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## § 1. Introduction.

The classical $h$-cobordism theorem and the $s$-cobordism theorem have played an important role in numerous aspects of geometric topology, including the classification of manifolds by surgery [35], [22], [3], [28], [21], [36], [34], [43], [5], [9], [23], [42].

In [1], we discussed equivariant versions of these theorems.
Let $G$ be a compact Lie group and $X$ a finite $G$-CW complex. S. Illman [14] defined the equivariant Whitehead group $\mathrm{Wh}_{G}(X)$ of $X$ and the equivariant Whitehead torsion $\tau_{G}(f)$ for a $G$-homotopy equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between finite $G$-CW-complexes $X, Y$ as an element of $\mathrm{Wh}_{G}(X)$. When $\tau_{G}(f)=0, f$ is called a simple G-homotopy equivalence.

Let $W$ be a compact smooth $G$-manifold whose boundary $\partial W$ is the disjoint union $X \amalg Y$ of two closed $G$-invariant submanifolds. If the inclusion maps

$$
i_{X}: X \longrightarrow W \text { and } i_{Y}: Y \longrightarrow W
$$

are $G$-homotopy equivalences, then the triad $(W ; X, Y)$ is called a $G$ - $h$ cobordism.

When $G$ is a finite group, $W$ admits a unique smooth $G$-triangulation [15]. Accordingly the equivariant Whitehead torsion $\tau_{G}\left(i_{X}\right)$ is well-defined. On the other hand the investigation of T. Matumoto and M. Shiota [26] enables us to define the equivariant Whitehead torsion $\tau_{G}\left(i_{X}\right)$ even when $G$ is a compact Lie group. Notice that $\tau_{G}\left(i_{X}\right)$ is often written as $\tau_{G}(W, X)$.

A $G$ - $h$-cobordism ( $W ; X, Y$ ) is called a $G$-s-cobordism when $\tau_{G}\left(i_{X}\right)$ vanishes. The two $G$-manifolds $X$ and $Y$ are then called $G$-s-cobordant.

We say that the $G$-s-cobordism theorem holds for a $G$-s-cobordism ( $W ; X, Y$ ) if $W$ is $G$-diffeomorphic to the product $X \times I$ rel $X$ where $I$ is the interval

[^0][0,1] with trivial $G$-action.
Let $H, K$ be isotropy groups appearing in $W$ and
$$
W^{H}=\underset{\lambda}{\amalg} W_{\lambda}^{H}, \quad W^{K}=\underset{\mu}{\amalg} W_{\mu}^{K}
$$
be the decompositions to connected components of respective fixed point sets. We now consider two conditions.
(*1) (Gap hypothesis) If $W_{\mu}^{K} \supsetneq W_{\lambda}^{H}$, then $\operatorname{dim} W_{\mu}^{K}-\operatorname{dim} W_{\lambda}^{H} \geqq \operatorname{dim} G+3$ for any pair of components $W_{\mu}^{K}$ and $W_{\lambda}^{H}$.
(*2) If $H$ is a maximal isotropy group, then $\operatorname{dim} W_{\lambda}^{H} \geqq \operatorname{dim} G+6$ for any components $W_{\lambda}^{H}$.

Then we have
Theorem 1.1 [1]. Let $G$ be a compact Lie group and ( $W$; $X, Y$ ) a $G$-scobordism. If $W$ satisfies the conditions (*1) and (*2) above, then we have a $G$ diffeomorphism

$$
W \cong X \times I \quad \text { rel } X
$$

In particular, $X$ is $G$-diffeomorphic to $Y$.
On the other hand, we have shown in [20] that $G$-s-cobordism theorems do not hold in general for many compact Lie groups $G$ if the condition (*1) is not satisfied. The $G$-s-cobordisms ( $W ; X, Y$ ) provided there as counterexamples are such that $X$ is $G$-diffeomorphic to $Y$, but $W$ is not $G$-homeomorphic to $X \times I$.

In the present paper, we show that $G$-s-cobordant manifolds are not necessarily $G$-homeomorphic. Namely we have

Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be an arbitrary non-trivial compact Lie group. Then there exists a $G$-s-cobordism $(W ; X, Y)$ such that $X$ is not $G$-homeomorphic to $Y$. In particular, $W$ is not $G$-homeomorphic to $X \times I$.

Remark 1.3. Similar results related with Theorem 1.1 were also obtained in [7], [30], [6], [2], [39], [38], [19].

Remark 1.4. In the non-equivariant case, Milnor has given examples of $h$-cobordant manifolds which are not diffeomorphic [29]. Moreover F.T. Farrell and W.C. Hsiang have shown that $h$-cobordant manifolds are not necessarily homeomorphic [10]. It is needless to say that these $h$-cobordant manifolds are not $s$-cobordant.

Remark 1.5. In the equivariant case, W. Browder and F. Quinn have shown that there is a $Z_{2}$ - $h$-cobordism $\left(W ; S_{1}^{n}, S_{2}^{n}\right)$ such that $W$ is not $Z_{2^{-}}$ homeomorphic to $S_{1}^{n} \times I$ [7]. But $S_{1}^{n}$ and $S_{2}^{n}$ are $Z_{2}$-homeomorphic in this case.

Remark 1.6. By combining the results of S. Illman [15], T. Matumoto [25], I. M. James and G. B. Segal [17], C. H. Giffen [11] and D. W. Sumners [41], we get a $Z_{p^{-}} h$-cobordism $\left(W_{1} ; X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ such that $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ are not $Z_{p^{-}}$ homeomorphic (see §4). Unfortunately, however, we do not know whether the $Z_{p}$ - $h$-cobordism is a $Z_{p-s}$-cobordism or not. Therefore the consideration in $\S 4$ is indispensable even for $G=Z_{p}$.

The present investigation was carried out while the author was visiting the University of Helsinki. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor S. Illman for stimulating conversations and to the Academy of Finland for inviting him as a guest professor. Thanks are also due to Professors T. Matumoto and M. Shiota for enlightening him on $G$-CW complex structures on $G$-manifolds.

## § 2. Induced transformation groups.

We first introduce basic notations. Let $G$ be a compact Lie group. Whenever $H$ is a closed subgroup of $G,(H)$ denotes the conjugacy class of $H$ in $G$. Let $X$ be a $G$-space. We shall denote the isotropy group at $x \in X$ by $G_{x}$, namely $G_{x}=\{g \in G \mid g x=x\}$, and the $G$ orbit of $x$ by $G(x)$, namely $G(x)=$ $\{g x \in X \mid g \in G\}$. A $G$-space $X$ is called a semi-free $G$-space when $G_{x}$ is either $G$ or the unit group $\{e\}$ for every $x \in X$. The orbit space of a $G$-space $X$ is denoted by $X / G$. For a subgroup $H$ of $G$, we shall put $X^{H}=\left\{x \in X \mid G_{x} \supset H\right\}$, $X(H)=\left\{x \in X \mid\left(G_{x}\right)=(H)\right\}$.

In the following, we introduce the notion of induced transformation groups.
Let $G$ be a compact Lie group and $H$ a closed subgroup of $G$. Let $X$ be an $H$-space. Consider the space $G \times X$ and define an $H$-action $\phi: H \times(G \times X) \rightarrow$ $G \times X$ by

$$
\phi(h,(g, x))=\left(g h^{-1}, h x\right) \quad \text { for } h \in H, g \in G, x \in X .
$$

We define $G \times{ }_{H} X$ to be the orbit space of $G \times X$ under this $H$-action. Let $\pi: G \times X \rightarrow G \times{ }_{H} X$ be the natural projection and denote $\pi(g, x)=[g, x]$. Now define a $G$-action $\psi: G \times\left(G \times_{H} X\right) \rightarrow G \times_{H} X$ by $\psi\left(g^{\prime},[g, x]\right)=\left[g^{\prime} g, x\right]$.

The space $G \times{ }_{H} X$ together with this $G$-action is called an induced transformation group.

Lemma 2.1. For a closed subgroup $K$ of $H$, we have

$$
\left(G \underset{H}{(G)(K)}=G \underset{H}{\underset{H}{\left(K^{\prime}\right) \leq(K)}} \cup \underset{\substack{K^{\prime} \\ \bigcup}}{ } X\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

where the union is taken over all the closed subgroups $K^{\prime}$ of $H$ such that $K^{\prime}$ is conjugate to $K$ in $G$.

Proof. Lemma 2.1 follows immediately from the following relation;

$$
G_{[g, x]}=g H_{x} g^{-1} .
$$

Proposition 2.2. If $X$ is an $H$-space, then there is a canonical homeomorphism

$$
f:(G \underset{H}{(G) X) / G \longrightarrow X / H}
$$

with $f\left(\left(G \times{ }_{H} X\right)(H) / G\right)=X^{H}$.
Proof. Set $f(G([g, x]))=H(x)$. Then it is easy to see that $f$ is a welldefined continuous map. Conversely define a map

$$
f^{\prime}: X / H \longrightarrow \underset{H}{(G \times X) / G}
$$

by setting

$$
f^{\prime}(H(x))=G([e, x]) .
$$

Then one verifies easily that $f^{\prime}$ is also a well-defined continuous map and that

$$
f \cdot f^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \cdot f=\text { identity }
$$

Hence both $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are homeomorphisms.
In view of Lemma 2, 1, we have

$$
\left(G \underset{H}{\times X)(H)}=G \underset{H}{\times} \underset{\left.\left(K^{\prime},\right\rangle=H\right)}{\bigcup} X\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

Since there exists an element $g$ of $G$ such that $g K^{\prime} g^{-1}=H$, we have

$$
g^{-1} H g=K^{\prime} \subset H .
$$

Then it is shown in [4] that $g$ belongs to the normalizer $N(H)$ of $H$ in $G$. Hence $K^{\prime}$ exactly coincides with $H$. Thus we have

$$
\underset{\substack{K^{\prime}} \leq H(H)}{\bigcup} X\left(K^{\prime}\right)=X(H)=X^{H} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\underset{H}{(G \times X)(H) / G}=\left(G \underset{H}{\left.\times X^{H}\right) / G .}\right.
$$

Obviously we have

$$
f\left(\left(G \times X_{H}^{H}\right) / G\right)=X^{H} .
$$

This makes the proof of Proposition 2.2 complete.

## § 3. Equivariant Whitehead torsions of induced transformation groups.

Denote by $D^{n}$ the $n$-dimensional open disk with trivial $H$-action. Each $H$ cell of an $H$-CW complex has the form $H / K \times D^{n}$ where $K$ is a closed subgroup of $H$. Making use of the canonical $G$-homeomorphism

$$
G \times\left(H / K \times \dot{D}^{n}\right)=G / K \times \dot{D}^{n},
$$

we have
Lemma 3.1 [14]. If $X$ is a finite $H$-CW complex, then $\underset{H}{G \times X}$ is a finite G-CW complex.

Each element of $\mathrm{Wh}_{H}(X)$ is represented by a finite $H$-CW pair $(V, X)$ such that $X$ is an $H$-deformation retract of $V$. The element represented by such a pair $(V, X)$ is denoted by $\tau_{H}(V, X)$ and is called the equivariant Whitehead torsion of $(V, X)$. Then it is easy to see that $G \times_{H} X$ is a $G$-deformation retract of $G \times_{H} V$. Hence the $G$-CW pair ( $G \times_{H} V, G \times_{H} X$ ) represents an element of $\mathrm{Wh}_{G}\left(G \times_{H} X\right)$ and we have

Lemma 3.2. [14]. The assignment $\tau_{H}(V, X) \rightarrow \tau_{G}\left(G \times_{H} V, G \times_{H} X\right)$ gives $a$ well-defined homomorphism

$$
i_{*}: \mathrm{Wh}_{H}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Wh}_{G}(G \times X) .
$$

Suppose hereafter that $H$ is a finite subgroup of a compact Lie group $G$.
Let $(W ; X, Y)$ be a smooth $H$ - $h$-cobordism. Namely $W$ is a compact $H$ manifold with boundary $\partial W=X \amalg Y$ (disjoint union) and the inclusions

$$
i_{X}: X \longrightarrow W \text { and } i_{Y}: Y \longrightarrow W
$$

are $H$-homotopy equivalences.
According to [15], $W$ and $X$ admit unique smooth $H$-triangulations and hence the equivariant Whitehead torsion $\tau_{H}(W, X)$ is well-defined. Consider the induced transformation groups $G \times{ }_{H} W$ and $G \times{ }_{H} X$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $G \times_{H} W$ and $G \times_{H} X$ have the induced $G$-CW complex structures. Hence we have a homomorphism

$$
i_{*}: \mathrm{Wh}_{H}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Wh}_{G}(G \underset{H}{\times X})
$$

by Lemma 3.2.
On the other hand, $G \times{ }_{H} X$ has the induced smooth $G$-manifold structure as follows. Since the map

$$
\phi: H \times(G \times X) \longrightarrow G \times X
$$

defined by $\phi(h,(g, x))=\left(g h^{-1}, h x\right)$ for $h \in H, g \in G, x \in X$ gives a smooth free $H$-action, the orbit space $G \times{ }_{H} X$ of $G \times X$ under this action is naturally given a smooth structure so that the $G$-action on $G \times_{H} X$ is smooth. Similar for $G \times{ }_{H} W$. Hence the equivariant Whitehead torsion $\tau_{G}\left(G \times{ }_{H} W, G \times{ }_{H} X\right)_{\text {Ms }}$ in the sense of Matumoto and Shiota [26] is defined.

We now claim the following
Lemma 3.3. The G-CW complex structure in Lemma 3.1 coincides with that of Matumoto and Shiota, and we have

$$
i_{*} \tau_{H}(W, X)=\tau_{G}(G \underset{H}{\times W}, \underset{H}{G \times X})_{\mathrm{MS}} .
$$

Proof. In the following, the reader is referred to [12], [13], [26], [27], [32], [33]. T. Matumoto and M. Shiota defined the equivariant Whitehead torsion $\tau_{G}\left(G \times_{H} W, G \times_{H} X\right)_{\text {MS }}$ by using a subanalytic triangulations of the orbit spaces $\left(G \times{ }_{H} W\right) / G$ and $\left(G \times{ }_{H} X\right) / G$. Notice that the orbit space $X / H$ is endowed with a canonical triangulation [15]. Concerning the induced $G$-CW complex structure on $G \times_{H} X$, the orbit space $\left(G \times_{H} X\right) / G$ is endowed with a canonical triangulation and we have a canonical isomorphism of simplicial complexes:

$$
X / H \cong(G \times X) / G .
$$

Hereafter we identify $X / H$ with $\left(G \times_{H} X\right) / G$ by this canonical isomorphism.
Recall that every Lie group $G$ has a unique real analytic structure. Moreover every smooth $G$-manifold $X$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a real analytic $G$-manifold. When both $G$ and $X$ are compact, such a real analytic $G$-manifold structure is unique.

According to [26], the smooth $G$-manifold $G \times{ }_{H} X$ admits a $G$-CW complex structure which induces a subanalytic triangulation on the orbit space $\left(G \times_{H} X\right) / G$.

Consider the following commutative diagram

where $\pi_{2}$ is the projection to the second factor and the other $\pi_{i}$ are orbit maps.
Since $\pi_{1}: G \times X \rightarrow G \times{ }_{H} X$ is a finite covering, there is a local analytic section for the projection $\pi_{1}$. Obviously $\pi_{2}$ is an analytic map. Moreover it is easy to see that the orbit space $X / H$ is a subanalytic set and the projection
$\pi_{3}: X \rightarrow X / H$ is a subanalytic map.
Putting all this together, we have that $\pi_{4}$ is locally a composite of two analytic maps and a subanalytic map. Notice that a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ between compact subanalytic sets $A, B$ is subanalytic if $f$ is locally subanalytic.

Since all the spaces in the diagram above are compact, we can conclude that the map $\pi_{4}$ is subanalytic.

Namely the triangulation of the orbit space $\left(G \times_{H} X\right) / G$ is nothing but the subanalytic triangulation of [26].

Thus we have shown that the induced $G$-CW complex structure on $G \times{ }_{H} X$ gives the $G$-CW complex structure in the sense of [26].

Similar for $G \times_{H} W$ and the induced $G$-CW pair $\left(G \times{ }_{H} W, G \times_{H} X\right)$ represents the equivariant Whitehead torsion $\tau_{G}\left(G \times_{H} W, G \times{ }_{H} X\right)_{\text {Ms }}$ in the sense of Matumoto and Shiota [26].

This makes the proof of Lemma 3.3 complete.
Corollary 3.4. If $\tau_{H}(W, X)=0$, then we have $\tau_{G}\left(G \times{ }_{H} W, G \times{ }_{H} X\right)_{\mathrm{MS}}=0$.
Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

## §4. Construction of counterexamples.

We start by recalling a theorem of Sumners. Let $S^{n}$ and $B^{n}$ denote the $n$-sphere and the $n$-ball respectively. For a ball pair ( $B^{n+3}, k B^{n+1}$ ), we denote by $\partial\left(B^{n+3}, k B^{n+1}\right)$ the boundary sphere pair. Denote by $Z_{p}$ the cyclic group of order $p$. A manifold pair ( $M, N$ ) is said to admit a $Z_{p}$-action if there exists a semi-free $Z_{p}$-action on $M$ such that the fixed point set is $N$.

Theorem of Sumners [41]. For each pair ( $n, p$ ) with $n \geqq 2$ and $p \geqq 2$, there are infinitely many knots ( $S^{n+2}, k S^{n}$ ) and ball pairs ( $B^{n+3}, k B^{n+1}$ ) satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\left(S^{n+2}, k S^{n}\right)=\partial\left(B^{n+3}, k B^{n+1}\right)$
(ii) ( $\left.B^{n+3}, k B^{n+1}\right)$ admit $Z_{p}$-actions.

Let $\left(S^{n+2}, k S^{n}\right)=\partial\left(B^{n+3}, k B^{n+1}\right)$ be one of the non-trivial knots in Theorem of Sumners (see also [11], [8]). Choose an arbitrary point $x$ from the interior of $k B^{n+1}$. Let $D(x)$ be a $Z_{p}$-invariant closed tubular neighbourhood of $x$ in $B^{n+3}$ satisfying

$$
D(x) \subset \operatorname{Int} B^{n+3}
$$

where Int $B^{n+3}$ denotes the interior of $B^{n+3}$. Then we put

$$
W_{1}=B^{n+3}-\operatorname{Int} D(x), \quad X_{1}=\partial B^{n+3}=S^{n+2}, \quad Y_{1}=\partial D(x)
$$

where $\partial B^{n+3}$ and $\partial D(x)$ denote the boundaries of $B^{n+3}$ and $D(x)$ respectively.

It follows from the uniqueness of tubular neighbourhoods that $W_{1}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{n+2} \times I$ and the fixed point set $W_{1}^{Z_{p}}$ is diffeomorphic to $X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}} \times I=$ $S^{n} \times I$. Hence the following inclusion maps

$$
X_{1} \longrightarrow W_{1}, \quad Y_{1} \longrightarrow W_{1}, \quad X_{1} z_{p} \longrightarrow W_{1}{ }^{z_{p}}, \quad Y_{1}{ }^{z_{p}} \longrightarrow W_{1}{ }^{z_{p}}
$$

are homotopy equivalences. Since $W_{1}, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ have $Z_{p}$-triangulations [15], $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ are $Z_{p}$-deformation retracts of $W_{1}$ by [25] and [17]. Namely the $\operatorname{triad}\left(W_{1} ; X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ is a $Z_{p}$-h-cobordism.

Next we consider the following triad

$$
\left(W_{2} ; X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\left(W_{1} ; X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \times S^{2 k+1}=\left(W_{1} \times S^{2 k+1} ; X_{1} \times S^{2 k+1}, Y_{1} \times S^{2 k+1}\right)
$$

where $S^{2 k+1}$ is the $(2 k+1)$-sphere with trivial $Z_{p}$-action.
Let $G$ be an arbitrary compact Lie group including $Z_{p}$ as a subgroup. Finally we consider the following triad consisting of induced transformation groups

$$
\left.(W ; X, Y)=\underset{Z_{p}}{G \times\left(W_{2}\right.} ; X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\left(\underset{Z_{p}}{G \times W_{2}} ; \underset{Z_{p}}{G \times X_{2}}, G \times Z_{\mathcal{p}}\right) .
$$

Then we have
Theorem 4.1. The triad $(W ; X, Y)$ is a $G$-s-cobordism such that $X$ is not $G$-homeomorphic to $Y$.

Proof. It follows from the product formula for equivariant Whitehead torsion [16] that the inclusion map $X_{2} \rightarrow W_{2}$ is a simple $Z_{p}$-homotopy equivalence. In another word, the triad $\left(W_{2} ; X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$ is a $Z_{p}$-s-cobordism. By virtue of Corollary 3.4, it follows that the $\operatorname{triad}(W ; X, Y)$ is a $G$-s-cobordism.

In the following we shall show that $X$ is not $G$-homeomorphic to $Y$. To see this, we suppose tentatively that there exists a $G$-homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Since $f$ is a $G$-homeomorphism, $f$ induces a homeomorphism

$$
\bar{f}: X_{2} / Z_{p} \longrightarrow Y_{2} / Z_{p}
$$

with

$$
\bar{f}\left(X_{2} z_{p}\right)=Y_{2}{ }^{z_{p}}
$$

by Proposition 2.2. Consequently, we have a homeomorphism

$$
\bar{f}_{0}: X_{2} / Z_{p}-X_{2}{ }^{z_{p}} \longrightarrow Y_{2} / Z_{p}-Y_{2}{ }^{z_{p}} .
$$

Since $Z_{p}$ acts trivially on $S^{2 k+1}$, there are canonical homeomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}: X_{2} / Z_{p}-X_{2} z_{p} \longrightarrow\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}^{Z_{p}}\right) \times S^{2 k+1} \\
& h_{2}: Y_{2} / Z_{p}-Y_{2} z_{p} \longrightarrow\left(Y_{1} / Z_{p}-Y_{1}^{Z_{p}}\right) \times S^{2 k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get a homeomorphism

$$
\varphi=h_{2} \cdot \bar{f}_{0} \cdot h_{1}{ }^{-1}:\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \times S^{2 k+1} \longrightarrow\left(Y_{1} / Z_{p}-Y_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \times S^{2 k+1} .
$$

As a consequence, $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\varphi_{*}: \pi_{i}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \oplus \pi_{i}\left(S^{2 k+1}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{i}\left(Y_{1} / Z_{p}-Y_{1}{ }^{z_{p}}\right) \oplus \pi_{i}\left(S^{2 k+1}\right)
$$

of homotopy groups. Since the $Z_{p}$-action on $Y_{1}$ is linear, one verifies easily that $Y_{1} / Z_{p}-Y_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}$ is homotopy equivalent to the circle $S^{1}$.

We now consider two cases.
In case $k=0$ : When $i=1$, the isomorphism $\varphi_{*}$ above has the form

$$
\varphi_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1} z_{p}\right) \oplus Z \longrightarrow Z \oplus Z
$$

where $Z$ denotes the group of integers. It follows from the fundamental theorem of abelian groups that $\pi_{1}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z}{ }_{p}\right)$ is isomorphic to $Z$. When $i \geqq 2$, we have $\pi_{i}\left(Y_{1} / Z_{p}-Y_{1}{ }^{Z} p\right) \cong \pi_{i}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong 0$. Therefore we have $\pi_{i}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z}{ }_{p}\right)$ $\cong 0$ for $i \geqq 2$. Note that $Z_{p}$ acts freely and smoothly on $X_{1}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}$. Hence we have the principal fiber bundle:

$$
Z_{p} \longrightarrow X_{1}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}} \longrightarrow X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}},
$$

which yields the following homotopy exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_{i}\left(Z_{p}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{i}\left(X_{1}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{i}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots .
$$

As a consequence, we have isomorphisms

$$
\pi_{i}\left(X_{1}-X_{1} z_{p}\right) \cong \begin{cases}Z & \text { for } i=1 \\ 0 & \text { for } i \geqq 2\end{cases}
$$

Obviously $X_{1}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}$ has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex. Thus we can conclude that $X_{1}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}$ is homotopy equivalent to the circle $S^{1}$ by the theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead [44]. But this contradicts the choice of the knot $\left(X_{1}, X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right)=\left(S^{n+2}, k S^{n}\right)$ [41] (see also [40], [24], [37]).

In case $k \geqq 1$ : When $i=1$, the isomorphism $\varphi_{*}$ above has the form

$$
\varphi_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(Y_{1} / Z_{p}-Y_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \cong Z .
$$

Since $\pi_{i}\left(Y_{1} / Z_{p}-Y_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \cong \pi_{i}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong 0$ for $i \geqq 2$, we have an isomorphism

$$
\varphi_{*}: \pi_{i}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \oplus \pi_{i}\left(S^{2 k+1}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{i}\left(S^{2 k+1}\right) \quad \text { for } i \geqq 2 .
$$

It ${ }^{7}$ follows from Serre [31] that $\pi_{i}\left(S^{2 k+1}\right)$ is finitely generated. Therefore by the isomorphism $\varphi_{*}$ above $\pi_{i}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right)$ is a subgroup of a finitely generated abelian group. It is well-known that a subgroup of a finitely generated abelian group is also a finitely generated abelian group. Hence we can apply the fundamental theorem of abelian groups and conclude that

$$
\pi_{i}\left(X_{1} / Z_{p}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \cong 0 \quad \text { for } i \geqq 2 .
$$

By making use of the homotopy exact sequence above, we have again isomorphisms

$$
\pi_{i}\left(X_{1}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right) \cong \begin{cases}Z & \text { for } i=1 \\ 0 & \text { for } i \geqq 2 .\end{cases}
$$

Thus we can conclude that $X_{1}-X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}$ is homotopy equivalent to the circle $S^{1}$ in this case too. But this contradicts also the choice of the knot $\left(X_{1}, X_{1}{ }^{Z_{p}}\right)=$ $\left(S^{n+2}, k S^{n}\right)$ [41].

This makes the proof of Theorem 4.1 complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be an arbitrary non-trivial compact Lie group. When $G$ is of positive dimension, there is a maximal torus $T^{i}$ of positive dimension. Hence an arbitrary cyclic group $Z_{p}$ is a subgroup of $G$. When $G$ is a finite group, there is a cyclic subgroup $Z_{p}$ of $G$ with $p \geqq 2$. Thus for an arbitrary non-trivial compact Lie group $G$, there is a cyclic subgroup $Z_{p}$ of $G$ with $p \geqq 2$. Therefore Theorem 4.1 yields Theorem 1.2.

## § 5. Concluding remarks.

Stable equivalence of $G$-manifolds is discussed in [18], [1]. If we stabilize a $G$-s-cobordism with respect to spheres or disks of suitable $G$-representation spaces, then the conditions ( $* 1$ ) and ( $* 2$ ) are automatically satisfied and we have a stable $G$-s-cobordism theorem.

On the other hand, it follows from the product formula for equivariant Whitehead torsion [16] that any $G$ - $h$-cobordism can be altered into a $G$-scobordism by multiplying it by the unit sphere $S(V)$ of an arbitrary unitary complex representation space $V$ of $G$ in the case where $G$ is finite. It turns out that if we make use of the unit sphere $S(V)$ of a suitable unitary representation space $V$ of $Z_{p}$ instead of the sphere $S^{2 k+1}$ with trivial action in $\S 4$, then the $G$-s-cobordism theorem holds.

In the following we shall give such an example. Let $\left(W_{1} ; X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ be the $Z_{p}-h$-cobordism in $\S 4$ with $\operatorname{dim} W_{1} \geqq 5$. Denote by $V$ a unitary representation space of $Z_{p}$ such that $Z_{p}$ acts freely on the unit sphere $S(V)$. Let $G$ be a compact Lie group including $Z_{p}$ as a subgroup. Then the $G$-s-cobordism theorem holds for the triad $G \times_{z_{p}}\left(W_{1} ; X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \times S(V)$ by Theorem 1.1. In particular $G \times{ }_{z_{p}} X_{1} \times S(V)$ is $G$-diffeomorphic to $G \times{ }_{z_{p}} Y_{1} \times S(V)$.

This example shows that it is essential to show in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that $G \times_{z_{p}} X_{1} \times S^{2 k+1}$ is not $G$-homeomorphic to $G \times_{z_{p}} Y_{1} \times S^{2 k+1}$, even if they have factors of $S^{2 k+1}$.
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