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#### Abstract

We obtain a new construction of compact complex surfaces with trivial canonical bundle. In our construction we glue together two compact complex surfaces with an anticanonical divisor under suitable conditions. Then we show that the resulting compact manifold admits a complex structure with trivial canonical bundle by solving an elliptic partial differential equation. We generalize this result to cases where we have other than two components to glue together. With this generalization, we construct examples of complex tori, Kodaira surfaces and K3 surfaces. Lastly we deal with the smoothing problem of a normal crossing complex surface $X$ with at most double curves. We prove that we still have a family of smoothings of $X$ in a weak sense even when $X$ is not Kählerian or $H^{1}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \neq 0$, in which cases the smoothability result of Friedman $[\mathbf{F r}]$ is not applicable.


## 1. Introduction.

Let $X$ be a manifold of dimension $n$ and suppose $X$ contains a compact submanifold $X_{0}$ of dimension $n$ with boundary $S=\partial X_{0}$, such that $X \backslash X_{0}$ is diffeomorphic to a cylinder $S \times \boldsymbol{R}_{+}=\{(p, t) \mid p \in S, 0<t<\infty\}$. Then we call $X$ a cylindrical manifold, and $t$ a cylindrical parameter of $X$. The gluing of cylindrical manifolds is a useful method for constructing compact Riemannian manifolds with a special metric in differential geometry. It was first successful in constructing compact 4 -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with an anti-selfdual metric by Floer $[\mathbf{F l}]$ and Taubes $[\mathbf{T}]$, which constructions were later improved by Kovalev and Singer [KS]. The method is also used in constructing compact 7-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with holonomy $G_{2}[\mathbf{J}],[\mathbf{K}]$. The purpose of this paper is to obtain a new construction of compact complex surfaces with trivial canonical bundle using the gluing method, and the main result is described as follows.
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TheOrem 1.1. Let $X$ be a compact complex surface with a smooth irreducible anticanonical divisor $D$, and $X^{\prime}$ another compact complex surface with a smooth irreducible anticanonical divisor $D^{\prime}$. Suppose there exists an isomorphism from $D$ to $D^{\prime}$ and the holomorphic normal bundles $N_{D / X}$ and $N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}}$ are dual to each other via $f$, i.e., $N_{D / X} \otimes f^{*} N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{D}$. Then there exist tubular neighborhoods $W_{1}, W_{2}$ of $D$ in $X$ with $\bar{W}_{1} \subset W_{2}$, tubular neighborhoods $W_{1}^{\prime}$, $W_{2}^{\prime}$ of $D^{\prime}$ in $X^{\prime}$ with $\overline{W_{1}^{\prime}} \subset W_{2}^{\prime}$, and a diffeomorphism $h$ from $W_{2} \backslash \overline{W_{1}}$ to $W_{2}^{\prime} \backslash \overline{W_{1}^{\prime}}$ such that the following is true. Via the identification of $W_{2} \backslash \bar{W}_{1}$ with $W_{2}^{\prime} \backslash \overline{W_{1}^{\prime}}$ by $h$, we can glue $X \backslash \bar{W}_{1}$ and $X^{\prime} \backslash \overline{W_{1}^{\prime}}$ together to obtain a compact manifold $M$. Then the manifold $M$ admits a complex structure with trivial canonical bundle.

Thus if we are given two compact complex surfaces $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ as in Theorem 1.1, then we obtain a compact complex surface with trivial canonical bundle from $X \backslash D$ and $X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$. In Theorem 1.1 we don't assume $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ to be Kählerian. Nor do we assume $N_{D / X}$ and $N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}}$ to be trivial, and we need a weaker assumption that the two bundles are dual to each other. We also note that the resulting manifold $M$ is a complex manifold and not a manifold with a special Riemannian metric, which is different from other gluing constructions. In our construction, the complex structures on the regions of $X \backslash D$ and $X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ to glue together are only close to each other, but not exactly the same. Thus it is not obvious whether the manifold $M$ obtained from $X \backslash D$ and $X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ is again a complex manifold.

It is also interesting to see that if $D=D^{\prime}$ then Theorem 1.1 is regarded as giving a kind of smoothing of a surface $X_{0}=X \cup X^{\prime}$ with a normal crossing at $D$. Indeed, we shall construct a family of smoothings of $X_{0}$ in a weak sense in Section 5.3. This result can be compared with the result of Friedman $[\mathbf{F r}]$ (see also $[\mathbf{K N}]$ ) that a $d$-semistable K3 surface has a smoothing. In our case ' $d$-semistability' means the duality between the normal bundles $N_{D / X}$ and $N_{D / X^{\prime}}$. Although Friedman's result is powerful and extensive, it needs the assumptions that $X_{0}$ is Kählerian and $H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{O}_{X_{0}}\right)$ vanishes, so that it does not cover the smoothability of degenerations of normal crossing complex tori and Kodaira surfaces obtained from our result.

A real $2 m$-dimensional manifold admits a complex structure with trivial canonical bundle if and only if it admits a special differential form called an $S L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure $\psi$ with $\mathrm{d} \psi=0$. Other examples of manifolds whose geometric structures are characterized by special d-closed differential forms include Riemannian manifolds with special holonomy [J], symplectic manifolds, holomorphic symplectic manifolds, and so on.

Our method is based on the gluing of cylindrical manifolds with an asymptotically $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure and analysis as used in constructing compact 8 -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with holonomy $\operatorname{Spin}(7)[\mathbf{J}]$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we shall introduce the notion of $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$ - and $S U(2)$-structures on real manifolds of dimension 4. These structures are special cases of $S L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$ - and $S U(m)$-structures (torsion-free $S U(m)$-structures are often referred to as Calabi-Yau structures) defined on oriented real manifolds of dimension 2 m . (See $[\mathbf{G}]$ for reference.)

In Section 3 we shall explain the gluing procedure of constructing $M$ in Theorem 1.1. We see that if $X, X^{\prime}, D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are as in Theorem 1.1, then $X \backslash D$ (resp. $X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ ) is a cylindrical manifold with a cylindrical end $S \times\{0<t<\infty\}$ (resp. $S^{\prime} \times\left\{0<t^{\prime}<\infty\right\}$ ). Since $N_{D / X}$ and $N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}}$ are dual to each other, $S$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{\prime}$. We set $X_{T}=(X \backslash D) \backslash(S \times\{t \geq T+1\})$ and $X_{T}^{\prime}=$ $\left(X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(S^{\prime} \times\left\{t^{\prime} \geq T+1\right\}\right)$, and define a compact manifold $M_{T}$ by gluing $X_{T}$ and $X_{T}^{\prime}$ together along the regions $S \times\{T-1<t<T+1\}$ and $S^{\prime} \times\{T-1<$ $\left.t^{\prime}<T+1\right\}$. To prove that $M$ admits a complex structure with trivial canonical bundle, we first construct on $M_{T}$ an approximating holomorphic volume form $\psi_{T}$, i.e., an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure with $\mathrm{d} \psi_{T}$ sufficiently small for large $T$. We note that $X$ has a meromorphic volume form $\psi_{0}$ with a single pole along $D$, which is asymptotic to a cylindrical d-closed $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure on $S \times\{0<t<\infty\}$. Similarly $X^{\prime}$ has a meromorphic volume form $\psi_{0}^{\prime}$ with a single pole along $D^{\prime}$. Thus we can glue $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{0}^{\prime}$ together using cut-off functions to obtain an approximating holomorphic volume form $\psi_{T}$ on $M_{T}$. To estimate $\mathrm{d} \psi_{T}$, we introduce a Hermitian form $\kappa_{T}$ such that ( $\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}$ ) forms an $S U(2)$-structure on $M_{T}$. Then we show that $\mathrm{d} \psi_{T}$ decays exponentially as $T \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to the Riemannian metric associated with $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$.

Then in Section 4 we shall find a d-closed $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure near $\psi_{T}$ for sufficiently large $T$ to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. To do this, we use the analysis developed by Joyce to solve a nonlinear elliptic partial differential equation with respect to $\psi_{T}$, which is analogous to the one in $[\mathbf{J}]$, Chapter 12. The Hermitian form $\kappa_{T}$ plays an auxiliary but crucial rôle in solving the partial differential equation, which is different from the cases of $G_{2^{-}}$and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ structures.

In the last section we shall prove a multiple gluing theorem (Theorem 5.2), which is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to cases where we have $L(\geq 1)$ surfaces with $2 \ell$ divisors to glue together. We construct some examples using Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 5.2. According to the classification theory, compact complex surfaces with trivial canonical bundle are divided into 2-dimensional complex tori, Kodaira surfaces and K3 surfaces [BPV]. Among these surfaces, complex tori and K3 surfaces are Kählerian and Kodaira surfaces are non-Kählerian. Our gluing examples include all classes of compact complex surfaces with trivial canonical bundle. As another application, we shall treat the smoothing problem of compact complex surfaces with normal crossings. We shall construct a family of
smoothings in a weak sense of simple normal crossing complex surfaces with at most double curves (Theorem 5.5), and compare the result with that of Friedman $[\mathbf{F r}]$. Although we can prove an analogous smoothability result for normal crossing complex surfaces with triple points, we will deal with and give a proof for that case in a sequel $[\mathbf{D}]$ to this paper because some additional care is needed.

## 2. $S L(2, C)$ - and $S U(2)$-structures.

Definition 2.1. Let $V$ be an oriented vector space of dimension 4. Then $\psi_{0} \in \wedge^{2} V^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}$ is an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure on $V$ if $\psi_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\psi_{0} \wedge \bar{\psi}_{0}>0, \quad \psi_{0} \wedge \psi_{0}=0
$$

An $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure $\psi_{0}$ on $V$ gives complex subspaces

$$
V^{0,1}=\left\{\zeta \in V \otimes \boldsymbol{C} \mid \iota_{\zeta} \psi_{0}=0\right\}, \quad V^{1,0}=\overline{V^{1,0}}
$$

where $\iota_{\zeta}$ is the interior multiplication by $\zeta$. Then we have the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \otimes \boldsymbol{C}=V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decomposition (2.1) defines a complex structure $I_{\psi_{0}}$ on $V$ such that $\psi_{0}$ is a complex differential form of type $(2,0)$ with respect to $I_{\psi_{0}}$.

Let $\mathscr{A}_{S L(2, C)}(V)$ be the set of $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structures on $V$. Then $\mathscr{A}_{S L(2, C)}(V)$ is an orbit space under the action of the orientation-preserving general linear group $G L_{+}(V)$. Since each $\psi \in \mathscr{A}_{S L(2, C)}(V)$ has isotropy group $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$, the orbit $\mathscr{A}_{S L(2, C)}(V)$ is isomorphic to the homogeneous space $G L_{+}(V) / S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$.

Definition 2.2. Let $M$ be an oriented manifold of dimension 4. Then $\psi \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ is an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure on $M$ if $\psi$ satisfies

$$
\psi \wedge \bar{\psi}>0, \quad \psi \wedge \psi=0
$$

We define $\mathscr{A}_{S L(2, C)}(M)$ to be the fibre bundle which has fibre $\mathscr{A}_{S L(2, C)}\left(T_{x} M\right)$ over $x \in M$. Then an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure can be regarded as a smooth section of $\mathscr{A}_{S L(2, C)}(M)$.

Since an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure $\psi$ on $M$ induces an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure on each tangent space, $\psi$ defines an almost complex structure $I_{\psi}$ on $M$ such that $\psi$ is a (2,0)-form with respect to $I_{\psi}$.

Lemma 2.3 (Grauert, Goto [G]). Let $\psi$ be an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure on an oriented 4-manifold $M$. If $\psi$ is d -closed, then $I_{\psi}$ is an integrable complex structure on $M$ with trivial canonical bundle and $\psi$ is a holomorphic volume form on $M$ with respect to $I_{\psi}$.

Proof. Let $\eta$ be any $(1,0)$-form on $\psi$. Then we have

$$
\psi \wedge \eta=0
$$

since $\psi \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2,0} T^{*} M\right)$. Taking the exterior derivative and using $\mathrm{d} \psi=0$, we obtain

$$
\psi \wedge \mathrm{d} \eta=0
$$

so that we have

$$
\mathrm{d} C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{1,0} T^{*} M\right) \subset C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2,0} T^{*} M\right) \oplus C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{1,1} T^{*} M\right)
$$

Hence it follows from Newlander-Nirenberg Theorem that $I_{\psi}$ is an integrable complex structure on $M$.

Conversely, if $X$ is a complex surface with trivial canonical bundle, a holomorphic volume form $\psi$ on $X$ defines a d-closed $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure. Hence we have the following characterization of complex surfaces with trivial canonical bundle.

Proposition 2.4. Let $M$ be an oriented 4-manifold. Then $M$ admits a complex structure with trivial canonical bundle if and only if $M$ admits a d-closed SL(2, C)-structure.

Similarly d-closed $S L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$-structures characterize complex structures with trivial canonical bundle on an oriented $2 m$-manifold. A d-closed $S L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure will be often referred to as a holomorphic volume form.

Definition 2.5. Let $V$ be an oriented vector space of dimension 4. Then $\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right) \in\left(\wedge^{2} V^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus \wedge^{2} V^{*}$ is an $S U(2)$-structure on $V$ if $\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\psi_{0}$ is an $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure on $V$,
(ii) $\psi_{0} \wedge \kappa_{0}=0$,
(iii) an inner product $g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}$ on $V$ defined by $g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}\left(I_{\psi_{0} \cdot} \cdot \cdot\right)=\kappa_{0}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite, and
(iv) $2 \kappa_{0}^{2}=\psi_{0} \wedge \bar{\psi}_{0}$.

Conditions (ii) and (iii) imply that $\kappa_{0}$ is a ( 1,1 )-form associated with the Hermitian inner product $g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}$ on $V$. Let $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$ be the set of $S U(2)$-structures on the oriented vector space $V$. Then $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$ is an orbit space under the action of $G L_{+}(V)$, which is isomorphic to $G L_{+}(V) / S U(2)$.

For $\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$, we have the orthogonal decomposition with respect to $g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}$

$$
\wedge^{2} V^{*}=\wedge_{+}^{2} \oplus \wedge_{-}^{2}
$$

where $\wedge_{+}^{2}$ and $\wedge_{-}^{2}$ are the set of self-dual and anti-self-dual 2-forms respectively. Then $\wedge_{+}^{2}$ is spanned by $\left\{\operatorname{Re} \psi_{0}, \operatorname{Im} \psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right\}$, where $\operatorname{Re} \psi_{0}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \psi_{0}$ are the real and imaginary part of $\psi_{0}$, and $\wedge_{-}^{2}$ coincides with the set of primitive real ( 1,1 )-forms with respect to $\kappa_{0}$.

We also have the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\wedge^{2} V^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus \wedge^{2} V^{*} & \cong T_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}\left(\left(\wedge^{2} V^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus \wedge^{2} V^{*}\right) \\
& =T_{\left(\psi_{0}, k_{0}\right)} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V) \oplus T_{\left(\psi_{0}, k_{0}\right)}^{\perp} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}^{\perp} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$ is the orthogonal complement to $T_{\left(\psi_{0}, k_{0}\right)} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$ with respect to $g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}$. The next lemma is crucial in solving the partial differential equation in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.6. The tangent space of $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}$ at $\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)$ contains anti-self-dual subspaces:

$$
\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus \wedge_{-}^{2} \subset T_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)
$$

Proof. The tangent space $T_{\left(\psi_{0}, k_{0}\right)} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$ is given by

$$
\left\{\left(a \cdot \psi_{0}, a \cdot \kappa_{0}\right) \in\left(\wedge^{2} V^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus \wedge^{2} V^{*} \mid a \in g l(V)\right\}
$$

where $g l(V)$ acts on $\wedge^{2} V^{*}$ via the differential representation. We have the decomposition

$$
g l(V)=s o(V) \oplus \mathrm{S}_{0}(V) \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \mathrm{id}_{V},
$$

where $\mathrm{S}_{0}(V)$ is the space of symmetric traceless endomorphisms of $V$ with respect to $g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}$. Then one can show easily that $\mathrm{S}_{0}(V) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\wedge_{+}^{2}, \wedge_{-}^{2}\right)$, so that $\mathrm{S}_{0}(V)$
generates $\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus \wedge_{-}^{2}$ because $\left\{\operatorname{Re} \psi_{0}, \operatorname{Im} \psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right\}$ spans $\wedge_{+}^{2}$.
Definition 2.7. Let $V$ be an oriented vector space of dimension 4. We define a neighborhood of $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$ in $\left(\wedge^{2} V^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus \wedge^{2} V^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{T}_{S U(2)}(V)= & \left\{\left(\psi_{0}+\alpha, \kappa_{0}+\beta\right) \mid\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V),\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.(\alpha, \beta) \in T_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}^{\perp} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V) \text { with }|(\alpha, \beta)|_{g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}}<\rho\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ is a positive constant and $|(\alpha, \beta)|_{g_{\left(\psi_{0}, k_{0}\right)}}=|\alpha|_{g_{\left(\psi_{0}, k_{0}\right)}}+|\beta|_{g_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}}$.
LEMMA 2.8. There exists a positive constant $\rho_{*}$ such that if $\rho<\rho_{*}$ then any $\left(\psi^{\prime}, \kappa^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{T}_{S U(2)}(V)$ can be uniquely written as $\left(\psi_{0}+\alpha, \kappa_{0}+\beta\right)$, where $\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V),(\alpha, \beta) \in T_{\left(\psi_{0}, \kappa_{0}\right)}^{\perp} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$.

Lemma 2.8 implies that for $\rho<\rho_{*}$ the projection $\Theta: \mathscr{T}_{S U(2)}(V) \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(V)$ is well-defined.

Definition 2.9. Let $M$ be an oriented 4-manifold. Then $(\psi, \kappa) \in C^{\infty}$ $\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M\right)$ is an $S U(2)$-structure on $M$ if the restriction $\left(\left.\psi\right|_{x}\right.$, $\left.\left.\kappa\right|_{x}\right)$ is an $S U(2)$-structure on $T_{x} M$ for all $x \in M$.

Define $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(M)$ to be the fibre bundle whose fibre over $x \in M$ is $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}$ $\left(T_{x} M\right)$. Then an $S U(2)$-structure can be regarded as a smooth section of $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}$ (M).

If $\psi$ and $\kappa$ are both d-closed, then $X=\left(M, I_{\psi}, \kappa\right)$ is a Kähler surface with trivial canonical bundle. Moreover, the Ricci curvature of the Kähler metric $g$ vanishes by condition (iv) of Definition 2.5.

Definition 2.10. Let $M$ be an oriented 4-manifold. Choose $\rho<\rho_{*}$ so that the projection $\Theta$ is well-defined. We define $\mathscr{T}_{S U(2)}(M)$ to be the fibre bundle whose fibre over $x \in M$ is $\mathscr{T}_{S U(2)}\left(T_{x} M\right)$, and denote by $\Theta$ the projection from $\mathscr{T}_{S U(2)}(M)$ to $\mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(M)$.

Let $(\psi, \kappa)$ be an $S U(2)$-structure on $M$. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus$ $C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M\right)$ satisfies $\|(\alpha, \beta)\|_{C^{0}}<\rho$, then $(\psi+\alpha, \kappa+\beta) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{T}_{S U(2)}(M)\right)$ and we have the Taylor expansion

$$
\Theta(\psi+\alpha, \kappa+\beta)=(\psi, \kappa)+\pi_{(\psi, \kappa)}(\alpha, \beta)+F_{(\psi, \kappa)}(\alpha, \beta),
$$

where $\pi_{(\psi, \kappa)}: \wedge^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C} \oplus \wedge^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow T_{(\psi, \kappa)} \mathscr{A}_{S U(2)}(T M)$ is the orthogonal projection and $F_{(\psi, \kappa)}$ is the higher order term with respect to $(\alpha, \beta)$.

REMARK 2.11. We can generalize the notion of $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$ - and $S U(2)$-structures to higher dimensions and define the projection $\Theta: \mathscr{T}_{S U(m)}(M) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{A}_{S U(m)}(M)$ on an oriented $2 m$-manifold $M$, where $\mathscr{A}_{S U(m)}(M)$ is the set of $S U(m)$-structures on $M$ and $\mathscr{T}_{S U(m)}(M)$ is a neighborhood of $\mathscr{A}_{S U(m)}(M)$.

Lemma 2.12. Let $\rho$ be a constant as in Definition 2.7. There exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that for any $\operatorname{SU}(2)$-structure $(\psi, \kappa)$ and for any $(\alpha, \beta),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \oplus C^{\infty}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M\right) \quad$ with $\quad\|(\alpha, \beta)\|_{C^{0}},\left\|\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{C^{0}}<$ $\rho / 2$, we have the following point-wise estimates on $F=F_{(\psi, \kappa)}$ with respect to $g_{(\psi, \kappa)}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|F(\alpha, \beta)-F\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C_{1}\left|\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}, \beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)\right|\left(|(\alpha, \beta)|+\left|\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right|\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left|\nabla F(\alpha, \beta)-\nabla F\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C_{2}\left\{(|\mathrm{~d} \psi|+|\mathrm{d} \kappa|)\left|\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}, \beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)\right|\left(|(\alpha, \beta)|+\left|\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\left|\left(\nabla\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right), \nabla\left(\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|\left(|(\alpha, \beta)|+\left|\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\left|\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}, \beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)\right|\left(|(\nabla \alpha, \nabla \beta)|+\left|\left(\nabla \alpha^{\prime}, \nabla \beta^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)\right\}, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g_{(\psi, \kappa)}$.
The proof is essencially the same as in [J], Proposition 10.5.9, so we will omit it.
3. The construction of a compact 4-manifold $M_{T}$ with an approximating holomorphic volume form $\psi_{T}$.

In this section we construct a compact manifold $M_{T}$ from $X \backslash D$ and $X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then we define an $S U(2)$-structure $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$ on $M_{T}$ and obtain some estimates on $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$. Since it is possible to construct $M_{T}$ and $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$ in arbitrary dimension, we leave the dimension $m$ of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ undetermined for the most part of this section.

### 3.1. Compact complex manifolds with an anticanonical divisor.

First we suppose that $X$ is a compact complex manifold of dimension $m$, and $D$ is a smooth irreducible anticanonical divisor on $X$.

Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be an open covering of $X$ and define $V_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha} \cap D$, so that $\left\{V_{\alpha}\right\}$ is an open covering of $D$. Then there exist collections $z_{\alpha}=\left(z_{\alpha}^{1}, \ldots, z_{\alpha}^{m-1}\right)$ of holomorphic functions on $U_{\alpha}$ such that $\left(z_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ are local coordinates and $V_{\alpha}=\left\{w_{\alpha}=0\right\}$. The coordinate tranformation of $X$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{\alpha} & =\phi_{\alpha \beta}\left(z_{\beta}, w_{\beta}\right), \\
w_{\alpha} & =f_{\alpha \beta}\left(z_{\beta}, w_{\beta}\right) w_{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{\alpha \beta}, f_{\alpha \beta}$ are nonvanishing holomorphic functions on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$.
The canonical bundle $K_{X}$ is given by transition functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha \beta}\left(z_{\beta}, w_{\beta}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d} w_{\beta} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{\beta}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{\beta}^{m-1}}{\mathrm{~d} w_{\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{\alpha}^{m-1}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, and the line bundle $[D]$ is given by transition functions $f_{\alpha \beta}\left(z_{\beta}, w_{\beta}\right)$ $=w_{\alpha} / w_{\beta}$ on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Since $D$ is an anticanonical divisor on $X$, we can choose the local coordinates $\left(z_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha \beta}\left(z_{\beta}, w_{\beta}\right) h_{\alpha \beta}\left(z_{\beta}, w_{\beta}\right)=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the local holomorphic volume forms

$$
\Omega_{\alpha}=\frac{\mathrm{d} w_{\alpha}}{w_{\alpha}} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{\alpha}^{m-1}
$$

together yield a holomorphic volume form $\Omega$ on $X \backslash D$.

### 3.2. The holomorphic normal bundle.

Next we consider the holomorphic normal bundle $N=N_{D / X}$ to $D$ in $X$. Let $\pi: N \rightarrow D$ be the projection. We identify the zero section of $N$ with $D$. Let $x_{\alpha}$ be the restriction of $z_{\alpha}$ to $V_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha} \cap D$. Then $\left\{\left(V_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ is a local coordinate system on $D$. The coordinate transformation of the normal bundle $N=\left.[D]\right|_{D}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\alpha}=\psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right), \\
& y_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) y_{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) & =\phi_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}, 0\right) \\
g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) & =f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right)$ are local coordinates of $N$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \simeq V_{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{C}$. Thus restricting equation (3.1) to $V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}, 0\right)=g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{\beta}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{\beta}^{m-1}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{m-1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$. Restricting (3.2) to $V_{\alpha}$ and putting (3.3), we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{\beta}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{\beta}^{m-1}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{m-1}}=1
$$

on $V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$. Therefore the local holomorphic volume forms

$$
\Omega_{D, \alpha}=\mathrm{d} x_{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{m-1}
$$

on $V_{\alpha}$ together yield a holomorphic volume form $\Omega_{D}$ on $D$, so that the canonical bundle $K_{D}$ of $D$ is trivial, which also follows from the adjunction formula, $K_{D}=\left.\left(K_{X} \otimes[D]\right)\right|_{D} \cong \mathscr{O}_{D}$.

The holomorphic volume form $\Omega_{D}$ obtained from $\Omega$ regarded as a meromorphic volume form on $X$ with a single pole along $D$ is called the Poincaré residue of $\Omega$, which is independent of the choice of local coordinates representing $\Omega$ (see [GH], pp. 147-148). We note that if $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ are two meromorphic volume forms on $X$ with a single pole along $D$, then they differ by a nonzero multiplicative constant.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a Hermitian metric on the normal bundle $N$ and define a cylindrical parameter $t$ on $N \backslash D$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(s)=-t_{0}-\log \|s\|^{2} \quad \text { for } s \in N \backslash D \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0}$ is a constant. The following result is immediate from the tubular neighborhood theorem.

Proposition 3.1. There exists a constant $t_{0}$ and a diffeomorphism $\Phi$ from a neighborhood $V$ of the zero section of $N$ containing $t^{-1}((0, \infty))$ to a tubular neighborhood $U$ of $D$ in $X$ such that $\Phi$ can be locally represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{\alpha}=x_{\alpha}+O\left(\left|y_{\alpha}\right|^{2}\right) \\
&=x_{\alpha}+O\left(e^{-t}\right),  \tag{3.5}\\
& w_{\alpha}=y_{\alpha}+O\left(\left|y_{\alpha}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}=y_{\alpha}+O\left(e^{-t}\right), ~ \$
$$

by shrinking $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ if necessary.
Proposition 3.1 implies that the complex structure on $V$ in $N_{D / X}$ approaches the complex structure on $U$ in $X$ exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We consider local holomorphic volume forms $\Omega_{0, \alpha}$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \backslash D$ defined by

$$
\Omega_{0, \alpha}=\frac{\mathrm{d} y_{\alpha}}{y_{\alpha}} \wedge \pi^{*} \Omega_{D}
$$

Since on $\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}\right) \backslash D$ we have

$$
\Omega_{0, \alpha}-\Omega_{0, \beta}=\mathrm{d} \log g_{\alpha \beta} \wedge \pi^{*} \Omega_{D}=\partial \log g_{\alpha \beta} \wedge \pi^{*} \Omega_{D}=0
$$

$\Omega_{0, \alpha}$ together yield a holomorphic volume form $\Omega_{0}$ on $N \backslash D$.
Let $\omega_{D}$ be a Hermitian form on $D$ normalized so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{D}^{m-1}=c_{m-1} \Omega_{D} \wedge \bar{\Omega}_{D} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{k}$ are constants defined by $c_{k}=(\sqrt{-1})^{k^{2}} 2^{-k} k$ !. We define $\omega_{0}$ on $N \backslash D$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial t \wedge \bar{\partial} t+\pi^{*} \omega_{D} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. The pair $\left(\Omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$ of the complex $m$-form and real 2 -form on $N \backslash D$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}^{m}=c_{m} \Omega_{0} \wedge \bar{\Omega}_{0} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the metric $g_{0}$ associated with $\left(\Omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$ is cylindrical and positive definite. In particular, if $m=2$, then $\left(\Omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$ is an $S U(2)$-structure on $N \backslash D$.

Proof. Equation (3.8) follows easily from (3.6) and (3.7).
Next we will have a local expression for the metric $g_{0}$ associated with $\left(\Omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$. The Hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $N$ is locally represented as

$$
\left\|\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right)\right\|^{2}=e^{\phi_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)}\left|y_{\alpha}\right|^{2} \quad \text { for }\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right) \in \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $\phi_{\alpha}$ are real-valued functions on $V_{\alpha}$, satisfying $\phi_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\phi_{\beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)-\log$ $\left|g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}$ on $V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$. Then we have

$$
t\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right)=-\phi_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)-\log \left|y_{\alpha}\right|^{2} \quad \text { for }\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right) \in \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right)
$$

If we set

$$
r_{\alpha}+\sqrt{-1} \theta_{\alpha}=-\log y_{\alpha}
$$

then we can check easily that $\left(x_{\alpha}, t, \theta_{\alpha}\right)$ are local coordinates of $N \backslash D$ on
$\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \backslash D$. Thus a direct computation shows that $g_{0}(\cdot, \cdot)=\omega_{0}\left(\cdot, I_{\Omega_{0}} \cdot\right)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{0} & =\left(\mathrm{d} r_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{d} \theta_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}^{c} \phi_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\pi^{*} g_{D}  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\left(\mathrm{d} \theta_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}^{c} \phi_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\pi^{*} g_{D},
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{D}$ is the metric associated with $\left(\Omega_{D}, \omega_{D}\right)$, and $\mathrm{d}^{c}=\sqrt{-1}(\partial-\bar{\partial})$. (In effect, $g_{0}$ is given by $(\partial t \otimes \bar{\partial} t+\bar{\partial} t \otimes \partial t) / 2+\pi^{*} g_{D}$.)

Define an $S^{1}$-bundle $p: S \rightarrow D$ in terms of coordinate transformation by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\alpha} & =\psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) \\
\theta_{\alpha} & =\theta_{\beta}-\arg g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where each $\left(x_{\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha}\right), \theta_{\alpha} \in \boldsymbol{R} / 2 \pi \boldsymbol{Z}$ is a local coordinate on $p^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \simeq V_{\alpha} \times S^{1}$. Then $N \backslash D$ is the Riemannian product $\left(S, g_{S}\right) \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}, \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}\right)$, where $g_{S}=\left(\mathrm{d} \theta_{\alpha}+\right.$ $\left.\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}^{c} \phi_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+p^{*} g_{D}$ on $p^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$.

Lemma 3.3. For any integer $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k}\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{\alpha}-\mathrm{d} x_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}} & =O\left(e^{-t}\right), \\
\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} w_{\alpha}}{w_{\alpha}}-\frac{\mathrm{d} y_{\alpha}}{y_{\alpha}}\right)\right|_{g_{0}} & =O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We obtain $|\mathrm{d} t|_{g_{0}}=2$, $\left|\mathrm{d} \theta_{\alpha}\right|_{g_{0}}=O(1)$ and $\left|\mathrm{d} x_{\alpha}\right|_{g_{0}}=O(1)$ from the explicit representation (3.9) of $g_{0}$. Note that the remainders $O\left(e^{-t}\right)$ in the Taylor expansions (3.5) are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(x_{\alpha}, \bar{x}_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, \bar{y}_{\alpha}\right) y_{\alpha}^{2}+B\left(x_{\alpha}, \bar{x}_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, \bar{y}_{\alpha}\right) y_{\alpha} \bar{y}_{\alpha}+C\left(x_{\alpha}, \bar{x}_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, \bar{y}_{\alpha}\right) \bar{y}_{\alpha}^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ and $C$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions. If we rewrite equation (3.11) as $R\left(x_{\alpha}, \bar{x}_{\alpha}, t, \theta_{\alpha}\right) e^{-t}$, then $R$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function for $t \neq \infty$ with bounded derivatives, so that $R e^{-t}$ extends smoothly to $t=\infty$. Thus differentiating (3.5) gives

$$
\left|\mathrm{d} z_{\alpha}-\mathrm{d} x_{\alpha}\right|_{g_{0}}=\left|e^{-t}(\mathrm{~d} R+R \mathrm{~d} t)\right|_{g_{0}}=O\left(e^{-t}\right)
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.5) and (3.11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} w_{\alpha}}{w_{\alpha}}-\frac{\mathrm{d} y_{\alpha}}{y_{\alpha}} & =\mathrm{d} \log \frac{w_{\alpha}}{y_{\alpha}} \\
& =\mathrm{d} \log \left(1+A y_{\alpha}+B \bar{y}_{\alpha}+C \frac{\bar{y}_{\alpha}^{2}}{y_{\alpha}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d} \log \left(1+R^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}, \bar{x}_{\alpha}, t, \theta_{\alpha}\right) e^{-t / 2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R^{\prime}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function for $t \neq \infty$ with bounded derivatives, $R^{\prime} e^{-t / 2}$ extending smoothly to $t=\infty$. Consequently we have

$$
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} w_{\alpha}}{w_{\alpha}}-\frac{\mathrm{d} y_{\alpha}}{y_{\alpha}}\right|_{g_{0}}=\left|\frac{e^{-t / 2}\left(\mathrm{~d} R^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} R^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t\right)}{1+R^{\prime} e^{-t / 2}}\right|_{g_{0}}=O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right) .
$$

Thus we establish (3.10) for $k=0$.
With respect to the coordinate system $\left\{\left(x_{\alpha}, t, \theta_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$, the components of $g_{0}$ are independent of $t$, and so are the components of $\nabla_{g_{0}}$. This proves (3.10) for $k \geq 1$.
3.3. An approximating holomorphic volume form and a Hermitian form on $\boldsymbol{X} \backslash \boldsymbol{D}$.
We extend $\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)^{*} t$ to a smooth function on $X \backslash D$ which is nonpositive on $X \backslash U$. By abuse of notation we denote the function again by $t$. We also consider $\left(\Omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$ as an $S U(m)$-structure defined on $U \backslash D \subset X \backslash D$ via the diffeomorphism $\Phi$.

Let $\rho: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ denote the cut-off function

$$
\rho(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text { if } x \geq 1,\end{cases}
$$

and define $\rho_{T}: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
\rho_{T}(x)=\rho(x-T+1)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \leq T-1, \\ 0 & \text { if } x \geq T .\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 3.4. There exist a complex 1-form $\xi$ on the region $\{t>0\} \subset$ $X \backslash D$ and positive constants $C_{1, k-1}^{\prime}$ for $k \geq 0$ such that

$$
\Omega-\Omega_{0}=\mathrm{d} \xi, \quad\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k} \xi\right|_{g_{0}} \leq C_{1, k-1}^{\prime} e^{-t / 2} .
$$

For simplicity, if a differential form $\alpha$ satisfies $|\alpha|_{g_{0}} \leq C e^{-t / 2}$ for a constant $C$, we will often write as $\alpha=O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right)$,

Proof. Let $\left\{f_{t}\right\}$ be the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by the vector field $\{\partial / \partial t\}$ on $\{t>0\}$. Then for $(p, s) \in\{t>0\} \simeq S \times(0, \infty)$, $f_{t}(p, s)=(p, s+t)$. Let $\alpha=\Omega-\Omega_{0}$. Then $\alpha$ is of order $O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right)$ with all derivatives by Lemma 3.3. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(p, t) & =\int_{\infty}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(f_{s-t}^{*} \alpha\right)(p, t) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\int_{\infty}^{t}\left(f_{s-t}^{*} \mathscr{L}_{\partial / \partial s} \alpha\right)(p, t) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\mathrm{d} \int_{\infty}^{t}\left(f_{s-t}^{*} \iota_{\partial / \partial s} \alpha\right)(p, t) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality holds because both $\left(f_{s-t}^{*} \mathscr{L}_{\partial / \partial s} \alpha\right)(p, t)$ and $\left(f_{s-t}^{*} \iota_{\partial / \partial s} \alpha\right)(p, t)$ are continuous forms of order $O\left(e^{-s / 2}\right)$ and integrable on $S \times(0, \infty)$. Letting $\xi$ be the integral of the right-hand side, we have $\Omega-\Omega_{0}=\mathrm{d} \xi$. Moreover $\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k} \xi=O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right)$ for $k \geq 0$ since $\left(f_{s-t}^{*} \iota_{\partial / \partial s} \alpha\right)(p, t)$ is of order $O\left(e^{-s / 2}\right)$ with all derivatives.

We define a d-closed complex $m$-form $\Omega_{T}$ on $X \backslash D$ by

$$
\Omega_{T}= \begin{cases}\Omega-\mathrm{d}\left(1-\rho_{T-1}\right) \xi & \text { on }\{t \leq T-1\} \\ \Omega_{0}+\mathrm{d} \rho_{T-1} \xi & \text { on }\{t \geq T-2\}\end{cases}
$$

On $\{T-2<t<T-1\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{T}-\Omega_{0}=\mathrm{d} \rho_{T-1} \xi=O\left(e^{-T / 2}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\Omega_{T}$ is an approximating holomorphic volume form for large $T$.
Next we will define a Hermitian form $\omega$ on $X \backslash D$ such that the associated metric $g$ is a Hermitian metric asymptotic to the cylindrical metric $g_{0}$. Let $\omega_{1}$ be a Hermitian form on $\{t \leq 1\}$ normalized so that

$$
\omega_{1}^{m}=c_{m} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega},
$$

and $g_{1}$ the metric associated with $\left(\Omega, \omega_{1}\right)$. Let $\pi_{I_{\Omega}}^{1,1} \omega_{0}$ be the $(1,1)$-part of $\omega_{0}$ with respect to the complex structure $I_{\Omega}$ defined by $\Omega$, i.e., the standard complex
structure on $X \backslash D$. Then we see that $\pi_{I_{\Omega}}^{1,1}$ is a smooth operator with $\left|\omega_{0}-\pi_{I_{\Omega}}^{1,1} \omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}}=O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right)$. There exists a positive function $\lambda_{0}$ on $\{t \geq 0\}$ such that

$$
\left(\lambda_{0} \pi_{I_{\Omega}}^{1,1} \omega_{0}\right)^{m}=c_{m} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}
$$

and $\lambda_{0}=1+O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right)$. We define a 2 -form on $\{t \geq 0\}$ by

$$
\omega_{2}=\lambda_{0} \pi_{I_{\Omega}}^{1,1} \omega_{0}
$$

Since $\omega_{2}-\omega_{0}=O\left(e^{-t / 2}\right)$, for sufficiently large $t_{0}$ in (3.4) the metric $g_{2}$ associated with $\left(\Omega, \omega_{2}\right)$ becomes positive definite, so that $\omega_{2}$ becomes a Hermitian form on $\{t \geq 0\}$. Then we glue $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ together along $\{0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ to obtain a metric $\widehat{g}$ on $X \backslash D$, which is Hermitian with respect to $I_{\Omega}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{g}=\rho_{1} g_{1}+\left(1-\rho_{1}\right) g_{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hermitian form $\widehat{\omega}$ associated with $\left(I_{\Omega}, \widehat{g}\right)$ satisfies

$$
(\widehat{\lambda} \widehat{\omega})^{m}=c_{m} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}
$$

for some positive function $\widehat{\lambda}$. Note that $\widehat{\lambda}=1$ on $(X \backslash D) \backslash\{0<t<1\}$ by construction. Then the Hermitian metric $g$ on $X \backslash D$ associated with $\omega=\widehat{\lambda} \widehat{\omega}$ is asymptotic to the cylindrical metric $g_{0}$.

Finally we glue together $\omega=\widehat{\lambda} \widehat{\omega}$ and $\omega_{0}$ along $\{T-2 \leq t \leq T-1\}$ to define an approximating Hermitian form $\omega_{T}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{T}=\rho_{T-1} \omega+\left(1-\rho_{T-1}\right) \omega_{0} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $\{t \leq T-2, T-1 \leq t\} \omega_{T}$ is a Hermitian form with respect to $I_{\Omega_{T}}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{T}^{m}=c_{m} \Omega_{T} \wedge \bar{\Omega}_{T} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $T-2<t<T-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{T}-\omega_{0}=\rho_{T-1}\left(\omega-\omega_{0}\right)=O\left(e^{-T / 2}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\omega_{T}$ is an approximating Hermitian form. Thus by (3.12) and (3.16), $\left(\Omega_{T}, \omega_{T}\right)$ is a smooth section of $\mathscr{T}_{S U(m)}(X \backslash D)$ for sufficiently large $T$.

### 3.4. The construction of $M_{T}$ and $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$.

Let $X^{\prime}$ be another compact complex manifold of dimension $m$ with a smooth irreducible anticanonical divisor $D^{\prime}$, and $N^{\prime}$ the holomorphic normal bundle $N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}}$ of $D^{\prime}$ in $X^{\prime}$. We suppose there exists an isomorphism $f: D \rightarrow D^{\prime}$, and $N, N^{\prime}$ are dual line bundles via $f$, i.e., $N \otimes f^{*} N^{\prime} \cong \mathscr{O}_{D}$.

Let $V_{\alpha}^{\prime}=f\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$ and $x_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} x_{\alpha}$. Then $\left\{V_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ is an open covering of $D^{\prime}$ and $\left\{\left(V_{\alpha}^{\prime}, x_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is a local coordinate system on $D^{\prime}$. We choose a covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $X^{\prime}$ so that $V_{\alpha}^{\prime}=U_{\alpha}^{\prime} \cap D^{\prime}$. Since the holomorphic normal bundle $N^{\prime}=\left.\left[D^{\prime}\right]\right|_{D^{\prime}}$ is isomorphic to $\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} N^{-1}$, one can show that by choosing sufficiently small $U_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, there exist local coordinates $\left(z_{\alpha}^{\prime}, w_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ of $X^{\prime}$ on $U_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ such that $w_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ are local defining functions of $D^{\prime}$ on $V_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, and that $\left(z_{\alpha}^{\prime}, w_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.z_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|_{V_{\alpha}^{\prime}} & =x_{\alpha}^{\prime}, \\
w_{\alpha}^{\prime} /\left.w_{\beta}^{\prime}\right|_{V_{\alpha}^{\prime} \cap V_{\beta}^{\prime}} & =g_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}\left(x_{\beta}^{\prime}\right), \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}=\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1}$.
Since $D^{\prime}$ is an anticanonical divisor on $X^{\prime}$, there exist holomorphic functions $f_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ on $U_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ such that the local holomorphic volume forms

$$
\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}=-f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(z_{\alpha}^{\prime}, w_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w_{\alpha}^{\prime}}{w_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{\alpha}^{\prime m-1}
$$

on $U_{\alpha}^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ together yield a holomorphic volume form $\Omega^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$. The local holomorphic volume forms

$$
\left.f_{\alpha}^{\prime-1} \mathrm{~d} z_{\alpha}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{m-1}\right|_{V_{\alpha}^{\prime}}=g_{\alpha}^{\prime-1} \mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{\prime 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{\prime m-1}
$$

where $g_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)=f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}^{\prime}, 0\right)$, together yield a holomorphic volume form on $D^{\prime}$, which must be a constant multiple of $\Omega_{D^{\prime}}=\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} \Omega_{D}=\mathrm{d} x_{\alpha}^{\prime 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{m-1}$. We multiply all $f_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ by this constant so that $g_{\alpha}^{\prime}=1$. Since $\left.f_{\alpha}^{\prime-1}\right|_{V_{\alpha}^{\prime}}=1,\left(f_{\alpha}^{\prime-1}-1\right) / w_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is a nonvanishing holomorphic function on $U_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. We may assume that each $U_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is convex. If we redefine $w_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ to be

$$
w_{\alpha}^{\prime} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{w_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \frac{f_{\alpha}^{\prime-1}-1}{w_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} w_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)
$$

then $\left(z_{\alpha}^{\prime}, w_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ still defines a local coordinate on $U_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ satisfying (3.17), and $w_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is a local defining function of $D^{\prime}$. Moreover, $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\left.\Omega^{\prime}\right|_{V_{\alpha}^{\prime}}$ is expressed as

$$
\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}=-\frac{\mathrm{d} w_{\alpha}^{\prime}}{w_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{m-1}
$$

We consider the holomorphic normal bundle $\pi^{\prime}: N^{\prime}=N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}} \rightarrow D^{\prime}$. Let $y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ be fibre coordinates of $\pi^{\prime-1}\left(V_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \simeq V_{\alpha}^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{C}$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\alpha}^{\prime}=g_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}\left(x_{\beta}^{\prime}\right) y_{\beta}^{\prime} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right)$ be local coordinates of $\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \simeq V_{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{C}$ as in Section 3.2. We define an isomorphism $h_{T}: N \backslash D \rightarrow N^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ locally by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \pi^{\prime-1}\left(V_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
& \Psi
\end{aligned} \quad \cup \begin{aligned}
& \Psi \\
& \left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{\alpha}^{\prime}, y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{\alpha}, e^{-T} / y_{\alpha}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This mapping is well-defined since $N \otimes f^{*} N^{\prime} \cong \mathscr{O}_{D}$. We introduce a cylindrical parameter $t^{\prime}$ on $N^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ by

$$
t^{\prime}=-t \circ h_{0}^{-1}
$$

Then we can define a pair $\left(\Omega_{0}^{\prime}, \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ of a holomorphic volume form and a Hermitian form on $N^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{0}^{\prime}=-\frac{\mathrm{d} y_{\alpha}^{\prime}}{y_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \wedge \pi^{\prime *} \Omega_{D^{\prime}} \\
& \omega_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial t^{\prime} \wedge \bar{\partial} t^{\prime}+\pi^{\prime *} \omega_{D^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\omega_{0}^{\prime m}=c_{m} \Omega_{0}^{\prime} \wedge \overline{\Omega^{\prime}}{ }_{0}
$$

and induces a cylindrical metric $g_{0}^{\prime}$, where $\omega_{D^{\prime}}=\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} \omega_{D}$. Then again by Proposition 3.1, there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi^{\prime}$ from a neighborhood $V^{\prime}$ of the zero section of $N^{\prime}$ containing $t^{\prime-1}((0, \infty))$ to a tubular neighborhood $U^{\prime}$ of $D^{\prime}$ in $X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{\alpha}^{\prime}=x_{\alpha}^{\prime}+O\left(\left|y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)=x_{\alpha}^{\prime}+O\left(e^{-t^{\prime}}\right), \\
& w_{\alpha}^{\prime}=y_{\alpha}^{\prime}+O\left(\left|y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)=y_{\alpha}^{\prime}+O\left(e^{-t^{\prime}}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Parallel to the argument in Section 3.3, via the identification of $V^{\prime}$ with $U^{\prime}$ by $\Phi^{\prime}$, we can also define $\left(\Omega_{T}^{\prime}, \omega_{T}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{T}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\Omega^{\prime} & \text { if } t^{\prime} \leq T-2, \\
\Omega_{0}^{\prime} & \text { if } t^{\prime} \geq T-1,\end{cases} \\
& \omega_{T}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}^{\prime} \quad \text { if } t^{\prime} \geq T-1, \\
& \omega_{T}^{\prime m}=c_{m} \Omega_{T}^{\prime} \wedge \overline{\Omega^{\prime}} T \quad \text { if } t^{\prime} \leq T-2 \text { or } t^{\prime} \geq T-1 \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{T}^{\prime}-\Omega_{0}^{\prime}=O\left(e^{-T / 2}\right), \quad \omega_{T}^{\prime}-\omega_{0}^{\prime}=O\left(e^{-T / 2}\right) \quad \text { if } T-2<t^{\prime}<T-1 .
$$

We define a subset $X_{T}$ of $X \backslash D$ and a subset $X_{T}^{\prime}$ of $X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ by

$$
X_{T}=\{t<T+1\} \subset X \backslash D, \quad X_{T}^{\prime}=\left\{t^{\prime}<T+1\right\} \subset X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}
$$

Then we glue $X_{T}$ and $X_{T}^{\prime}$ together along $\{T-1<t<T+1\} \subset X \backslash D$ and $\{T-$ $\left.1<t^{\prime}<T+1\right\} \subset X^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ by the mapping $h_{T}$, and define a compact manifold $M_{T}$. Since we have

$$
h_{T}^{*} \Omega_{0}^{\prime}=\Omega_{0}, \quad h_{T}^{*} \omega_{0}^{\prime}=\omega_{0},
$$

we can also glue $\left(\Omega_{T}, \omega_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{T}^{\prime}, \omega_{T}^{\prime}\right)$ together and define $\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{T}, \widetilde{\omega}_{T}\right)$ on $M_{T}$, with $\mathrm{d} \widetilde{\Omega}_{T}=0$. We also define a cylindrical parameter $\tau$ on $M_{T}$ with centre $t=t^{\prime}=T$ by

$$
\tau= \begin{cases}t-T & \text { on } X_{T} \\ T-t^{\prime} & \text { on } X_{T}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

There exists a constant $T_{*}$ depending on $\rho$ such that for all $T>T_{*}$ we have $\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{T}, \widetilde{\omega}_{T}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{T}_{S U(m)}\left(M_{T}\right)\right)$. Hence for $T$ with $T>T_{*}$, we can define an $S U(m)$-structure $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$ on $M_{T}$ by

$$
\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)=\Theta\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{T}, \widetilde{\omega}_{T}\right)
$$

Let $\phi_{T}=\widetilde{\Omega}_{T}-\psi_{T}$. Then $\mathrm{d} \psi_{T}+\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}=0$. It follows from (3.15) and (3.20) that

$$
\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)=\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{T}, \widetilde{\omega}_{T}\right), \quad \mathrm{d} \psi_{T}=0, \quad \phi_{T}=0 \quad \text { if }|\tau| \leq 1 \text { or }|\tau| \geq 2
$$

Remark 3.5. For $T \in(0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in \boldsymbol{R} / 2 \pi \boldsymbol{Z}$, we can also use the gluing $\operatorname{map} h_{T, \theta}$ locally defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \pi^{\prime-1}\left(V_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \psi \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

instead of $h_{T}$, and construct a compact 4-manifold $M_{T, \theta}=X_{T} \cup_{h_{T, \theta}} X_{T}^{\prime}$. Thus we can parametrize a family $\left\{M_{T, \theta}\right\}$ of compact 4 -manifolds by a complex variable $\zeta=e^{-T-\sqrt{-1} \theta}$.

### 3.5. The main estimates.

Now we will derive the following estimates.
Proposition 3.6. Let $\rho$ be a constant as in Definition 2.7. Then for all $T$ with $T>T_{*}=T_{*}(\rho)$ there exist positive constants $C_{3}, C_{4}$, and $C_{5}$ independent of $T$ such that with respect to the metric $g_{T}$ on $M_{T}$ associated with $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$, we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\phi_{T}\right\|_{L^{p}} & \leq C_{3} e^{-T / 2},  \tag{3.22}\\
\left\|\mathrm{~d} \phi_{T}\right\|_{L^{p}} & \leq C_{4} e^{-T / 2},  \tag{3.23}\\
\left\|\mathrm{~d} \kappa_{T}\right\|_{C^{0}} & \leq C_{5} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to obtain the estimation on $X_{T}$. We will use $D_{1}, D_{2}$, etc. as constants.

We expand $\Theta\left(\Omega_{0}+\alpha, \omega_{0}+\beta\right)$ for an $S U(2)$-structure $\left(\Omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$ with respect to $(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\|(\alpha, \beta)\|_{C^{0}}<\rho$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta_{1}\left(\Omega_{0}+\alpha, \omega_{0}+\beta\right)=\Omega_{0}+p_{1}(\alpha)+q_{1}(\beta)+F_{1}(\alpha, \beta),  \tag{3.25}\\
& \Theta_{2}\left(\Omega_{0}+\alpha, \omega_{0}+\beta\right)=\omega_{0}+p_{2}(\alpha)+q_{2}(\beta)+F_{2}(\alpha, \beta), \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Theta_{i}$ are the projection of $\Theta$ to the $i$-th component, $p_{i}(\alpha), q_{i}(\beta)$ the linear terms, and $F_{i}(\alpha, \beta)$ the higher order terms for $i=1,2$. Set

$$
\alpha=\Omega-\Omega_{0}=\mathrm{d} \xi, \quad \beta=\omega-\omega_{0},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{T} & =\Omega_{T}-\Omega_{0}=\mathrm{d} \rho_{T-1} \xi=\rho_{T-1} \alpha+\mathrm{d} \rho_{T-1} \wedge \xi, \\
\beta_{T} & =\omega_{T}-\omega_{0}=\rho_{T-1} \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\phi_{T}$ and $\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{T} & =\Omega_{T}-\psi_{T} \\
& =\Omega_{0}+\alpha_{T}-\Theta\left(\Omega_{0}+\alpha_{T}, \omega_{0}+\beta_{T}\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha_{T}-p_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}\right)\right)-q_{1}\left(\beta_{T}\right)-F_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}=-\mathrm{d} \psi_{T}=-\mathrm{d} p_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}\right)-\mathrm{d} q_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}\right)-\mathrm{d} F_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.7. There exist constants $C_{1, k}^{\prime}, C_{2, k}^{\prime}, C_{3, k}^{\prime}$, and $C_{4, k}^{\prime}$ for $k \geq 0$ such that for $t \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k}\left(\Omega-\Omega_{0}\right)\right|_{g_{0}} \leq C_{1, k}^{\prime} e^{-t / 2}, \quad\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k} \Omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq C_{2, k}^{\prime}, \\
\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k}\left(\omega-\omega_{0}\right)\right|_{g_{0}} \leq C_{3, k}^{\prime} e^{-t / 2}, \quad\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}^{k} \omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq C_{4, k}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.7. The first inequality follows immediately from Proposition 3.4. The estimation for $\omega-\omega_{0}$ is similar. The inequalities for $\Omega_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}$ follow from the $t$-invariance of $\nabla_{g_{0}}, \Omega_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}$.

From the estimation $\left|\mathrm{d} \rho_{T-1}\right|_{g_{0}}=O(1)$ and the $t$-independence of the components of $\nabla_{g_{0}}$, we also have $\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \rho_{T-1}\right|_{g_{0}}=O(1)$. Consequently there exist positive constants $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\alpha_{T}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq D_{1} e^{-T / 2}, \quad\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \alpha_{T}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq D_{2} e^{-T / 2} \\
& \left|\beta_{T}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq D_{3} e^{-T / 2}, \quad\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \beta_{T}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq D_{4} e^{-T / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it follows from (2.2) that

$$
\left|\phi_{T}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq\left|\alpha_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}+\left|\beta_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}+C_{1}\left|\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2} \leq D_{5} e^{-T / 2}
$$

Next we consider

$$
\left|\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} p_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}+\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} q_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}+\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} F_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}
$$

The terms on the right-hand side are estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} p_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}} & \leq\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \alpha_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}+D_{1}\left|\alpha_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}\left(\left|\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}}+\left|\mathrm{d} \omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}}\right) \\
& \leq\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \alpha_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}+D_{1}\left|\alpha_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}} \\
& \leq D_{6} e^{-T / 2}, \\
\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} q_{1}\left(\beta_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}} \leq & \leq\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \beta_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}+D_{7}\left|\beta_{T}\right|_{g_{0}}\left(\left|\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}}+\left|\mathrm{d} \omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}}\right) \\
& \leq D_{8} e^{-T / 2}, \\
\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} F_{1}\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}} \leq & C_{2}\left\{\left(\left|\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}}+\left|\mathrm{d} \omega_{0}\right|_{g_{0}}\right)\left|\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2\left|\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}\left|\nabla_{g_{0}}\left(\alpha_{T}, \beta_{T}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}\right\} \\
\leq & D_{9} e^{-T} \leq D_{9} e^{-T / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{0}=0$ and (2.3). Hence we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}\right|_{g_{0}} \leq D_{10} e^{-T / 2}
$$

Now there exists positive constants $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ such that for $t \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\cdot|_{g_{T}} \leq\left(1+\epsilon_{1}\right)|\cdot|_{g_{0}}, \\
\psi_{T} \wedge \bar{\psi}_{T} \leq\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \Omega_{0} \wedge \bar{\Omega}_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi_{T}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{T}\right)} & =\left\{\int_{t=T-2}^{T-1}\left|\phi_{T}\right|_{g_{T}}^{p} \psi_{T} \wedge \bar{\psi}_{T}\right\}^{1 / p} \\
& \leq D_{5}\left(1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left\{\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \int_{t=T-2}^{T-1} \Omega_{0} \wedge \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right\}^{1 / p} e^{-T / 2} \\
& \leq D_{11} e^{-T / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{T}\right)} \leq D_{12} e^{-T / 2}
$$

so that we obtain (3.22) and (3.23).
Finally, we have

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d} \kappa_{T}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(X_{T}\right)}=\max \left\{\sup _{t \leq 1}|\mathrm{~d} \omega|_{g},\left(1+\epsilon_{1}\right) C_{4,1}^{\prime}\right\} \leq D_{13},
$$

where $g$ is the metric associated with $(\Omega, \omega)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.6.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

In this section we will see that the $S L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure $\psi_{T}$ constructed in the last section can be deformed into a d-closed $S L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure for $m=2$, although the deformation is not always possible for $m>2$.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $X$ be a compact complex surface with a smooth irreducible anticanonical divisor $D$, and $X^{\prime}$ another compact complex surface with a smooth irreducible anticanonical divisor $D^{\prime}$. Suppose there exists an isomorphism from $D$ to $D^{\prime}$ and the holomorphic normal bundles $N_{D / X}$ and $N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}}$ are dual to each other via $f$, i.e., $N_{D / X} \otimes f^{*} N_{D^{\prime} / X^{\prime}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{D}$. Then there exist tubular neighborhoods $W_{1}, W_{2}$ of $D$ in $X$ with $\bar{W}_{1} \subset W_{2}$, tubular neighborhoods $W_{1}^{\prime}, W_{2}^{\prime}$ of $D^{\prime}$ in $X^{\prime}$ with $\overline{W_{1}^{\prime}} \subset W_{2}^{\prime}$, and a diffeomorphism $h$ from $W_{2} \backslash \bar{W}_{1}$ to $W_{2}^{\prime} \backslash \bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}$ such that the following is true. Via the identification of $W_{2} \backslash \bar{W}_{1}$ with $W_{2}^{\prime} \backslash \bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}$ by $h$, we can glue $X \backslash \bar{W}_{1}$ and $X^{\prime} \backslash \bar{W}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ together to obtain a compact manifold $M$. Then the manifold $M$ admits a complex structure with trivial canonical bundle.

To prove the above theorem, we will find a smooth 2 -form $\eta_{T}$ on $M_{T}$ with $\left\|\eta_{T}\right\|_{C^{0}}<\rho$ satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \Theta_{1}\left(\psi_{T}+\eta_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $T$. Using the Taylor expansion as in (3.25) and setting $F(\alpha)=-F_{1}(\alpha, 0)$, we have from equation (4.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} p_{1}\left(\eta_{T}\right)=\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}+\mathrm{d} F\left(\eta_{T}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M_{T}$ be the bundle of anti-self-dual 2-forms on $M_{T}$ with respect to the Riemannian metric $g_{T}$ associated with $\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$. For $\eta_{T} \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M_{T}\right)$, equation (4.2) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \eta_{T}=\mathrm{d} \phi_{T}+\mathrm{d} F\left(\eta_{T}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 2.6. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following two theorems.

ThEOREM 4.1. Let $\mu, \nu$, and $\epsilon$ be positive constants, and suppose $(M, g)$ is a complete Riemannian 4-manifold, whose injectivity radius $\delta(g)$ and Riemann curvature $R(g)$ satisfy $\delta(g) \geq \mu \epsilon$ and $\|R(g)\| \leq \nu \epsilon^{-2}$. Then there exist $C_{6}, C_{7}>0$ depending only on $\mu$ and $\nu$, such that if $\chi \in L_{1}^{8}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^{8}} & \leq C_{6}\left(\|\mathrm{~d} \chi\|_{L^{8}}+\epsilon^{-5 / 2}\|\chi\|_{L^{2}},\right. \\
\|\chi\|_{C^{0}} & \leq C_{7}\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^{8}}+\epsilon^{-2}\|\chi\|_{L^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $\lambda, C_{6}$, and $C_{7}$ be positive constants. Then there exist a positive constant $\epsilon_{*}$ such that whenever $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{*}$, the following is true.

Let $M$ be a compact 4-manifold, $(\psi, \kappa)$ an $S U(2)$-structure on $M$, and $g$ the metric associated with $(\psi, \kappa)$. Suppose that $\phi$ is a smooth complex 2 -form on $M$ with $\mathrm{d} \psi+\mathrm{d} \phi=0$, and
(i) $\|\phi\|_{L^{2}} \leq \lambda \epsilon^{3},\|\mathrm{~d} \phi\|_{L^{8}} \leq \lambda \epsilon$, and $\|\mathrm{d} \kappa\|_{L^{8}} \leq \lambda \epsilon^{-1 / 2}$,
(ii) if $\chi \in L_{1}^{8}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ then $\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^{8}} \leq C_{6}\left(\|\mathrm{~d} \chi\|_{L^{8}}+\epsilon^{-5 / 2}\|\chi\|_{L^{2}}\right)$,
(iii) if $\chi \in L_{1}^{8}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ then $\|\chi\|_{C^{0}} \leq C_{7}\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^{8}}+\epsilon^{-2}\|\chi\|_{L^{2}}\right)$.

Let $\rho$ be as in Definition 2.7. Then there exists $\eta \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ with $\|\eta\|_{C^{0}}<$ $\rho$ such that $\mathrm{d} \Theta_{1}(\psi+\eta, \kappa)=0$.

Theorem 4.1 is a geometric result similar to Theorems G1 and S1 in $[\mathbf{J}]$, and the proof is almost the same, so we will omit it. Theorem 4.2 will be proved later.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We define $W_{1}, W_{2} \subset X$ to be $W_{1}=\{T+1<$ $t\} \cup D, W_{2}=\{T-1<t\} \cup D$ and $W_{1}^{\prime}, W_{2}^{\prime}$ to be $W_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{T+1<t^{\prime}\right\} \cup D^{\prime}, W_{2}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{T-1<t^{\prime}\right\} \cup D^{\prime}$ respectively. We also define $h: W_{2} \backslash \bar{W}_{1} \rightarrow W_{2}^{\prime} \backslash \bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}$ to be the $h_{T}$ defined in (3.19), Section 3.4. Then $M=M_{T}$.

Since $M_{T}$ is cylindrical, the injectivity radius and the Riemann curvature of $M_{T}$ are uniformly bounded with respect to $T$. Thus Theorem 4.1 holds and conditions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 4.2 follow automatically from Theorem 4.1. Now we see that condition (i) is also satisfied for $M=M_{T},(\psi, \kappa)=\left(\psi_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$, and $\phi=\phi_{T}$ for sufficiently large $T$. We choose $\gamma$ so that $0<\gamma<1 / 6$, and set $\epsilon=e^{-\gamma T}$. Then by Proposition 3.6, we have for $T>T_{*}(\rho)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L^{2}} & \leq C_{3} e^{-T / 2} \leq C_{3} e^{-3 \gamma T}=C_{3} \epsilon^{3}, \\
\|\mathrm{~d} \phi\|_{L^{8}} & \leq C_{4} e^{-T / 2} \leq C_{4} e^{-\gamma T}=C_{4} \epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate $\|\mathrm{d} \kappa\|_{L^{8}}$, we note that there exists a positive constant $C_{8}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Vol}_{g_{T}}\left(M_{T}\right) \leq C_{8} T,
$$

where $\operatorname{Vol}_{g_{T}}\left(M_{T}\right)$ is the volume of $M_{T}$ with respect to the metric $g_{T}$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{d} \kappa\|_{L^{8}} & \leq\|\mathrm{d} \kappa\|_{C^{0}} \operatorname{Vol}_{g_{T}}\left(M_{T}\right)^{1 / 8} \\
& \leq C_{5}\left(C_{8} T\right)^{1 / 8}=C_{5}\left(-C_{8} \gamma^{-1} \log \epsilon\right)^{1 / 8} \\
& \leq C_{5}\left(C_{8} \gamma^{-1}\right)^{1 / 8} \epsilon^{-1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda=\max \left\{C_{3}, C_{4}, C_{5}\left(C_{8} \gamma^{-1}\right)^{1 / 8}\right\}$. Then we see that condition (i) is satisfied.
Therefore by Theorem 4.2, for all $T>\max \left\{T_{*}(\rho),-\gamma^{-1} \log \epsilon_{*}\right\}$ there exists a smooth 2-form $\eta_{T}$ on $M_{T}$ with $\left\|\eta_{T}\right\|_{C^{0}}<\rho$ such that $\mathrm{d} \Theta_{1}\left(\psi_{T}+\eta_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)=0$. Hence $\Theta_{1}\left(\psi_{T}+\eta_{T}, \kappa_{T}\right)$ is a d-closed $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure on $M_{T}$, which induces on $M_{T}$ a complex structure with trivial canonical bundle. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.2.
Proof of Theorem 4.2. We begin with the following result.
Proposition 4.3. There exists positive constants $\epsilon_{*}, C_{9}$ and $K$ depending only on $\lambda, C_{6}, C_{7}$ such that if $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{*}$ then there exists a sequence $\left\{\eta_{j}\right\}$ in $C^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ with $\eta_{0}=0$ satisfying for each $j>0$ the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \eta_{j}=\mathrm{d} \phi+\mathrm{d} F\left(\eta_{j-1}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inequalities
(a) $\left\|\eta_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq 4 \lambda \epsilon^{3}$,
(d) $\left\|\eta_{j}-\eta_{j-1}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq 4 \lambda 2^{-j} \epsilon^{3}$,
(b) $\left\|\nabla \eta_{j}\right\|_{L^{8}} \leq C_{9} \epsilon^{1 / 2}$,
(e) $\left\|\nabla\left(\eta_{j}-\eta_{j-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{8}} \leq C_{9} 2^{-j} \epsilon^{1 / 2}$,
(c) $\left\|\eta_{j}\right\|_{C^{0}} \leq K \epsilon<\rho / 2$,
(f) $\left\|\eta_{j}-\eta_{j-1}\right\|_{C^{0}} \leq K 2^{-j} \epsilon$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $j$, and will follow from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose by induction that $\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{k}$ exist and satisfy (4.4) and parts (a), (c) and (d) of Proposition 4.3 for $j \leq k$. Then there exists a unique $\eta_{k+1} \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ satisfying (4.4) and parts (a), (d) for $j=k+1$, and such that $\eta_{k+1}-\phi-F\left(\eta_{k}\right)$ is $L^{2}$-orthogonal to $\mathscr{H}_{-}^{2}$.

Proof. According to Hodge theory, there exists a unique $\eta_{k+1} \in C^{\infty}$ $\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M\right)$ satisfying equation (4.4) such that $\eta_{k+1}-\phi-F\left(\eta_{k}\right)$ is $L^{2}$-orthogonal to $\mathscr{H}_{-}^{2}$. We shall prove that $\eta_{k+1}$ satisfies (d) for $k=0$ and $k>0$ separately. Part (a) follows immediately from (d).

First suppose $k=0$. Then $\eta_{1}-\phi$ is a d-closed 2-form $L^{2}$-orthogonal to $\mathscr{H}_{-}^{2}$, so that it defines a cohomology class in $H_{+}^{2}(M, \boldsymbol{C})$. Thus

$$
\left\|\phi_{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\left\|\eta_{1}-\phi_{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{M}\left(\left|\phi_{+}\right|^{2}-\left|\eta_{1}-\phi_{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{vol}=\left[\eta_{1}-\phi\right] \cup\left[\eta_{1}-\phi\right] \geq 0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|\phi_{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\phi_{-}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2\|\phi\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2 \lambda \epsilon^{3} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we write $\phi=\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}, \phi_{ \pm} \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{ \pm}^{2} T^{*} M\right)$.
Next suppose $k>0$. Then $\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}-F\left(\eta_{k}\right)+F\left(\eta_{k-1}\right)$ is a d-closed 2-form $L^{2}$-orthogonal to $\mathscr{H}_{-}^{2}$, so that it defines a cohomology class in $H_{+}^{2}(M, \boldsymbol{C})$. Thus writing $F\left(\eta_{k}\right)=F\left(\eta_{k}\right)_{+}+F\left(\eta_{k}\right)_{-}, F\left(\eta_{k}\right)_{ \pm} \in C^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{ \pm}^{2} T^{*} M\right)$, we have similarly as above

$$
\left\|F\left(\eta_{k}\right)_{+}-F\left(\eta_{k-1}\right)_{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\left\|\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}-F\left(\eta_{k}\right)_{-}+F\left(\eta_{k-1}\right)_{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq 0
$$

which implies

$$
\left\|\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2\left\|F\left(\eta_{k}\right)-F\left(\eta_{k-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

Now by equation (2.2) and part (c) for $j=k-1, k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left\|F\left(\eta_{k}\right)-F\left(\eta_{k-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq 2 C_{1}\left(\left\|\eta_{k}\right\|_{C^{0}}+\left\|\eta_{k-1}\right\|_{C^{0}}\right)\left\|\eta_{k}-\eta_{k-1}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq 4 C_{1} K \epsilon\left\|\eta_{k}-\eta_{k-1}\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by choosing $\epsilon_{*}$ so that $4 C_{1} K \epsilon_{*} \leq 1 / 2$, part (d) holds for $j=k+1$. This completes the proof.

Now we set $C_{9}=6 \lambda C_{6}$ and $K=C_{7}\left(C_{9}+4 \lambda\right)$.
Lemma 4.5. Parts (b), (c), (e) and (f) of Proposition 4.3 hold for $j=1$. Suppose by induction that (4.4) and parts (a)-(f) hold for $j \leq k$, and part (d) and (4.4) hold for $j=k+1$. Then parts (b), (c), (e) and (f) hold for $j=k+1$.

Proof. Again we shall deal with the cases $k=0$ and $k>0$ separately.
First suppose $k=0$. Then applying $\mathrm{d} \eta_{1}=\mathrm{d} \phi$, conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4.2, and equation (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla \eta_{1}\right\|_{L^{8}} & \leq C_{6}\left(\left\|\mathrm{~d} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L^{8}}+\epsilon^{-5 / 2}\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq C_{6}\left(\lambda \epsilon+2 \lambda \epsilon^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq 3 \lambda C_{6} \epsilon^{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2} C_{9} \epsilon^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus parts (b) and (e) hold for $k=0$. By the above inequality, equation (4.5) and condition (iii) of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$
\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{C^{0}} \leq C_{7}\left(\frac{1}{2} C_{9} \epsilon+2 \lambda \epsilon\right)=\frac{1}{2} K \epsilon .
$$

Thus by choosing $\epsilon_{*}$ so that $K \epsilon_{*} \leq \rho$, parts (c) and (f) hold for $k=0$.
Next suppose $k>0$. It follows from (2.3) of Lemma 2.12, condition (i) of Theorem 4.2, and parts (b), (c), (e), and (f) for $j=k-1, k$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathrm{d}\left(\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}\right)\right\|_{L^{8}}= & \left\|\mathrm{d}\left(F\left(\eta_{k}\right)-F\left(\eta_{k-1}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{8}} \leq\left\|\nabla\left(F\left(\eta_{k}\right)-F\left(\eta_{k-1}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{8}} \\
\leq & C_{2}\left\{\left(\|\mathrm{~d} \phi\|_{L^{8}}+\|\mathrm{d} \kappa\|_{L^{8}}\left\|\eta_{k}-\eta_{k-1}\right\|_{C^{0}}\left(\left\|\eta_{k}\right\|_{C^{0}}+\left\|\eta_{k-1}\right\|_{C^{0}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& +\left\|\nabla\left(\eta_{k}-\eta_{k-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{8}}\left(\left\|\eta_{k}\right\|_{C^{0}}+\left\|\eta_{k-1}\right\|_{C^{0}}\right) \\
& \left.+\left\|\eta_{k}-\eta_{k-1}\right\|_{C^{0}}\left(\left\|\nabla \eta_{k}\right\|_{L^{8}}+\left\|\nabla \eta_{k-1}\right\|_{L^{8}}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & C_{2}\left\{\left(\lambda \epsilon+\lambda \epsilon^{-1 / 2}\right) \cdot K 2^{-k} \epsilon \cdot 2 K \epsilon\right. \\
& \left.+C_{9} 2^{-k} \epsilon^{1 / 2} \cdot 2 K \epsilon+K 2^{-k} \epsilon \cdot 2 C_{9} \epsilon^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
\leq & 2 C_{2} K\left(2 \lambda K+4 C_{9}\right) 2^{-k-1} \epsilon^{3 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we choose $\epsilon_{*}$ so that $C_{2} K\left(2 \lambda K+4 C_{9}\right) \epsilon_{*} \leq \lambda$. Then for $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathrm{d}\left(\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}\right)\right\|_{L^{8}} \leq 2 \lambda 2^{-k-1} \epsilon^{1 / 2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using condition (ii) of Theorem 4.2, equation (4.6), and part (d) for $j=k+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla\left(\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}\right)\right\|_{L^{8}} & \leq C_{6}\left(\left\|\mathrm{~d}\left(\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}\right)\right\|_{L^{8}}+\epsilon^{-5 / 2}\left\|\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq C_{6}\left(2 \lambda 2^{-k-1} \epsilon^{1 / 2}+4 \lambda 2^{-k-1} \epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)=C_{9} 2^{-k-1} \epsilon^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus part (e) holds for $j=k+1$. Using condition (iii) of Theorem 4.2 we find

$$
\left\|\eta_{k+1}-\eta_{k}\right\|_{C^{0}} \leq C_{7}\left(C_{9} 2^{-k-1} \epsilon+4 \lambda 2^{-k-1} \epsilon\right)=K 2^{k-1} \epsilon .
$$

Thus part (f) holds for $j=k+1$. Parts (b) and (c) follow immediately from parts (e) and (f).

By the induction steps established in the above two lemmas, we have a sequence $\left\{\eta_{j}\right\}$ in $C^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M\right)$ with $\eta_{0}=0$ satisfying (a)-(f). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3.

The sequence $\left\{\eta_{k}\right\}$ converges to some $\eta$ in $L_{1}^{8}\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M\right)$. Now it remains to show that this $\eta$ is smooth. We follow the argument in [J, p. 365]. Taking the Hodge star of equation (4.3) and using $* \mathrm{~d} \eta=\mathrm{d}^{*} \eta$ since $\eta$ is anti-self-dual and $d^{*}=-* d *$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{*}\right) \eta=\mathrm{d} \phi+* \mathrm{~d} \phi+\mathrm{d} F(\eta)+* \mathrm{~d} F(\eta) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may write as

$$
\mathrm{d} F(\eta)+* \mathrm{~d} F(\eta)=G(\eta, \nabla \eta)+H(\eta),
$$

where $G(x, y)$ is linear in $y$. Then $G(x, y)$ and $H(x)$ are smooth functions of $x$ and $y$, and $G(0, y)=0$. Thus if we consider a first-order partial differential operator $P(\eta): L_{1}^{8}(V) \rightarrow L^{8}(V)$ with $V=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{4} \wedge^{i} T^{*} M$ defined by

$$
P(\eta) \zeta=\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{*}\right) \zeta-G(\eta, \nabla \zeta),
$$

then $P(0)=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{*}$ is an elliptic operator on $L_{1}^{8}(V)$. Since ellipticity is an open condition, we see that $P(\eta)$ is elliptic for $\|\eta\|_{C^{0}}<2 \epsilon K$ with $\epsilon<\epsilon_{*}$ by taking $\epsilon_{*}$ smaller if necessary. Now we rewrite equation (4.7) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta) \eta=\mathrm{d} \phi+* \mathrm{~d} \phi+H(\eta) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Sobolev embedding $L_{1}^{8} \hookrightarrow C^{0,1 / 2}$ in 4 dimensions, we have $\eta \in C^{0,1 / 2}$ $\left(\wedge_{-}^{2} T^{*} M\right) \subset C^{0,1 / 2}(V)$. Since $\eta \in C^{0,1 / 2}(V)$ is a solution of equation (4.8) and the coefficients of $P$ belong to $C^{0,1 / 2}$, we have $\eta \in C^{1,1 / 2}(V)$ by the elliptic regularity. Similarly if $\eta \in C^{k, 1 / 2}(V)$, then we have $\eta \in C^{k+1,1 / 2}(V)$. Hence $\eta$ is smooth by induction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

## 5. Applications.

### 5.1. A basic example.

Example 5.1. For $d \in\{0,1,2,3\}$, let $C, C_{1}, C_{2}$ be smooth curves of degree $3,3-d, 3+d$ in $\boldsymbol{C} P^{2}$ respectively. Let $X_{d}$ be the blow-up of $\boldsymbol{C} P^{2}$ at $3(3-d)$ points $C \cap C_{1}, X_{d}^{\prime}$ the blow-up of $\boldsymbol{C} P^{2}$ at $3(3+d)$ points $C \cap C_{2}$, and $D, D^{\prime}$ the proper transforms of $C$ in $X_{d}, X_{d}^{\prime}$ respectively. Then $D, D^{\prime}$ are isomorphic to $C$, and we can compute as

$$
N_{D / X_{d}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{C}(d), \quad N_{D^{\prime} / X_{d}^{\prime}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{C}(-d) .
$$

Then by Theorem 1.1, we glue $X_{d} \backslash D$ and $X_{d}^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}$ together to obtain a compact complex surface with trivial canonical bundle. We can see easily that the resulting surface is simply connected. Thus it is by definition, a K3 surface.

### 5.2. Multiple gluing theorem.

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.
THEOREM 5.2. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{L}$ be compact complex surfaces, and $D_{1}, \ldots$, $D_{2 \ell}$ be irreducible smooth divisors on the disjoint union $X=\coprod_{a=1}^{L} X_{a}$ such that $D_{i} \cap D_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Define index sets $I_{a}=\left\{i \mid D_{i} \subset X_{a}\right\}$ for $a=1, \ldots$, L. Let $\sum_{i \in I_{a}} D_{i}$ be an anticanonical divisor on $X_{a}, \Omega_{a}$ a meromorphic volume form on $X_{a}$ with a single pole along $\sum_{i \in I_{a}} D_{i}$ and holomorphic elsewhere for $a=1, \ldots, L$, and $\Omega_{D_{i}}$ the Poincaré residue of $\Omega_{a}$ on $D_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 \ell$. Suppose there exist isomorphisms $f_{i}: D_{2 i-1} \rightarrow D_{2 i}$ such that the normal bundle of $D_{2 i-1}$ and $D_{2 i}$ are dual to each other via $f_{i}$ and $f_{i}^{*} \Omega_{D_{2 i}}=-\Omega_{D_{2 i-1}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. Then we obtain a compact complex surface with trivial canonical bundle by gluing together $X_{a} \backslash \bigcup_{i \in I_{a}} D_{i}$ for $a=1, \ldots, L$.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is essentially the same as Theorem 1.1, so we will omit it.

Example 5.3. Let $C$ be a cubic curve in $\boldsymbol{C} P^{2}$, and $Y_{d}=\boldsymbol{P}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C P^{2}} \oplus\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{O}_{C P^{2}}(d)\right)\left.\right|_{C}$. Let $D_{0}$ and $D_{\infty}$ be the zero section and the infinity section of $Y_{d}$ respectively. Then $D_{0}$ and $D_{\infty}$ are naturally isomorphic to $C$, and $D_{0}+D_{\infty}$ is an anticanonical divisor on $Y_{d}$. The normal bundles of $D_{0}$ and $D_{\infty}$ are computed as

$$
N_{D_{0} / Y_{d}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{C}(d), \quad N_{D_{\infty} / Y_{d}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{C}(-d)
$$

Thus we can glue $Y_{d} \backslash D_{0} \cup D_{\infty}$ with itself along both ends to obtain a compact
complex surface $M_{d}$ with trivial canonical bundle. One can show that $M_{d}$ is topologically $S_{d} \times S^{1}$, where $S_{d}$ is the $U(1)$-bundle associated with the complex line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{C}(d)$, and that the Betti numbers of $S_{d}$ are given by $b_{1}\left(S_{0}\right)=b_{2}\left(S_{0}\right)=3, b_{1}\left(S_{d}\right)=b_{2}\left(S_{d}\right)=2$ for $d \neq 0$. Thus by the classification of compact complex surfaces with trivial canonical bundle [ $\mathbf{B P V}$ ], we see that $M_{0}$ is a complex torus and $M_{d}$ for $d \neq 0$ is a Kodaira surface.

Example 5.4. Let $C$ be a cubic curve in $\boldsymbol{C} P^{2}$, and $X_{d}, X_{d}^{\prime}$, and $Y_{d}$ as in Example 5.1 and 5.3. Then for $d \in\{0,1,2,3\}$, we obtain a K3 surface from $X_{d}, X_{d}^{\prime}$ and any number of copies of $Y_{d}$.

### 5.3. Smoothings of normal crossing complex surfaces with at most double curves.

In this section we shall approach the smoothing problem of normal crossing complex surfaces in a differential-geometric way.

Let $X$ be a compact complex analytic surface with irreducible components $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$. Then we say that $X$ is a simple normal crossing complex surface if $X$ is locally embedded in $\boldsymbol{C}^{3}$ as $\left\{\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{3} \mid \zeta_{1} \cdots \zeta_{\ell}=0\right\}$ for some $\ell \in\{1,2,3\}$ and each $X_{i}$ is smooth (see also $[\mathbf{K N}]$ for a definition).

We consider a simple normal crossing complex surface $X \cup X^{\prime}$ with a connected double curve $D=X \cap X^{\prime}$. We suppose $D$ is an anticanonical divisor on both $X$ and $X^{\prime}$, and the holomorphic normal bundles $N_{D / X}$ and $N_{D / X^{\prime}}$ are dual to each other. We adopt almost the same notation as in Section 3. Let $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha},\left(z_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right\}$ be a local coordinate system on $X$ and $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}^{\prime},\left(z_{\alpha}^{\prime}, w_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}$ a local coordinate system on $X^{\prime}$ as implemented in Section 3, such that $\left\{\left(V_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ defines a local coordinate system on $D$, where $V_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha} \cap D=U_{\alpha}^{\prime} \cap D$ and $x_{\alpha}=\left.z_{\alpha}\right|_{V_{\alpha}}=$ $\left.z_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|_{V_{\alpha}}$. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right)$ be local coordinates on $N=N_{D / X}$ and $\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ local coordinates on $N^{\prime}=N_{D / X^{\prime}}$ such that $y_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{\prime}=y_{\beta} y_{\beta}^{\prime}$. We also let $t$ be a cylindrical parameter on $N \backslash D, \Phi: t^{-1}((0, \infty)) \rightarrow X \backslash D$ a diffeomorphism onto image as in Proposition 3.1, and similarly for $t^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}$.

Let $\Delta=\left\{\zeta=e^{-T-\sqrt{-1} \theta} \in \boldsymbol{C}| | \zeta \mid=e^{-T}<\epsilon\right\}$ be a domain in $\boldsymbol{C}$ for some $\epsilon>0$. A family of local smoothings of $N \cup N^{\prime}$ parametrized by $\Delta$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{V}_{\Delta}=\left\{\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \in N \oplus N^{\prime} \mid t>0, t^{\prime}>0, \text { and } y_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\zeta \in \Delta\right\} .
$$

Then the projection $\varpi: \mathscr{V}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ is given by $\varpi\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)=y_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, such that $V_{\zeta}=$ $\varpi^{-1}(\zeta), \zeta \in \Delta^{*}=\Delta \backslash\{0\}$ is a smoothing of $V_{0}=\varpi^{-1}(0) \subset N \cup N^{\prime}$. We have a diffeomorphism onto image defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Phi}: \mathscr{V}_{\Delta} \backslash N^{\prime} & \rightarrow(X \backslash D) \times \Delta \\
\psi & \psi \\
\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) & \mapsto\left(\Phi\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right), y_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly a diffeomorphism onto image $\widetilde{\Phi^{\prime}}: \mathscr{V}_{\Delta} \backslash N \rightarrow\left(X^{\prime} \backslash D\right) \times \Delta$. Then we can glue $\mathscr{V}_{\Delta},(X \backslash D) \times \Delta$ and $\left(X^{\prime} \backslash D\right) \times \Delta$ together by the gluing maps $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime}$ to obtain a fibration $\mathscr{X}_{\Delta}$ of $X \cup X^{\prime}$ and the projection map $\mathscr{X}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$, which we also denote by $\varpi$. We can see easily that $(X \backslash D) \times\{\zeta\}$ is glued to $\left(X^{\prime} \backslash D\right) \times\{\zeta\}$ by $h_{T, \theta}$ in equation (3.21), so that $M_{\zeta}=\varpi^{-1}(\zeta), \zeta \in \Delta^{*}=\Delta \backslash\{0\}$ is $M_{T, \theta}=$ $X_{T} \cup_{h_{T, \theta}} X_{T}^{\prime}$. Thus each fibre $M_{\zeta}$ of $\varpi$ over $\zeta \in \Delta^{*}$ is smooth, while the central fibre is $M_{0}=\varpi^{-1}(0)=X \cup X^{\prime}$. Note that at this point we don't know whether each smooth fibre admits a complex structure.

Let $I_{0}$ be the complex structure on $X \cup X^{\prime}$ and $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}$ holomorphic volume forms defining $I_{0}$ on $X \backslash D, X^{\prime} \backslash D$ respectively. Under a diffeomorphism $\mathscr{X} \backslash M_{0} \simeq M \times \Delta^{*}$, we have a family $\left\{\left(\psi_{\zeta}, \kappa_{\zeta}\right) \mid \zeta \in \Delta^{*}\right\}$ of $S U(2)$-structures on $M$, where $\psi_{\zeta}$ and $\kappa_{\zeta}$ are smooth with respect to $\zeta$. Taking $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, the resulting family $\left\{\eta_{\zeta} \mid \zeta \in \Delta^{*}\right\}$ of solutions of equation (4.1) is continuous with respect to $\zeta$. Let $\Omega_{\zeta}$ be the $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure $\Theta_{1}\left(\psi_{\zeta}+\eta_{\zeta}, \kappa_{\zeta}\right)$ on $M_{\zeta}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Omega-\Omega_{\zeta}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(X_{T}, g\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad\left\|\Omega^{\prime}-\Omega_{\zeta}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(X_{T}^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \zeta \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the asymptotically cylindrical metric on $X \backslash D$ defined in Section 3.4, and similarly for the metric $g^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime} \backslash D$. In this sense we have a family $\left\{X_{\zeta}=\right.$ $\left.\left(M_{\zeta}, I_{\zeta}\right) \mid \zeta \in \Delta\right\}$ of compact complex surfaces, continuous with respect to $\zeta$ outside $D \subset M_{0}$, where $I_{\zeta}, \zeta \in \Delta^{*}$ is the complex structure with trivial canonical bundle induced by the $S L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$-structure $\Omega_{\zeta}$, while the central fibre $M_{0}=X \cup X^{\prime}$ is endowed with the original complex structure $I_{0}$. Using Theorem 5.2, we generalize this result as follows.

THEOREM 5.5. Let $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$ be a simple normal crossing complex surface with at most double curves. Suppose that
(i) the holomorphic normal bundles $N_{D_{i j} / X_{i}}$ and $N_{D_{i j} / X_{j}}$ are dual to each other for each double curve $D_{i j}=X_{i} \cap X_{j}$,
(ii) $D_{i}=\sum_{\ell(\neq i)} D_{i \ell}$ is an anticanonical divisor on each $X_{i}$, and
(iii) there exist meromorphic volume forms $\Omega_{i}$ on $X_{i}$ with a pole along $D_{i}$ such that the Poincaré residue of $\Omega_{i}$ on $D_{i j}$ is minus the Poincaré residue of $\Omega_{j}$ on $D_{i j}$.

Then there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a surjective mapping $\varpi: \mathscr{X} \rightarrow \Delta=\{\zeta \in C| | \zeta \mid<\epsilon\}$ such that
(a) $\mathscr{X}$ is a smooth 6 -dimensional manifold and $\varpi$ is a smooth mapping,
(b) $X_{0}=\varpi^{-1}(0)=X$,
(c) for each $\zeta \in \Delta^{*}, X_{\zeta}=\varpi^{-1}(\zeta)$ is a smooth compact complex surface with trivial canonical bundle, and
(d) the complex structures on $X_{\zeta}$ depend continuously on $\zeta$ outside the singular locus $D=\bigcup_{i \neq j} D_{i j}$ of $X_{0}$ (in the sense of (5.1)), or more precisely, for any point $p \in \mathscr{X} \backslash D$ there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ and a diffeomophism $U \simeq V \times D$ with $D \subset \Delta$, such that the induced complex structures on $V$ depend continuously on $\zeta \in D$.

Lastly we compare this result with that of Friedman in $[\mathbf{F r}]$. Let $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$ be a simple normal crossing complex surface. Then $X$ is $d$-semistable if for each $D_{i j}=X_{i} \cap X_{j}$ with $i \neq j$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i j} \otimes N_{j i} \otimes\left[T_{i j}\right] \cong \mathscr{O}_{D_{i j}}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i j}$ denotes the holomorphic normal bundle $N_{D_{i j} / X_{i}}$, and $T_{i j}=\sum_{k \neq i, j} D_{i j} \cap$ $X_{k}$ a divisor on $D_{i j}$ defined by the triple points (this condition is equivalent to Friedman's original one $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} \mathscr{I}_{X_{i}} / \mathscr{I}_{X_{i}} \mathscr{I}_{D} \cong \mathscr{O}_{D}$ for the singular locus $D$ on $X$ ). We say that $X$ is a $d$-semistable $K 3$ surface if $X$ is a $d$-semistable normal crossing Kähler surface with trivial canonical bundle and $H^{1}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)=0$. As is wellknown, $d$-semistable K3 surfaces are classified into Types I-III, which comes from the classification of degenerations of K3 surfaces (see Theorems 5.1, 5.2 and Definition 5.5 in $[\mathbf{F r}]$ ). Friedman proved that a $d$-semistable K3 surface has a family of smoothings $\varpi: \mathscr{X} \rightarrow \Delta \subset C$ of $X$ with the canonical bundle of $\mathscr{X}$ trivial, where $\mathscr{X}$ is a 3 -dimensional complex manifold and $\varpi$ is a holomorphic mapping. If $X$ is a $d$-semistable K3 surface at most double curves, it is of Type Ia smooth K3 surface, or of Type II-a chain $X_{1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{N}$ of surfaces with $X_{1}, X_{N}$ rational, and $X_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq N-1$ elliptic ruled with the double curves two disjoint sections of the ruling. We note that in either case $X$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.5. Thus Theorem 5.5 implies that even when $X$ is not Kählerian or $H^{1}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \neq 0$, there still exists a family of smoothings $\varpi: \mathscr{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ of $X$ in a weak sense, whose general fibre is a smooth compact complex surface with trivial canonical bundle. This result strongly suggests that $X$ as in Theorem 5.5 admits a family of smoothings in the standard holomorphic sense. We can further generalize Theorem 5.5 to include cases where $X$ is a normal crossing complex surface with triple points (in particular the Type III case), which will be treated in [D].
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