

L^p -mapping properties of functions of Schrödinger operators and their applications to scattering theory

By Arne JENSEN and Shu NAKAMURA

(Received Dec. 28, 1992)

(Revised July 19, 1993)

§1. Introduction.

In the first part of this paper, we study operators $f(H)$ and $e^{-itH}f(H)$ in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$, where $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ is a Schrödinger operator defined primarily as a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. For $H_0 = -\Delta$, mapping properties of $f(H_0)$ between L^p -spaces and norm estimates for $e^{-itH_0}f(H_0)$ follow from the theory of Fourier multipliers. One of our goals is to extend these results to a fairly large class of Schrödinger operators $H = H_0 + V(x)$. To attain this goal we use several tools, including properties of the Schrödinger semigroup: e^{-tH} , the spaces $l^p(L^q)$ which are sometimes called amalgams of l^p and L^q , commutator estimates, and a result (Theorem 2.4) which can be viewed as a version of the Beurling-Carlson theorem on Fourier multipliers (see [BTW]).

Throughout this paper we suppose the potential $V(x)$ satisfies the following condition:

ASSUMPTION (A). V is real-valued function on \mathbf{R}^d , and it is decomposed as $V(x) = V_+(x) - V_-(x)$ such that $V_{\pm} \geq 0$, $V_+ \in K_d^{\text{loc}}$ and $V_- \in K_d$, where K_d is the Kato class of potentials.

For the sake of completeness, we recall the definitions of K_d and K_d^{loc} (cf. Simon [S: Section A2] for the detail):

DEFINITION. $V \in K_d$, if:

$$\text{For } d \geq 3, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| \leq r} \frac{|V(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-2}} dy = 0;$$

$$\text{For } d = 2, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| \leq r} \log\{|x-y|^{-1}\} |V(y)| dy = 0;$$

$$\text{For } d = 1, \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |V(y)| dy < \infty.$$

$V \in K_d^{\text{loc}}$ if $\chi_{(|x| < R)}(x)V(x) \in K_d$ for any $R > 0$, where χ_Q denotes the characteristic function of Q .

Then it is known that H defines a closed quadratic form with the form domain $Q(H) = Q(H_0) \cap Q(V_+)$, where $H_0 = -\Delta$ and $Q(H_0) = H^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, the usual Sobolev space. Hence H has a self-adjoint realization (the Friedrichs extension) which is semi-bounded (cf. [S: Section A2]). For a bounded function $f(\lambda)$, $f(H)$ and $e^{-itH}f(H)$ are defined in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ using the spectral decomposition for H . We consider continuous extensions of $f(H)$ and $e^{-itH}f(H)$ in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

We define a class of symbols $S(\beta)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, as follows:

DEFINITION. $f \in S(\beta)$ if $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ and $f(\lambda)$ has an asymptotic expansion in λ^{-1} as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in the following sense: for any $N > 0$,

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k \lambda^{-\beta-k} + r_N(\lambda), \quad \lambda \geq 1,$$

where the remainder term $r_N(\lambda)$ satisfies

$$\left| \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^k r_N(\lambda) \right| \leq C_{Nk} (1 + |\lambda|)^{-\beta-N-1}, \quad \lambda \geq 1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We write $S(\infty) = \bigcap_{m=0}^\infty S(m)$, and note $S(\infty) \supset \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, the Schwartz space. Since we are interested in $f(H)$ and H is semi-bounded, we may always assume $\text{supp } f \subset [-M, \infty)$ for some $M > 0$ without loss of generality.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f \in S(0)$. Then $f(H)$ is extended to a bounded operator in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

COROLLARY 1.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, and let $\beta > (d/2)(1/p - 1/q)$. If $f \in S(\beta)$ then $f(H)$ is extended to a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

PROOF OF COROLLARY. We decompose $f(\lambda)$ as $f(\lambda) = (\lambda + M)^{-\beta} g(\lambda)$ where $g \in S(0)$ and M is a sufficiently large number. By [S: Theorem B.2.1], $(H + M)^{-\beta}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and combining this with the boundedness of $g(H)$ in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we learn that $f(H) = (H + M)^{-\beta} g(H)$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$. \square

We remark that Corollary 1.2 gives an answer to an open question in Simon [S: Section B2].

Now we would like to consider the time evolution e^{-itH} in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$. It is known, however, that e^{-itH_0} is not bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ if $p \neq 2$ (see, e. g., [BTW] p. 27). Instead, we consider $e^{-itH}f(H)$ where $f(\lambda)$ decays rapidly as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

THEOREM 1.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $f \in S(\infty)$. Then $e^{-itH}f(H)$ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, for any $\beta > d|1/p - 1/2|$,

$$\|e^{-itH}f(H)\|_{B(L^p(\mathbf{R}^d))} \leq C(1+|t|)^\beta, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

The estimate (1.1) is almost, but not exactly, optimal. In fact, it is known that for the free case,

$$c(1+|t|)^{d|1/p-1/2|} \leq \|e^{-itH_0}f(H_0)\|_{B(L^p(\mathbf{R}^d))} \leq C(1+|t|)^{d|1/p-1/2|}$$

holds for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, with $c, C > 0$ (cf. [BTW] p. 134). In many cases, we can prove the optimal upper bound.

THEOREM 1.4. *Suppose $d \leq 3$ and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $f \in S(\beta)$ for some $\beta > 2 + d/4$, then*

$$\|e^{-itH}f(H)\|_{B(L^p(\mathbf{R}^d))} \leq C(1+|t|)^{d|1/p-1/2|}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (1.2)$$

In Section 5, we shall discuss several generalizations of Theorem 1.4. For example, if V is sufficiently smooth and $f \in S(\infty)$, then (1.2) holds for any dimension (Theorem 5.2).

In the second part of this paper, we study the mapping properties of the wave operators for short-range scattering between L^p -spaces.

For $s \in \mathbf{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$, we write $[s] = \min\{l \in \mathbf{N} | l > s\}$. Note that $[s]$ is different from the usual integer part. For the potential $V(x)$, we suppose:

ASSUMPTION (B). $V \in C^{[d/2]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, real-valued and for some $\rho > d$ and for all multi-indices α with $|\alpha| \leq [d/2]$ it satisfies

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha V(x) \right| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-\rho}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

If V satisfies Assumption (B), H is self-adjoint with $D(H) = D(H_0)$, and the wave operators: $W_\pm = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH}e^{-itH_0}$ exist and are asymptotically complete (see, e.g., [RS: Vol. III] and references therein).

The main result is the following mapping property of the wave operators:

THEOREM 1.5. *Assume $d \geq 3$ and V satisfies Assumption (B). Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$, and let $p, q: 1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ satisfy:*

- (i) *If $1 \leq p < 2$: $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{d-2}{d} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{d}$;*
- (ii) *If $2 < p < \infty$: $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{d}{d-2} \cdot \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d-2}$.*

Then $W_\pm f(H_0)$ and the L^2 -adjoints: $(W_\pm f(H_0))^$ define bounded operators from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

A series of more precise results is given in Section 7, with the assumptions on the potential depending on the values of p and q .

L^p -properties of Schrödinger operators have been studied by several authors,

mainly using the Schrödinger semigroup: $\exp(-tH)$. We refer to Aizenman-Simon [AS], Simon [S], Pang [P], Davies [D] and references therein (see also [CFKS: Ch. 2] for an overview). In particular, Pang proved an estimate of the form (1.1) with $f(\lambda)=(\lambda+M)^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha>d+1$ and $\beta=d+2$; Davies studied the integral kernel of $f(-\Delta)$ on Riemannian manifolds. In the recent paper [BD], an estimate of the type (1.1) is obtained with $\beta=2d|1/p-1/2|$ for potentials $V=V_+-V_-$ such that $V_+\in K_d$ and $V_-\in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

We also mention the work by Hempel and Voigt [HV], in which they proved that the spectrum of H in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is independent of p . As far as we know, Theorem 1.5 is the first result giving mapping properties of the wave operators between the L^p -spaces. For mapping properties between the weighted L^2 -spaces, see [JN] and references therein.

The idea of the proof of Theorems 1.1-1.4 is the following: we reduce the problems in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($1\leq p<2$) to those in $l^p(L^2)$, which is defined by

$$l^p(L^q) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^d) \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(C(n))}^p < \infty \right\},$$

where $C(n)$ is the unit cube at $n \in \mathbf{Z}^d$:

$$C(n) = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid \max_{i=1, \dots, d} |x_i - n_i| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

The norm of $l^p(L^q)$ is defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_{l^p(L^q)} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(C(n))}^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \varphi \in l^p(L^q),$$

and $l^p(L^q)$ is a Banach space. More on $l^p(L^q)$ -spaces can be found in [FS] and the references therein. We first show that some power of the resolvent for H is bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $l^p(L^2)$. Then we study the boundedness of $f(H)$ or $e^{-itH}f(H)$ in $l^p(L^2)$, which is continuously embedded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We mainly consider the case $p=1$. The general case follows by duality and an interpolation argument.

In the proof of Theorem 1.5, the following estimate plays an essential role: let $s < -d/2$, and let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ be bounded and supported away from 0, then

$$\|e^{-itH}\chi(H)\|_{B(L^1, L^2, s)} \leq C|t|^{-d/2}, \quad t \neq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $L^{2,s}$ is the weighted L^2 -space of order s (see Section 6 for the definition). The estimate looks similar to a result in [JSS], but the proof given in Section 6 is quite different from theirs.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we prepare several basic estimates. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 respectively, in slightly more general forms. Section 5 is devoted to the discussion of the estimate (1.2). In Section 6 we prepare several estimates, including (1.3), for

the proof of Theorem 1.5, which is proved in Section 7 in a somewhat generalized setting.

We shall use the following notation: For $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ or \mathbf{R} , we write $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. For Banach spaces X and Y , $B(X, Y)$ denotes the space of bounded operators from X to Y and $B(X) = B(X, X)$. The operator norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{B(X, Y)}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$. We sometimes write L^p instead of $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ -norm or the operator norm in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ unless otherwise specified.

At last we want to mention recent progress on this subject made after this work was completed. Yajima proved strong L^p -mapping properties of wave operators for a class of Schrödinger operators [Y]. His method is completely different from ours. We have made some improvements and generalizations for the mapping properties of $f(H)$ and it will be published in [JN2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was started when SN was invited to a workshop at Aarhus University, Denmark, in the summer of 1991. He thanks Professor Erik Balslev and the university for the wonderful workshop and their hospitality.

§ 2. Preliminary estimates in $l^p(L^2)$ -spaces.

A. Boundedness of $(H+M)^{-\beta}$ from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $l^p(L^q)$.

The goal of this subsection is the next theorem:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ and let $\beta > (d/2)(1/p - 1/q)$. Then there exists $M_0 > 0$, depending only on H , such that for $M > M_0$, $(H+M)^{-\beta}$ is extended to a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $l^p(L^q)$.*

LEMMA 2.2 (Young's inequality). *Let $1 \leq p, q, r, s, t, u \leq \infty$ such that $1/p + 1/q - 1 = 1/r$ and $1/s + 1/t - 1 = 1/u$. If $f \in l^p(L^s)$ and $g \in l^q(L^t)$, then $f * g \in l^r(L^u)$ and*

$$\|f * g\|_{l^r(L^u)} \leq 3^d \|f\|_{l^p(L^s)} \|g\|_{l^q(L^t)}. \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF. This result is well-known, see [FS, § 2]. We include the proof for the sake of completeness. Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^d$. Then by Young's inequality on \mathbf{R}^d , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_{L^u(C(n))} &= \left\| \sum_m \int_{C(m)} f(y) g(\cdot - y) dy \right\|_{L^u(C(n))} \\ &\leq \sum_m \|f\|_{L^s(C(m))} \|g\|_{L^t(C(n) - C(m))} \\ &\leq \sum_e \left(\sum_m \|f\|_{L^s(C(m))} \|g(\cdot + e)\|_{L^t(C(n-m))} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where e runs over $\{e \in \mathbf{Z}^d \mid e_j = \pm 1, \text{ or } 0, j = 1, \dots, d\}$. We now use Young's

inequality for l^p -spaces to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_{l^r(L^u)} &\leq \sum_e \|f\|_{l^p(L^s)} \|g(\cdot + e)\|_{l^q(L^t)} \\ &\leq 3^d \|f\|_{l^p(L^s)} \|g\|_{l^q(L^t)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$. Then e^{-tH} is bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $l^p(L^q)$ for $t > 0$, and there exists $C, L > 0$ such that*

$$\|e^{-tH}\|_{B(L^p, l^p(L^q))} \leq C e^{Lt} (t^{-d(1/p-1/q)/2} + 1), \quad t > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

PROOF. Let $k(t; x, y)$ be the integral kernel of e^{-tH} . Then it is known that for some $L \in \mathbf{R}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|k(t; x, y)| \leq C_\varepsilon t^{-d/2} e^{Lt} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4(1+\varepsilon)t) \quad (2.3)$$

(see, e.g., [S: Theorem B.6.7]). We set $\varepsilon=1$ and let $k_0(t; x-y)$ be the right hand side of (2.3). We first prove:

$$\|k_0(t; \cdot)\|_{l^1(L^p)} \leq C e^{Lt} (t^{-d(1-1/p)/2} + 1), \quad t > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

We compute $\|k_0(t; \cdot)\|_{L^p(C(n))}$ for the case $n=0$, and $n \neq 0$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_0(t; \cdot)\|_{L^p(C(0))} &= \left(\int_{|x| < 1/2} k_0(t; x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C t^{-d/2} e^{Lt} \left(\int e^{-p|x|^2/8t} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= C t^{-d/2} e^{Lt} \left(\int e^{-p|x|^2/8t} t^{d/2} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= C t^{-d(1-1/p)/2} e^{Lt}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \neq 0} \|k_0(t; \cdot)\|_{L^p(C(n))} &\leq C t^{-d/2} e^{Lt} \sum_{n \neq 0} \sup_{x \in C(n)} e^{-|x|^2/8t} \\ &\leq C t^{-d/2} e^{Lt} \sum_{n \neq 0} e^{-\alpha|n|^2/t}, \quad \exists \alpha > 0, \\ &\leq C e^{Lt}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Estimates (2.5) and (2.6) prove (2.4). We apply Lemma 2.2 with $f = k_0(t; \cdot) \in l^1(L^r)$ with $1/p + 1/r - 1 = 1/q$, and $g = \varphi \in l^p(L^p) = L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH} \varphi\|_{l^p(L^q)} &\leq \|k_0(t; \cdot) * \varphi\|_{l^p(L^q)} \\ &\leq 3^d \|k_0(t; \cdot)\|_{l^1(L^r)} \|\varphi\|_{l^p(L^p)} \\ &\leq C e^{Lt} (t^{-d(1-1/r)/2} + 1) \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)} \\ &\leq C e^{Lt} (t^{-d(1/p-1/q)/2} + 1) \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

This proves the assertion. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Let us fix $M_0 = \max\{L, -\inf \sigma(H)\}$ with $L > 0$ in Lemma 2.3. We use the formula:

$$(H+M)^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\infty t^{\beta-1} e^{-Mt} e^{-tH} dt.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H+M)^{-\beta} \varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\infty t^{\beta-1} e^{-Mt} \|e^{-tH} \varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty (t^{\beta-d(1/p-1/q)/2-1} + 1) e^{-(M-L)t} dt \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta > d(1/p-1/q)/2$, the integral is finite, and $(H+M)^{-\beta}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. \square

REMARK. In fact, we can take $M_0 = -\inf \sigma(H)$, since in the above proof we may take any L such that $L > -\inf \sigma(H)$ (see [S: Section B.5]).

B. Bounded operators in $l^1(L^2)$.

Here we give a sufficient condition for an L^2 -bounded operator A to be bounded in $l^1(L^2)$, and also give an estimate on the operator norm. Let us note that if an L^2 -bounded operator A is local (i.e., $\text{supp}(Af) \subseteq \text{supp}(f)$ for all $f \in L^2$), then it is trivially bounded on $l^1(L^2)$.

For $\beta > 0$ we define a class of operators \mathcal{A}_β as follows:

DEFINITION. $A \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ if $A \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^\beta A \chi_{C(n)} \varphi\| \leq C \|\varphi\|$$

for $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$, we write

$$\|A\|_\beta = \|A\| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^\beta A \chi_{C(n)}\|.$$

THEOREM 2.4. If $A \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ for some $\beta > d/2$, then A is bounded in $l^1(L^2)$ and

$$\|A\|_{B(l^1(L^2))} \leq C \|A\|_\beta^{d/2\beta} \|A\|^{1-d/2\beta}, \quad (2.8)$$

where C depends only on d and β .

PROOF. We write $\chi_n = \chi_{C(n)}$ for simplicity. We first note that if $A \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$,

$$\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle m - n \rangle^{2\beta} \|\chi_m A \chi_n \varphi\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|A\|_\beta \|\chi_n \varphi\|$$

for $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. For any $\omega > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\chi_m A \chi_n \varphi\| &= \sum_{|m-n| > \omega} |m-n|^{-\beta} |m-n|^{\beta} \|\chi_m A \chi_n \varphi\| + \sum_{|m-n| \leq \omega} \|\chi_m A \chi_n \varphi\| \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{|m-n| > \omega} |m-n|^{-2\beta} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{|m-n| > \omega} |m-n|^{2\beta} \|\chi_m A \chi_n \varphi\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{|m-n| \leq \omega} 1 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{|m-n| \leq \omega} \|\chi_m A \chi_n \varphi\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \{ \omega^{-(\beta-d/2)} \|A\|_{\beta} + \omega^{d/2} \|A\| \} \|\chi_n \varphi\|.
\end{aligned}$$

In the first inequality we have used the Schwarz inequality. Setting $\omega = (\|A\|_{\beta}/\|A\|)^{1/\beta}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\chi_m A \chi_n \varphi\| \leq C \|A\|_{\beta}^{d/2\beta} \|A\|^{1-d/2\beta} \|\chi_n \varphi\|,$$

and this implies

$$\|A\varphi\|_{l^1(L^2)} \leq C \|A\|_{\beta}^{d/2\beta} \|A\|^{1-d/2\beta} \|\varphi\|_{l^1(L^2)}. \quad \square$$

§ 3. Mapping properties of $f(H)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

At first we prepare an algebraic lemma which is useful for proving $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let X and Y be topological vector spaces. Let A and B be continuous linear operators in X and Y , respectively. For a continuous linear operator L from X to Y , $\text{Ad}^k(L): X \rightarrow Y$, $k=0, 1, \dots$, is defined inductively by*

$$\text{Ad}^0(L) = L, \quad \text{Ad}^k(L) = \text{Ad}^{k-1}(BL - LA), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then there exists a set of constants: $\{\Gamma(n, m) | n \geq 1, 0 \leq m \leq n\}$ such that

$$B^n L = \sum_{m=0}^n \Gamma(n, m) \text{Ad}^m(L) A^{n-m}. \quad (3.1)$$

PROOF. For $n \geq 1$, we set $\Gamma(n, 0) = 1$ and define $\Gamma(n, m)$ inductively by

$$\Gamma(n, m+1) = \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \Gamma(k, m), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1.$$

For example, $\Gamma(n, 1) = n$, $\Gamma(n, 2) = n(n-1)/2$, etc.. We prove (3.1) by induction. For $n=1$,

$$BL = LA + (BL - LA) = \sum_{m=0}^1 \Gamma(1, m) \text{Ad}^m(L) A^{1-m}.$$

Let us suppose (3.1) holds for $n=1, 2, \dots, l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 B^{l+1}L &= \sum_{k=0}^l B^k(BL-LA)A^{l-k} + LA^{l+1} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^l \sum_{m=0}^k \Gamma(k, m) \operatorname{Ad}^m(BL-LA)A^{k-m}A^{l-k} + LA^{l+1} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^l \left\{ \sum_{k=m}^l \Gamma(k, m) \right\} \operatorname{Ad}^{m+1}(L)A^{l-m} + LA^{l+1} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{l+1} \Gamma(l+1, m) \operatorname{Ad}^m(L)A^{l+1-m}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we fix $M > 0$ as in Theorem 2.1 and we let $R = (H + M)^{-1}$.

Commutator estimates of the following type have been used for proving weighted L^2 -estimates (see, e.g., [J1], [J2], [JN] and references therein). In our context, it is crucial that the estimates are uniform with respect to the translations.

LEMMA 3.2. *For any $\beta > 0$, there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^\beta e^{-itR} \langle \cdot - n \rangle^{-\beta}\| \leq C \langle t \rangle^\beta, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^d, t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (3.2)$$

PROOF. We use Lemma 3.1 with $X = \mathcal{D} = C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $Y = \mathcal{D}'$, $A = B = (x_i - n_i) \cdot$ with fixed $n \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. H is a continuous linear operator from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{D}' and it is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Ad}^1(H) &= [x_i, H] = 2\partial_i; & \operatorname{Ad}^2(H) &= [x_i, 2\partial_i] = -2; \\
 \operatorname{Ad}^k(H) &= 0 & \text{for } k \geq 3,
 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\partial_i = (\partial/\partial x_i)$. From (3.3) we learn that

$$\operatorname{Ad}^k(R) = P_k(R, \partial_i R), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where P_k is an (ordered) polynomial of order $k+1$. Since $Q(H) \subset H^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\partial_i R$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. These imply that $\operatorname{Ad}^k(R)$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for any $k \geq 0$. Using this fact and the formula

$$\operatorname{Ad}^1(e^{-itR}) = -i \int_0^t e^{-isR} \operatorname{Ad}^1(R) e^{-i(t-s)R} ds$$

repeatedly, we obtain

$$\|\operatorname{Ad}^k(e^{-itR})\| \leq C_k \langle t \rangle^k, \quad t \in \mathbf{R} \quad (3.4)$$

for $k \geq 1$. Combining (3.4) with Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(x_i - n_i)^l e^{-itR} \langle x - n \rangle^{-2N}\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{m=0}^l \Gamma(l, m) \|\operatorname{Ad}^m(e^{-itR})\| \|(x_i - n_i)^{l-m} \langle x - n \rangle^{-2N}\| \\
 &\leq C \langle t \rangle^l, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

if $l \leq 2N$. Since the estimate is independent of $n \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, this implies

$$\|\langle x-n \rangle^{2N} e^{-itR} \langle x-n \rangle^{-2N}\| \leq C_N \langle t \rangle^{2N}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, n \in \mathbf{Z}^d,$$

for any integer $N \geq 0$. Now (3.2) follows by the Calderón-Lions interpolation theorem ([RS: Theorem IX.20]). \square

LEMMA 3.3. Let $\beta > 0$ and let $f \in C_0^m(\mathbf{R})$ with $m > \beta + 1/2$. Then $f(R) \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and

$$\|f(R)\|_\beta \leq C \int |\hat{f}(t)| \langle t \rangle^\beta dt, \quad (3.5)$$

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f , and C depends only on d and β .

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2 and the representation

$$f(R) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int e^{itR} \hat{f}(t) dt,$$

we learn

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^\beta f(R) \langle \cdot - n \rangle^{-\beta}\| &\leq (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int \|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^\beta e^{itR} \langle \cdot - n \rangle^{-\beta}\| |\hat{f}(t)| dt \\ &\leq C \int \langle t \rangle^\beta |\hat{f}(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By the assumption on f , $\langle t \rangle^m \hat{f}(t) \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ and the right hand side is finite by $m > \beta + 1/2$ and the Schwarz inequality. Since

$$\|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^\beta A \chi_n\| \leq (1+d)^{\beta/2} \|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^\beta A \langle \cdot - n \rangle^{-\beta}\| \quad (3.7)$$

for any $A \in B(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$, (3.5) follows from (3.6). \square

LEMMA 3.4. Let $m = [(d+1)/2]$. If $f \in C_0^m(\mathbf{R})$, then $f(R)$ is bounded on $l^1(L^2)$.

PROOF. Since $m > (d+1)/2$, we can determine β with $d/2 < \beta < m - 1/2$ and apply Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.4. \square

THEOREM 3.5. Let $m = [(d+1)/2]$. If $f \in C^m(\mathbf{R})$ and f has an asymptotic expansion

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m} a_k \lambda^{-k} + r_{2m}(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 1, \quad (3.8)$$

where $r_{2m}(\lambda)$ satisfies $|(d/d\lambda)^k r_{2m}(\lambda)| \leq C \langle \lambda \rangle^{-2m-1}$, $\lambda \geq 1$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, then $f(H)$ extends to a bounded operator on $l^1(L^2)$.

PROOF. Using the Neumann series expansion ($\lambda \geq 1$)

$$\lambda^{-1} = ((\lambda + M) - M)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M^k (\lambda + M)^{-k-1},$$

we can rewrite (3.8) as

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m} b_k (\lambda + M)^{-k} + \tilde{r}_{2m}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \geq 1, \quad (3.9)$$

where \tilde{r}_{2m} satisfies the same condition as r_{2m} . Thus the condition (3.8) implies that there is $g \in C_0^m(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$g((\lambda + M)^{-1}) = f(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sigma(H).$$

Hence $f(H) = g(R)$ and the claim follows from Lemma 3.4. \square

THEOREM 3.6. *Let m be an integer with $m > [(d+1)/2] + (d/4)$. If $f \in C^m(\mathbf{R})$ and f has an asymptotic expansion (3.8), then $f(H)$ is bounded on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

PROOF. Choose $g(\lambda) \in C^m(\mathbf{R})$ so that $\text{supp } g \subset (-M, \infty)$ and

$$g(\lambda) = f(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{2m} b_k (\lambda + M)^{-k} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sigma(H),$$

with $\{b_k\}$ in (3.9). Let $\beta = m - [(d+1)/2]$ and $h(\lambda) \equiv (\lambda + M)^\beta g(\lambda)$. Then h satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.5, and $h(H)$ is bounded on $l^1(L^2)$. Combining this with Theorem 2.1, we learn that $g(H) = h(H)(H + M)^{-\beta}$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $l^1(L^2)$, and hence bounded on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

On the other hand, $(H + M)^{-k}$ is bounded on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by [S: Theorem B.2.1] for $k \geq 0$. Thus $f(H) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m} b_k (H + M)^{-k} + g(H)$ is bounded on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. \square

COROLLARY 3.7. *If f satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.6, then $f(H)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

PROOF. $f(H)$ is bounded on $L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by duality, and the claim follows by the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem ([RS: Theorem IX.17]). \square

Theorem 1.1 now follows immediately from Corollary 3.7.

§ 4. Estimates for $e^{-itH}f(H)$ in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

For a bounded function $g(\mu)$, we set

$$g_t(\mu) = e^{it(M-\mu^{-1})}g(\mu), \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By the functional calculus, it is easy to see $g_t(R) = e^{-itH}g(R)$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $m > 0$ be an integer, and let $\kappa > 2m - 1/2$ be a real number. Assume $g \in C_0^m(\mathbf{R})$ such that $|(d/d\mu)^j g(\mu)| \leq C|\mu|^\kappa$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Assume $\beta < m - 1/2$. Then*

$$\int |\hat{g}(s)| \langle s \rangle^\beta ds \leq C \langle t \rangle^m, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (4.1)$$

PROOF. We note that for $h \in C_0^m(\mathbf{R})$, $\beta < m - 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\hat{h}(s)| \langle s \rangle^\beta ds &= \int |\langle s \rangle^m \hat{h}(s)| \langle s \rangle^{\beta-m} ds \\ &\leq \| \langle \cdot \rangle^m \hat{h}(\cdot) \|_{L^2} \| \langle \cdot \rangle^{\beta-m} \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\|h\|_{L^2} + \|h^{(m)}\|_{L^2}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Schwarz inequality, well-known properties of the Fourier transform, and $\beta - m < -1/2$. Thus we only need to estimate $(d/d\mu)^m g_t(\mu)$. Straightforward differentiation and the assumptions yield

$$|(d/d\mu)^m g_t(\mu)| \leq C \langle t \rangle^m \mu^{-2m} \sum_{j=0}^m |g^{(j)}(\mu)| \leq C \langle t \rangle^m \mu^{-2m+\kappa}.$$

Since $-2m + \kappa > -1/2$, the derivative is square integrable, and the result follows. \square

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $m > (d+1)/2$ be an integer. Let $\kappa > 4m - 1/2$ be a real number. Suppose $f \in C^m(\mathbf{R})$ such that $|(d/d\lambda)^j f(\lambda)| \leq C \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\kappa}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, for $j=0, 1, \dots, m$. Then $e^{-itH} f(H)$ is bounded on $l^1(L^2)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and for any $\gamma > \frac{m}{m-1/2} \cdot \frac{d}{2}$,*

$$\|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(l^1(L^2))} \leq C_\gamma \langle t \rangle^\gamma, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

PROOF. The condition on m implies $m < \frac{m}{m-1/2} \cdot \frac{d}{2}$, and we may suppose $m > \gamma > \frac{m}{m-1/2} \cdot \frac{d}{2}$ without loss of generality. Let $\beta = md/2\gamma$, then $d/2 < \beta < m - 1/2$. By the condition on f , we can find $g \in C_0^m(\mathbf{R})$, such that $g((\lambda + M)^{-1}) = f(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$, and such that g satisfies the conditions in Lemma 4.1. Now we can apply this lemma to obtain (4.1) with the above m and β . Combining this with Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_\beta &= \|e^{-itH} g(R)\|_\beta = \|g_t(R)\|_\beta \\ &\leq C \int |\hat{g}_t(s)| \langle s \rangle^\beta ds \leq C \langle t \rangle^m \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. By Theorem 2.4, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(l^1(L^2))} &\leq C \|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_\beta^{d/2\beta} \|e^{-itH} f(H)\|^{1-d/2\beta} \\ &\leq C \langle t \rangle^{dm/2\beta} = C \langle t \rangle^\gamma, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $m > (d+1)/2$ be an integer. Suppose $f \in C^m(\mathbf{R})$ such that*

for some real number $\kappa > 4m + (d-2)/4$ and $j=0, 1, \dots, m$, $|(d/d\lambda)^j f(\lambda)| \leq C \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\kappa}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $e^{-itH} f(H)$ is bounded on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and for any $\gamma > \frac{m}{m-1/2} \cdot \frac{d}{2}$

$$\|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(L^1)} \leq C_\gamma \langle t \rangle^\gamma, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, if $f \in S(\infty)$ then (4.3) holds for any $\gamma > d/2$.

PROOF. The claim follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.2. \square

COROLLARY 4.4. Let m and f satisfy the conditions in Theorem 4.3. Then $e^{-itH} f(H)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and for any $\gamma > \frac{m}{m-1/2} \times d|1/p-1/2|$,

$$\|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(L^p)} \leq C_\gamma \langle t \rangle^\gamma, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (4.4)$$

In particular, if $f \in S(\infty)$ then (4.4) holds for any $\gamma < d|1/p-1/2|$.

PROOF. For $1 < p < 2$, using interpolation between (4.3) and the trivial estimate: $\|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(L^2)} < C$ we obtain (4.4). The case: $2 < p \leq \infty$ follows by duality. \square

§5. Sharp estimates for $e^{-itH} f(H)$ on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Here we prove Theorem 1.4 and discuss its generalizations. In order that we employ more direct method than in the last section. Namely, we study $l^1(L^2)$ -mapping properties of $e^{-itH} R^\kappa$ using the commutator method directly, instead of looking at e^{-itR} . At first we prepare a lemma:

LEMMA 5.1. There is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\langle \cdot - n \rangle^2 e^{-itH} R^2 \langle \cdot - n \rangle^{-2}\| \leq C \langle t \rangle^2, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, n \in \mathbf{Z}^d. \quad (5.1)$$

PROOF. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show

$$\|[(x_i - n_i), e^{-itH} R^2]\| \leq C \langle t \rangle; \quad (5.2)$$

$$\|[(x_i - n_i), [(x_i - n_i), e^{-itH} R^2]]\| \leq C \langle t \rangle^2 \quad (5.3)$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. We first compute:

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_i - n_i), e^{-itH} R^2] &= [x_i, R e^{-itH} R] \\ &= -i \int_0^t e^{-isH} R [x_i, H] R e^{-i(t-s)H} ds + [x_i, R] e^{-itH} R + R e^{-itH} [x_i, R]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3) and noting that $\partial_i R$ is bounded, we obtain (5.2). The double commutator is

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(x_i - n_i), [(x_i - n_i), e^{-itH} R^2]] \\
&= [x_i, [x_i, R]e^{-itH} R + R[x_i, e^{-itH}]R + Re^{-itH}[x_i, R]] \\
&= 2(-i)^2 \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-iuH} (R[x_i, H]) e^{-i(s-u)H} ([x_i, H]R) e^{-i(t-s)H} du ds \\
&\quad + (-i) \int_0^t e^{-isH} R[x_i, [x_i, H]] R e^{-i(t-s)H} ds \\
&\quad + 2\{[x_i, R]e^{-itH}[x_i, R] + [x_i, R][x_i, e^{-itH}]R + R[x_i, e^{-itH}][x_i, R]\} \\
&\quad + [x_i, [x_i, R]]e^{-itH} R + Re^{-itH}[x_i, [x_i, R]].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3) again, we learn that the first term of the right hand side is $O(\langle t \rangle^2)$. The other terms can be estimated as in the proof of (5.2) using (3.3). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. By Lemma 5.1 and (3.7), we immediately have

$$\|e^{-itH} R^2\|_2 \leq C\langle t \rangle^2, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Since $d \leq 3$, Theorem 2.4 applies with $\beta=2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{-itH} R^2\|_{B(l^1(L^2))} &\leq C\|e^{-itH} R^2\|_2^{d/4} \|e^{-itH} R^2\|^{1-d/4} \\
&\leq C\langle t \rangle^{d/2}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(L^1)} &\leq C\|e^{-itH} R^2\|_{B(l^1(L^2))} \|R^{\beta-2}\|_{B(L^1, l^1(L^2))} \|R^{-\beta} f(H)\|_{B(L^1)} \\
&\leq C\langle t \rangle^{d/2}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

if $f \in S(\beta)$ with $\beta > 2 + d/4$. As in the proof of Corollary 4.4, (5.4) implies (1.2) for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. \square

For the case $d \geq 4$, we need an additional condition to prove (1.2). We let $H^l(\mathbf{R}^d)$ denote the usual Sobolev space of order l .

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $d \geq 4$ and suppose $D(|H|^{1/2}) = H^l(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $0 \leq l \leq [d/4]$. If $f \in S(\beta)$ for some $\beta > 2[d/4] + d/4$, then*

$$\|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(L^1(\mathbf{R}^d))} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{d/2}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{5.5}$$

SKETCH OF PROOF. Let $k = [d/4]$. The main step of the proof is to show

$$\|\text{Ad}^l(e^{-itH} R^{2k})\| \leq C\langle t \rangle^l, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{5.6}$$

for $0 \leq l \leq 2k$, where $\text{Ad}^l(\cdot)$ is defined as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. In order to prove (5.6), we compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}^l(e^{-itH} R^{2k}) &= \text{Ad}^l(R^k e^{-itH} R^k) \\ &= \sum_{a+b+c=l} \frac{l!}{a!b!c!} \text{Ad}^a(R^k) \text{Ad}^b(e^{-itH}) \text{Ad}^c(R^k). \end{aligned}$$

We expand the term $\text{Ad}(e^{-itH})$ as in the proof of (5.3). Using the assumption: $D(|H|^{1/2}) = H^l(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we can show

- (i) $\text{Ad}^a(R^k)$ is bounded from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $H^k(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and from $H^{-k}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$;
- (ii) $\text{Ad}^b(e^{-itH})$ is bounded from $H^{\lfloor b/2 \rfloor}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $H^{-\lfloor b/2 \rfloor}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and

$$\|\text{Ad}^b(e^{-itH})\|_{B(H^{\lfloor b/2 \rfloor}, H^{-\lfloor b/2 \rfloor})} \leq C \langle t \rangle^b, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

These imply (5.6). Now it follows from (5.6): $\|e^{-itH} R^{2k}\|_{2k} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{2k}$. Since $2k > d/2$, this implies (5.5) as in the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and suppose H and f satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 5.2. Then*

$$\|e^{-itH} f(H)\|_{B(L^p)} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{d|1/p-1/2|}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (5.7)$$

In particular, if $d \leq 7$ and $D(H) = H^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then (5.7) holds for $f \in S(\beta)$ with $\beta > 4 + d/4$.

If we suppose stronger assumption on H we can relax a condition on f :

THEOREM 5.4. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and suppose $D(|H|^{1/2}) = H^l(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $0 \leq l \leq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$. If $f \in S(\beta)$ for some $\beta > \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + d/4$, then (5.7) holds. In particular, if $d \leq 3$, $D(H) = H^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f \in S(\beta)$ for some $\beta > 2 + d/4$, then (5.7) holds.*

The proof is similar, but simpler than that of Theorem 5.2.

§ 6. Mapping properties of e^{-itH_0} and e^{-itH} between L^p -spaces.

In this section we establish some mapping properties of e^{-itH_0} and e^{-itH} between L^p -spaces or weighted L^2 -spaces:

$$L^{2,s}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^d) \mid \langle x \rangle^s f(x) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\}.$$

We first recall a couple of well-known results:

LEMMA 6.1. (i) *Assume $1 \leq p < 2$ and $s > d(1/p - 1/2)$. Then $L^{2,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

(ii) *Assume $2 < p \leq \infty$ and $s < d(1/p - 1/2)$. Then $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{2,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

PROOF. The result (i) is a direct consequence of Hölder's inequality, and (ii) follows from (i) by duality. \square

LEMMA 6.2. Assume $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and let q be the conjugate exponent: $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then for $t \neq 0$, e^{-itH_0} is bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and

$$\|e^{-itH_0}\|_{B(L^p, L^q)} \leq (4\pi|t|)^{-d(1/p-1/2)}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

PROOF. If we note the integral kernel of e^{-itH_0} is

$$k_0(t; x, y) = (4\pi it)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4it),$$

the result follows immediately for $p=1$. If $p=2$ the result is trivial, and the other cases follow by the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. \square

REMARK. In stating Lemma 6.2 we have abused notation. *A priori*, e^{-itH_0} is defined on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, hence on $L^2 \cap L^p$ which is dense in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Thus $e^{-itH_0} \in B(L^p, L^q)$ means that this densely defined operator on L^p maps into L^q and extends to a bounded operator from L^p to L^q . We will continue this abuse of notation without comment.

LEMMA 6.3. Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $s > d/p$. Then the operator $\langle D \rangle^{-s} e^{-itH_0}$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $t \neq 0$ and

$$\|\langle D \rangle^{-s} e^{-itH_0}\|_{B(L^1, L^p)} \leq C|t|^{-d(1/2-1/p)}, \quad t \neq 0. \quad (6.1)$$

PROOF. Let q denote the exponent conjugate to p . Then $s > d(1-1/q)$, and $\langle D \rangle^{-s} = (H_0 + 1)^{-s/2}$ is bounded from L^1 to L^q by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Using Lemma 6.2, we see that $e^{-itH_0} \langle D \rangle^{-s}$ is bounded from L^1 to L^p , and (6.1) follows from the estimate given in Lemma 6.2. \square

DEFINITION. Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ be a real-valued function such that for some $\lambda_0 > 0$, it satisfies: $\chi(\lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$; $\chi(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda_0/2$. Then χ is called a low energy cut-off function.

We will need the following resolvent estimate, essentially due to Murata [M]:

PROPOSITION 6.4. Suppose that V is real-valued, and for some $\rho > 2$ it satisfies $|V(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\rho}$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Let χ be a low energy cut-off function, and let $M > -\inf \sigma(H)$. Let $0 \leq s < \rho - 1$ and $0 \leq s_1 \leq s$. Then

$$\|(H+M)^{s_1/2} e^{-itH} \chi(H)\|_{B(L^{2,s}, L^{2,-s})} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-s+s_1} |t|^{-s_1}, \quad t \neq 0. \quad (6.2)$$

PROOF. The result follows from [M: Theorem 3.3] and complex interpolation. \square

REMARK. For $s_1 = 0$ the result is well-known and holds for a much larger class of potentials. The result (6.2) can be proved for a class of generalized Schrödinger operators with smooth long-range potentials by combining the esti-

mates in [J1, J3]. In this case s in the right hand side of (6.2) should be replaced by $s': s' < s$.

We now suppose V satisfies the following two hypotheses:

- (H1) V is real-valued and there exists $\rho > d$ such that $|V(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\rho}$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$;
 (H2) There exist $s_0 > d/2 - 1$, $s_1 > d/2$ and $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ such that $1/2 - 1/d < 1/p < s_0/d$, and that for $M > -\inf \sigma(H)$ the operator $\langle x \rangle^{s_1} (H + M)^{-s_0/2} \cdot V \langle D \rangle^{s_0}$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The main result of this section is the following estimate for e^{-itH} :

THEOREM 6.5. Assume $d \geq 3$ and V satisfies (H1) and (H2). Let χ be a low energy cut-off function and let $s > d/2$. Then $e^{-itH} \chi(H)$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^{2, -s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $t \neq 0$ and

$$\|e^{-itH} \chi(H)\|_{B(L^1, L^{2, -s})} \leq C |t|^{-d/2}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

PROOF. Let ρ , s_0 , s_1 and p as in (H1) and (H2). We may assume

$$\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_0 \leq \frac{d}{2} < s = s_1 = \frac{\rho}{2}$$

without loss of generality. We start by writing:

$$e^{-itH} \chi(H) = \chi(H) e^{-itH_0} - i \int_0^t \chi(H) e^{-i(t-\tau)H} V e^{-i\tau H_0} d\tau. \quad (6.3)$$

The first term is estimated by Lemmas 6.1, 6.2, and Theorem 1.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(H) e^{-itH_0}\|_{B(L^1, L^{2, -s})} &\leq C \|\chi(H)\|_{B(L^\infty)} \|e^{-itH_0}\|_{B(L^1, L^\infty)} \\ &\leq C |t|^{-d/2}, \quad t \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We write $F(t) = e^{-itH} \chi(H)$ and $U(t) = e^{-itH_0}$ for simplicity. By Lemma 6.3, Proposition 6.4 and (H2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F(t-\tau) V U(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^{2, -s})} \\ &\leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-s} F(t-\tau) (H+M)^{s_0/2} \langle x \rangle^{-s}\|_{B(L^2)} \\ &\quad \times \|\langle x \rangle^s (H+M)^{-s_0/2} V \langle D \rangle^{s_0}\|_{B(L^p, L^2)} \|\langle D \rangle^{-s_0} U(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^p)} \\ &\leq C \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-s+s_0} |t-\tau|^{-s_0} |\tau|^{-d(1/2-1/p)} \\ &\leq C \min \{|t-\tau|^{-s_0}, |t-\tau|^{-s}\} |\tau|^{-d(1/2-1/p)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where $M > -\inf \sigma(H)$. On the other hand, using Proposition 6.4, Lemma 6.2 and (H1) we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|F(t-\tau)VU(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^2, -s)} \\
& \leq \|F(t-\tau)\|_{B(L^2, s, L^2, -s)} \|V\|_{B(L^\infty, L^2, s)} \|U(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^\infty)} \\
& \leq C \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-s} |\tau|^{-d/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

We now estimate the second term in the right hand side of (6.3). If $0 < t \leq 1$, it is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \|F(t-\tau)VU(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^2, -s)} d\tau \\
& \leq \int_0^{t/2} C |t-\tau|^{-s_0} |\tau|^{-d(1/2-1/p)} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t C \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-s} |\tau|^{-d/2} d\tau \\
& \leq C |t|^{-s_0} + C |t|^{-d/2} \leq C |t|^{-d/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied (6.4) to the first integral and (6.5) to the second. Note that $d(1/2-1/p) < 1$ by (H2). If $t > 1$ we divide the integral into three pieces:

$$\int_0^t \|F(t-\tau)VU(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^2, -s)} d\tau = \int_0^{1/2} + \int_{1/2}^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t = I + II + III.$$

For I we apply (6.4):

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_0^{1/2} \|F(t-\tau)VU(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^2, -s)} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^{1/2} |t-\tau|^{-s} |\tau|^{-d(1/2-1/p)} d\tau \leq C t^{-s} \int_0^{1/2} |\tau|^{-d(1/2-1/p)} d\tau \\
&\leq C t^{-s} \leq C t^{-d/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For II and III we apply (6.5) (note that we need $d \geq 3$ in the last step for II):

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= \int_{1/2}^{t/2} \|F(t-\tau)VU(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^2, -s)} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{1/2}^{t/2} \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-s} |\tau|^{-d/2} d\tau \leq C t^{-s} \int_{1/2}^\infty |\tau|^{-d/2} d\tau \leq C t^{-d/2}; \\
III &= \int_{t/2}^t \|F(t-\tau)VU(\tau)\|_{B(L^1, L^2, -s)} d\tau \leq C \int_{t/2}^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-s} |\tau|^{-d/2} d\tau \\
&\leq C t^{-d/2} \int_{t/2}^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-s} d\tau = C t^{-d/2} \int_0^{t/2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-s} d\tau \leq C t^{-d/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

These computations complete the proof. \square

REMARK. Estimates of the form:

$$\|e^{-itH}\chi(H)\|_{B(L^1, L^\infty)} \leq C |t|^{-d/2}, \quad t \neq 0$$

were obtained in Journé-Sogge-Soffer [JSS] for a different class of potentials.

This result is stronger since $L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{2,-s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $s > d/2$. The proof given above is quite different from the one in [JSS].

§7. Mapping properties of the wave operators.

In this section we obtain several results on the mapping properties of the wave operators between L^p -spaces. These results are combined to give a proof of Theorem 1.5.

The first result is an immediate consequence of a result in Simon [S] and the intertwining property of the wave operators:

PROPOSITION 7.1. *Let V satisfies Assumption (A) and assume that the wave operators W_\pm exist. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$, and let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then $W_\pm f(H_0)$ and $(W_\pm f(H_0))^*$ are bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

PROOF. Let $l > d/4$ and $M > -\inf \sigma(H)$. Then $(H_0 + M)^{-l}$ and $(H + M)^{-l}$ are both bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ([S: Theorem B.2.1]). We write $g(\lambda) = (\lambda + M)^{2l} f(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$. Then by the intertwining property, we have

$$W_\pm f(H_0) = (H + M)^{-l} (W_\pm g(H_0)) (H_0 + M)^{-l}$$

and hence it is bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The statement for $(W_\pm f(H_0))^*$ is proved analogously. \square

The next proposition is a consequence of Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 6.2:

PROPOSITION 7.2. *Assume $d \geq 3$ and $V \in B(L^\infty, L^q)$ for some $q: 1 \leq q \leq 2$, $1/q < 1 - 1/d$. Assume moreover that W_\pm exist. Then $W_\pm f(H_0)$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$.*

PROOF. We consider the $+$ -case only. We choose $f_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ so that $f_1(\lambda)f(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$. By the standard Cook's method we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_+ f(H_0) &= f(H) W_+ f_1(H_0) \\ &= f(H) e^{iH} e^{-iH_0} f_1(H_0) + i \int_1^\infty f(H) e^{itH} V e^{-itH_0} f_1(H_0) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right hand side is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^1 \cap L^\infty$ by Corollary 1.2. The integrand in the second term is estimated by Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 6.2: Let $d(1/q - 1/2) < \beta < d/2 - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(H) e^{itH} V e^{-itH_0} f_1(H_0)\|_{B(L^1, L^q)} \\ &\leq \|e^{itH} f(H)\|_{B(L^q)} \|V\|_{B(L^\infty, L^q)} \|e^{-itH_0} f_1(H_0)\|_{B(L^1, L^\infty)} \\ &\leq C \langle t \rangle^\beta |t|^{-d/2} \leq C |t|^{-d/2 + \beta}. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of β , $d/2 - \beta > 1$, and the integral is absolutely convergent in the $B(L^1, L^q)$ norm. The result follows from this. \square

PROPOSITION 7.3. *Assume $d \geq 3$, and let q such that $1 \leq q < 2$ and $1/q < 1 - 1/d$. Assume V satisfies (H1) and (H2), and let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $(W_\pm f(H_0))^*$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

PROOF. We first note that (H1) implies the existence of W_\pm . Without loss of generality, we may suppose f is real-valued. As in the proof of the previous proposition, we have

$$(W_+ f(H_0))^* = f(H_0) e^{iH_0} e^{-iH} f_1(H) - i \int_1^\infty f(H_0) e^{itH_0} V e^{-itH} f_1(H) dt.$$

The first term is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ as before. We estimate the integrand in the second term using Corollary 5.3 and Theorems 6.5: We set $s = \rho/2$ in (H1), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(H_0) e^{itH_0} V e^{-itH} f_1(H)\|_{B(L^1, L^q)} \\ & \leq \|e^{itH_0} f(H_0)\|_{B(L^q)} \|V\|_{B(L^{2, -s}, L^q)} \|e^{-itH} f_1(H)\|_{B(L^1, L^{2, -s})} \\ & \leq C \langle t \rangle^{d(1/q - 1/2)} \sup_x |\langle x \rangle^\rho V(x)| \cdot |t|^{-d/2} \leq C |t|^{-d(1 - 1/q)}, \quad t \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption on q , $d(1 - 1/q) > 1$ and the integral converges absolutely in $B(L^1, L^q)$ -norm. The assertion again follows from this. \square

REMARK. If we use results in [JSS], imposing their conditions on V and H , we can replace the assumption $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ by $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5. We first note that Assumption (B) implies the existence of W_\pm . Hence if $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$, it follows from Proposition 7.1 that $W_\pm f(H_0)$ and $(W_\pm f(H_0))^*$ are bounded from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Since Assumption (B) implies $V \in B(L^\infty, L^1 \cap L^\infty)$, we can apply Proposition 7.2 to obtain $W_\pm f(H_0) \in B(L^1, L^q)$ if $1/2 < 1/q < 1 - 1/d$.

We now apply Proposition 7.3 to prove $(W_\pm f(H_0))^* \in B(L^1, L^q)$. The condition (H1) follows immediately from Assumption (B). In verifying (H2), we take $p=2$, $s_1 = \rho/2$, $s_0 = [d/2]$ and use the differentiability of V to commute with differentiation of order less than s_0 . Then we note that $(H+M)^{-s_0/2} \langle D \rangle^{s_0}$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Thus (H2) is satisfied, and the Proposition 7.3 implies the boundedness of $(W_\pm f(H_0))^*$ from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ if $1/2 < 1/q < 1 - 1/d$. Combining these results with a duality argument and the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, we conclude the proof. \square

References

- [AS] M. Aizenman and B. Simon, Brownian motion and Harnack's inequality for Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **35** (1982), 209-273.
- [BD] K. Boyadzhiev and R. Delaubenfels, Boundary values of holomorphic semigroups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118** (1993), 113-118.
- [BTW] P. Brenner, V. Thomée and L.B. Wahlbin, Besov Spaces and Applications to Difference Methods for Initial Value Problems, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **434**, Springer, 1975.
- [CFKS] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Froese, W. Kirsch and B. Simon, *Schrödinger Operators with Applications to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry*, 1987, Springer-Verlag.
- [D] E.B. Davies, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, 1989, Cambridge University Press.
- [FS] J.F. Fournier and J. Stewart, Amalgams of L^p and l^q , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **13** (1985), 1-21.
- [GK] D. Gurarie and M.A. Kon, Radial bounds for perturbations of elliptic operators, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **56** (1984), 99-123.
- [HV] R. Hempel and J. Voigt, The spectrum of a Schrödinger operator in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is p -independent, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **104** (1986), 243-250.
- [J 1] A. Jensen, Propagation estimates for Schrödinger type operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **291** (1985), 129-144.
- [J 2] A. Jensen, Commutator methods and a smoothing property of the Schrödinger evolution group, *Math. Z.*, **191** (1986), 53-59.
- [J 3] A. Jensen, High energy resolvent estimates for generalized many-body Schrödinger operators, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ.*, **25** (1989), 155-167.
- [JN] A. Jensen and S. Nakamura, Mapping properties of wave and scattering operators for two-body Schrödinger operators, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **24** (1992), 295-305.
- [JN2] A. Jensen and S. Nakamura, Mapping properties of Schrödinger operators between L^p -spaces and Besov spaces, in *Spectral and Scattering Theory and Applications*, (ed. K. Yajima), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **23** (1994), 187-209.
- [JSS] J.L. Journé, A. Soffer and C.D. Sogge, Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **44** (1991), 573-604.
- [M] M. Murata, High energy resolvent estimates, II, higher order elliptic operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **36** (1984), 1-10.
- [P] M.M.H. Pang, Resolvent estimates for Schrödinger operators in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and the theory of exponentially bounded C -semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **41** (1990), 97-114.
- [RS] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I-IV*, 1972-1979, Academic Press, New York.
- [S] B. Simon, Schrödinger semigroup, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **7** (1982), 447-526.
- [Y] K. Yajima, The $W^{k,p}$ -continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators, to appear in *J. Math. Soc. Japan*.

Arne JENSEN

Department of Mathematics
and Computer Science
Institute for Electronic Systems
Aalborg University
Fredrik Bajers Vej 7
DK-9220 Aalborg Ø
Denmark

Shu NAKAMURA

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Tokyo
3-8-1, Komaba, Meguro-ku
Tokyo 153
Japan