

Algebraic number fields with the discriminant equal to that of a quadratic number field

By Takeshi KONDO

(Received May 12, 1993)

§ 1. Introduction.

The purpose of the present paper is to prove the following Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

THEOREM 1. *Let F be an algebraic number field of degree n and $d(F)$ be the discriminant of F . Let K be the Galois closure of F over \mathbf{Q} , the field of rational numbers. If $d(F)$ is equal to the discriminant of a quadratic number field, i. e., $d(F)$ is not a square and equals the discriminant of the field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$, then the following hold:*

- (a) *the Galois group of K over \mathbf{Q} is isomorphic to Σ_n , the symmetric group of degree n , and*
- (b) *the extension $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ is unramified (at all finite primes of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$).*

This is a generalization of theorems which were proved by several authors (cf. [K], [N], [O], [Y1] and [Y2]) under the assumption that $d(F)$ is square free.

COROLLARY. *Let $f(t)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree n with rational integral coefficients and $d(f)$ be the discriminant of $f(t)$. Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, the splitting field of $f(t)$ over \mathbf{Q} , where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are the roots of an equation $f(t) = 0$. If $d(f)$ is equal to the discriminant of a quadratic number field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(f)})$, then*

- (a) *the Galois group of K over \mathbf{Q} is isomorphic to Σ_n ,*
- (b) *the extension $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(f)})$ is unramified,*
- (c) *$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n]$, where \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of integers in K .*

(a) and (b) of Corollary are immediate from Th. 1, and (c) follows from a result of E. Maus [M].

THEOREM 2. *Let F and $d(F)$ be as in Theorem 1. Then the following statements (A) and (B) are equivalent:*

- (A) *$d(F)$ is equal to the discriminant of a quadratic number field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$.*

(B) For every prime p of $d(F)$, p has exactly one ramified prime divisor in F and its ramification index (resp. residue class degree) is two (resp. one).

REMARK. If $p \parallel d(F)$, i. e., $d(F)$ is divisible by exactly the first power of p , p satisfies the condition in (B) of Th. 2 (cf. the proof of Case 1 in §3). Also see Lemma 4 in §4.

In an interesting paper of Yamamura [Y2, p. 107], it is stated that, under the assumption (B), (a) and (b) of Th. 1 hold, although the proof is omitted. So it can be said that Th. 1 is a consequence of Th. 2. But, in the present paper, Th. 1 and Th. 2 will be proved at the same time.

§2. Some Lemmas.

The following two lemmas are well known in algebraic number theory.

LEMMA 1 (Dedekind). Let F be an algebraic number field and \mathcal{D} be the different of F over \mathbf{Q} . Let \mathcal{P} be a prime divisor in F of a prime number p , and $\mathcal{P}^d \parallel \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{P}^e \parallel p$. Then

- (a) if $p \nmid e$, then $d=e-1$,
- (b) if $p^v \parallel e$ ($v>0$), then $e \leq d \leq ev+e-1$.

See [F2] for the proof.

LEMMA 2 (Van der Waerden). Let F and K be as in Theorem 1, and Z and T be the decomposition group and the inertia group of a prime divisor in K of a prime number p respectively. Suppose that p has a decomposition in F

$$p = \mathcal{P}_1^{e_1} \mathcal{P}_2^{e_2} \cdots \mathcal{P}_g^{e_g} \quad N_{L/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{P}_i) = p^{f_i} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, g).$$

When the Galois group of K over \mathbf{Q} is regarded as a permutation group of degree n (on the set of conjugates of F over \mathbf{Q}), Z has g orbits each of which is of length $e_i f_i$ and decomposes into f_i T -orbits of length e_i .

See [W] or [F2] for the proof.

LEMMA 3. Let F be an algebraic number field. Assume that F has the discriminant equal to that of a quadratic number field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$. Then F does not contain any proper intermediate field, i. e., a field L such that $\mathbf{Q} \subsetneq L \subsetneq F$.

PROOF. $d(L)^{[F:L]} \mid d(F)$ by a transition property of discriminant, which is impossible unless $d(L)=1$, because $d(F)$ is a discriminant of a quadratic field. But $d(L)=1$ is also impossible by a theorem of Minkowski, unless $L=\mathbf{Q}$.

§ 3. The proof of Th. 1 and Th. 2.

3.1. The proof of Th. 1 and a part "(A) \Rightarrow (B)" of Th. 2.

Assume that $d(F)$ is equal to the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ and $p \mid d(F)$. Suppose that we have factorizations

$$(1) \quad p = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \mathfrak{P}_2^{e_2} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_g^{e_g} \quad N_{L/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{P}_i) = p^{f_i} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, g),$$

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{D}_p = \mathfrak{P}_1^{d_1} \mathfrak{P}_2^{d_2} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_g^{d_g} \quad (\mathcal{D}_p = \text{"p-part" of the different } \mathcal{D} \text{ of } F/\mathbf{Q})$$

into prime divisors in F .

Case 1, where p is odd. Then $d(F)$ is divisible by exactly the first power of p . By taking norm $N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}$ of both sides of (2), we have

$$1 = d_1 f_1 + d_2 f_2 + \cdots + d_g f_g.$$

Therefore we may assume

$$d_1 = f_1 = 1, \quad d_i = 0 \quad (i \geq 2)$$

and so, by (a) of Lemma 1, $e_1=2$ and $e_i=1$ ($i \geq 2$). Thus in this case the condition (B) of Th. 2 holds. Moreover the inertia group T of a prime divisor in K of \mathfrak{P}_1 is a group of order 2 generated by a transposition by Lemma 2. In particular, any prime divisor in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ of p is unramified in K , since $|T|=2$ and p is already ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$.

Case 2, where $p=2$. Then $d(F)$ is divisible exactly by 4 or 8.

Subcase 2-1, where $4 \parallel d(F)$. Then we have

$$2 = d_1 f_1 + d_2 f_2 + \cdots + d_g f_g$$

and also, by (b) of Lemma 1, $d_i \geq 2$ if $d_i \neq 0$. Thus we may assume

$$d_1 = 2, \quad f_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_i = 0 \quad (i \geq 2)$$

and then we see $e_1=2$ or 3 and $e_i=1$ ($i \geq 2$) from Lemma 1. We must show $e_1=2$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $e_1=3$. Then, by Lemma 2, the inertia group T is a subgroup of Σ_3 . But since 2 is ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$, we must have $T=\Sigma_3$. This is impossible, because any inertia group has, in general, a normal Sylow p -subgroup ($p=2$ in the present case) while Σ_3 does not. Again we see from Lemma 2 that the inertia group T is a group of order 2 generated by a transposition, and so any prime divisor in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ of p is unramified in K .

Subcase 2-2, where $8 \parallel d(F)$. Then we have

$$3 = d_1 f_1 + d_2 f_2 + \cdots + d_g f_g \quad \text{and} \quad d_i \geq 2 \quad \text{if} \quad d_i \neq 0.$$

Thus we may assume

$$d_1 = 3, \quad f_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_i = 0 \quad (i \geq 2)$$

and then we see $e_1=2$ and $e_i=1$ ($i \geq 2$) from Lemma 1.

Thus, in all cases, we have proved that the inertia group T is a group of order 2 generated by a transposition and so any prime divisor in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ of p is unramified in K . This means that (b) of Th. 1 and a part “(A) \Rightarrow (B)” of Th. 2 hold. A part (a) of Th. 1 follows from Lemma 3. In fact, the Galois group of K/\mathbf{Q} , considered as a permutation group of degree n , is a primitive permutation group by Lemma 3. It is well known that, if a primitive permutation group contains a transposition, it is a symmetric group. (See also [Y1, p. 476].)

3.2. The proof of a part “(B) \Rightarrow (A)” of Th. 2.

Let p be a prime divisor of $d(F)$. Then we may assume $e_1=2$, $f_1=1$ and $e_i=1$ ($i \geq 2$). If p is odd, we see $d_1=1$ and $d_i=0$ ($i \geq 2$) from (a) of Lemma 1. Then we have $p \parallel d(F)$. Thus if $d(F)$ is odd, $d(F)$ is a discriminant of a quadratic field. Suppose $p=2$. Then we see $d_1=2$ or 3 and $d_i=0$ ($i \geq 2$) from (b) of Lemma 1. If $d_1=3$ then $d(F)$ is a discriminant of a quadratic field. Suppose $d_1=2$. Since the inertia group of a prime divisor in K of 2 is a group of order 2 generated by a transposition by Lemma 2, it induces a nontrivial automorphism on $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$, because the subgroup of the Galois group of K/\mathbf{Q} corresponding to $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ consists of even permutations. This means that 2 is ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ and so $d(F)/4 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Thus, also in this case, $d(F)$ is a discriminant of a quadratic field.

§4. Concluding remarks.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{ur,n}$ be the class of non-conjugate algebraic number fields of degree n which satisfy the conditions (a) and (b) in Th. 1, and let $\mathcal{F}_{qd,n}$ be the class of non-conjugate algebraic number fields of degree n with the discriminant equal to that of a quadratic number field. Theorem 1 shows

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{F}_{ur,n} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{qd,n}.$$

All examples of algebraic number fields in $\mathcal{F}_{ur,n}$ which are obtained in [F1], [O], [YY] and [U] belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{qd,n}$. In fact, for such examples, the condition (B) of Th. 2 is satisfied. It is not so difficult to see that the equality hold in (*) if $n \leq 5$ (see [Y2, Remark in p. 107] or Lemmas 4 and 5 below). If $n \geq 6$, however, the equality does not hold as is seen in Example 1 below. (See also [N, Example 2].) It seems to be difficult to state the conditions that an algebraic number field belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{ur,n}$ in terms of its discriminant.

In Lemma 4 and 5 below, F is an algebraic number field of degree n and K be the Galois closure of F over \mathbf{Q} , and the Galois group of the extension K/\mathbf{Q} is regarded as a permutation group of degree n (on the set of conjugates of F over \mathbf{Q}).

LEMMA 4. *The following condition (C) is equivalent to (B) in Theorem 2.*

(C) *The inertia group of every ramified prime of K is a group of order 2 generated by a transposition.*

In particular, if F satisfies (C), then $F \in \mathcal{F}_{qd, n}$, i. e., the discriminant $d(F)$ of F is equal to that of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$.

PROOF. This is immediate from Lemma 2.

Furthermore, we have clearly

LEMMA 5. *Assume that $d(F)$ is not square in \mathbf{Q} . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- I. *The extension $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(F)})$ is unramified.*
- II. *The inertia group of every ramified prime of K is a group of order 2 generated by an odd permutation.*

As applications of Lemmas 4 and 5, we will exhibit some examples of unramified extensions of quadratic fields which are obtained from fields in $\mathcal{F}_{ur, n} - \mathcal{F}_{qd, n}$ or not in $\mathcal{F}_{ur, n}$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $f(t) = t^6 + t^4 - 3t^3 + t^2 + 3t + 3$, $F = \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$, where θ is a root of $f(t) = 0$, and K be the splitting field over \mathbf{Q} of $f(t)$. Then we have $d(f) = d(F) = -2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 37 \cdot 7577$ and

$$f(t) \equiv (t+1)^2(t^4+t+1) \pmod{2}$$

$$f(t) \equiv t^2(t+1)^2(t-1)^2 \pmod{3}.$$

Other prime divisors 37 and 7577 of $d(F)$ satisfy the condition in (B) of Th. 2. (Note the remark after Th. 2 in the introduction.) Thus we see from Lemmas 2 and 4 that the condition II of Lemma 5 is satisfied, and so $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d(f)})$ is unramified. It is easy to see that the Galois group of K/\mathbf{Q} is Σ_6 . Thus we have $F \in \mathcal{F}_{ur, n} - \mathcal{F}_{qd, n}$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $f(t) = t^6 - t^5 - t^4 + t + 1$, F and K be as above. Then we have $d(f) = d(F) = -11691 = -3^3 \cdot 433$, and $f(t) \equiv (t^3 + t^2 + 2t + 1)^2 \pmod{3}$. Thus, as in Example 1, we see that $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3 \cdot 433})$ is unramified. We note that the Galois group of K/\mathbf{Q} is a group of order 72 which is isomorphic to the wreath product of Σ_3 by Z_2 (cf. [S] for the method of computations of Galois groups), and so $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3 \cdot 433})$ is an unramified extension with the Galois group iso-

morphic to a Frobenius group of order 36.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $f(t)=t^7-t^6-t^5+t^4-t^3-t^2+2t+1$, and F and K be as above. Then $d(f)=d(F)=-357911=-71^3$ and $f(t)\equiv(t+15)(t+22)^2(t+47)^2(t+65)^2 \pmod{71}$. Therefore, by Lemma 5, $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-71})$ is unramified. The Galois group of K/\mathbf{Q} is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order 14 (cf. [YK]), and so $K/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-71})$ is an unramified extension with a cyclic group of order 7 as the Galois group. This shows that K is the absolute class field of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-71})$, since the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-71})$ is 7.

Finally, we note that, in Yamamura [Y2], very interesting observations are done on the “density” of $\mathcal{F}_{ur,n}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{qa,n}$.

References

- [F1] G. Fujisaki, On an example of an unramified Galois extension, (in Japanese), *Sûgaku*, **9** (1957), 97-99.
- [F2] G. Fujisaki, Introduction to Algebraic Number Theory, (in Japanese), Shoukabou, 1975.
- [K] K. Komatsu, Square-free discriminants and affect-free equations, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **14** (1991), 57-60.
- [M] E. Maus, Computation of integral bases in certain S_n extensions of \mathbf{Q} , *J. Symbolic Comput.*, **4** (1987), 99-102.
- [N] J. Nakagawa, On the Galois group of a number field with square free discriminant, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, **37** (1988), 95-98.
- [O] H. Osada, The Galois groups of the polynomials X^n+aX^l+b , *J. Number Theory*, **25** (1987), 230-238.
- [S] R.P. Stauduhar, The determination of Galois groups, *Math. Comp.*, **27** (1973), 981-996.
- [U] K. Uchida, Unramified extensions of quadratic number fields, I, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **7** (1970), 138-140.
- [W] B.L. van der Waerden, Die Zerlegungs- und Trägheitsgruppe als Permutationsgruppen, *Math. Ann.*, **111** (1935), 731-733.
- [YK] K. Yamazaki, The computation of Galois groups, (in Japanese), Report of Symposium at Osaka Univ., (ed. T. Kondo), 1981, pp. 9-57.
- [YY] Y. Yamamoto, On ramified Galois extensions of quadratic number fields, *Osaka J. Math.*, **7** (1970), 57-76.
- [Y1] K. Yamamura, On unramified Galois extensions of real quadratic number fields, *Osaka J. Math.*, **23** (1986), 471-478.
- [Y2] K. Yamamura, Some analogue of Hilbert’s irreducibility theorem and the distribution of algebraic number fields, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **38** (1991), 99-135.

Takeshi KONDO

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Woman’s Christian University
Zempukuj, Suginami-ku, Tokyo, 167
Japan