# ON THE BIAS OF A SIMPLIFIED ESTIMATE OF CORRELOGRAM 

By Mituaki Huzì

## § 1. Introduction

Let $X(n)$ be a real-valued weakly stationary process with discrete time parameter $n$. For simplicity, we assume $E X(n)=0$.

We shall denote

$$
E X(n)^{2}=\sigma^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad E X(n) X(n+h)=\sigma^{2} \rho_{h}
$$

and consider to estimate the correlogram $\rho_{h}$ when $\sigma^{2}$ is known. We assume $X(n)$ to be observed at $n=1,2,3, \cdots, N, \cdots, N+h$. Usually, we use the estimate

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{h}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X(n) X(n+h)
$$

for the estimation of $\rho_{h}$. $\tilde{\gamma}_{h}$ is an unbiased estimate of $\rho_{h}$.
We have shown that when $X(n)$ is a Gaussian process,

$$
\gamma_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h))
$$

is also an unbiased estimate of $\rho_{h}$, where $\operatorname{sgn}(y)$ means $1,0,-1$ correspondingly as $y>0, y=0, y<0$, and we have evaluated the variance of $\gamma_{n}$ ([3], [4]).

In this paper, we discuss the bias of the estimate $\gamma_{n}$ when the assumption that $X(n)$ is a Gaussian process is not satisfied. For a class of stationary processes, which are not Gaussian, we shall show the bias of $\gamma_{n}$ and its properties.

## § 2. Stationary processes which deviate from a Gaussian process.

In this paper, we shall assume a stationary process $X(n)$ which deviates from a Gaussian process to be as follows.

Let $X(n)$ be, furthermore, a strictly stationary process and $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ denote the probability density of the joint distribution of the variables $X(n)$ and $X(n+h)$. Clearly, $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ does not depend on $n$. We have
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$$
E X(n)=E X(n+h)=0, \quad E X(n)^{2}=E X(n+h)^{2}=\sigma^{2}
$$

and

$$
E X(n) X(n+h)=\sigma^{2} \rho_{h} .
$$

Let $\Phi_{2}\left(x, y ; \sigma^{2}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{h}\right)$ denote the probability density function of the two-dimensional Gaussian distribution function with the mean vector

$$
\binom{0}{0}
$$

and the variance-covariance matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lr}
\sigma^{2} & \sigma^{2} \rho_{h} \\
\sigma^{2} \rho_{h} & \sigma^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now, we shall assume that $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{f}^{2}(x, y)}{\Phi_{2}\left(x, y ; \sigma^{2}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{h}\right)} d x d y<+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us use the notations

$$
L_{2}(R)=\left\{g(x) ; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^{2}(x) d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)=\left\{h(x, y) ; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{2}(x, y) d x d y<+\infty\right\} .
$$

Then the condition (1) can be written as

$$
\frac{\tilde{f}(x, y)}{\sqrt{\Phi_{2}\left(x, y ; \sigma^{2}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{h}\right)}} \in L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right) .
$$

Now we shall make two random variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(n) & =X(n)-\rho_{h} X(n+h), \\
V(n+h) & =X(n+h)
\end{aligned}
$$

and treat these random variables $U(n)$ and $V(n+h)$ instead of $X(n)$ and $X(n+h)$. Clearly we have

$$
E U(n) V(n+h)=0
$$

Corresponding to the above transformation, we change the variables as follows:

$$
u=x-\rho_{h} y, \quad v=y
$$

By this transformation, we assume $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ is transformed into $f(u, v)$.
Let us denote

$$
\Phi_{1}\left(x, \sigma^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-x^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Then we find

$$
\Phi_{2}\left(x, y ; \sigma^{2}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{h}\right)=\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{l}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

and the condition (1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f^{2}(u, v)}{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)} d u d v<+\infty, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\bar{\rho}_{h}^{2}\right)\right)} \sqrt{ } \bar{\Phi}_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)} \in L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right) .
$$

## §3. A complete orthonormal system of $L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{2}\right)$.

Here we shall prepare for an orthogonal development of the function which belongs to $L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)$.

We assume that $H_{n}(x)$ represents the Hermite polynomial defined by the relation

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{n} e^{-x^{2 / 2}}=(-1)^{n} H_{n}(x) e^{-x^{2} / 2} \quad(n=0,1,2, \cdots)
$$

$H_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(x)=1, \quad H_{1}(x)=x, \quad H_{2}(x)=x^{2}-1 \\
& H_{3}(x)=x^{3}-3 x, \quad H_{4}(x)=x^{4}-6 x^{2}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, as is generally known, the system

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 4}} H_{n}(x) e^{-x^{2 / 4}}\right\}
$$

is a complete orthonormal system on $(-\infty, \infty)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{m!} \sqrt{n!}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n}(x) H_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2} / 2} d x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { for } m=n, \quad(m, n=0,1,2, \cdots) . . . \quad \text { for } m \neq n \\
0 \text {. }
\end{array} \quad\right. \text {. }
$$

We write

$$
\varphi_{n}(x, 1)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} H_{n}(x) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}(x, 1)} \quad(n=0,1,2, \cdots)
$$

Some properties of the Hermite polynomials are as follows:
(a) $H_{2 k}(x)$ is an even function of $x$ for $k=0,1,2, \cdots$.
(b) $H_{2 k+1}(x)$ is an odd function of $x$ for $k=0,1,2, \cdots$.
(c) $H_{k+1}(x)-x H_{k}(x)+k H_{k-1}(x)=0$.

Now let us define $\psi_{m}, n(x, 1 ; y, 1)$ by

$$
\psi_{m, n}(x, 1 ; y, 1)=\varphi_{m}(x, 1) \varphi_{n}(y ; 1) \quad(m, n=0,1,2, \cdots)
$$

Then the system

$$
\left\{\psi_{m, n}(x, 1 ; y, 1)\right\}
$$

is a complete orthonormal system of $L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)$.
§4. An orthogonal expansion of $f(u, v)$ derived from the two-dimensional Gaussian distribution.

In this section, we shall discuss an expansion of $f(u, v)$ by orthogonal functions which are induced in $\S 3$. The two-dimensional Gaussian distribution plays a leading part in this expansion. We consider $f(u, v)$ to be slightly different from the two-dimensional Gaussian distribution function, that is, $\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)$.

In accordance with the section 3 , we define $\psi_{p, q}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}}{ }^{2} ; v, \sigma\right)$ by
$\psi_{p, q}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{ } 1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2} ; ~ v, \sigma\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p!}} H_{p}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{ } 1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{q!}} H_{q}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}$.
Then $\left\{\psi_{p, q}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}}{ }^{2} ; v, \sigma\right)\right\}$ is a complete orthonormal system of $L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)$.
Now, by the condition (2), we have

$$
\frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}} \in L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)
$$

so we can find the expansion such that

$$
\frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{ } \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}=1 . \operatorname{i.m} . \sum_{P, Q \rightarrow \infty}^{P, Q} a_{p, q} a_{p, q} \psi_{p, q}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{l}^{2} ;} v, \sigma\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{p, q} & =\iint \frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}} \psi_{p, q}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right) d u d v \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{p!\sqrt{q!}} \iint H_{p}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}}}\right) H_{q}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) f(u, v) d u d v .} \$ \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above expression, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0,0}=\iint f(u, v) d u d v=1 \\
& a_{1,0}=\iint H_{1}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) f(u, v) d u d v=\frac{E U(n)}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}=0, \\
& a_{0,1}=\iint H_{1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) f(u, v) d u d v=\frac{E V(n+h)}{\sigma}=0 \\
& a_{2,0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \iint H_{2}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) f(u, v) d u d v=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{E U(n)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)}-1\right)=0, \\
& a_{1,1}=\iint H_{1}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) H_{1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) f(u, v) d u d v=\frac{E U(n) V(n+h)}{\sigma^{2} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}=0, \\
& a_{0,2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \iint H_{2}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) f(u, v) d u d v=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{E V(n+h)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}-1\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{\Phi\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-{\rho_{h}{ }^{2}}^{2}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)\right.}} \\
= & \lim _{P, Q \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}+\sum_{\substack{p, q=0 \\
p+q \geqq 3}}^{P, Q} a_{p, q} \psi_{p, q}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## §5. An orthogonal expansion of $\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v)$.

At the beginning, let us arrange our discussion. The essential point of our discussion is to evaluate the value of $E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h))$. Now, the value of $E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h))$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h)) & =\iint x \operatorname{sgn}(y) \tilde{f}(x, y) d x d y \\
& =\iint\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) f(u, v) d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v)$ does not belong to $L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)$. But by the condition (2),

$$
\frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right)} \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}}
$$

belongs to $L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)$. So, let us express the above value as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h))=\iint\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) f(u, v) d u d v \\
= & \iint\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}} d u d v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then both

$$
\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)} \text { and } \frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}}
$$

belong to $L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)$.
Here we shall discuss an orthogonal expansion of the function

$$
\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \sqrt{ } \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{l}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) .
$$

As this function belongs to $L_{2}\left(R^{2}\right)$, we can expand this function by the orthogonal system

$$
\left\{\psi_{k, l}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)\right\} .
$$

We consider that this expansion is $\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}=\underset{K, L \rightarrow \infty}{\text { 1.i.m. }} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{l=0}^{L} c_{k, l} \psi_{k, l}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{ } 1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2} ; v, \sigma\right)$.

Now we have
$\left.c_{k, l}=\iint\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right.\right.}{ }^{2}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) \psi_{k, l}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right) d u d v$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!\sqrt{l!}}} \iint\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) H_{l}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d u d v \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!\sqrt{l!}}} \iint u \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) H_{l}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d u d v \\
& +\frac{\rho_{h}}{\sqrt{k!\sqrt{l!}} \iint v \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) H_{l}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d u d v .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term of the above expression is

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{k!} \sqrt{ } \bar{l}!} \iint u \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{ } 1-\rho_{l_{2}^{2}}^{2}}\right) H_{l}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{l}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d u d v
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } \overline{k!}} \int u H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}}}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) d u\right\}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } l!} \int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{l}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma \sqrt{ } 1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2} \\
\sqrt{(2 i+1)!} \int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{2 l+1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v, k=1, l=2 i+1(i=0,1,2, \cdots), \\
0, \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term is as follows. As stated in $\S 3$, it holds

$$
\frac{v}{\sigma} H_{l}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right)=H_{l+1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right)+l H_{l-1}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma}\right) .
$$

Using this relation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\rho_{h}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } \overline{k!}} \int H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{ } 1-\rho_{h}^{2}}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{l}{ }^{2}\right)\right) d u\right\}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } \overline{l!}} \int v \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{l}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\quad \rho_{h}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \int H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) d u\right\} \\
\quad \times\left\{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{l!}} \int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{l+1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v+\frac{l \sigma}{\sqrt{l!}} \int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{l-1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right\}, l \geqq 1, \\
\rho_{h}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \int H_{k}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{l}{ }^{2}\right)\right) d u\right\}\left\{\int|v| \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right\}, \quad l=0,
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\rho_{h} \int|v| \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v, & k=0, \quad l=0, \\
\rho_{h} \sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 j)!}}\left\{\int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{2 \jmath+1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right. & \\
\left.+(2 j) \int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{2 \rho-1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right\} \quad k=0, \quad l=2 \jmath \quad(j \geqq 1), \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we find

$$
c_{k, l}= \begin{cases}\rho_{h} \int|v| \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v, & k=0, \quad l=0, \\ \rho_{h} \sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 j)!}}\left\{\operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{2 j+1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right. & \\ \left.+(2 j) \int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{2 \jmath-1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v\right\}, \quad k=0, \quad l=2 j \quad(j \geqq 1), \\ \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 i+1)!}} \int \operatorname{sgn}(v) H_{2 \imath+1}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right) d v, \quad k=1, \quad l=2 i+1(i \geqq 0), \\ 0, & \text { ortherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Consequently we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)} \\
& =\operatorname{li.im}_{K, L \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sigma \rho_{h} \phi_{0,0}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{0,2 i} \psi_{0,2 i}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\sigma_{h}^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{L} c_{1,2 l+1} \psi_{1,2 \imath+1}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## §6. Evaluation of the bias of the estimate $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{h}$.

Using the results in $\S 4$ and $\S 5$, we shall, in the first place, evaluate the value of $E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h))$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h))=\iint x \operatorname{sgn}(y) \tilde{f}(x, y) d x d y \\
& =\iint\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) f(u, v) d u d v \\
& =\iint\left(u+\rho_{h} v\right) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \sqrt{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)} \frac{f(u, v)}{\sqrt{ } \overline{\Phi_{1}\left(u, \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{1}\left(v, \sigma^{2}\right)}} d u d v \\
& =\lim _{\substack{K, L \\
P, Q_{i} \rightarrow \infty}} \iint\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sigma \rho_{h} \psi_{0}, 0\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{0,2 t} \psi_{0,2 i}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{L} c_{1,22+1} \psi_{1,2 i+1}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)\right\} \\
& \times\left\{\psi_{0,0}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}}{ }^{2} ; v, \sigma\right)+\sum_{\substack{p, q=0 \\
p+q \geq 3}}^{P, Q} a_{p, q} \psi_{p, q}\left(u, \sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}{ }^{2}} ; v, \sigma\right)\right\} d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sigma \rho_{h}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} c_{0,2 i} a_{0,2 \imath}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{1,2 \imath+1} a_{1,2 i+1} .
$$

So we have

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma} E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h))=\rho_{h}+\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{\imath=2}^{\infty} c_{0,2 i} a_{0,2 \imath}^{{ }_{2}}+\sum_{\imath=1}^{\infty} c_{1,2 \imath+1} a_{1,2 \imath+1}\right\} .
$$

This means

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\gamma_{h}\right) & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma} E X(n) \operatorname{sgn}(X(n+h)) \\
& =\rho_{h}+\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{\imath=2}^{\infty} c_{0,2 i} a_{0,2 i}+\sum_{\imath=1}^{\infty} c_{1,2 l+1} a_{1,2 l+1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the estimate $\gamma_{n}$ has the bias

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}-\frac{1}{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{\imath=2}^{\infty} c_{0,2 i} a_{0,2 i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{1,2 \imath+1} a_{1,2 i+1}\right\} .
$$

Theorem 1. When a strictly stationary process $X(n)$ satisfies the condition (1), the estimate $\gamma_{n}$ of $\rho_{h}$ has the property:

$$
E\left(\gamma_{h}\right)=\rho_{l}+b_{l},
$$

where $b_{h}$ is the bias and

$$
b_{h}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{\imath=2}^{\infty} c_{0,2 i} a_{0,2 i}+\sum_{\imath=1}^{\infty} c_{1,2 l+1} a_{1,2 \iota+1}\right\} .
$$

§7. Some properties of $\boldsymbol{a}_{p, q}$ and the relations between $\boldsymbol{a}_{p, q}$ and moments.
In this section, we shall consider the relation between $a_{p, q}$ and moments, and also the relation between $a_{p, q}$ and Gaussian properties.

Now,

$$
a_{p, q}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p!} \sqrt{q!}} \iint H_{p}\left(\frac{u}{\sigma \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\right) H_{q}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right) f(u, v) d u d v .
$$

If $f(u, v)$ is the probability density of two-dimensional Gaussian distribution function, $U(n)$ is independent of $V(h+h)$. So we have clearly the following facts:

Lemma 1. When the joint distribution of $U(n)$ and $V(n+h)$ is two-dimensional Gaussian distribution, we have

$$
a_{p, q}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { for } & p=q=0, \\
0 & \text { for } & p \neq 0 \quad \text { or } \quad q \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 2. If the joint distribution of $U(n)$ and $V(n+h)$ is Gaussian, the joint distribution of $X(n)$ and $X(h+h)$ is also Gaussian. And the converse is also true.

Lemma 3. When $X(n)$ is a Gaussian process, we have

$$
a_{p, q}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \text { for } p=0 & \text { and } & q=0 \\
0 & \text { for } & p \neq 0 & \text { or }
\end{array} \quad q \neq 0, ~ \$\right.
$$

and $\gamma_{h}$ is an unbiased estimate of $\rho_{h}$.
Lemma 4. When $X(n)$ is a strictly stationary process, $a_{p, q}$ depends only on $h$.
Now let us put

$$
M_{k, l}=E U(n)^{k} V(n+h)^{l}=\iint u^{k} v^{l} f(u, v) d u d v
$$

and

$$
m_{k, l}=E X(n)^{k} X(n+h)^{l}=\iint x^{k} y^{l} \tilde{f}(x, y) d x d y
$$

Clearly we have

$$
M_{0, l}=m_{0, l} .
$$

Let

$$
\beta_{j}^{i}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{k}\right)
$$

denote a linear combination of $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{k-1}$ and $\omega_{k}$ with constant coefficients. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 5. It holds
$a_{2 k, 2 l}=a_{2 l}^{2 k}\left(M_{0,0}, M_{0,2}, \cdots, M_{0,2 l}, M_{2,0}, M_{2,2}, \cdots, M_{2,2 l}, \cdots, M_{2 k, 0}, M_{2 k, 2}, \cdots, M_{2 k, 2 l}\right)$, $a_{2 k, 2 l+1}=a_{2 l+1}^{2 k}\left(M_{0,1}, M_{0,3}, \cdots, M_{0,2 l+1}, M_{2,1}, M_{2,3}, \cdots, M_{2,2 l+1}, \cdots, M_{2 k, 1}, M_{2 k, 3}, \cdots, M_{2 k, 2 l+1}\right)$, $a_{2 k+1,2 l}=a_{2 l}^{2 k+1}\left(M_{1,0}, M_{1,2}, \cdots, M_{1,2 l}, M_{3,0}, M_{3,2}, \cdots, M_{3,2 l}, \cdots, M_{2 k+1,0}, M_{2 k+1,2}, \cdots, M_{2 k+1,2 l}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2 k+1,2 l+1}=a_{2 l+1}^{2 t+1}\left(M_{1,1}, M_{1,3}, \cdots, M_{1,2 l+1}, M_{3,1}, M_{3,3}, \cdots, M_{3,2 l+1},\right. \\
& \left.\cdots, M_{2 k+1,1}, M_{2 k+1,3}, \cdots, M_{2 k+1,2 l+1}\right) \quad(k, l=0,1,2, \cdots) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we have seen in the above, the bias of the estimate $\rho_{h}$ is

$$
b_{h}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{\imath=2}^{\infty} c_{0,2 i} a_{0,2 \imath}+\sum_{\imath=1}^{\infty} c_{1,2 \imath+1} a_{1,2 \imath \div 1}\right\}
$$

and this shows that the bias is affected only by $\left\{a_{0,22}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{\left.1,2 l_{11}\right\}}\right.$.
Now we have

$$
M_{0,2 l}=m_{0,2 l}=m_{2 l, 0}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1,2 l+1} & =E U(n) V(n+h)^{2 l+1}=E\left(X(n)-\rho_{h} X(n+h)\right) X(n+h)^{2 l+1} \\
& =E X(n) X(n+h)^{2 l+1}-\rho_{h} E X(n+h)^{2 l+2}=m_{1,2 l+1}-\rho_{h} m_{0,2 l+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0,22} & =a_{2 i}^{0}\left(M_{0,0}, M_{0,2}, \cdots, M_{0,22}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& =a_{2 i}^{0}\left(m_{0,0}, m_{0,2}, \cdots, m_{0,22}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1,2 i+1}=a_{2 i+1}^{1}\left(M_{1,1}, M_{1,3}, \cdots, M_{1,2 \imath+1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\alpha_{2 i+1}^{1}\left(m_{1,1}, m_{1,3}, \cdots, m_{1,2 i+1}, m_{0,2}, m_{0,4}, \cdots, m_{0,22+2}\right) .
$$

Examples.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0,4} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{4!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{4}} M_{0,4}-\frac{6}{\sigma^{2}} M_{0,2}+3\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{4!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{4}} m_{0,4}-\frac{6}{\sigma^{2}} m_{0,2}+3\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{4}} m_{0,4}-3\right), \\
a_{0,6} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{6}} M_{0,6}-\frac{15}{\sigma^{4}} M_{0,4}+\frac{45}{\sigma^{2}} M_{0,2}-15\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{6}} m_{0,6}-\frac{15}{\sigma^{4}} m_{0,4}+\frac{45}{\sigma^{2}} m_{0,2}-15\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{6}} m_{0,6}-\frac{15}{\sigma^{4}} m_{0,4}+30\right), \\
a_{1,3} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{4} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,3}-\frac{3}{\sigma^{2} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} \frac{1}{\sigma^{4} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} \frac{1}{\sigma^{4} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}}\left(-\rho_{h} m_{0,4}+m_{1,3}\right), \\
a_{1,5} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{5!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{6} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,5}-\frac{10}{\sigma^{4} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,3}+\frac{15}{\sigma^{2} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{5!}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{6} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,5}-\frac{10}{\sigma^{4} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} M_{1,3}\right) \\
& =\left(-\frac{\rho_{h}}{\sigma^{6} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} m_{0,6}+\frac{10 \rho_{h}}{\sigma^{4} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} m_{0,4}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{6} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} m_{1,5}-\frac{10}{\sigma^{4} \sqrt{1-\rho_{h}^{2}}} m_{1,3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $X(n)$ is a Gaussian process, it holds

$$
M_{0,2 k}=(2 k-1)!!M_{0,2}^{k}=(2 k-1)!!m_{0,2}^{k}
$$

and

$$
M_{1,2 k+1}=0 \text {, that is, } m_{1,2 k+1}=\rho_{h} m_{0,2 k+2}=(2 k+1)!!\rho_{h} m_{0,2}^{k+1} .
$$

Then, we have

$$
a_{2 i}^{0}\left(1, M_{0,2}, \cdots,(2 i-1)!!M_{0,2}^{i}\right)=a_{2 i}^{0}\left(1, m_{0,2}, \cdots,(2 i-1)!!m_{0,2}^{i}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad a_{2 i+1}^{1}(0,0, \cdots, 0) \\
& =\alpha_{2 i+1}^{1}\left(\rho_{h} m_{0,2}, 3!!\rho_{h} m_{0,2}^{2}, \cdots,(2 i+1)!!\rho_{h} m_{0,2}^{2+1}, m_{0,2}, 3!!m_{0,2}^{2}, \cdots,(2 i+1)!!m_{0,2}^{2+1}\right) \\
& =
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above results, we can say as fo!lows:
Theorem 2. If $X(n)$ is a strictly stationary process satisfying the condition (1) and if $a_{0,22}=0$ for $i \geqq 2$ and $a_{1,2 \imath+1}=0$ for $i \geqq 1, \gamma_{n}$ is an unbiased estimate of $\rho_{h} . a_{0,22}$ and $a_{1,22+1}$ can be expressed in the form of (3) and (4) respectively.

If $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_{0,2 i}^{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1,2 i+1}^{2}$ are sufficiently small in comparison with $\left|\rho_{h}\right|, E \gamma_{n}$ is approximately equal to $\rho_{h}$. As we have stated in the above, $a_{0,4}$ is related to the coefficient of excess. Let us consider the situation in ( $u, v, z$ ) -space. The value of $a_{0,4}$ gives a measure of flattening of the frequency curve on a section paprallel to the $(v, z)$-plane. $a_{0,22}$ will have a meaning similar to $a_{0,4}$. On the other hand, $a_{1,2 \imath+1}$ gives a measure of the two-dimensional asymmetry.

The other features of the frequency surface, e.g. the one-sided asymmetry, etc., do not influence the bias of the estimate $\gamma_{h}$.

Like the bias, will be a problem the effect on the variance of $\gamma_{h}$, when $X(n)$ deviates from the Gaussian process. This problem will be treated by the method similar to the above. We shall treat this subject in the future.
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