

## Boundedness of multilinear oscillatory singular integrals on Hardy type spaces

Guoen HU, Qiang WU and Dachun YANG

(Received December 1, 1998)

(Revised June 25, 1999)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, the authors discuss a class of multilinear singular integrals and obtain their boundedness from the weighted Hardy space  $H_{\omega}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  to the weighted Lebesgue space  $L_{\omega}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for  $\omega \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (the class of Muckenhoupt's weights) and from the weighted Herz-type Hardy space  $HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  (or  $HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$ ) to the weighted Herz space  $\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  (or  $K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$ ) for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

### 1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been significant progress in the study of oscillatory singular integrals with polynomial phase functions. Let  $P(x, y)$  be a real-valued polynomial defined on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $K$  be a standard Calderón-Zygmund kernel, that is,  $K$  is  $C^1$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  away from the origin and has mean value zero on the unit sphere centered at the origin. Define the oscillatory singular integral operator  $T$  by

$$(1.1) \quad Tf(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iP(x,y)} K(x-y) f(y) dy.$$

A well-known result of Ricci-Stein [13] states that  $T$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  with the (operator) bound depending only on  $n, p$  and  $\deg P$  (the total degree of  $P$ ), and being independent of the coefficients of the polynomial  $P$ . Chanillo and Christ [2] proved that  $T$  is also bounded from  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  to weak  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with bound independent of the coefficients of  $P$ . Pan [12] considered the behaviour of  $T$  on  $H_E^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (a variant of the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ). There are many other works about the operator  $T$ ; we refer to the references [7], [9] and [11].

The purpose of this paper is to study a class of multilinear operators which

---

This research was supported in part by the NNSF and the SEDF of China.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B20; Secondary 42B30, 47A30, 47B38.

*Key words.* Multilinear oscillatory singular integral, Hardy space, Herz space, Herz-type Hardy space, Atom, Weight.

are closely related to the operator  $T$  defined by (1.1). Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, belong to the space  $\text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$  and satisfy the moment conditions

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(\theta)\theta^\alpha d\theta = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^n \quad \text{and } |\alpha| = m.$$

Let  $A$  have derivatives of order  $m$  in  $BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and let  $R_m(A; x, y)$  denote the  $m$ -th order Taylor series remainder of  $A$  at  $x$  about  $y$ , that is,

$$R_m(A; x, y) = A(x) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^\alpha A(y)(x - y)^\alpha.$$

The operator we will consider here is of the form:

$$(1.3) \quad T^A f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iP(x,y)} \frac{\Omega(x - y)}{|x - y|^{n+m}} Q_{m+1}(A; x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where  $Q_{m+1}(A; x, y) = R_m(A; x, y) - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^\alpha A(x)(x - y)^\alpha$ . Recall that if  $b$  is a  $BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)$  function and  $T$  is a linear operator, then the commutator  $[b, T]$  is defined by

$$[b, T]f(x) = b(x)Tf(x) - T(bf)(x)$$

for suitable functions  $f$ . It is obvious that the difference between the operator  $T^A$  and the operator  $\tilde{T}^A$  defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \tilde{T}^A f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iP(x,y)} \frac{\Omega(x - y)}{|x - y|^{n+m}} R_{m+1}(A; x, y) f(y) dy$$

is a sum of the commutators of  $BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)$  functions  $\{D^\alpha A\}_{|\alpha|=m}$  and the operators  $\{T_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=m}$  of type (1.1) with the kernel  $K$  replacing by  $K_\alpha(x) = \Omega(x)x^\alpha/|x|^{n+m}$  which is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel. In fact, we have

$$\tilde{T}^A f(x) - T^A f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [D^\alpha A, T_\alpha]f(x).$$

The boundedness of  $\tilde{T}^A$  on  $L^p_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (the class of Muckenhoupt's weights), has been disposed in [3]. Here, we will study the behaviour of  $T^A$  on the weighted Hardy space  $H^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and the weighted Herz-type Hardy space  $HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$ . Before stating our results, let us recall some necessary definitions.

**DEFINITION 1.** Given a non-negative weight  $\omega(x)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , the *weighted Hardy space*  $H^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the space of those  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for which  $G(f)$ , the grand

maximal function of  $f$  (see [14]), belongs to  $L^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , and define

$$\|f\|_{H^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)} = \|G(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

where  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the space of Schwartz distributions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be two non-negative weights on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

(i) The *homogeneous weighted Herz space*  $\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  is the space of those functions  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \infty$$

with  $B_k = B(0, 2^k)$ ,  $C_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$ , and  $\chi_k = \chi_{C_k}$ .

(ii) The *non-homogeneous weighted Herz space*  $K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  is the space of those functions  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} &\equiv \omega_1(B_0)^{1-(1/p)} \|f\chi_{B_0}\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The *homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy space*  $H\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$H\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) : G(f) \in \dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)\}$$

with

$$\|f\|_{H\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \equiv \|G(f)\|_{\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

(iv) The *non-homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy space*  $HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) : G(f) \in K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)\}$$

with

$$\|f\|_{HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \equiv \|G(f)\|_{K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $\omega \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $T^A$  be defined as in (1.3) and  $P(x, y)$  be a real-valued polynomial on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $\nabla_y P(0, y) = 0$ . Then  $T^A$  is bounded from  $H^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)$  to  $L^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , that is,

$$\|T^A f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f\|_{H^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $n, m, \deg P$  and  $A_1(\omega)$ , the  $A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -constant of  $\omega$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $T^A$  and  $P(x, y)$  be the same as in Theorem 1. If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $T^A$  is bounded from  $H\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  to  $\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  and from  $HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  to  $K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$ , that is,*

$$\|T^A f\|_{\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f\|_{H\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)}$$

and

$$\|T^A f\|_{K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f\|_{HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)},$$

where  $C$ 's depend only on  $n, m, p, \deg P$  and the  $A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -constants of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ .

Obviously,

$$\dot{K}_1(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) = K_1(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) = L^1_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

and

$$H\dot{K}_1(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) = HK_1(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) = H^1_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n);$$

while when  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega_1(x) \equiv \omega_2(x) \equiv 1$ ,

$$K_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) \subsetneq \dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) \subsetneq L^1_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

and

$$HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) \subsetneq H\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n) \subsetneq H^1_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Thus Theorem 2 can be regarded as a local version at the origin of Theorem 1.

We finally remark that Theorem 1 with  $P(x, y) = P(x - y)$  and  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$  has been obtained by Hu and Yang in [8]. Theorem 2 is new even when  $\omega_1(x) \equiv \omega_2(x) \equiv 1$ .

### Acknowledgement

The authors want to express their deep thanks to the referee for his/her many valuable remarks.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We begin with some known facts. The following Lemma 1 is the lemma of ([4], p. 448).

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $b(x)$  be a function on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $m$ -th order derivatives in  $L^q_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for some  $q > n$ . Then*

$$|R_m(b; x, y)| \leq C_{m,n} |x - y|^m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}(x, y)|} \int_{\tilde{Q}(x, y)} |D^\alpha b(z)|^q dz \right)^{1/q},$$

where  $\tilde{Q}(x, y)$  is the cube centered at  $x$  and having diameter  $5\sqrt{n}|x - y|$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $T^A$  be defined as in (1.3). Then for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $T^A$  is bounded on  $L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , that is, for all  $f \in L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|T^A f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C(m, n, p, \deg P, A_p(\omega)) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

where and in what follows,  $A_p(\omega)$  denotes the  $A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -constant of  $\omega$ .

PROOF. Consider the operator  $\tilde{T}^A$  defined in (1.4). The main result in [3] shows that if  $\omega \in A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then

$$\|\tilde{T}^A f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C(m, n, p, \deg P, A_p(\omega)) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Note that for each fixed  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ ,  $\Omega(x)x^\alpha/|x|^{n+m}$  is a standard Calderón-Zygmund kernel. Thus, from this and the well-known  $L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -boundedness of the commutators (see [6]), it follows that for  $\omega \in A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iP(x,y)} \frac{\Omega(x-y)(x-y)^\alpha}{|x-y|^{n+m}} (D^\alpha A(x) - D^\alpha A(y)) f(y) dy \right\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C(m, n, p, \deg P, A_p(\omega)) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities, we obtain the desired estimate. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.

To show Theorem 1, we will need the atomic decomposition of  $H_\omega^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\omega \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . A function  $a(x)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is called a  $(1, \omega)$ -atom if

- (i)  $\text{supp } a \subset B(x_0, r) \equiv \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}$  for some  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\|a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \omega(B(x_0, r))^{-1}$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} a(x) dx = 0$ .

The following atomic decomposition of the Hardy space  $H_\omega^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is obtained by Bui in ([1], Theorem 5.1).

LEMMA 3. Let  $\omega \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . A distribution  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  belongs to  $H_\omega^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  if and only if  $f$  can be written as a series  $f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$  convergent in the sense of distributions, where each  $a_j$  is a  $(1, \omega)$ -atom and the coefficients  $\lambda_j$  satisfy

$\sum_j |\lambda_j| < \infty$ . Moreover, in this case,

$$\|f\|_{H^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)} \sim \inf \left\{ \sum_j |\lambda_j| \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of  $f$ .

The following lemma has been essentially proved by Pan in ([12], pp. 59–60). In fact, Pan proved the case where  $\psi = \chi_{\{1/4 \leq |x| \leq 4\}}$ . However, by minor modification of his proof, we can easily see that the conclusion is still true when  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . We omit the details.

LEMMA 4. Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfy that  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| \leq 2\}$  and  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfy that  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : 1/4 \leq |x| \leq 4\}$  and  $\psi(x) = 1$  for  $1/2 \leq |x| \leq 2$ . Define

$$T_k f(x) = \psi(2^{-k}x) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iP(x,y)} \varphi(y) f(y) dy.$$

If the polynomial  $P(x, y)$  has the form

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |v|=l} a_{\mu\nu} x^\mu y^\nu + Q(x, y),$$

where  $Q(x, y)$  is a polynomial with degree in  $y$  smaller than  $l$ , then for each sufficiently large positive integer  $N$ ,

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C_N 2^{nk/2} |a_{\mu_0\nu_0}|^{-1/(2Nl)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(2Nl)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

where  $|a_{\mu_0\nu_0}|^{1/|\mu_0|} = \max_{|\mu| \geq 1, |v|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}|^{1/|\mu|}$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} = 1$ . By Lemma 3, it is enough to show that for any  $(1, \omega)$ -atom  $a$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \|T^A a\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C(m, n, \text{deg } P, A_1(\omega)).$$

Noting that  $T^A$  is translation and dialation invariant, we may assume that  $\text{supp } a \subset B_0 = B(0, 1)$ . Write

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |T^A a(x)| \omega(x) dx = \int_{|x| \leq 2} |T^A a(x)| \omega(x) dx + \int_{|x| > 2} |T^A a(x)| \omega(x) dx \equiv I_1 + I_2.$$

We have by Lemma 2 that

$$I_1 \leq \|T^A a\|_{L^2_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)} \left( \int_{|x| \leq 2} \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|a\|_{L^2_\omega(\mathbf{R}^n)} \omega(B_0)^{1/2} \leq C.$$

To estimate  $I_2$ , noting that  $\nabla_y P(0, y) = 0$ , we can write

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} a_{\mu\nu} x^\mu y^\nu + Q(x, y),$$

where  $Q(x, y)$  has the degree in  $y$  less than  $l$  and  $\nabla_y Q(0, y) = 0$ . Let

$$b = |a_{\mu_0\nu_0}|^{-1/|\mu_0|} = \left( \max_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}|^{1/|\mu|} \right)^{-1}$$

and  $r_0 = \max(2, |a_{\mu_0\nu_0}|^{-1/|\mu_0|})$ . Write

$$I_2 = \int_{2 < |x| \leq r_0} |T^A a(x)| \omega(x) dx + \int_{|x| > r_0} |T^A a(x)| \omega(x) dx \equiv I_{21} + I_{22}.$$

We first estimate  $I_{22}$ . Set

$$A_k(x) = A(x) - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} m_{B_{k+n_0}}(D^\alpha A) x^\alpha,$$

where  $m_{B_{k+n_0}}(D^\alpha A)$  denotes the mean value of  $D^\alpha A$  on  $B_{k+n_0} = B(0, 2^{k+n_0})$ , and  $n_0$  is any fixed integer satisfying  $2^{n_0} \geq 20\sqrt{n}$ . It is easy to see that  $Q_{m+1}(A; x, y) = Q_{m+1}(A_k; x, y)$  and for  $q \in [1, \infty)$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \left( 2^{-kn} \int_{B_{k+n_0}} |D^\alpha A_k(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|D^\alpha A\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

In what follows, we suppose  $k \geq 2$ . For  $2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} |T^A a(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iP(x,y)} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+m}} Q_{m+1}(A_k; x, y) a(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+m}} R_m(A_k; x, y) - \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n+m}} R_m(A_k; x, 0) \right| |a(y)| dy \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)(x-y)^\alpha}{|x-y|^{n+m}} - \frac{\Omega(x)x^\alpha}{|x|^{n+m}} \right| |a(y)| dy \\ &\quad + \left( \left| \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n+m}} R_m(A_k; x, 0) \right| + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{|D^\alpha A_k(x)|}{|x|^n} \right) \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iP(x,y)} a(y) dy \right| \\ &\equiv T^{A,1} a(x) + T^{A,2} a(x) + T^{A,3} a(x). \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of the formula (see (10) in [4])

$$R_m(A_k; x, y) - R_m(A_k; x, 0) = \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} R_{m-|\alpha|}(D^\alpha A_k; 0, y) (x-y)^\alpha,$$

we can obtain from Lemma 1 and (2.2) that for  $2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k$  and  $y \in B_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & \left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+m}} R_m(A_k; x, y) - \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n+m}} R_m(A_k; x, 0) \right| \\
 & \leq \left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+m}} - \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n+m}} \right| |R_m(A_k; x, y)| \\
 & \quad + \frac{|\Omega(x)|}{|x|^{n+m}} |R_m(A_k; x, y) - R_m(A_k; x, 0)| \\
 & \leq C \left( |x-y|^{-n-1} + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} |x|^{-n-m} |x-y|^l \right) \\
 & \leq C|x|^{-n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This in turn implies that

$$(2.4) \quad T^{A,1}a(x) \leq C|x|^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |a(y)| dy \leq C2^{-k(n+1)} \omega(B_0)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, using  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$ ,  $2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k$  and  $|y| \leq 1$ , it is easy to see that for  $|\alpha| = m$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)(x-y)^\alpha}{|x-y|^{n+m}} - \frac{\Omega(x)x^\alpha}{|x|^{n+m}} \right| \leq C|x|^{-n-1},$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $x$  and  $y$ . From this, we can easily deduce that for  $2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad T^{A,2}a(x) \leq C2^{-k(n+1)} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \omega(B_0)^{-1}.$$

For  $T^{A,3}$ , let  $\varphi, \psi$  and  $T_k$  be the same as in Lemma 4; then another application of Lemma 1 and (2.2) leads to that

$$(2.6) \quad T^{A,3}a(x) \leq C2^{-kn} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right) |T_k a(x)|.$$

Let  $k_0$  be the integer such that  $2^{k_0} \leq b < 2^{k_0+1}$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{22} & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} T^{A,1}a(x) \omega(x) dx + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} T^{A,2}a(x) \omega(x) dx \\
 & \quad + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} T^{A,3}a(x) \omega(x) dx \\
 & \equiv I_{22}^1 + I_{22}^2 + I_{22}^3
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\omega \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and so  $\omega(B_k)/\omega(B_0) \leq C2^{kn}$ . Therefore, (2.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22}^1 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+1)} \omega(B_0)^{-1} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+1)} \frac{\omega(B_k)}{\omega(B_0)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

By the reverse Hölder’s inequality, it follows that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{(\omega^{1+\varepsilon}(B_k))^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}}{\omega(B_k)} \leq C|B_k|^{-\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq C2^{-kn\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)},$$

where  $(\omega^{1+\varepsilon}(B_k)) = \int_{B_k} \omega(x)^{1+\varepsilon} dx$  and  $C$  depends only on  $n$  and  $A_1(\omega)$ . Thus, (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22}^2 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+1)} \omega(B_0)^{-1} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right) \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+1)} \omega(B_0)^{-1} \left( \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \omega(x)^{1+\varepsilon} dx \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left( \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} |D^\alpha A_k(x)|^{(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+1-n\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon))} \frac{(\omega^{1+\varepsilon}(B_k))^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}}{\omega(B_0)} \quad (\text{by (2.2)}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Interpolation between the inequality

$$(2.8) \quad \|T_k f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C_N 2^{nk/2} |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-1/(2Nl)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(2Nl)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

and the trivial estimate

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

gives that

$$(2.9) \quad \|T_k f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C 2^{nk/p} |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-1/(pNl)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(pNl)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Taking  $1/(1 + \varepsilon) + 1/p + 1/q = 1$  with  $p \geq 2$ , by Hölder’s inequality, (2.2), (ii) of Definition 3, (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22}^3 &\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right) |T_k a(x)| \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \left( \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \omega(x)^{1+\varepsilon} dx \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \|T_k a\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn+kn/q+kn/p} |a_{\mu_0 v_0}|^{-1/(pNl)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(pNl)} \frac{(\omega^{1+\varepsilon}(B_k))^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}}{\omega(B_0)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} |a_{\mu_0 v_0}|^{-1/(pNl)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(pNl)} \frac{\omega(B_k)}{\omega(B_0)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{\mu_0 v_0}|^{-1/(pNl)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(pNl)} \\
&\leq C |a_{\mu_0 v_0}|^{-1/(pNl)} b^{-|\mu_0|/(pNl)} \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we can assume that  $r_0 = b > 2$ , for otherwise  $\{x : 2 < |x| \leq r_0\}$  is empty. To estimate  $I_{21}$ , we first consider the case that  $l$ , the degree in  $y$  of the polynomial  $P(x, y)$ , is zero. In this case, by using the moment condition of  $a$ ,  $I_2$  can be estimated just as  $I_{22}^1 + I_{22}^2$ . Thus (2.1) holds. Then we can estimate  $I_{21}$  by induction on  $l$ . Suppose that (2.1) is true when the degree in  $y$  of the polynomial  $P(x, y)$  is less than  $l$ . We need to show that (2.1) is still true when the degree in  $y$  of the polynomial  $P(x, y)$  equals  $l$ . To do so, by the induction hypothesis on  $l$ , Lemma 1, (ii) of Definition 3, Hölder's inequality, (2.2) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{21} &\leq \int_{2 < |x| \leq r_0} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iQ(x,y)} \left[ e^{i \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} a_{\mu\nu} x^\mu y^\nu} - 1 \right] \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+m}} \right. \\
&\quad \times Q_{m+1}(A; x, y) a(y) dy \Big| \omega(x) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{2 < |x| \leq r_0} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iQ(x,y)} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+m}} Q_{m+1}(A; x, y) a(y) dy \right| \omega(x) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{k_0+1} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left( \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}| |x|^{|\mu|} \right) |x-y|^{-n-m} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times |Q_{m+1}(A_k; x, y) a(y)| dy \right\} \omega(x) dx + C \\
 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{k_0+1} \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}| 2^{k(|\mu|-n)} \omega(B_0)^{-1} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right) \omega(x) dx + C \\
 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{k_0+1} \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}| 2^{k|\mu|} \omega(B_0)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} \omega^{1+\varepsilon}(x) dx \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{kn}} \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right)^{(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon} dx \right\}^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} + C \\
 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{k_0+1} \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}| 2^{k|\mu|} 2^{-kn} \frac{\omega(B_k)}{\omega(B_0)} + C \\
 &\leq C \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}| \sum_{k=2}^{k_0+1} 2^{k|\mu|} + C \\
 &\leq C \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1, |\nu|=l} |a_{\mu\nu}| b^{|\mu|} + C \leq C,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q(x, y)$  is a polynomial with its degree in  $y$  less than  $l$ . This finishes the proof.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

We begin with the atomic decomposition of the Herz-type Hardy space.

DEFINITION 4. Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . A function  $a(x)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is called a *central*  $\left( n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right), p; \omega_1, \omega_2 \right)$ -atom, if it satisfies

- (i)  $\text{supp } a \subset B(0, r) \equiv \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| < r\}$  for some  $r > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\|a\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq [\omega_1(B(0, r))]^{-(1-(1/p))}$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} a(x) dx = 0$ .

The following Lemma 5 is a special case of ([10], Theorem 1).

LEMMA 5. Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2, p$  be the same as in Definition 4. Then  $f \in HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$  (or  $HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)$ ) if and only if  $f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k$ , where each  $a_k$  is a central  $\left(n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), p; \omega_1, \omega_2\right)$ -atom (or a central  $\left(n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), p; \omega_1, \omega_2\right)$ -atom with the radius of the support  $\geq 1$ ) and  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| < \infty$ . Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \sim \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| \right\}$$

$$\left( \text{or } \|f\|_{HK_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \sim \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| \right\} \right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all the above decompositions of  $f$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We only show the theorem in homogeneous case. The non-homogeneous case is similar and we omit the details. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we only prove that for any central  $\left(n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), p; \omega_1, \omega_2\right)$ -atom  $a$  with support  $B_0 = B(0, 1)$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \|T^A a\|_{\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C$$

with  $C$  independent of  $a$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^A a\|_{\dot{K}_p(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathbf{R}^n)} &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^1 \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|\chi_k T^A a\|_{L_{\omega_2}^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|\chi_k T^A a\|_{L_{\omega_2}^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\equiv J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

By ([5], Theorem 2.9 in page 401), there is a  $\delta > 0$  depending only on  $n$  and the  $A_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -constant of  $\omega_1$  such that for  $k \leq 1$ ,

$$\omega_1(B_k)/\omega_1(B_0) \leq C 2^{kn\delta},$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $k$ . By this and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^1 \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|a\|_{L_{\omega_2}^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^1 \left( \frac{\omega_1(B_k)}{\omega_1(B_0)} \right)^{1-(1/p)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^1 2^{kn\delta(1-(1/p))} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $b$ ,  $r_0$  and  $k_0$  be the same as in the proof of Theorem 1. Write

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \sum_{2 < 2^k \leq r_0} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|\chi_k T^A a\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \sum_{2^k > r_0} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|\chi_k T^A a\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\equiv J_{21} + J_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate  $J_{22}$ . To do this, we write

$$\begin{aligned} J_{22} &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|\chi_k T^{A,1} a\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|\chi_k T^{A,2} a\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-(1/p)} \|\chi_k T^{A,3} a\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\equiv J_{22}^1 + J_{22}^2 + J_{22}^3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.4) that for  $2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T^{A,1} a(x)| &\leq C|x|^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |a(y)| dy \\ &\leq C2^{-k(n+1)} \omega_1(B_0)^{-(1-(1/p))} \omega_2(B_0)^{-1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$J_{22}^1 \leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+1)} \left(\frac{\omega_1(B_k)}{\omega_1(B_0)}\right)^{1-(1/p)} \left(\frac{\omega_2(B_k)}{\omega_2(B_0)}\right)^{1/p} \leq C.$$

Similarly to (2.5), we can prove

$$|T^{A,2} a(x)| \leq C2^{-k(n+1)} \omega_1(B_0)^{-(1-(1/p))} \omega_2(B_0)^{-1/p} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)|;$$

and then Hölder's inequality together with (2.2) and (2.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} J_{22}^2 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+1)} \left(\frac{\omega_1(B_k)}{\omega_1(B_0)}\right)^{1-(1/p)} \omega_2(B_0)^{-1/p} \left( \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \omega_2(x)^{1+\varepsilon} dx \right)^{1/((1+\varepsilon)p)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left( \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} |D^\alpha A_k(x)|^{(1+\varepsilon)p/\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\varepsilon/((1+\varepsilon)p)} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn our attention to  $J_{22}^3$ . We first consider the case  $1 < p \leq 2$ .

Interpolation between (2.8) and the trivial estimate

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C 2^{nk} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

shows that

$$(3.2) \quad \|T_k f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C 2^{nk/p} |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-1/(p'NI)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(p'NI)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p \leq 2,$$

here and in what follows,  $p'$  is such that  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . Combining (3.2) and the estimate

$$(3.3) \quad \|T_k f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

gives that

$$(3.4) \quad \|T_k f\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C 2^{nk/p_0} |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-p/(p'p_0NI)} 2^{-kp|\mu_0|/(p'p_0NI)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad p \leq p_0 < \infty.$$

Thus, by taking  $p_0 \in [p, \infty)$  and  $q \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $1/((1 + \varepsilon)p) + 1/p_0 + 1/q = 1/p$ , (2.6), Hölder's inequality, (2.2), (2.7) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{22}^3 &\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-1/p} 2^{-kn} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right)^p |T_k a(x)|^p \omega_2(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \omega_1(B_k)^{1-1/p} 2^{-kn} \left( \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \omega_2(x)^{1+\varepsilon} dx \right)^{1/((1+\varepsilon)p)} \|T_k a\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_{2^{k-1} < |x| \leq 2^k} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha A_k(x)| \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\omega_1(B_k)}{\omega_1(B_0)} \right)^{1-1/p} 2^{-kn} |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-p/(p'p_0NI)} 2^{-kp|\mu_0|/(p'p_0NI)} \left( \frac{\omega_2(B_k)}{\omega_2(B_0)} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-p/(p'p_0NI)} 2^{-kp|\mu_0|/(p'p_0NI)} \\ &\leq |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-p/(p'p_0NI)} b^{-p|\mu_0|/(p'p_0NI)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

If  $2 < p < \infty$ , interpolation between (2.9) and (3.3) gives that

$$(3.5) \quad \|T_k f\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C 2^{nk/p_0} |a_{\mu_0 \nu_0}|^{-1/(p_0NI)} 2^{-k|\mu_0|/(p_0NI)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad 2 < p < p_0.$$

Now if we replace (3.4) by (3.5), similarly to the computation above, we can also obtain a desirable estimate for  $J_{22}^3$  in this case.

Finally, it is easy to see that  $J_{21}$  can be estimated similarly to  $I_{21}$  by using some techniques as above. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

### References

- [1] H. Q. Bui, Weighted Hardy spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **103** (1981), 45–62.
- [2] S. Chanillo and M. Christ, Weak  $(1,1)$  bounds for oscillatory singular integrals, *Duke Math. J.* **55:1** (1987), 141–155.
- [3] W. Chen and S. Lu, Weighted inequalities for multilinear oscillatory singular integrals, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **26** (1997), 163–175.
- [4] J. Cohen and J. Gosselin, A BMO estimate for multilinear singular integrals, *Illinois J. Math.*, **30** (1986), 445–464.
- [5] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [6] G. Hu, Weighted norm inequalities for commutators of homogeneous singular integrals, *Acta Math. Sinica (new Ser.)* **11** (1995), Special Issue, 77–88.
- [7] Y. Hu and Y. Pan, Boundedness of oscillatory singular integrals on Hardy spaces, *Ark. Math.* **30** (1992), 311–320.
- [8] G. Hu and D. Yang, Multilinear oscillatory singular integral operators on Hardy spaces, *Chinese J. Contemporary Math.* **18** (1997), 403–413.
- [9] S. Lu and D. Yang, Oscillatory singular integrals on the Hardy space associated with Herz spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 1695–1701.
- [10] S. Lu and D. Yang, The weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces and its applications, *Sci. in China (Ser. A)* **38** (1995), 662–673.
- [11] S. Lu and Y. Zhang, Criterion on  $L^p$ -boundedness for a class of oscillatory singular integrals with rough kernels, *Rev. Math. Iberoamericana* **8:2** (1992), 201–219.
- [12] Y. Pan, Hardy space and oscillatory singular integrals, *Rev. Math. Iberoamericana* **7** (1991), 55–64.
- [13] F. Ricci and E. Stein, Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals (I), oscillatory integral, *J. Funct. Anal.* **73** (1987), 179–194.
- [14] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

*Guoen Hu:*

*Department of Applied Mathematics  
Institute of Information Engineering  
Box 1001-47, Zhengzhou 450002  
The People's Republic of China*

*Qiang Wu and Dachun Yang:*

*Department of Mathematics  
Beijing Normal University  
Beijing 100875  
The People's Republic of China  
E-mail: dcyang@bnu.edu.cn (D. Yang)*

