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We denote by $\Omega$ the punctured unit disk $0<|z|<1$ and consider the Martin compactification $\Omega_{P}^{*}$ ( $[4, \mathrm{p} .166]$ ) of $\Omega$ with respect to a Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta+P(z)) u(z)=0 \quad\left(\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}, z=x+y i\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with its potential $P$ on $\Omega$. The potential $P$ on $\Omega$ is assumed to be nonnegative and locally Hölder continuous on $0<|z| \leqq 1$. We also consider the Martin compactification $A_{P}^{*}$ of an angular region $A$ with radius 1 and vertex at the origin $z=0$ with respect to (1). Let $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{A}$ be the Euclidean closures of $\Omega$ and $A$, respectively. One might ask the following

Question 1. Does $A_{P}^{*}=\bar{A}$ for all angular regions $A$ imply $\Omega_{P}^{*}=\bar{\Omega}$ ?
Here the equality $\Omega_{P}^{*}=\bar{\Omega}$ ( $A_{P}^{*}=\bar{A}$, resp.) means that the identity mapping of $\Omega$ ( $A$, resp.) can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\Omega_{P}^{*}\left(A_{P}^{*}\right.$, resp.) onto $\bar{\Omega}$ ( $\bar{A}$, resp.).

For a point $p$ in the Euclidean boundary $\partial \Omega(\partial A$, resp.) of $\Omega$ ( $A$, resp.), we denote by $\Omega_{P}^{*}(p)\left(A_{P}^{*}(p)\right.$, resp.) the set of all Martin boundary point $\zeta^{*}$ of $\Omega(A$, resp.) for which there exists a sequence $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ in $\Omega$ ( $A$, resp.) converging to $p$ with respect to the Euclidean topology and at the same time converging to $\zeta^{*}$ with respect to the Martin topology. We call $\Omega_{P}^{*}(p)\left(A_{P}^{*}(p)\right.$, resp.) the Martin boundary of $\Omega\left(A\right.$, resp.) over $p$. We also denote by $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(p)\left(A_{P, 1}^{*}(p)\right.$, resp.) the set of Martin minimal boundary points over $p$, i.e. the subset of $\Omega_{P}^{*}(p)\left(A_{P}^{*}(p)\right.$, resp.) consisting of minimal points. In terms of $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$ and $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$, Question 1 can be reformulated as

Question 2. Does $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ one point $\}$ for all angular regions $A$ imply $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ one point $\}$ ?

Since $P$ is locally Hölder continuous apart from the origin, we have $\Omega_{P}^{*}-\Omega_{P}^{*}(0)$ $=\bar{\Omega}-\{0\}$ and $A_{P}^{*}-A_{P}^{*}(0)=\bar{A}-\{0\}$ (cf. [1]). By an argument similar to that

[^0]in no. 2.2 we can see that the Martin kernel with pole in $A_{P}^{*}(0)$ vanishes on $\partial A-\{0\}$, and hence it is represented as the integral of the minimal Martin kernel with pole in $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$. Therefore $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ one point $\}$ if and only if $A_{P}^{*}(0)$ $=\{$ one point $\}$. Similarly $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ one point $\}$ if and only if $\Omega_{P}^{*}(0)=\{$ one point $\}$. Our main purpose of this note is to construct a potential $P$ on $\Omega$ for which the answer to the above question is in the negative:

Theorem. There exists a potential $P$ on $\Omega$ such that $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=$ \{one point $\}$ for all angular regions $A$ with radius 1 and vertex at the origin and yet $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$ $=\{$ two points $\}$.

## § 1. Construction of the potential in the theorem.

1.1. We take four positive numbers $a, b, c, d$ with $3 / 4<d<c<b<a<1$ and consider the following closed subsets of $\Omega$ which are of spiral shaped and converge to the origin windingly around it:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} b \leqq r \leqq 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} a, 0 \leqq \theta<\infty\right\}, \\
& S_{2}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} d \leqq r \leqq 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} c, 0 \leqq \theta<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists a conformal mapping from the simply connected region

$$
U=\{0<|z| \leqq \infty\}-\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)
$$

onto the exterior $\{1<|z| \leqq \infty\}$ of the unit circle. By the Carathéodory theorem every boundary element of $U$ over the origin corresponds to a point in the unit circle. Here the boundary elements of $U$ over the origin consist of two elements defined by two fundamental sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ of cross cuts

$$
\alpha_{n}=\left[2^{-n} c, 2^{-n} b\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{n}=\left[2^{-n-1} a, 2^{-n} d\right] .
$$

Therefore there exist exactly two Martin minimal boundary points of $U$ over the origin.

The subregion

$$
V=\Omega-\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)
$$

of $\Omega$ is essential for the construction of the potential $P$ on $\Omega$. Since $V$ is a subregion of $U$ and $U-V$ is compact, the set $V_{1}^{*}(0)$ of Martin minimal boundary points of $V$ over the origin also consists of two points.
1.2. Let $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $(0, \pi)$ with $\lim _{n} \delta_{n}=0$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1 n}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} b \leqq r \leqq 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} a, 2(n-1) \pi \leqq \theta \leqq 2 n \pi-\delta_{n}\right\}, \\
& S_{2 n}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} d \leqq r \leqq 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi} c, 2(n-1) \pi \leqq \theta \leqq 2 n \pi-\delta_{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consider the subregion

$$
W=\Omega-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(S_{1 n} \cup S_{2 n}\right)
$$

of $\Omega$. By the reasoning similar to that in [5, Example 1 on pp. $7-10$ ] we can show that the cardinal number of the set $W_{1}^{*}(0)$ of Martin minimal boundary points of $W$ over the origin is equal to that of $V_{1}^{*}(0)$ if we choose $\left\{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{n}\right\}$ convergent to zero enough rapidly. The sequence $\left\{S_{j n}\right\}_{j=1,2 ; n \geq 1}$ of closed Jordan regions $S_{j n}$ satisfies that $S_{j n} \cap S_{k m} \neq \varnothing((j, n) \neq(k, m))$ and there exist only a finite number of $S_{j n}$ such that $S_{j n} \cap\{\varepsilon \leqq|z|<1\}=\varnothing$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Such a sequence of closed Jordan regions $S_{j n}$ is referred to as a $q-$-sequence in $\Omega$.

Consider a potential $P$ on $\Omega$ with its support contained in the closed subset

$$
S=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(S_{1 n} \cup S_{2 n}\right)
$$

of $\Omega$. We denote by $\operatorname{PP}(\Omega ; \partial \Omega-\{0\})(\operatorname{HP}(W ; \partial W-\{0\})$, resp. $)$ the set of nonnegative solutions $u$ of (1) on $\Omega$ (nonnegative harmonic functions $u$ on $W$, resp.) with vanishing boundary values on $\partial \Omega-\{0\}(\partial W-\{0\}$, resp.). We also denote by $H_{u}^{W}$ for each $u$ in $\operatorname{PP}(\Omega ; \partial \Omega-\{0\})$ the least nonnegative harmonic function on $W$ with boundary values $u$ on $\partial W-\{0\}$. If the mapping $T_{P}$ from $\operatorname{PP}(\Omega ; \partial \Omega-\{0\})$ to $\operatorname{HP}(W ; \partial W-\{0\})$ defined by $T_{P} u=u-H_{u}^{W}$ happens to be bijective, then the potential $P$ is said to be canonically associated with the $q$-sequence $\left\{S_{j n}\right\}$. If a potential $P$ on $\Omega$ is canonically associated with the $q$-sequence $\left\{S_{j n}\right\}$, then the cardinal number of $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$ is equal to that of $W_{1}^{*}(0)$. In view of [5, Theorem on p. 3] there exists a potential on $\Omega$ canonically associated with the $q$-sequence $\left\{S_{j n}\right\}$. From now on our potential $P$ is supposed to be chosen on $\Omega$ so as to be canonically associated with the $q_{-}$-sequence $\left\{S_{j n}\right\}$, and therefore supp. $P \subset S$ and $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ two points $\}$.

## § 2. The set $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$.

2.1. In order to complete the proof of the theorem we will show that $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ one point $\}$ for the potential $P$ on $\Omega$ constructed in $\S 1$ and for all angular regions $A$ with radius 1 and vertex at the origin:

$$
A=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 0<r<1, \sigma<\theta<\tau\right\}
$$

with numbers $\sigma, \tau$ satisfying $0 \leqq \sigma<\tau \leqq \sigma+2 \pi<4 \pi$. We set

$$
A_{n}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: \frac{1}{2} 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi}<r<\frac{3}{4} 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi}, \sigma<\theta-2(n-1) \pi<\tau\right\}
$$

$(n=1,2, \cdots)$. Let $u$ and $v$ be positive solutions of (1) on $A_{n}$ with vanishing boundary values on $\partial A \cap \partial A_{n}$. Since the support of $P$ is contained in $S=$ $\cup_{1}^{\infty}\left(S_{1 n} \cup S_{2 n}\right)$ and $S \cap A_{n}=\varnothing$, the solutions $u$ and $v$ are harmonic on $A_{n}$. Then
the boundary Harnack inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u(z)}{u\left(z_{n}\right)} \leqq c_{n} \frac{v(z)}{v\left(z_{n}\right)} \quad\left(z \in \gamma_{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid on the curve

$$
\gamma_{n}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: r=\frac{5}{8} 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi}, \sigma<\theta-2(n-1) \pi<\tau\right\}
$$

for a positive constant $c_{n}$ being independent of $u$ and $v$, where $z_{n}$ is the point in $\gamma_{n}$ with its argument $(\sigma+\tau) / 2$ ( $[3$, Theorem 2.2] and its revisions by [6, Theorem 1 on p. 148] and also [1, Théorème 5.1 on p. 188], among others). The conformal equivalence of $A_{n}, \gamma_{n}, z_{n}$ and $A_{1}, \gamma_{1}, z_{1}$ implies $c_{n}=c_{1}(n=2,3, \cdots)$. We say that the boundary Harnack principle is valid at the origin for the class of positive solutions of (1) on $A$ with vanishing boundary values on $\partial A-\{0\}$ if the constant $c_{n}$ in (2) can be chosen independent of $n$, which we have just established.
2.2. Although it is rather standard to derive that the set of Martin minimal boundary points over Euclidean boundary point $p$ consists of one point from the boundary Harnack principle at $p$ (see [1, pp. 193-195], cf. also [2], among others), we briefly include its proof in nos. 2.2-2.3 for the convenience sake.

We denote by $g_{P}(\cdot, \zeta)$ the Green's function on $A$ with its pole at $\zeta$ with respect to (1) and by $k_{P}(\cdot, \zeta)=g_{P}(\cdot, \zeta) / g_{P}\left(z_{1}, \zeta\right)$ the Martin kernel on $A$, where $z_{1}$ is the point in $\gamma_{1}$ with its argument $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+\boldsymbol{\tau}) / 2$. Let $\zeta^{*}$ be an arbitrary point in $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$. We remark that $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$ contains at least one point by the definition. There exists a sequence $\left\{\zeta_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ in $A$ converging to the origin such that $\left\{k_{P}\left(\cdot, \zeta_{m}\right)\right\}$ converges to $k_{F}\left(\cdot, \zeta^{*}\right)$ uniformly on every compact subset of $A$. Consider the solution $\omega_{n}$ of (1) on the subregion

$$
B_{n}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: \frac{1}{2} 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi}<r<1, \sigma<\theta-2(n-1) \pi<\tau\right\}
$$

of $A$ with boundary values zero on $\partial B_{n} \cap \partial A$ and 1 on $\partial B_{n} \cap A(n=1,2, \cdots)$. Recall that $c_{n}=c_{1}(n=1,2, \cdots)$. Applying (2) with $c_{n}=c_{1}$ to $u=k_{P}\left(\cdot, \zeta_{m}\right)$ and $v=\omega_{n}$, we have

$$
\frac{k_{P}\left(z, \zeta_{m}\right)}{k_{P}\left(z_{n}, \zeta_{m}\right)} \leqq c_{1} \frac{\omega_{n}(z)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)} \quad\left(z \in \gamma_{n}\right)
$$

if $\zeta_{m} \notin B_{n}$. By the maximum principle the above inequality is valid for $z$ in the subregion

$$
D_{n}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: \frac{5}{8} 2^{-\theta / 2 \pi}<r<1, \sigma<\theta-2(n-1) \pi<\tau\right\}
$$

of $A$. The usual Harnack inequality for positive solutions of (1) yields $k_{P}\left(z_{n}, \zeta_{m}\right)$ $\leqq c_{n}^{\prime} k_{P}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{m}\right)=c_{n}^{\prime}$ for a positive constant $c_{n}^{\prime}$ and $m$ with $\zeta_{m} \notin B_{n}$. Then $k_{P}\left(\cdot, \zeta^{*}\right)$
is dominated by $\left(c_{1} c_{n}^{\prime} / \omega_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \omega_{n}$ on $D_{n}$. Therefore $k_{P}\left(\cdot, \zeta^{*}\right)$ has vanishing boundary values on $\partial D_{n} \cap \partial A(n=1,2, \cdots)$ and hence on $\partial A-\{0\}$.
2.3. Let $u$ and $v$ be positive solutions of (1) on $A$ with vanishing boundary values on $\partial A-\{0\}$. We also assume that $u\left(z_{1}\right)=v\left(z_{1}\right)=1$. By (2) with $c_{n}=c_{1}$ and the maximum principle we have

$$
c_{1}^{-1} \frac{v(z)}{v\left(z_{n}\right)} \leqq \frac{u(z)}{u\left(z_{n}\right)} \leqq c_{1} \frac{v(z)}{v\left(z_{n}\right)} \quad\left(z \in D_{n} ; n=1,2, \cdots\right) .
$$

If we set $z=z_{1}$ in the above inequalities, then we have $c_{1}^{-1} \leqq u\left(z_{n}\right) / v\left(z_{n}\right) \leqq c_{1}$. Hence $c_{1}^{-2} v \leqq u \leqq c_{1}^{2} v$ is valid on $A$. Set

$$
\lambda_{0}=\sup \left\{\lambda>0: \lambda v \leqq c_{1}^{2} u\right\} .
$$

The nonnegative solution $w=c_{1}^{2} u-\lambda_{0} v$ of (1) on $A$ has vanishing boundary values on $\partial A-\{0\}$. If $w$ is positive, then $u \leqq c_{1}^{2} w / w\left(z_{1}\right)$ is valid on $A$ and we have the contradiction

$$
\lambda_{0} v \leqq\left(c_{1}^{2}-\frac{w\left(z_{1}\right)}{c_{1}^{2}}\right) u .
$$

Therefore $w \equiv 0$ so that $v \equiv\left(c_{1}^{2} / \lambda_{0}\right) u$. This means that $A_{P, 1}^{*}(0)$ contains at most one point.

The proof of the theorem is herewith complete.
2.4. We remark that the theorem is valid even if we replace the condition $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ two points $\}$ with the condition $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{n$ points $\}(n=3,4, \cdots)$. For the purpose we consider disjoint closed subsets $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$ of $\Omega$ which are of spiral shaped and converge to the origin windingly around it. In $\S 1$ we associated the potential $P$ on $\Omega$ with the closed subsets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ of $\Omega$. Similarly we associate a potential $Q$ on $\Omega$ with $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$. Then $Q$ satisfies that $A_{Q, 1}^{*}(0)$ $=\{$ one point $\}$ for all angular regions $A$ and $\Omega_{Q, 1}^{*}(0)=\{n$ points $\}$. Moreover we can construct a potential $Q$ on $\Omega$ such that $A_{Q, 1}^{*}(0)=\{$ one point $\}$ for all angular regions $A$ and the cardinal number of $\Omega_{Q, 1}^{*}(0)$ is that of the countable infinite set (the continuum, resp.). The constructions for the above two cases go along the same line as that for the case $\Omega_{P, 1}^{*}(0)=\{n$ points $\}$ but this time by imitating [5, Example 2 on pp. 10-12] or [5, Example 3 on pp. 12-14] instead of [5, Example 1 on pp. 7-10] but the detail will be left to the reader.
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