2} e^{5}\right)$ of the map $\eta_{4}$ such that $\Sigma^{3} q \circ \tilde{\eta}_{4}=\eta_{5}$. It is known that $v^{\prime}=\bar{\eta}_{3} \circ \tilde{\eta}_{4} \in \pi_{6}\left(S^{3}\right)_{(2)} \cong \mathbf{Z} / 4$ is the generator of 2-component ([13]). Hence the order of $\bar{\eta}_{3}$ is the multiple of 4 or infinite order. However, since the order of the homotopy set $\left[S^{4} U_{2} e^{5}, S^{3}\right]$ is 4 by (2.10), the order of $\bar{\eta}_{3}$ is divided by 4. Hence, from (2.8), $\left[\Sigma^{3} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}, S^{3}\right]=$ $\left[S^{4} U_{2} e^{5}, S^{3}\right]=\mathbf{Z} / 4\left\{\bar{\eta}_{3}\right\}$.

Corollary 2.11.

$$
\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S^{3}\right] \cong\left[\Sigma^{3} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}, S^{3}\right] \oplus \pi_{6}\left(S^{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 4\left\{\bar{\eta}_{3}\right\} \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 12\{\omega\}
$$

Let $\rho_{3}: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{R P}^{3}=S O(3)$ denote the double covering and consider the fibre sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3} \xrightarrow{\rho_{3}} \mathbf{R P}^{3}=S O(3) \longrightarrow K(\mathbf{Z} / 2,1) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.13. There is an isomorphism

$$
\left(\rho_{3}\right)_{*}:\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S^{3}\right] \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows}\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S O(3)\right] \cong \mathbf{Z} / 4 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 12
$$

Proof. This is because the sequence (2.12) induces the exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S^{3}\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\rho_{3}\right)_{x}}\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S O(3)\right] \longrightarrow\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), K(\mathbf{Z} / 2,1)\right]=0 .
$$

Lemma 2.14.
(1) $\left[S^{3}, S^{3}\right]=\pi_{3}\left(S^{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{l_{3}\right\}$.
(2) $\left[S^{3}, S O(3)\right]=\mathbf{Z}\left\{\rho_{3}\right\}$.
(3) $\left[S O(3), S^{3}\right]=\mathbf{Z}\{\pi\}$, where $\pi: S O(3)=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$ denotes the pinch map to the top cell.
(4) $[S O(3), S O(3)]=\mathbf{Z}\{\mathrm{id}\}$.
(5) $\rho_{3} \circ \pi=2 \cdot \mathrm{id} \in[S O(3), S O(3)]$.

Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) are trivial and the other results are well-known. See for example [7], [11].

## 3. The multiplicative structure

In this section, we shall study the multiplicative structure of $[S O(4), S O(4)]$. First, recall the general property of multiplication induced from composition of maps. For example, if $X$ is an H -space, the left distributive law

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f+g) \circ h=f \circ h+g \circ h \quad \text { (for } f, g \in[Y, X], h \in[Z, Y]) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, but in general, the right distributive law does not necessarily hold. However, in our case, we can prove:

Lemma 3.2. Let $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ be integers.
(1) $(m \pi) \circ\left(n \rho_{3}\right)=2 m n \cdot l_{3}$.
(2) $\left(m \rho_{3}\right) \circ(n \pi)=2 m n \cdot$ id.

Proof. It follows from (3.1) that it suffces to prove the assertions (1) and (2) when $m=1$. So from now on, assume $m=1$. Note that $\pi \circ \rho_{3}=$ $2 \cdot l_{3}$; in fact, since $\pi \circ \rho_{3} \in \pi_{3}\left(S^{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left\{l_{3}\right\}$, we can take $\pi \circ \rho_{3}=y \cdot l_{3}$ for some $y \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $l_{3}=\Sigma_{l_{2}}, y \cdot \rho_{3}=\rho_{3} \circ\left(y l_{3}\right)$. Hence using (2.14) and (3.1), we get $y=2$, because

$$
y \cdot \rho_{3}=\rho_{3} \circ\left(y l_{3}\right)=\rho_{3} \circ\left(\pi \circ \rho_{3}\right)=\left(\rho_{3} \circ \pi\right) \circ \rho_{3}=(2 \cdot \mathrm{id}) \circ \rho_{3}=2 \rho_{3} .
$$

Since $\pi_{*}: \pi_{3}(S O(3)) \rightarrow \pi_{3}\left(S^{3}\right)$ is a homomorphism,

$$
\pi \circ\left(n \rho_{3}\right)=\pi_{*}\left(n \rho_{3}\right)=n \cdot \pi_{*}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=n\left(\pi \circ \rho_{3}\right)=n \cdot\left(2 l_{3}\right)=n \cdot\left(2 \Sigma_{l_{2}}\right)=2 n \cdot l_{3}
$$

and the assertion (1) holds.
Since $\rho_{3} \circ(n \pi) \in[S O(3), S O(3)]=\mathbf{Z}\{$ id $\}$, we can write $\rho_{3} \circ(n \pi)=x \cdot$ id for some $x \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \cdot \rho_{3} & =(x \cdot \mathrm{id}) \circ \rho_{3}=\left(\rho_{3} \circ(n \cdot \pi)\right) \circ \rho_{3}=\rho_{3} \circ\left((n \cdot \pi) \circ \rho_{3}\right)=\rho_{3} \circ\left(2 n \cdot l_{3}\right) \\
& =\left(\rho_{3}\right) \circ\left(2 n \cdot \Sigma_{l_{2}}\right)=2 n \cdot\left(\rho_{3} \circ l_{3}\right)=2 n \cdot \rho_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $x=2 n$ and the assertion (2) is also proved.
Next, recall the following elementary result due to A. J. Sieradski.
Theorem 3.3 (Sieradski [11]). Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be homotopy associative $H$ spaces. If the homotopy set $\left[X_{1} \vee X_{2}, X_{1} \wedge X_{2}\right]$ is trivial, there is a short exact sequence of multiplicative group

$$
1 \longrightarrow\left[X_{1} \wedge X_{2}, X_{1} \times X_{2}\right] \xrightarrow{1+\tilde{q}^{*}} \mathscr{E}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) \longrightarrow G L_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $\Lambda_{i, j}=\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]$ for $i, j=1,2, G L_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)$ denotes the multiplicative group consisting of all invertible elements of the ring

$$
\left[X_{1} \vee X_{2}, X_{1} \times X_{2}\right]=M_{2}\left(\Lambda_{I, j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[X_{1}, X_{1}\right]} & {\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]} \\
{\left[X_{2}, X_{1}\right]} & {\left[X_{2}, X_{2}\right]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\tilde{q}: X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1} \wedge X_{2}$ denotes the projection map.
Now we shall prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that $S O(4)=S^{3} \times S O(3)$ and we take $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left(S^{3}, S O(3)\right)$. It follows from the celluar approximation theorem that the homotopy set $\left[S O(3), \Sigma^{3} S O(3)\right]$ and $\pi_{3}\left(\Sigma^{3} S O(3)\right)$ are trivial. Hence $\left[S^{3} \vee S O(3), S^{3} \wedge S O(3)\right]=0$. So, using Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 2.14, there is a short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow G_{4} \xrightarrow{1+\tilde{q}^{*}} \mathscr{E}(S O(4)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right) \longrightarrow 1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take $G_{4}=\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S^{3} \times S O(3)\right]=\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S^{3}\right] \oplus\left[\Sigma^{3} S O(3), S O(3)\right]$. It follows from lemma 2.14 that $G_{4} \cong(\mathbf{Z} / 4 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 12) \oplus(\mathbf{Z} / 4 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 12)$. Hence the order of $G_{4}$ is $2^{8} \cdot 3^{2}$. The multiplicative structure of $G_{4}$ may be different from the group $(\mathbf{Z} / 4 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 12) \oplus(\mathbf{Z} / 4 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 12)$.

Next we determine the group structure of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)$. For this purpose, consider the ring

$$
\mathbf{M}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[X_{1}, X_{1}\right]} & {\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]} \\
{\left[X_{2}, X_{1}\right]} & {\left[X_{2}, X_{2}\right]}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[S^{3}, S^{3}\right]} & {\left[S^{3}, S O(3)\right]} \\
{\left[S O(3), S^{3}\right]} & {[S O(3), S O(3)]}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)$ be elements

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1,1} l_{3} & a_{1,2} \rho_{3} \\
a_{2,1} \pi & a_{2,2} \text { id }
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1,1} l_{3} & b_{1,2} \rho_{3} \\
b_{2,1} \pi & b_{2,2} \text { id }
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\text { where } a_{i, j}, b_{i, j} \in \mathbf{Z}\right) .
$$

Then using (3.2), the product $A \cdot B$, which is induced from the composite of maps, is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \cdot B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1,1} l_{3} & a_{1,2} \rho_{3} \\
a_{2,1} \pi & a_{2,2} \mathrm{id}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1,1} l_{3} & b_{1,2} \rho_{3} \\
b_{2,1} \pi & b_{2,2} \text { id }
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(a_{1,1} l_{3}\right) \circ\left(b_{1,1} l_{3}\right)+\left(a_{1,2} \rho_{3}\right) \circ\left(b_{2,1} \pi\right) & \left(a_{1,1} l_{3}\right) \circ\left(b_{1,2} \rho_{3}\right)+\left(a_{1,2} \rho_{3}\right) \circ\left(b_{2,2} \text { id }\right) \\
\left(a_{2,1} \pi\right) \circ\left(b_{1,1} l_{3}\right)+\left(a_{2,2} \mathrm{id}\right) \circ\left(b_{2,1} \pi\right) & \left(a_{2,1} \pi\right) \circ\left(b_{1,2} \rho_{3}\right)+\left(a_{2,2} l_{3}\right) \circ\left(b_{2,2} \mathrm{id}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(a_{1,1} b_{1,1}+2 a_{1,2} b_{2,1}\right) l_{3} & \left(a_{1,1} b_{1,2}+a_{1,2} b_{2,2}\right) \rho_{3} \\
\left(a_{2,1} b_{1,1}+a_{2,2} b_{2,1}\right) \pi & \left(2 a_{2,1} b_{1,2}+a_{2,2} b_{2,2}\right) \mathrm{id}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the additive map $\phi: \mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2}(\sqrt{2})$ by

$$
\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1,1} l_{3} & a_{1,2} \rho_{3} \\
a_{2,1} \pi & a_{2,2} \mathrm{id}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1,1} & \sqrt{2} a_{1,2} \\
\sqrt{2} a_{2,1} & a_{2,2}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\text { where } a_{i, j} \in \mathbf{Z}\right) .
$$

Then it follows from (1.1) and the above computation that $\phi: \mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)$ $\xlongequal{\cong} \mathrm{M}_{2}(\sqrt{2})$ is a ring isomorphism. Hence $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)=\operatorname{Inv}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)\right) \cong$ $\operatorname{Inv}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}(\sqrt{2})\right)$. So (3.4) reduces to the exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow G_{4} \xrightarrow{1+\tilde{q}^{*}} \mathscr{E}(S O(4)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Inv}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}(\sqrt{2})\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider the representation

$$
\mu:[S O(4), S O(4)] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(\pi_{3}(S O(4))\right)
$$

given by $\mu(f)=\pi_{3}(f)$. Since each $\Lambda_{i, j}=\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]$ and $\pi_{3}(S O(4))$ are torsion free, $\mu([S O(4), S O(4)])=\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)$ and the assertion follows from Theorem 1.2.
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