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#### Abstract

A polygonal description of semisimple tensor categories is presented and the rigidity as well as the associated involutions are analysed in terms of this.


## Introduction.

The combinatorial structures behind tensor categories play fundamental roles in recent studies of quantum symmetries ([1], [2], [5], [11]). Although it is common to impose the strictness on associativity in tensor categories, which does not lose the information thanks to the coherence theorem, an explicit use of associativity constraints is often convenient in concrete computations.

A direct manipulation of such combinatorial data, however, can easily lose the navigation. When tensor categories are semisimple, we can divide the relevant structure into two parts: the skeleton information of fusion rule (algebra) and the remaining flesh part, which provides a kind of (non-linear) cohomological information.

Viewing this way, semisimple tensor categories can be reconstructed from hom-vector spaces relating three simple objects. These are then pictorially assigned to edges of a triangle and the general hom-sets are expressed in terms of polygons together with triangular decompositions.

This kind of geometrical presentation of tensor categories is useful in actual computations to get perspectives: we shall describe the rigidity as well as the associated involutions (if any exists) and show that the variety of duality isomorphisms comes from choices of "characters" of fusion rules.

## 1. Polygonal vector spaces.

A monoidal category $(\mathscr{C}, \otimes, I)$ with $a, l$ and $r$ denoting associativity, left-unit and right-unit constraints respectively is called a tensor category over a field $\boldsymbol{K}$ if hom-sets are $\boldsymbol{K}$-vector spaces and all the relevant operations are $\boldsymbol{K}$-linear. In what follows, we shall exculisvely deal with tensor categories over the complex number field $\boldsymbol{C}$ and vector spaces are assumed to be $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear unless otherwise stated.

[^0]Given tensor categories $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$, a monoidal functor $F: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is called an isomorphism if (i) $F$ gives an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(F(X), F(Y))
$$

for any pair $(X, Y)$ of objects in $\mathscr{C}$ and (ii) each object $X^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $F(X)$ for some object $X$ in $\mathscr{C}$. Two tensor categories $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ are said to be isomorphic if there is an isomorphism between them.

An object $X$ in a tensor category is simple if $\operatorname{End}(X)=C 1_{X}$. A tensor category $\mathscr{C}$ is semisimple if the unit object is simple and every object is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple objects.

Given a semisimple tensor category $\mathscr{C}$, we denote by $S=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathscr{C})$ the set of equivalence classes of simple objects in $\mathscr{C}$, which is referred to as the spectrum of $\mathscr{C}$. The free module $\boldsymbol{Z}[S]$ generated by the $\operatorname{set} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathscr{C})$ has the ring structure defined by

$$
[X][Y]=\sum_{[Z] \in S} \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Hom}(Z, X \otimes Y))[Z]
$$

i.e., the ring $\boldsymbol{Z}[S]$ has a special basis $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathscr{C})$ for which structure constants are non-negative integers.

Rings, furnished with such bases, are referred to as fusion algebras although this terminology is usually used in a more restrictive sense.

For $x=[X], y=[Y]$ and $z=[Z]$ in $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathscr{C})$, a non-negative integer $N_{z}^{x y}$ is defined as the multiplicity of $Z$-component in the decomposition of $X \otimes Y$, i.e.,

$$
N_{z}^{x y}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(Z, X \otimes Y)
$$

The totality $\left\{N_{z}^{x y}\right\}_{x, y, z \in \operatorname{Spec}}$ is, by definition, the fusion rule of $\mathscr{C}$.
Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a semisimple tensor category with the spectrum $S=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathscr{C})$. For the time being, we fix a specific representative containing the unit object $I$ and regard $S$ as a set consisting of simple objects in $\mathscr{C}$. For a finite family $\left\{X_{j}\right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}$ of simple objects in $S$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \cdots X_{m} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{m}, X_{0}\right),} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{0} \\
X_{1} \cdots X_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{0}, X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{m}\right),}
\end{aligned}
$$

which are finite dimensional vector spaces with the pairing

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \cdots X_{m} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{0} \\
X_{1} \cdots X_{m}
\end{array}\right] \ni f \times g \mapsto\langle f, g\rangle \in \boldsymbol{C}
$$

defined by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle 1_{X_{0}}=f \circ g,
$$

i.e., we have the natural identification

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \cdots X_{m} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{0} \\
X_{1} \cdots X_{m}
\end{array}\right]^{*} .
$$

The vector space $\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{1} \cdots X_{m} \\ X_{0}\end{array}\right]$ is graphically represented by a polygon of $m+1$ vertices with edges labeled by the sequence $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ clockwise, where the initial label $X_{0}$ plays a special role and we place it at the bottom edge.

Triangular vector spaces $\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}X Y \\ Z\end{array}\right]\right\}_{X, Y, Z \in S}$ then form building blocks of polygonal vector spaces such as $\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{1} \cdots X_{m} \\ X_{0}\end{array}\right]$ in the following sense: We begin with the case $m=3$ and consider $X_{1} \otimes X_{2} \otimes X_{3}$. According to two ways of grouping $\left(X_{1} \otimes X_{2}\right) \otimes X_{3}$ and $X_{1} \otimes\left(X_{2} \otimes X_{3}\right)$, we have two natural isomorphisms of vector spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oplus_{X_{12} \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} X_{2} \\
X_{12}
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{12} X_{3} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \oplus_{X_{23} \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{2} X_{3} \\
X_{23}
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} X_{23} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote this situation graphically as

and the composite isomorphism

is referred to as an associativity transformation.
For the case $m=4$, we have five groupings $\left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right) X_{3}\right) X_{4},\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)\left(X_{3} X_{4}\right)$, $\left(X_{1}\left(X_{2} X_{3}\right)\right) X_{4}, X_{1}\left(\left(X_{2} X_{3}\right) X_{4}\right), X_{1}\left(X_{2}\left(X_{3} X_{4}\right)\right)$ with the associated vector spaces



Figure 1.
where

and so on.
If we apply associativity transformations to squares inside triangulated pentagons, then we have the commutative diagram in Figure 1, i.e., associativity transformations satisfy the pentagonal relation.

Generalizing these, groupings in $X_{1} \cdots X_{m}$ are parametrized by triangular decompositions of an ( $m+1$ )-polygon, which in turn give rise to triangular decompositions of the vector space $\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{1} \cdots X_{m} \\ X_{0}\end{array}\right]$ and composed associativity transformations provide the same result as long as the initial and final vector spaces are the same (the coherence for associativity transformations).

Triangular vector spaces of the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}I Y \\ X\end{array}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{c}Y I \\ X\end{array}\right]$ are non-trivial only for $X=Y$ and, if this is the case, they admit distinguished vectors $l_{X} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}I X \\ X\end{array}\right]$ and $r_{X} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}X I \\ X\end{array}\right]$ given by the unit constraints.

The associativity transformation

$$
T:\left[\begin{array}{c}
(X I) Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
X(I Y) \\
Z
\end{array}\right]
$$

then takes an especially simple form:

$$
T\left(r_{X} \otimes \xi\right)=l_{X} \otimes \xi \quad \text { for } \xi \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
X Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right]
$$

To facilitate the visual notation further, given a triangular decomposition of a polygon and a family of vectors in the accompanied triangular vector spaces we denote its tensor product by putting the vectors inside the belonging triangles: for example, in the triangular decomposition

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\bigoplus_{X_{12} \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} X_{2} \\
X_{12}
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{12} X_{3} \\
X_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\xi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{1} X_{2}, X_{12}\right)$ and $\eta \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{12} X_{3}, X_{0}\right)$, the associated vector $\eta\left(\xi \otimes 1_{X_{3}}\right)$ is denoted by


We now discuss the reverse process of the construction according to [13]. Suppose that we are given a set $S$ with a distinguished element 1 and a family of finite-dimensional vector spaces $\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{1} x_{2} \\ x_{0}\end{array}\right]$ indexed by triplets $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in the set $S$ (called triangular vector spaces) and a family of isomorphisms

$$
T_{x_{0}}^{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}: \bigoplus_{x_{12} \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} x_{2} \\
x_{12}
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{12} x_{3} \\
x_{0}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x_{23} \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{2} x_{3} \\
x_{23}
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} x_{23} \\
x_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

indexed by quadruplets $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ in the set $S$ (called associativity transformations) which satisfies the pentagonal identity. Furthermore, we assume that there are distinguished vectors $l_{x} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}1 x \\ x\end{array}\right], r_{x} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}x 1 \\ x\end{array}\right]$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 x \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
C l_{x} & \text { if } x=y \\
\{0\} & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
x 1 \\
y
\end{array}\right]= \begin{cases}C r_{x} & \text { if } x=y \\
\{0\} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

and

$$
T\left(r_{x} \otimes \sigma\right)=l_{y} \otimes \sigma, \quad \sigma \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right]
$$

for the associativity transformation $T:\left[\begin{array}{c}(x 1) y \\ s\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}x(1 y) \\ s\end{array}\right]$.

The totality of these data is referred to as a monoidal system. Given a monoidal system

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
z
\end{array}\right], T_{s}^{x y z}, l_{s}, r_{s}\right\}_{s, x, y, z \in S},
$$

we define the dual system by

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
z
\end{array}\right]^{*}, \bar{T}_{s}^{x y z}, l_{s}^{*}, r_{s}^{*}\right\}_{s, x, y, z \in S}
$$

where $\left[\begin{array}{c}x y \\ z\end{array}\right]^{*}$ is the dual vector space of $\left[\begin{array}{c}x y \\ z\end{array}\right]$,

$$
l_{s}^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 s \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*}, \quad r_{s}^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
s 1 \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

are specified by $\left\langle l_{s}, l_{s}^{*}\right\rangle=1=\left\langle r_{s}, r_{s}^{*}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\bar{T}=\bar{T}_{s}^{x y z}: \bigoplus_{t \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
t
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
t z \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
y z \\
t
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x t \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

is defined to be the transposed inverse of $T=T_{s}^{x y z}$.
Given a monoidal system, consider a family $X=\{X(s)\}_{s \in S}$ of finitedimensional $C$-vector spaces with $X(s)=\{0\}$ except for finitely many $s \in S$. Let $Y=\{Y(s)\}_{s \in S}$ be another such family. Thinking of these as objects and defining hom-sets by

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)=\bigoplus_{s \in S} \operatorname{Hom}(X(s), Y(s))
$$

with the pointwise composition, we obtain a (semisimple) category $\mathscr{C}(S)$.
Using the assumed triangular vector spaces, the tensor product operation in $\mathscr{C}(S)$ is introduced by

$$
(X \otimes Y)(s)=\bigoplus_{x, y \in S} X(x) \otimes Y(y) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

for objects $X, Y$ in $\mathscr{C}(S)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f \otimes g)(s)= & \bigoplus_{x, y \in S} f(x) \otimes g(y) \otimes 1: \\
& \bigoplus_{x, y \in S} X(x) \otimes Y(y) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x, y \in S} X^{\prime}(x) \otimes Y^{\prime}(y) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$.

The unit object $I$ in $\mathscr{C}(S)$ is defined to be

$$
I(s)= \begin{cases}C & \text { if } s=1 \\ \{0\} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Associativity and unit constraints are defined by

$$
a_{X, Y, Z}(s)=\bigoplus_{x, y, z} 1_{X(x)} \otimes 1_{Y(y)} \otimes 1_{Z(z)} \otimes \bar{T}_{s}^{x, y, z}:(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes(Y \otimes Z)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((X \otimes Y) \otimes Z)(s)=\bigoplus_{x, y, z, t} X(x) \otimes Y(y) \otimes Z(z) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
t
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
t z \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \\
& (X \otimes(Y \otimes Z))(s)=\bigoplus_{x, y, z, t} X(x) \otimes Y(y) \otimes Z(z) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
y z \\
t
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x t \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{X}(x): X(x) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 x \\
x
\end{array}\right]^{*} \ni \xi \otimes l_{x}^{*} \mapsto \xi \in X(x), \\
& r_{X}(x): X(x) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
x
\end{array}\right]^{*} \ni \xi \otimes r_{x}^{*} \mapsto \xi \in X(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
(I \otimes X)(x)=X(x) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 x \\
x
\end{array}\right]^{*}, \quad(X \otimes I)(x)=X(x) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x 1 \\
x
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

It is immediate to see that these in fact satisfy the axioms of tensor category: So far we have defined a semisimple tensor category $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$.

If we identify an element $x \in S$ with the object $X$ in $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ defined by

$$
X(s)= \begin{cases}C & \text { if } s=x \\ \{0\} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

then the vector space $\operatorname{Hom}(x \otimes y, z)$ is naturally identified with the triangular vector space $\left[\begin{array}{c}x y \\ z\end{array}\right]$ and we recover the associativity transformation $T$ from the associativity constraint for $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$.

Two monoidal systems $(S, T),\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ with the unit elements 1 and $1^{\prime}$ are said to be equivalent if we can find a bijection $\phi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ such that $\phi(1)=1^{\prime}$ and a family of isomorphisms $\phi_{z}^{x y}:\left[\begin{array}{c}x y \\ z\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}\phi(x) \phi(y) \\ \phi(z)\end{array}\right]$ which makes the diagram in Fig. 2 commutative and satisfies

$$
\phi_{x}^{1 x}\left(l_{x}\right)=l_{\phi(x)}, \quad \phi_{x}^{x 1}\left(r_{x}\right)=r_{\phi(x)} .
$$



Figure 2.

An equivalence $\left\{\phi_{z}^{x y}\right\}$ is called a gauge transformation if it is associated to the identity map of the index set $S$.

Summarizing these, we have the following.
Proposition 1.1 (Reconstruction). Two tensor categories $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ and $\mathscr{C}\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $(S, T)$ and $\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent.

Example 1.2. Semisimple tensor categories with the fusion rule given by a countable group $G$ are parametrized by elements in the third cohomology group $H^{3}(G)$ up to gauge transformations.

Proof. In fact, non-trivial triangular vector spaces are 1-dimensional and given by $\left[\begin{array}{c}g, h \\ g h\end{array}\right], g, h \in H . \quad$ With a choice of associative bases $0 \neq[g, h] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}g, h \\ g h\end{array}\right]$ such that $[1, g]=l_{g}$ and $[g, 1]=r_{g}$, associativity transformations are specified as

$$
T:\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right] \otimes\left[g_{1} g_{2}, g_{3}\right] \mapsto c\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)\left[g_{2} \otimes g_{3}\right] \otimes\left[g_{1}, g_{2} g_{3}\right]
$$

where $c\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$ is a three cocycle of $G$.
The pentagonal identity and the unit constraint condition for $T$ take the form

$$
c\left(g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right) c\left(g_{1} g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)^{-1} c\left(g_{1}, g_{2} g_{3}, g_{4}\right) c\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3} g_{4}\right)^{-1} c\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=1
$$

and $c(g, 1, h)=1$ respectively.
The Kelley's result on unit constraint conditions (see [7]) is then reduced to $c(1, g, h)=c(g, h, 1)=1$, which is a direct consequence of the cocycle condition if we take $g_{2}=1$ or $g_{3}=1$.

If we denote by $\mathscr{C}(G, c)$ the semisimple tensor category associated to a normalized 3 cocycle $c$ on a group $G$, then it is immediate to see that $\mathscr{C}(G, c) \cong$ $\mathscr{C}\left(G^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ iff there is a group isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that $c$ and $c^{\prime} \circ \phi$ are cohomologous in $H^{3}(G)$.

## 2. Rigidity.

Recall that an object $X$ in a tensor category $\mathscr{C}$ is said to be rigid if we can find an object $X^{*}$ and a pair of morphisms $\varepsilon: X \otimes X^{*} \rightarrow I, \delta: I \rightarrow X^{*} \otimes X$ such that the composite morphisms (the associativity isomorphisms being omitted by coherence theorem)

$$
X \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \delta} X \otimes X^{*} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes 1} X, \quad X^{*} \xrightarrow{\delta \otimes 1} X^{*} \otimes X \otimes X^{*} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varepsilon} X^{*}
$$

are identities. The object $X^{*}$ is unique up to isomorphisms and is referred to as a dual object of $X$. The tensor category $\mathscr{C}$ is rigid if every object is rigid and isomorphic to a dual of another object.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [6]). For a simple object $X$ in a rigid (semisimple) tensor category, its dual object $X^{*}$ is again simple and $X$ itself is a dual of $X^{*}$.

Proof. If $X^{*}$ is not simple, $X^{*} \cong Y^{*} \oplus Z^{*}$ by the rigidity of $\mathscr{C}$ and then $X \cong Y \oplus Z$ by the uniqueness of (pre)dual objects. Thus $X^{*}$ is simple if $X$ is so. By Frobenius reciprocity and the semisimplicity of $\mathscr{C}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(X, X^{* *}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(X^{*} \otimes X, I\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(I, X^{*} \otimes X\right) \cong \operatorname{End}(X),
$$

whence there is a non-trivial morphism $X \rightarrow X^{* *}$. Since $X^{* *}$ is simple as a dual of the simple object $X^{*}$, they are actually isomorphic.

As a consequence of the above lemma, an involution is defined on the spectrum set $S$ of a rigid tensor category by $[X]^{*}=\left[X^{*}\right]$, which satisfies the duality relation

$$
N_{1}^{x y}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x=y^{*}, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

The fusion algebra $\boldsymbol{C}[S]$ is a *-algebra by extending the involution on the set $S$ (see [12], [4], [14] for more information on fusion algebras in the present context).

Assume that in the tensor category $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ the fusion set $S$ is furnished with an involution $*$ satisfying the duality relation. For an object $X$ in $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ and a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we then define the object $X^{*}$ by

$$
X^{*}(s)=\left(X\left(s^{*}\right)\right)^{*}\left(=\text { the dual vector space of } X\left(s^{*}\right)\right)
$$

and the morphism ${ }^{t} f: Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ by

$$
\left({ }^{t} f\right)(s)={ }^{t} f\left(s^{*}\right): Y\left(s^{*}\right)^{*} \rightarrow X\left(s^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

where ${ }^{t} f\left(s^{*}\right)$ denotes the transposed map of $f\left(s^{*}\right)$.
The operation $X^{*},{ }^{t} f$ gives a contravariant functor from $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ into itself.
Lemma 2.2 (Local Rigidity). The semisimple tensor category $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ is rigid if and only if $S$ admits an involution $*$ satisfying $N_{1}^{x^{*} y}=\delta_{x, y}$ and

$$
\left\langle\delta \otimes r_{s}^{*}, T\left(\varepsilon \otimes l_{s}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta \otimes l_{s^{*}}^{*}, T^{-1}\left(\varepsilon \otimes r_{s^{*}}\right)\right\rangle \neq 0
$$

for $0 \neq \varepsilon \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s s^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right], 0 \neq \delta \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s^{*} s \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{*}$ with $s \in S$.
Proof. For $\varepsilon \in\left[\begin{array}{c}x y \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ and $\delta \in\left[\begin{array}{c}y x \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\varepsilon \otimes 1) a^{-1}(1 \otimes \delta)=\left\langle\delta \otimes r_{x}^{*}, T\left(\varepsilon \otimes l_{x}\right)\right\rangle 1_{x}, \\
& (1 \otimes \varepsilon) a(\delta \otimes 1)=\left\langle\delta \otimes l_{y}^{*}, T^{-1}\left(\varepsilon \otimes r_{y}\right)\right\rangle 1_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

with obvious identifications on unit constraints. The condition in question is then equivalent to the rigidity of simple objects in $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$, which in turn implies the rigidity for arbitrary objects: For each $s \in S$, choose $\varepsilon_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s s^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ and $\delta_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s^{*} s \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{*}$
so that

$$
\left\langle\delta_{s} \otimes r_{s}^{*}, T\left(\varepsilon_{s} \otimes l_{s}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta_{s} \otimes l_{s^{*}}^{*}, T^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{s} \otimes r_{s^{*}}\right)\right\rangle=1
$$

For any object $X$ in $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$, we then define morphisms $\varepsilon_{X}: X \otimes X^{*} \rightarrow I, \delta_{X}$ : $I \rightarrow X^{*} \otimes X$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bigoplus_{s \in S} X(s) \otimes X(s)^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
s s^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \ni \bigoplus_{s \in S} \xi_{s} \otimes \eta_{s}^{*} \otimes \sigma_{s} \mapsto \sum_{s}\left\langle\xi_{s}, \eta_{s}^{*}\right\rangle\left\langle\varepsilon_{s}, \sigma_{s}\right\rangle \in \boldsymbol{C}=I(1), \\
I(1)=\boldsymbol{C} \ni 1 \mapsto \bigoplus_{s} \delta_{X(s)} \otimes \delta_{s} \in \bigoplus_{s \in S} X(s)^{*} \otimes X(s) \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
s^{*} s \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here

$$
\delta_{X(s)}=\sum_{j} \xi_{j}^{*} \otimes \xi_{j}
$$

with $\left\{\xi_{j}\right\}$ a basis in $X(s)$ and $\left\{\xi_{j}^{*}\right\}$ its dual basis.
It is straightforward to check that these in fact give a rigidity pairing between $X$ and $X^{*}$.

Example 2.3. The tensor category $\mathscr{C}(G, c)$ associated to a 3 cocycle $c$ of a group $G$ is rigid.

Proof. The fusion rule set $S$ is the group $G$ itself and the involution is given by $g^{*}=g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$. Let $\varepsilon=\left[g, g^{-1}\right] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}g, g^{-1} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ and $\delta=\left[g^{-1}, g\right]^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}g^{-1}, g \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{*}$ in Lemma 2.2. Then

$$
\left\langle\delta \otimes r_{g}^{*}, T\left(\varepsilon \otimes l_{g}\right)\right\rangle=c\left(g, g^{-1}, g\right), \quad\left\langle\delta \otimes l_{g^{-1}}^{*}, T^{-1}\left(\varepsilon \otimes r_{g^{-1}}\right)\right\rangle=c\left(g^{-1}, g, g^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

shows that the rigidity is equivalent to

$$
c\left(g, g^{-1}, g\right)=c\left(g^{-1}, g, g^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

whence it follows from the cocycle condition of $c$ if we consider the condition $\delta c\left(g, g^{-1}, g, g^{-1}\right)=1$.

Let $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ be a semisimple tensor category described by a monoidal system $(S, T)$ with $S$ a fusion rule set and $T$ a system of associativity transformations on $S$. Assume that the tensor category $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ is rigid, i.e., $S$ admits an involution $*$ satisfying the duality relation and the condition in Lemma 2.2. We then choose $\varepsilon_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s s^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right], \delta_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s^{*} s \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{*}$ and extend it to rigidity pairings $\varepsilon_{X}$ : $X \otimes X^{*} \rightarrow I, \delta_{X}: I \rightarrow X^{*} \otimes X$ as described in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

The following is immediate from definitions.
Lemma 2.4. The contravariant functor $(X, f) \mapsto\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f\right)$ is compatible with the rigidity pairing $\left\{\left(\varepsilon_{X}, \delta_{X}\right)\right\}$ :

$$
\varepsilon_{Y}(f \otimes 1)=\varepsilon_{X}\left(1 \otimes{ }^{t} f\right) \quad \text { or equivalently } \quad(1 \otimes f) \delta_{X}=\left({ }^{t} f \otimes 1\right) \delta_{Y}
$$

for $f: X \rightarrow Y$.
By the uniqueness of rigidity pairings, we can define isomorphisms $c_{X, Y}$ : $Y^{*} \otimes X^{*} \rightarrow(X \otimes Y)^{*}$ by the commutativity of the diagram

where parentheses are omitted thanks to the coherence theorem.
By the above lemma, the family $\left\{c_{X, Y}\right\}$ is natural in $X, Y$ and hence it is determined by isomorphisms $c_{x, y}$ for $x, y \in S$ as

$$
c_{X, Y}(s)=\bigoplus_{x, y \in S} 1 \otimes c_{x, y}(s):\left(Y^{*} \otimes X^{*}\right)(s) \rightarrow(X \otimes Y)^{*}(s)
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(Y^{*} \otimes X^{*}\right)(s)=\bigoplus_{x, y \in S} Y(y)^{*} \otimes X(x)^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
y^{*} x^{*} \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \\
(X \otimes Y)^{*}(s)=\bigoplus_{x, y \in S} Y(y)^{*} \otimes X(x)^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s^{*}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Here the isomorphism

$$
c_{x, y}(s):\left[\begin{array}{c}
y^{*} x^{*} \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s^{*}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is specified in the follwoing way: Starting with a vector $\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \sigma$ in the vector space

$$
\left((x y)\left(y^{*} x^{*}\right)\right)(1)=\bigoplus_{s \in S}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s^{*}
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
y^{*} x^{*} \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
s^{*} s \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

the evaluation by the morphism

$$
(x y)\left(y^{*} x^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes c_{x, y}}(x y)(x y)^{*} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{x y}} 1
$$

gives

$$
\left\langle\alpha, c_{x, y}(s) \beta\right\rangle\left\langle\varepsilon_{s^{*}}, \sigma_{s}\right\rangle,
$$

whereas the evaluation by the morphism (see Fig. 3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(x y)\left(y^{*} x^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{a} x\left(y\left(y^{*} x^{*}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes a^{-1}} x\left(\left(y y^{*}\right) x^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{y} \otimes 1\right)} x\left(1 x^{*}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes l} x x^{*} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{x}} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

gives the expression

$$
\left\langle\left(1 \otimes T^{-1}\right)(T \otimes 1)\left(\varepsilon_{y} \otimes l_{x^{*}} \otimes \varepsilon_{x}\right), \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \sigma\right\rangle
$$

Equating these, we get an explicit formula which determines $c_{x, y}(s)$ :

$$
\left\langle\alpha, c_{x, y}(s) \beta\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(1 \otimes T^{-1}\right)(T \otimes 1)\left(\varepsilon_{y} \otimes l_{x^{*}} \otimes \varepsilon_{x}\right), \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \varepsilon_{s^{*}}^{*}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\varepsilon_{s^{*}}^{*} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(1, s^{*} s\right)$ is specified by $\left\langle\varepsilon_{s^{*}}, \varepsilon_{s^{*}}^{*}\right\rangle=1$.

If we change $\left\{\varepsilon_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$ into $\left\{\varepsilon_{s}^{\prime}=\phi\left(s^{*}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$ with $\phi\left(s^{*}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\times}$and $c_{x, y}$ into $c_{x, y}^{\prime}$, then $c_{x, y}^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\left\langle\alpha, c_{x, y}^{\prime}(s) \beta\right\rangle \phi(s)^{-1}=\phi\left(y^{*}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\left\langle\left(1 \otimes T^{-1}\right)(T \otimes 1)\left(\varepsilon_{y} \otimes l_{x^{*}} \otimes \varepsilon_{x}\right), \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \varepsilon_{s^{*}}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

and the comparison with the equation for $c_{x, y}$ yields

$$
c_{x, y}^{\prime}(s)=\frac{\phi(s)}{\phi\left(x^{*}\right) \phi\left(y^{*}\right)} c_{x, y}(s)
$$

If we define a natural family of isomorphisms $\left\{\phi_{X}: X^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}\right\}$ by

$$
\phi_{X}: \bigoplus_{s \in S} \xi(s) \mapsto \bigoplus_{s \in S} \phi(s) \xi(s),
$$

then it intertwines between $c_{X, Y}$ and $c_{X, Y}^{\prime}$ :


In other words, the monoidal functor $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c_{X, Y}\right)$ is unique up to natural equivalences.

For an object $X$ in $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$, we defined $\delta_{X^{*}}: I \rightarrow X^{* *} \otimes X^{*}$, whereas we have

$$
I \xrightarrow{{ }^{t_{E X}}}\left(X \otimes X^{*}\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{c_{X, X^{*}}^{-1}} X^{* *} \otimes X^{*} .
$$

Lemma 2.5. For an object $X$ in $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$,

$$
{ }^{t^{X}}{ }=c_{X, X^{*}} \circ \delta_{X^{*}}: I \rightarrow\left(X \otimes X^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

Proof. If we regard ${ }^{t} \varepsilon_{X}$ as an element in $\left(X \otimes X^{*}\right)^{*}(1)=\left(X \otimes X^{*}\right)(1)^{*}$, then

$$
{ }^{t} \varepsilon_{X}=\bigoplus_{x \in S} \delta_{X(x)^{*}} \otimes \varepsilon_{x} \in \bigoplus_{x \in S} X(x) \otimes X(x)^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the second dual of (finite-dimensional) vector spaces are identified with the original ones. Similarly $\delta_{X^{*}}$ is identified with an element in $\left(X^{* *} \otimes X^{*}\right)(1)$ by

$$
\delta_{X^{*}}=\bigoplus_{x \in S} \delta_{X(x)^{*}} \otimes \delta_{x^{*}} \in \bigoplus_{x \in S} X(x) \otimes X(x)^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

and then $c_{X, X^{*}} \circ \delta_{X^{*}}$ takes the form

$$
\bigoplus_{x \in S} \delta_{X(x)^{*}} \otimes c_{x, x^{*}}\left(\delta_{x^{*}}\right) \in \bigoplus_{x \in S} X(x) \otimes X(x)^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus we need to show $\varepsilon_{x}=c_{x, x^{*}}\left(\delta_{x^{*}}\right)$ in $\left[\begin{array}{c}x x^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. According to the morphism

$$
\left(x \otimes x^{*}\right) \otimes\left(x \otimes x^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes c_{x, x^{*}}}\left(x \otimes x^{*}\right) \otimes\left(x \otimes x^{*}\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{x x^{*}}} I
$$

the vector

$$
\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes \delta_{x^{*}} \otimes r_{1}^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
11 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \subset(\underbrace{x}_{x} \sum_{x^{*}}^{x})^{*}
$$

is mapped by $1 \otimes c_{x, x^{*}} \otimes 1$ to the vector

$$
\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes c_{x, x^{*}}\left(\delta_{x^{*}}\right) \otimes r_{1}^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
11 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

and then evaluated by $\varepsilon_{x x^{*}}$, resulting in the scalar

$$
\left\langle\delta_{x^{*}}, c_{x, x^{*}}\left(\delta_{x^{*}}\right)\right\rangle
$$

On the other hand, the vector $\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes \delta_{x^{*}} \otimes r_{1}^{*}$ is transformed by an associativity transformation into $\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes \bar{T}\left(\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes r_{1}^{*}\right)$, which is equal to

$$
\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes r_{x^{*}}^{*} \otimes \delta_{x^{*}} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{*} 1 \\
x^{*}
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \subset\left(x_{x^{*}}^{x}\right)^{x}
$$

by Kelly's theorem (7]) and then again by an associativity transformation into

$$
\bar{T}^{-1}\left(\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes r_{x}^{*}\right) \otimes \delta_{x^{*}} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{*} x \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 x^{*} \\
x^{*}
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*} \subset\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)^{x}
$$

The last vector is evaluated according to the morphism

$$
\left(x \otimes\left(x^{*} \otimes x\right)\right) \otimes x^{*} \xrightarrow{\left(1 \otimes \varepsilon_{x^{*}}\right) \otimes 1}(x \otimes 1) \otimes x^{*} \xrightarrow{r_{x} \otimes 1} x \otimes x^{*} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{x}} I
$$

into the scalar

$$
\left\langle\varepsilon_{x^{*}} \otimes l_{x^{*}}, \bar{T}^{-1}\left(\delta_{x^{*}} \otimes r_{x^{*}}^{*}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle\varepsilon_{x}, \delta_{x^{*}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varepsilon_{x}, \delta_{x^{*}}\right\rangle .
$$

(In the last line, we used the local rigidity.)
Comparing these, we have $\varepsilon_{x}=c_{x, x^{*}}\left(\delta_{x^{*}}\right)$, proving the assertion.

## 3. Duality.

In this section, we assume that the antimonoidal functor $f \mapsto{ }^{t} f$ is supplemented to an involution by duality isomorphisms $\left\{d_{X}: X \rightarrow X^{* *}\right\}_{X \in \operatorname{Object}(\mathscr{C})}$, i.e., the family $\left\{d_{X}\right\}$ is natural in $X$ and multiplicative in the sense that the following diagrams commute,

and ${ }^{t} d_{X}=d_{X^{*}}^{-1}: X^{* * *} \rightarrow X^{*}$ (see 16 for more information on this).
By the naturality in $X, d$ takes the form

$$
X(s) \ni \xi \mapsto D_{s} \xi^{* *} \in X(s)^{* *}=X^{* *}(s)
$$

for $s \in S$ with $D_{s} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\times}$, where $\xi \mapsto \xi^{* *}$ denotes the natural identification $X(s)=$ $X(s)^{* *}$. The multiplicativity of $d$ is then reduced to the commutativity of

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \xrightarrow{D_{x} D_{y}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*}} \\
D_{s} \\
\downarrow \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow[c_{y^{*}, x^{*}}(s)]{{ }_{t_{x, y}(s)}}} \\
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
y^{*} x^{*} \\
s^{*}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(note that ${ }^{t} c_{x, y}(s)={ }^{t}\left(c_{x, y}\left(s^{*}\right)\right)$ ), i.e.,

$$
D_{s}^{t} c_{x, y}(s)=D_{x} D_{y} c_{y^{*}, x^{*}}(s):\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right]^{*} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
y^{*} x^{*} \\
s^{*}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $\left\{D_{s}^{\prime}\right\}_{s \in S}$ gives a duality $d^{\prime}$ for the antimultiplicativity $\left\{c_{x, y}^{\prime}\right\}$, then it satisfies

$$
D_{s}^{\prime t} c_{x, y}^{\prime}(s)=D_{x}^{\prime} D_{y}^{\prime} c_{y^{*}, x^{*}}^{\prime}(s)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{D_{s}^{\prime}}{D_{s}} \frac{\phi\left(s^{*}\right)}{\phi\left(x^{*}\right) \phi\left(y^{*}\right)}=\frac{D_{x}^{\prime} D_{y}^{\prime}}{D_{x} D_{y}} \frac{\phi(s)}{\phi(x) \phi(y)}
$$

As a solution, we may take

$$
D_{s}^{\prime}=\frac{\phi(s)}{\phi\left(s^{*}\right)} D_{s}
$$

In other words, there is a natural correspondance between duality isomorphisms for different antimultiplicativities and we may restrict ourselves to the case $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c\right)=\left(X^{*^{\prime}}, t^{\prime} f, c^{\prime}\right)$ for the studies of possibility of rigidity-compatible involutions: the information on involutions is then stacked up to the choice of duality isomorphisms.

Remark. Since the vector space $\left[\begin{array}{c}x x^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ is 1-dimensional, the linear map

$$
C_{x, x^{*}}(1):\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
x x^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

and its transposed map ${ }^{t} C_{x, x^{*}}(1)$ coincides. Thus we have

$$
D_{1}=D_{x} D_{x^{*}}
$$

for any $x \in S$. In particular, we see that

$$
D_{1}=1, \quad D_{x} D_{x^{*}}=1
$$

The condition ${ }^{t} d_{X}=d_{X^{*}}^{-1}$ is a consequence of the multiplicativity.
Let $d$ and $d^{\prime}$ be dualities based on a common antimultiplicative functor $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c\right)$. If we define a family of isomorphisms $\left\{\phi_{X}: X \rightarrow X\right\}$ by $d_{X}^{\prime}=d_{X} \circ \phi_{X}$, then it is natural and multiplicative: $f \phi_{X}=\phi_{Y} f$ for $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $\phi_{X \otimes Y}=$ $\phi_{X} \otimes \phi_{Y}$. By the naturality, such a family is determined by scalars $\{\phi(s)\}_{s \in S}$, $\phi_{s} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\times}$, defined by $\phi_{s}=\phi(s) 1_{s}$ and the multiplicativity is reduced to the condition

$$
\phi(s)=\phi(x) \phi(y) \quad \text { if }\left[\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
s
\end{array}\right] \neq\{0\} .
$$

In particular, it satisfies

$$
\phi(1)=1, \quad \phi\left(s^{*}\right)=\phi(s)^{-1}
$$

and gives a character of the fusion rule set $S$. (This is different from the notion of character of the fusion algebra $C[S]$.)

Conversely, given a character $\{\phi(s)\}_{s \in S}$ of $S$, it induces a natural and multiplicative family of isomorphisms by

$$
\phi_{X}(x): X(x) \ni \xi \mapsto \phi(x) \xi \in X(x)
$$

By point-wise multiplication, the set $G(S)$ of characters of $S$ becomes a commutative group and choices of dualities for the rigidity-compatible antimultiplicative functor $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c\right)$ are parametrized by elements in $G(S)$. Since the antimultiplicativity $\left\{c_{X, Y}\right\}$ is uniquely determined by the choice $\left\{\varepsilon_{X}\right\}$, the isomorphism classes of the structure $\left(\left\{\varepsilon_{X}\right\},\left\{d_{X}\right\}\right)$ is parametrized by the set $G(S)$ as well.

We now proceed into the description of Frobenius duality ([15], [16], cf. also [3]), which is a family $\left\{\varepsilon_{X}: X \otimes X^{*} \rightarrow I\right\}$ together with an involution $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c, d\right)$ satisfying
(i) (Multiplicativity)

(ii) (Naturality)

(iii) (Faithfulness) The map $\operatorname{End}(X) \ni f \mapsto \varepsilon_{X}(f \otimes 1)$ is faithful.
(iv) (Neutrality) If we define left and right dimensions (denoted by $\operatorname{ldim}(X)$ and $\operatorname{rdim}(X)$ respectively) of an object $X$ by the following composites

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \xrightarrow{{ }^{{ }_{\varepsilon}^{X}}} \\
& I \xrightarrow{{ }_{t_{X^{*}}}}\left(X^{*} \otimes X^{* *}\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{c_{X^{*}, X^{* *}}^{-1}} X^{* * *} \otimes X^{* *} \xrightarrow{d_{X^{*}}^{-1} \otimes 1} X^{*} \otimes X^{* *} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{X^{*}}} I,
\end{aligned}
$$

then they coincide (the common scalar is called the dimension of $X$ and denoted by $\operatorname{dim}(X)$ ).
Starting with a choice of rigidity pairings $\left\{\varepsilon_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s s^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right\}$, we enlarge it to the family $\left\{\varepsilon_{X}\right\}$ and define an antimultiplicativity $\left\{c_{X, Y}\right\}$ as discussed before. Then the first three properties, multiplicativity, naturality and faithfulness, are satisfied by just giving a duality $\left\{d_{X}: X \rightarrow X^{* *}\right\}$ for $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c\right)$. Thus whether it gives a Frobenius duality depends on the validity of neutrality.

Lemma 3.1. A duality family $\left\{D_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$ for a local rigidity family $\left\{\varepsilon_{s}, \delta_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$ with $\varepsilon_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s s^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right], \delta_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s^{*} s \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{*}$ gives a Frobenius duality if and only if

$$
D_{s}^{2}=\frac{\left\langle\delta_{s^{*}}, \varepsilon_{s}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\delta_{s}, \varepsilon_{s^{*}}\right\rangle}
$$

Proof. By the naturality, it is enough to check the condition for simple $X$. In that case, by Lemma 2.5, the composite morphisms in the neutrality are reduced to

$$
1 \xrightarrow{\delta_{s^{*}}} s^{* *} s^{*} \xrightarrow{d_{s}^{-1}} s s^{*} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{s}} 1, \quad 1 \xrightarrow{\delta_{s}} s^{*} s \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d_{s}} s^{*} s^{* *} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{s^{*}}} 1
$$

and the equality of these is

$$
D_{s}^{-1}\left\langle\delta_{s^{*}}, \varepsilon_{s}\right\rangle=D_{s}\left\langle\delta_{s}, \varepsilon_{s^{*}}\right\rangle
$$

As a conclusion of our discussions, we have the following.
Theorem 3.2. Isomorphism classes of Frobenius dualities in the tensor category $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$ is, if it exists, parametrized by characters of $S$ taking values in $\{ \pm 1\}$.

Example 3.3. Let $G$ be a (discrete) group and $c$ be a normalized cyclic 3cocycle (see Appendix A). The associated tensor category $\mathscr{C}(G, c)$ is reflexive and reflexivity is parametrized by characters of $G$. For a cyclic cocycle $c, d=\left\{D_{g}\right\}_{g \in G}$ itself is a character (generally, the parameter space is a principal homogeneous space of the character group of $G$ ) and the dimension function is given by

$$
\operatorname{ldim}(g)=\frac{c\left(g, g^{-1}, g\right)}{D_{g}}
$$

Moreover, a Frobenius duality is defined by choosing $D_{g}=c\left(g, g^{-1}, g\right)$ so that $\operatorname{dim}(g)=1$ for $g \in G$. by

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{g}=\left[g, g^{-1}\right] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}g g^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ with the accompanied $\delta_{g} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}g^{*} g \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{*}$ given Given $g, h \in G$, let

$$
c_{g, h}\left(h^{-1} g^{-1}\right):\left[\begin{array}{c}
h^{*} g^{*} \\
h^{-1} g^{-1}
\end{array}\right]^{*} \ni\left[h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right]^{*} \mapsto \mu[g, h] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
g, h \\
g h
\end{array}\right]
$$

be the non-trivial part of $c_{g, h}$.
If we start with the vector

$$
\left[h, h^{-1}\right]^{*} \otimes[g, 1]^{*} \otimes\left[g, g^{-1}\right] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(g\left(h h^{-1}\right)\right) g^{-1} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

its evaluation by $\varepsilon_{g} \circ \varepsilon_{h}$ is equal to 1 , whereas repetitions of associativity transformations show that it corresponds to the vector

$$
c\left(g, h, h^{-1}\right) c\left(g h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)^{-1}[g, h]^{*} \otimes\left[h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[g h, h^{-1} g^{-1}\right]^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
(g h)\left(h^{*} g^{*}\right) \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

in the pentagonal vector space. Now the evaluation by $\varepsilon_{g h} \circ c_{g, h}$ gives the result $\mu c\left(g, h, h^{-1}\right) c\left(g h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)^{-1}$.

Therefore we have

$$
\mu=\frac{c\left(g h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)}{c\left(g, h, h^{-1}\right)}
$$

Thus the equation for $d$ takes the form

$$
D_{g h} \frac{c\left(g h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)}{c\left(g, h, h^{-1}\right)}=D_{g} D_{h} \frac{c\left(h^{-1} g^{-1}, g, h\right)}{c\left(h^{-1}, g^{-1}, g\right)} .
$$

To solve this equation, we assume the cyclic symmetry on the cocycle $c$. Then

$$
c\left(g h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)=c\left(h^{-1} g^{-1}, g, h\right)=1, \quad c\left(g, h, h^{-1}\right)=c\left(h^{-1}, g^{-1}, g\right)
$$

which reduces the equation to

$$
D_{g h}=D_{g} D_{h}, \quad g, h \in G
$$

i.e., $\left\{D_{g}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a character of $G$.

The left dimension is calculated by

$$
\operatorname{dim}(g) 1_{I}=\varepsilon_{g}\left(d_{g}^{-1} \otimes 1\right) \delta_{g^{*}}=c\left(g^{-1}, g, g^{-1}\right)^{-1} D_{g}^{-1}
$$

Remark. For a normalized cyclic 3-cocycle $c$, we have

$$
c\left(g h,(g h)^{-1}, g h\right)=c\left(g, g^{-1}, g\right) c\left(h, h^{-1}, h\right)
$$

Proof. From the cocycle relation $\delta c\left(g, h, h^{-1} g^{-1}, g h\right)=1$, we have

$$
c\left(g h, h^{-1} g^{-1}, g h\right)=c\left(h, h^{-1} g^{-1}, g h\right) c\left(g, g^{-1}, g h\right) c\left(g, h, h^{-1} g^{-1}\right)
$$

which, combined with the cyclicity of $c\left(g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} g_{4}=1\right.$ implies $c\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=$ $\left.c\left(g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)^{-1}\right)$ of the form

$$
c\left(g, h, h^{-1} g^{-1}\right)=1, \quad c\left(h, h^{-1} g^{-1}, g h\right)=c\left(h^{-1}, h, h^{-1} g^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

produces

$$
c\left(g h, h^{-1} g^{-1}, g h\right)=\frac{c\left(g, g^{-1}, g h\right)}{c\left(h^{-1}, h, h^{-1} g^{-1}\right)} .
$$

By the cocycle relations $\delta c\left(g, g^{-1}, g, h\right)=1=\delta c\left(h^{-1}, h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(g, g^{-1}, g h\right) & =c\left(g, g^{-1}, g\right) c\left(g^{-1}, g, h\right), \\
c\left(h^{-1}, h, h^{-1} g^{-1}\right) & =c\left(h^{-1}, h, h^{-1}\right) c\left(h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
c\left(g h, h^{-1} g^{-1}, g h\right)=\frac{c\left(g, g^{-1}, g\right)}{c\left(h^{-1}, h, h^{-1}\right)} \frac{c\left(g^{-1}, g, h\right)}{c\left(h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)} .
$$

Finally, we apply the cyclicity of $c$ in the form

$$
c\left(g^{-1}, g, h\right)=c\left(h^{-1}, g^{-1}, g\right)^{-1}=c\left(h, h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)
$$

Example 3.4. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Given a symmetric nondegenerate bicharacter $\sigma: G \times G \rightarrow \boldsymbol{T}$ and a real number $\tau$ satisfying $\tau^{2}=|G|^{-1}$, we can define a tensor category $\mathscr{C}(\sigma, \tau)$ such that $S=G \sqcup\{m\}$ with the fusion rule $a m=m=m a$ and $m^{2}=\sum_{a \in G} a$ other than the group operation among elements in $G$ (see [13]).

Then the tensor category $\mathscr{C}(\sigma, \tau)$ is reflexive and there are two choices of reflexivity, both of which give rise to Frobenius dualities. More precisely, we have $D_{a}=1$ for $a \in G, D_{m}= \pm 1$ and the dimension function is given by

$$
\operatorname{dim}(a)=1, \quad \operatorname{dim}(m)=\frac{1}{\tau D_{m}} \in\left\{ \pm|G|^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

Proof. Recall that non-trivial triangular vector spaces are given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
a, b \\
a b
\end{array}\right]=\boldsymbol{C}[a, b], \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
a, m \\
m
\end{array}\right]=\boldsymbol{C}[a, m], \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
m, a \\
m
\end{array}\right]=\boldsymbol{C}[m, a], \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
m, m \\
a
\end{array}\right]=\boldsymbol{C}[a]
$$

and associativity transformations are given by




$$
m \swarrow_{m}^{a} b \ni[m, a] \otimes[m, b] \mapsto[a, b] \otimes[m, a b] \in m \prod_{m}^{a} b
$$




$m \prod_{m}^{m} m \ni[b] \otimes[b, m] \mapsto \sum_{a \in G} \frac{\tau}{\sigma(a, b)}[a] \otimes[m, a] \in m \rrbracket_{m}^{m} m$.

Note that, if we denote by $\left\{[a]^{*}\right\}$ the dual basis of $\{[a]\}$ and so on, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a \square_{m}^{m} b\right)^{*} \ni[a, m]^{*} \otimes[m, b]^{*} \mapsto\langle a, b\rangle^{-1}[m, b]^{*} \otimes[a, m]^{*} \in\left(a \rrbracket_{m}^{m} b\right)^{*}, \\
& \left(m \square_{b}^{a} m\right)^{*} \ni[m, a]^{*} \otimes[b]^{*} \mapsto\langle a, b\rangle^{-1}[a, m]^{*} \otimes[b]^{*} \in\left(m \rrbracket_{b}^{a} m\right)^{*}, \\
& \left(m \square_{m}^{m} m\right)^{*} \ni[b]^{*} \otimes[b, m]^{*} \mapsto \sum_{a} \tau\langle a, b\rangle[a]^{*} \otimes[m, a]^{*} \in\left(m \rrbracket_{m}^{m}\right)^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As seen in [13], the tensor category $\mathscr{C}(\sigma, \tau)$ is rigid. With the choice of pairings

$$
\varepsilon_{a}=\left[a, a^{-1}\right] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
a a^{*} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \varepsilon_{m}=[1] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
m m \\
1
\end{array}\right],
$$

the associated copairings are given by

$$
\delta_{a}=\left[a^{-1}, a\right]^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
a^{*} a \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}, \quad \delta_{m}=\tau^{-1}[1]^{*} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
m m \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

Based on these data, we can calculate the maps $c_{x, y}(s)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{a, b}\left(b^{-1} a^{-1}\right):\left[\begin{array}{c}
b^{*} a^{*} \\
b^{-1} a^{-1}
\end{array}\right]^{*} \ni\left[b^{-1}, a^{-1}\right]^{*} \mapsto[a, b] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
a, b \\
a b
\end{array}\right], \\
c_{a, m}(m):\left[\begin{array}{c}
m a^{*} \\
m
\end{array}\right] \ni\left[m, a^{-1}\right]^{*} \mapsto[a, m] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
a m \\
m
\end{array}\right], \\
c_{m, a}(m):\left[\begin{array}{c}
a^{*} m \\
m
\end{array}\right]^{*} \ni\left[a^{-1}, m\right]^{*} \mapsto[m, a] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
m a \\
m
\end{array}\right], \\
c_{m, m}\left(a^{*}\right):\left[\begin{array}{c}
m m \\
a^{*}
\end{array}\right] \ni\left[a^{-1}\right]^{*} \mapsto \tau[a] \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
m m \\
a
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

In fact, if we start with the vector

$$
[b]^{*} \otimes[b, m]^{*} \otimes[1]^{*} \in(\overbrace{m}^{m})^{m}
$$

the evaluation by $\varepsilon_{m}\left(1 \otimes \varepsilon_{m} \otimes 1\right)$ gives $\delta_{b, 1}$, whereas the vector is changed by associativity transformations into

$$
\tau \sum_{a \in G} \sigma(a, b)[a]^{*} \otimes\left[a^{-1}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[a^{-1}, a\right]^{*} \in(\underbrace{m}_{1})^{m})^{*}
$$

If we define $\mu(a) \in \boldsymbol{C}$ by $c_{m, m}\left(a^{*}\right)\left(\left[a^{-1}\right]^{*}\right)=\mu(a)[a]$, then the last vector goes to

$$
\tau \sum_{a \in G} \mu(a) \sigma(a, b)[a]^{*} \otimes[a] \otimes\left[a^{-1}, a\right]^{*} \in \bigoplus_{a \in G}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m m \\
a
\end{array}\right]^{*} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
m m \\
a
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
a^{*} a \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and its evaluation turns out to be

$$
\tau \sum_{a \in G} \mu(a) \sigma(a, b)
$$

Comparing this with the Kronecker delta $\delta_{b, 1}$, we obtain

$$
\mu(a)=\frac{1}{\tau|G|}=\tau
$$

Similarly for other $c_{x, y}\left(s^{*}\right)$ 's.

It is now immediate to write down the equations for $\left\{D_{s}\right\}$ :

$$
D_{a b}=D_{a} D_{b}, \quad D_{a} D_{m}=D_{m}, \quad D_{a}=D_{m}^{2}
$$

with the solutions given by

$$
D_{a} \equiv 1, \quad D_{m} \in\{ \pm 1\} .
$$

The left dimension is then calculated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}(a) & =\varepsilon_{a}\left(d_{a}^{-1} \otimes 1\right) \delta_{a^{*}}=1 \\
\operatorname{dim}(m) & =\varepsilon_{m}\left(d_{m}^{-1} \otimes 1\right) \delta_{m^{*}}=\frac{1}{\tau D_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is automatically *-invariant and hence the involution in consideration gives rise to a Frobenius duality.

## 4. Positivity.

Now we shall restrict ourselves to tensor categories possessing positivity, i.e., $C^{*}$-tensor categories.

A category $\mathscr{C}$ is a $C^{*}$-category if each $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)$ is a Banach space with a conjugate-linear involution $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) \ni f \mapsto f^{*} \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y, X)$ such that $\left\|f^{*} f\right\|=$ $\|f\|^{2}$.

A tensor category $\mathscr{C}$ is, by definition, a $C^{*}$-tensor category if it is a $C^{*}$ category at the same time in such a way that all the monoidal structures respect the *-operation (the unit and associativity constarints are then unitaries). A monoidal functor $F$ between $C^{*}$-tensor categories is called a $C^{*}$-monoidal functor if it preserves the *-operation: $F(f)^{*}=F\left(f^{*}\right)$ for $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and the multiplicativity $m_{X, Y}: F(X) \otimes F(Y) \rightarrow F(X \otimes Y)$ is unitary.

Given a semisimple $C^{*}$-tensor category $\mathscr{C}$ with the spetrum set $S$ represented by simple objects, each triangular vector space $\left[\begin{array}{c}x y \\ z\end{array}\right]$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert
space with the inner product defined by

$$
(\xi \mid \eta) 1_{z}=\eta \xi^{*}, \quad \xi, \eta \in[x y] .
$$

The elements (of unit constarints) $l_{x} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}1 x \\ x\end{array}\right]$ and $r_{x} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}x 1 \\ x\end{array}\right]$ are then unit vectors. Moreover, the associativity transformations

are unitaries.

A monoidal system satisfying these conditions is referred to as a $C^{*}$-monoidal system.

Given a $C^{*}$-monoidal system $(S, T)$, we can reconstruct the $C^{*}$-tensor category $\mathscr{C}$ : an object in $\mathscr{C}$ is a family $X=\{X(s)\}_{s \in S}$ of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces with $X(s)=\{0\}$ for all but finitely many $s \in S$. Hom-sets are then defined by

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)=\bigoplus_{s \in S} \operatorname{Hom}(X(s), Y(s))
$$

which is a vector space of linear maps between Hilbert spaces $\bigoplus_{s} X(s)$ and $\bigoplus_{s} Y(s)$, whence it admit the norm as well as the $*$-operation in the obvious manner.

It is now immediate to check that the unit and associativity constraints defined before are unitaries.

In the $C^{*}$-tensor category $\mathscr{C}(S, T)$, the operations $X \mapsto X^{*}$ and $f \mapsto{ }^{t} f$ are defined exactly as in $\S 2$. It is then immediate to check the relation $\left({ }^{t} f\right)^{*}={ }^{t}\left(f^{*}\right)$ for a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

We can apply the discussions on rigidity and (Frobenius) duality to $C^{*}$-tensor categories as well. For $C^{*}$-tensor cateogries, however, it is natural to require the positivity in Frobenius duality: a Frobenius duality $\left\{\varepsilon_{X}: X \otimes X^{*} \rightarrow I\right\}$ with an involution $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c, d\right)$ is positive if $\left(d_{X}^{-1} \otimes 1\right) c_{X, X^{*}}^{-1} \varepsilon_{X}=\varepsilon_{X}^{*}\left(I^{*}\right.$ being identified with $I$ ).

We proved in [16] the existence and the uniqueness of positive Frobenius duality for a rigid $C^{*}$-tensor category with simple unit object. The key notion there is the balancedness of rigidity pairs: a rigidity pair $\left\{\varepsilon: X \otimes X^{*} \rightarrow I, \delta: I \rightarrow X^{*} \otimes X\right\}$ is said to be balanced if

$$
\varepsilon(a \otimes 1) \varepsilon^{*}=\delta^{*}(1 \otimes a) \delta \quad \text { for any } a \in \operatorname{End}(X)
$$

By Lemma 2.5, the positivity is equivalent in the present context to requiring

$$
D_{s}^{-1} \delta_{s^{*}}=\varepsilon_{s}^{*}, \quad s \in S
$$

For $s \neq s^{*}$, we can choose balanced pairings $\varepsilon_{s}, \varepsilon_{s^{*}}$ so that $\delta_{s^{*}}=\varepsilon_{s}^{*}$, which forces $D_{s}$ to be 1 by positivity. For $s=s^{*}$, let $\varepsilon \in\binom{s s}{1}$ and $\delta \in\binom{s s}{1}^{*}$ be a balanced rigidity pair. Since both of $\varepsilon^{*}$ and $\delta$ are non-trivial vectors in the 1 dimensional vector space $\binom{s s}{1}^{*}$, they are proportional

$$
\delta=\lambda \varepsilon^{*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}$ satisfies $|\lambda|$ by $\|\delta\|=\|\varepsilon\|$ (the pair $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ being balanced). Since the balanced pair $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ is unique up to the phase choice $\left(e^{i \theta} \varepsilon, e^{-i \theta} \delta\right)(\theta \in \boldsymbol{R})$, the phase factor $\lambda$ does not depend on the choice of balanced pairs and is characterisrtic of
$s=s^{*}$. Thus it must coincides with the duality factor $D_{s}$ for a positive duality. On the other hand, $D_{s}$ satisfies $D_{s}^{2}=1$ for $s=s^{*}$; the phase factor is either +1 or -1 .

Definition 4.1. Let $S$ be the spectrum (fusion rule set) of a rigid $C^{*}$-tensor category with simple unit object. A self-dual element $s=s^{*}$ in $S$ is said to be real or pseudoreal according to $D_{s}=1$ or $D_{s}=-1$, namely $\lambda>0$ or $\lambda<0$, where $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{R}^{\times}$is given by

$$
\delta=\lambda \varepsilon^{*}, \quad \varepsilon \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
s s \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \delta \in\left[\begin{array}{c}
s s \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{*}
$$

with $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ a rigidity pair for $s$.
Now we can describe a positive Frobenius duality in terms of polygonal presentations. Given a rigid $C^{*}$-monoidal system, a family $\left\{\varepsilon_{s} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}s s^{*} \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right\}_{s \in S}$ is called a balanced system if $\left(\varepsilon_{s}, \varepsilon_{s^{*}}^{*}\right)$ is a rigidity pair for $s \neq \pm s^{*}$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{s}, \pm \varepsilon_{s}^{*}\right)$ is a rigidity pair for $s= \pm s^{*}$, where $s= \pm s^{*}$ means that $s$ is real or pseudoreal according to the signature.

Theorem 4.2. In a rigid $C^{*}$-monoidal system, we can always find a balanced system of duality pairings. Given a balanced system $\left\{\varepsilon_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$, its canonical extension $\left\{\varepsilon_{X}\right\}$ provides a positive Frobenius duality together with the involution $\left(X^{*},{ }^{t} f, c_{X, Y}, d_{X}\right)$, where the duality isomorphism $\left\{d_{X}: X \rightarrow X^{* *}\right\}$ is specified by

$$
D_{s}= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } s \in S \text { is pseudoreal }, \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Appendix A. Group Cohomology. In the text, we have occasionally used the cyclic normalization of cocycles in group cohomology, which would be a known fact but we have failed in finding literatures; we shall give an account here for completeness.

Let $G$ be a discrete group and

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}
$$

be a projective resolution of the trivial $G$-module $\boldsymbol{Z}$. For an abelian group $A$ with a $G$-action, the cohomology groups are defined by

$$
H^{n}(G, A)=H^{n}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(C ., A)\right)
$$

which is independent of the choice of projective resolutions.

Commonly used is the standard resolution, where

$$
C_{n}=\bigoplus_{g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)
$$

is a free $Z$-module with the $G$-action defined by

$$
g\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\left(g g_{0}, g g_{1}, \ldots, g g_{n}\right)
$$

The differential and a chain contraction $s$ (satisfying $\partial s+s \partial=i d$ ) are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left(g_{0}, \ldots, \hat{g}_{i}, \ldots, g_{n}\right), \\
s\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\left(1, g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

As a $\boldsymbol{Z}(G)$-basis (the so-called bar basis), we can choose

$$
\left|g_{1}\right| g_{2}|\cdots| g_{n} \mid=\left(1, g_{1}, g_{1} g_{2}, \ldots, g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}\right)
$$

$\left(\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=g_{0}\left|g_{0}^{-1} g_{1}\right| g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}|\cdots| g_{n-1}^{-1} g_{n} \mid\right)$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial\left(\left|g_{1}\right| g_{2}|\cdots| g_{n} \mid\right)= & g_{1}\left|g_{2}\right| \cdots\left|g_{n}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i}\left|g_{1}\right| \cdots\left|g_{i} g_{i+1}\right| \cdots\left|g_{n}\right| \\
& +(-1)^{n}\left|g_{1}\right| \cdots\left|g_{n-1}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
s\left(g\left|g_{1}\right| \cdots\left|g_{n}\right|\right)=|g| g_{1}|\cdots| g_{n} \mid
$$

For $g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G$, define the wedge product by $g_{0} \wedge g_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n}=P_{n+1}\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma)\left(g_{\sigma(0)}, g_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, g_{\sigma(n)}\right)$,
which is an element in $\boldsymbol{Q} \otimes_{Z} C_{n}$. These, when parametrized by unordered $n+1$-tuple $\left\{g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$, form a $Z$-basis of the image $D_{n}$ of $C_{n}$ under the projection $P_{n+1}$ in $\boldsymbol{Q} \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Z}} C_{n}$ and hence

$$
D_{n}=\sum Z g_{0} \wedge g_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n}
$$

is a free $\boldsymbol{Z}(G)$-submodule of $\boldsymbol{Q} \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Z}} C_{n}$. Define a $\boldsymbol{Z}(G)$-linear map $d: D_{n} \rightarrow D_{n-1}$ by $d=P_{n} \partial$. Then by the formula

$$
d\left(g_{0} \wedge g_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} g_{0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{g}_{i} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n}
$$

we know that $d^{2}=0$.

In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon(\sigma) \partial\left(g_{\sigma(0)}, \ldots, g_{\sigma(n)}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{i} g_{\sigma(0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{g}_{\sigma(i)} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\sigma(n)} \\
& =\sum_{i, j} \sum_{\sigma(i)=j} \varepsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{i} g_{\sigma(0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{g}_{\sigma(i)=j} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\sigma(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(letting $\sigma=\tau \circ(i, j))$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{i, j} \sum_{\tau(j)=j} \varepsilon(\tau)(-1)^{i+1} \\
& g_{\tau(0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\tau(i-1)} \wedge \hat{g}_{\tau(j)=j} \wedge g_{\tau(i+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\tau(j-1)} \wedge g_{\tau(i)} \wedge g_{\tau(j+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\tau(n)} \\
= & \sum_{i, j} \sum_{\tau(j)=j} \varepsilon(\tau)(-1)^{i+1}(-1)^{j-1-i} g_{\tau(0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\tau(j-1)} \wedge \hat{g}_{\tau(j)} \wedge g_{\tau((j+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\tau(n)} \\
= & \sum_{i, j} \sum_{\tau(j)=j}(-1)^{j} g_{0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{g}_{j} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n} \\
= & (n+1)!\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} g_{0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{g}_{j} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The chain contraction $c$ for the differential is then defined by

$$
c\left(g_{0} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n}\right)=P_{n+2} s\left(g_{0} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n}\right)=1 \wedge g_{0} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{n}
$$

Thus we get another free resolution $(D, d)$ (the wedge resolution) of the trivial $G$ module $\boldsymbol{Z}$.

Since the $\boldsymbol{Z}(G)$-linear projections $\left\{P_{n+1}: C_{n} \rightarrow D_{n}\right\}$ give a chain homomorphism $(C, \partial) \rightarrow(D, d)$ (see the explicit formula for $d$ ), the induced inclusion of chain complexes

induces the isomorphisms.
As a consequence, to represent cohomology classes, we can choose cocycles, say $F \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(C_{n}, A\right)$, satisfying

$$
F\left(g_{\sigma(0)}, \ldots, g_{\sigma(n)}\right)=\varepsilon(\sigma) F\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)
$$

for $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$. In the case of lower $n$, we can explicitly write down the conditions: With

$$
f\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=F\left(1, g_{1}, g_{1} g_{2}, \ldots, g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(g, h)=f\left(g h, h^{-1}\right)=-g f\left(g^{-1}, g h\right) \\
f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=-g_{1} f\left(g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

if $g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} g_{4}=1$, and so on.
Remark. When $G$ acts on $A$ trivially, we can deduce

$$
-f(g, h)=f\left(h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right), \quad f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=-f\left(g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)
$$

from the above conditions.
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