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## 1. Introduction.

Let $\Omega_{a}=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{N}: a<|x|<a+1\right\}$ with $a>0$ and $g: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is continuous. We are concerned with the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\Delta u & =\lambda u+g(u) & & \text { in } \Omega_{a}  \tag{1}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{a} \\
u>0 & & \text { in } \Omega_{a} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It was known that any solution of (1) is radially symmetric in the case that the domain $\Omega_{a}$ is a disk instead of an annulus in Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [4].

On the other hand, the existence of nonradial solutions of (1) in an annulus $\Omega_{a}$ was first obtained when $\lambda=0, g(t)=t^{p}$ with $p$ close to $(N+2) /(N-2)$ and $N \geqq 3$ by Brezis and Nirenberg [2]. Later Coffman [3] showed the generation of essentially infinitely many nonradial solutions as $a \rightarrow+\infty$ for $\lambda=-1$ and $g(t)=t^{p}$; where $N=2$ and $1<p<\infty$. This result was generalized by Kawohl [7] and Suzuki [11] in the case of $N=2$ and then by Li [9] when $N \geqq 4$. These arguments can be applied only to the case of homogeneous nonlinearities or nonlinear eigenvalue problems because the Lagrangean multiplier principle played a crucial role there.

In order to prove the existence of nonradial solutions of the problem (1) with a general nonlinearity, Lin [10] used a spectral analysis for solutions produced by the Nehari variation and Suzuki [12] was based on estimates the critical values obtained by the mountain pass lemma.

Our purpose of the present paper is to give a simple proof of the above results. We make use of estimates of the Morse indices of the critical points given by the mountain pass lemma, which was first employed to get a sequence of subharmonic solutions of an elliptic equation on a strip-like domain in [5]. Our method enables us to weaken the growth condition of the nonlinearity $g$ of (1) because we do not need information about the order of critical values as $a \rightarrow+\infty$.

## 2. Case of $N=2$.

Throughout this paper, the following conditions are assumed on $g \in C^{1}(\boldsymbol{R})$ :
i) $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=0$
ii) there are $p>2$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
0 \leqq g^{\prime}(t) \leqq C_{1} t^{p-1}+C_{2} \quad \text { for } t>0
$$

iii) there exists $\mu>1$ satisfying

$$
\mu g(t) \leqq g^{\prime}(t) t \quad \text { for } t>0
$$

For $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $a>0$, we denote by $N_{\lambda}(a)$ the number of rotationally nonequivalent solutions of the problem (1). Let $\|\cdot\|_{a},|\cdot|_{a}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{a}$ be the norm of $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ and the pairing between $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ and $H^{-1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$, respectively. For each nonnegative integer $m, H_{m}^{a}$ means the closed subspace of $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ spanned by

$$
\left\{\varphi^{a}(r) \sin (k m \theta), \varphi^{a}(r) \cos (k m \theta): k \geqq 0, \varphi^{a} \in H_{0}^{1}(a, a+1)\right\}
$$

In particular, $H_{0}^{a}$ is the subspace of all radially symmetric functions in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$. Define $J_{a}: H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ by

$$
J_{a}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{a}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{a}} \lambda u^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega_{a}} \int_{0}^{u(x)} g(t) d t d x,
$$

where $g(t)=0$ for $t<0$. Then $J_{a}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on each $H_{m}^{a}$. It is said for $J_{a}$ to have the Morse index $k$ at $u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ provided that the dimension of the maximal subspace on which $J_{a}^{\prime \prime}(u)$ is negative definite is equal to $k$.

We seek for a solution of the problem (1) as a critical point of $J_{a}$.
Theorem 1. Under the hypotheses i)-iii), if $\lambda<1$, the number $N_{\lambda}($ a) diverges to $+\infty$ as $a \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. First it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{a}^{2} \leqq\left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)\|u\|_{a}^{2} \quad \text { for } u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, from Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u|_{a}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1} u(r, \theta)^{2} r d r d \theta \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1}\left(\int_{a}^{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho}(\rho, \theta) d \rho\right)^{2} r d r d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1} \frac{r}{a}\left(\int_{a}^{a+1}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho}(\rho, \theta)\right)^{2} \rho d \rho\right) d r d \theta \\
& \leqq\left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)\|u\|_{a}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ is greater than $\lambda(<1)$ for $a>0$ sufficiently large. According to the mountain pass lemma, for each $a>0$ and $m \in \boldsymbol{N}$ there exists a nonzero critical point $u_{m}^{a} \in H_{m}^{a}$ of $\left.J_{a}\right|_{H_{m}^{a}}$. Then the Morse index of $\left.J_{a}\right|_{H_{m}^{a}}$ at $u_{m}^{a}$ is less than or equal to 1 (see [6], [8]). It was known that $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ is generated by

$$
\left\{\varphi_{m k}^{a}(r) \sin (m \theta), \varphi_{m k}^{a}(r) \cos (m \theta): m \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{+}, k \in \boldsymbol{N}, \varphi_{m k}^{a} \in H_{0}^{1}(a, a+1)\right\}
$$

Since $u_{m}^{a}$ is a critical point of $\left.J_{a}\right|_{H_{m}^{a}}$, we have

$$
\left\langle-\Delta u_{m}^{a}-\lambda u_{m}^{a}-g\left(u_{m}^{a}\right), v\right\rangle_{a}=0 \quad \text { for } v \in H_{m}^{a}
$$

From $g: H_{m}^{a} \rightarrow H_{m}^{a}$, it follows for any $n \neq m$ and $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle- & \left.\Delta u_{m}^{a}-\lambda u_{m}^{a}-g\left(u_{m}^{a}\right), \varphi_{n k}^{a}(r) \sin (n \theta)\right\rangle_{a} \\
= & \int_{a}^{a+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\frac{\partial u_{m}^{a}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\varphi_{n k}^{a}(r) \sin (n \theta)\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{a}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\varphi_{n k}^{a}(r) \sin (n \theta)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda u_{m}^{a} \varphi_{n k}^{a}(r) \sin (n \theta)-g\left(u_{m}^{a}\right) \varphi_{n k}^{a}(r) \sin (n \theta)\right\} r d \theta d r \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\left\langle-\Delta u_{m}^{a}-\lambda u_{m}^{a}-g\left(u_{m}^{a}\right), \varphi_{n k}^{a}(r) \cos (n \theta)\right\rangle_{a}=0 .
$$

Therefore $u_{m}^{a}$ is a critical point of $J_{a}$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$. Now, from (2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{m}^{a}\right) u_{m}^{a}, u_{m}^{a}\right\rangle_{a}}{\left|u_{m}^{a}\right|_{a}^{2}}<0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $m \in \boldsymbol{N}$. In fact,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{m}^{a}\right) u_{m}^{a}, u_{m}^{a}\right\rangle_{a}}{\left|u_{m}^{a}\right|_{a}^{2}} \\
=\underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{\left\langle-\Delta u_{m}^{a}-\lambda u_{m}^{a}-g^{\prime}\left(u_{m}^{a}\right) u_{m}^{a}, u_{m}^{a}\right\rangle_{a}}{\left|u_{m}^{a}\right|^{2}} \\
\leqq \limsup _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\langle-\Delta u_{m}^{a}-\lambda u_{m}^{a}-\mu g\left(u_{m}^{a}\right), u_{m}^{a}\right\rangle_{a}}{\left|\mu_{m}^{a}\right|_{a}^{2}} \\
\leqq(1-\mu)\left(\liminf _{a \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{m}^{a}\right\|_{a}^{2}\right. \\
\left|u_{m}^{a}\right|_{a}^{2}
\end{array}\right) . \lambda\right) .
$$

uniformly for $m$. Let $m, n \in \boldsymbol{N}$, satisfying $m=k n$ with $k \geqq 3$. It is obvious that $H_{m}^{a} \subset H_{n}^{a}$. Suppose that $u_{n}^{a} \in H_{m}^{a}$. Let $v_{m}^{a} \in H_{m}^{a}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue of $J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right)$ in $H_{m}^{a}$. By (3), it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right) v_{m}^{a}, v_{m}^{a}\right\rangle_{a}}{\left|v_{m}^{a}\right|_{a}^{2}}<0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right) v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta), v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta)\right\rangle_{a} \\
&= \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta)\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta)\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.-\lambda\left(v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta)\right)^{2}-g^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right)\left(v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta)\right)^{2}\right\} r d r d \theta \\
&= \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1}\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{m}^{a}}{\partial r}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2}(n \theta)+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left\{\frac{\partial v_{m}^{a}}{\partial \theta} \cos (n \theta)+v_{m}^{a}(-n \sin (n \theta))\right\}^{2}\right. \\
&\left.-\lambda\left(v_{m}^{a}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2}(n \theta)-g^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right)\left(v_{m}^{a}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2}(n \theta)\right] r d r d \theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v_{m}^{a}}{\partial r}\right)^{a}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial v_{m}^{a}}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}-\lambda\left(v_{m}^{a}\right)^{2}-g^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right)\left(v_{m}^{a}\right)^{2}\right\}(1+\cos (2 n \theta)) r d r d \theta \\
&-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1} \frac{n}{r^{2}} v_{m}^{a} \frac{\partial v_{m}^{a}}{\partial \theta} \sin (2 n \theta) r d r d \theta \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{a+1} \frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(v_{m}^{a}\right)^{2}(1-\cos (2 n \theta)) r d r d \theta \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{2}\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right) v_{m}^{a}, v_{m}^{a}\right\rangle_{a}+\frac{n^{2}}{a^{2}}\left|v_{m}^{a}\right|_{a}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4), it follows that

$$
\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}^{a}\right) v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta), v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta)\right\rangle_{a}<0
$$

for $a>0$ sufficiently large. This contradicts that the Morse index of $\left.J_{a}\right|_{H a n}$ at $u_{n}^{a}$ is less than or equal to 1 because $v_{m}^{a}$ and $v_{m}^{a} \cos (n \theta)$ are orthogonal. Therefore we have $u_{n} \notin H_{m}^{a}$ if $a>0$ is sufficiently large. This completes the proof.

## 3. Case of $N \geqq 3$.

In this section, we show the existence of nonradial solutions of (1) with $N \geqq 3$ by reducing the original problem to the case of $N=2$.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions i), ii) with $2<p<(N+2) /(N-2)$ and iii), if $\lambda \leqq 0$, the problem (1) possesses a nonradial solution for $a>0$ sufficiently large.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a nonzero critical
point $u_{a}$ of $J_{a}$ such that the Morse index of $J_{a}$ at $u_{a}$ is less than or equal to 1. The same way as the proof of (3) indicates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \sup \left\{\frac{\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}(u) u, u\right\rangle_{a}}{|u|_{a}^{2}}: u \text { is radial, } J_{a}^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}<0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $u_{a}$ is radially symmetric. Let $v_{a}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue of $J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{a}\right)$ in the subspace of radial functions in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$. From (5), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a}, v_{a}\right\rangle_{a}}{\left|v_{a}\right|_{a}^{2}}<0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\Omega_{a}^{i}=\Omega_{a} \cap \boldsymbol{R}^{i}$. Putting $I_{k}=\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{k} \theta d \theta$, we easily see $I_{k}=((k-1) / k) I_{k-2}$ for $k \geqq 2$. In general, for any function $f$ dependent only on $\rho$ and $x_{N}$, where $\rho=$ $\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{a}^{N}} f\left(\rho, x_{N}\right) d x_{1} \cdots d x_{N} \\
&=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{\Omega_{a}^{N-1} \cap\left(r_{1}>0\right)} f\left(\rho, x_{N}\right) r_{1} d r_{1} d \theta d x_{3} \cdots d x_{N} \\
&=2 \pi \int_{\Omega_{a}^{N-1} \cap\left(r_{1}>0\right)} f\left(\rho, x_{N}\right) r_{1} d r_{1} d x_{3} \cdots d x_{N} \\
&=2 \pi \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \int_{\Omega_{a}^{N-2} \cap\left(r_{2}>0\right)} f\left(\rho, x_{N}\right) r_{2}^{2} \cos \theta d r_{2} d \theta d x_{4} \cdots d x_{N} \\
&=2 \pi I_{1} \int_{\Omega_{a}^{N-2} \cap\left(r_{2}>0\right)} f\left(\rho, x_{N}\right) r_{2}^{2} d r_{2} d x_{4} \cdots d x_{N} \\
& \vdots \\
&=2 \pi I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N-3} \int_{\Omega_{a}^{2} \cap(\rho>0)} f\left(\rho, x_{N}\right) \rho^{N-2} d \rho d x_{N} \\
&=2 \pi I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N-3} \int_{a}^{a+1} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r^{N-1} \cos { }^{N-2} \theta d \theta d r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $w \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ dependent only on $\rho$ and $x_{N}$, it holds that

$$
\langle-\Delta w, w\rangle_{a}=2 \pi I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N-3} \int_{a}^{a+1} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}\right\} r^{N-1} \cos ^{N-2} \theta d \theta d r .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a} \sin \theta, v_{a} \sin \theta\right\rangle_{a} \\
& =2 \pi I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N-3} \int_{a}^{a+1} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v_{a}}{\partial r}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta+\frac{1}{r^{2}} v_{a}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\lambda v_{a}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta-g^{\prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right\} r^{N-1} \cos ^{N-2} \theta d \theta d r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leqq & 2 \pi I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N-3} \int_{a}^{a+1} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v_{a}}{\partial r}\right)^{2}-\lambda v_{a}^{2}-g^{\prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a}^{2}\right\} r^{N-1} \cos ^{N-2} \theta d \theta d r \\
& -2 \pi I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N-3} \int_{a}^{a+1} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v_{a}}{\partial r}\right)^{2}-\lambda v_{a}^{2}-g^{\prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a}^{2}\right\} r^{N-1} \cos ^{N} \theta d \theta d r \\
& +\frac{1}{a^{2}} \cdot 2 \pi I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N-3} \int_{a}^{a+1} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} v_{a}^{2} r^{N-1} \cos ^{N-2} \theta d \theta d r \\
\leqq & \left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a}, v_{a}\right\rangle_{a}-\frac{I_{N}}{I_{N-2}}\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a}, v_{a}\right\rangle_{a}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left|v_{a}\right|_{a}^{2} \\
\leqq & \frac{1}{N}\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a}, v_{a}\right\rangle_{a}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left|v_{a}\right|_{a}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the inequality (6), it follows that

$$
\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{a}\right) v_{a} \sin \theta, v_{a} \sin \theta\right\rangle_{a}<0
$$

for $a>0$ sufficiently large. This contradicts that the Morse index of $J_{a}$ at $u_{a}$ is less than or equal to 1 since $v_{a}$ and $v_{a} \sin \theta$ are orthogonal. Consequently $u_{a}$ is nonradial if $a>0$ is sufficiently large.

Remark. As seen from the above proofs, it is sufficient to assume growth conditions of $g$ under which the functional $J_{a}$ is of class $C^{2}$ and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition instead of the condition ii).
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