# The Euler characteristics and Weyl's curvature invariants of submanifolds in spheres

By Toru ISHIHARA

(Received Feb. 25, 1985) (Revised Aug. 30, 1985)

### §1. Introduction.

Let  $S^n$  be a unit *n*-sphere in  $R^{n+1}$ . Let  $M^p$  be a compact orientable *p*-dimensional Riemannian manifold which is imbedded in  $S^n$ . Let  $\chi(M^p)$  be the Euler characteristics of  $M^p$  and  $\tau(M^p)$  be the total curvature of  $M^p$ . One of Teufel's main results in [8] can be stated as follows.

$$(1.1) \chi(M^p) = \tau(M^p) + \frac{1}{C_{n-1, n+1}} \int_{G_{n-1, n+1}} \chi(M^p \cap R^{n-1}) Q_{n-1, n+1} \text{for } 3 \leq p,$$

where  $G_{n-1, n+1}$  is the oriented Grassmann manifold of all oriented (n-1)-dimensional linear subspaces of  $R^{n+1}$ ,  $C_{n-1, n+1}$  its volume and  $Q_{n-1, n+1}$  its standard volume element. Denote by  $V(M^p)$  the volume of  $M^p$ . We can show (Theorem 4 in § 4)

(1.2) 
$$\chi(M^2) = \tau(M^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi} V(M^2).$$

In 1939, Weyl [10] found the formula for the volume of a tube of radius r about  $M^p$ . The coefficients in the power series expansion of the volume are expressed by the curvature invariants  $k_e(M^p)$  (e even,  $0 \le e \le p$ ) (see (2.1)), which depend on the intrinsic geometry of  $M^p$ . Notice that  $k_0(M^p) = V(M^p)$ . Let  $\tau_e(M^p)$  ( $1 \le e \le p$ ) be the e-th total mean curvature of  $M^p$  (see (2.2)). Then we have  $\tau(M^p) = \tau_p(M^p)$ ,  $\tau_e(M^p) = 0$  for e odd, and for e even

(1.3) 
$$\tau_e(M^p) = \frac{(p-2)!!}{(2\pi)^{p/2}(n-p+e-2)!! \binom{p}{e}} k_e(M^p),$$

where we mean that  $m!!=m(m-2)\cdots 4\cdot 2$  or  $m!!=m(m-2)\cdots 3\cdot 1$  according as m is even or odd. S.S. Chern [2] gives the kinematic formula and the linear kinematic formula in  $R^n$ . Following Chern, we introduce curvature invariants

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 59540045), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

248 T. Ishihara

 $\mu_e(M^p)$  (e even,  $0 \le e \le p$ ), which are closely related to Weyl's invariants. In fact, we have

(1.4) 
$$\mu_e(M^p) = \frac{1}{(e-1)!! \binom{p}{e}} k_e(M^p) \quad \text{for } e \text{ even, } 0 \leq e \leq p.$$

We will show the following linear kinematic formula in  $S^n$  (Theorem 1).

(1.5) 
$$\int_{G_{q+1, n+1}} \mu_{e}(M^{p} \cap R^{q+1}) \Omega_{q+1, n+1} = \frac{(p+q-n-e)!! \ p!}{(2\pi)^{(n-q)/2} (p+q-n)! \ (p-e)!!} C_{q+1, n+1} \mu_{e}(M^{p}),$$

where  $C_{q+1, n+1}$  is the volume of the oriented Grassmann manifold  $G_{q+1, n+1}$ . In this paper, using (1.1), (1.2) and (1.5), we will prove

THEOREM. Let M be a compact oriented 2p-dimensional manifold which is imbedded in a unit sphere  $S^n$ . Then, we have

(1.6) 
$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^p} \sum_{k=0}^p (2k-1)!! \ k_{2p-2k}(M).$$

REMARKS. (i) Since we have (1.3) and (1.4),  $k_{2p-2k}(M)$  in the above formula are replaced by  $\tau_{2p-2k}(M)$  or  $\mu_{2p-2k}(M)$ . For example, we have

$$(1.7) \chi(M) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(n-2k-2)!! (2p)!}{(2k)!! (n-2)!! (2p-2k)!} \tau_{2p-2k}(M) + \frac{(2p-1)!!}{(2\pi)^p} V(M).$$

(ii) When p is odd, it follows that  $\tau_p(M^p) = \tau_{p-2}(M^p \cap R^{n-1}) = \cdots = \tau_1(M^p \cap R^{n-p+2}) = 0$ . Hence applying Teufel's formula (1.1), we get

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{|C_{n-p+2, n+1}|} \int_{G_{n-p+2, n+1}} \chi(M^p \cap R^{n-p+2}) \Omega_{n-p+2, n+1}.$$

In general,  $M^p \cap R^{n-p+2}$  is a 1-dimensional compact manifold without boundary and it holds  $\chi(M^p \cap R^{n-p+2}) = 0$ . Thus we obtain  $\chi(M^p) = 0$ . But it is well known that the Euler characteristic of a compact odd dimensional manifold without boundary is zero.

#### § 2. The kinematic formula in $S^n$ .

Let M be a p-dimensional submanifold in  $S^n$ . We take a local field of orthonormal frames  $e_0, \dots, e_n$  in  $R^{n+1}$  such that for  $x \in M$ ,  $e_0(x) = x$  and  $e_1(x), \dots, e_p(x)$  are tangent to M. We shall make use of the following convention on the range of indices unless otherwise stated;

 $0 \le A, B, C \le n, 1 \le a, b, c \le n, 1 \le i, j, k \le p, p+1 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma \le n.$ 

The structure equations of  $R^{n+1}$  are given by

$$de_A = \sum_B \omega_{AB} e_B$$
,  $\omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0$ ,  $d\omega_{AB} = \sum_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB}$ .

By putting  $\omega_a = \omega_{0a}$ , the standard Riemannian metric on  $S^n$  is given by  $ds^2 = \sum_a \omega_a^2$ . From the above, we obtain

$$d\omega_a = \sum_b \omega_b \wedge \omega_{ba}$$
,  
 $d\omega_{ab} = \sum_c \omega_{ac} \wedge \omega_{cb} - \omega_a \wedge \omega_b$ .

We restrict these forms to M and denote them by the same symbols. On M, it holds  $\omega_{\alpha}=0$ . This implies that  $0=d\omega_{\alpha}=-\sum_{i}\omega_{i}\wedge\omega_{i\alpha}$ . Thus we obtain

$$\omega_{i\alpha} = \sum_{i} h_{\alpha ij} \omega_{j}, \qquad h_{\alpha ij} = h_{\alpha ji}.$$

The structure equations of M are given as follows

$$d\omega_{i} = \sum_{j} \omega_{j} \wedge \omega_{ji},$$

$$d\omega_{ij} = \sum_{k} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} K_{ijkl} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}.$$

Put  $H_{ijkl} = \sum_{\alpha} (h_{\alpha ik} h_{\alpha jl} - h_{\alpha il} h_{\alpha jk})$ . Then we get

$$H_{ijkl} = K_{ijkl} - (\delta_{ik}\delta_{il} - \delta_{il}\delta_{ik})$$

which we call the modified curvature tensor of M. For e even and  $0 \le e \le p$ , Weyl's curvature invariants  $k_e(M)$  are defined as follows.

$$(2.1) k_e(M) = \frac{1}{2^e(e/2)!} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \sum \delta_{j_1, \dots, j_e}^{(i_1, \dots, i_e)} H_{i_1 i_2 j_1 j_2} \dots H_{i_{e-1} i_e j_{e-1} j_e} \right) dM,$$

where dM is the volume element of M and  $\binom{i_1, \dots, i_e}{j_1, \dots, j_e}$  is equal to +1 (-1) according as  $(i_1, \dots, i_e)$  is an even (odd) permutation of  $(j_1, \dots, j_e)$  respectively, and is otherwise zero. We may define Chern's curvature invariants  $\mu_e(M)$  by  $\overline{i}(1.4)$ .

Let  $y = \sum_{\alpha} y_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$  be a vector normal to M. Then we define the e-th mean curvature  $K_e(y)$  of M by

$$\det(\delta_{ij} + t h_{ij}(y)) = \sum_{e} {n \choose e} K_e(y) t^e$$
,

where  $h_{ij}(y) = \sum_{\alpha} y_{\alpha} h_{\alpha ij}$ . We call the integral

250 T. Ishihara

(2.2) 
$$\tau_{e}(M) = \frac{1}{O_{n}} \int_{N} K_{e}(y) dN$$

the e-th total mean curvature of M, where N is the unit normal bundle over M, dN is the canonical volume element of N and  $O_n$  is the volume of  $S^{n-1}$ , that is,  $O_n=2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$ . Using Weyl's result (see pp. 467-471 in [10] or Lemma 7.3 in [4]), we get (1.3).

Let  $M^p$  and  $M^q$  be compact submanifolds of dimensions p, q in  $S^n$  respectively. Let G be the group of motions in  $S^n$ , that is, G = SO(n+1). By  $gM^q$ , we mean the submanifold obtained from  $M^q$  by a transformation  $g \in G$ . Then  $M^p$  and  $gM^q$  generally intersect in a submanifold of dimension p+q-n. The kinematic density in  $S^n$  is given (see, for example, [6]) by

$$dg = \bigwedge_{i,j < k} \omega_{0i} \wedge \omega_{jk} = \bigwedge_{i,j < k} \omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{jk}$$
.

Since the following kinematic formula is shown by the same argument in 3-6 in [2], we describe the result only.

(2.3) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \mu_{e}(M^{p} \cap gM^{q}) dg = \sum_{l=0}^{e} D_{el} \mu_{l}(M^{p}) \mu_{e-l}(M^{q}),$$

where  $D_{el}$  are constants depending on n, p, q, e, l, and e is an even integer satisfying  $0 \le e \le p + q - n$ . In this paper, unfortunately we can not decide constant  $D_{el}$ . But in a particular case, we will determine them and get the linear kinematic formula. This will be achieved in §3.

#### § 3. The linear kinematic formula in $S^n$ .

In this section, we assume that  $M^q = S^n \cap R^{q+1}$ , where  $R^{q+1}$  is a (q+1)-plane through the origin in  $R^{n+1}$ . The kinematic density dg has an expression

$$(3.1) dg = dg_1 \wedge dg_2 \wedge \Omega_{g+1, n+1},$$

where  $dg_1$ ,  $dg_2$  and  $\Omega_{q+1,\,n+1}$  are the volume elements of SO(q+1), SO(n-q) and  $G_{q+1,\,n+1}$  respectively. From the definition, it follows that  $\mu_e(S^q)=0$  for  $2 \le e \le p+q-n$ . Since the volume of SO(n+1) is equal to  $O_{n+1}O_n \cdots O_2$ , using (3.1), we get from (2.3)

(3.2) 
$$\int_{G_{q+1,n+1}} \mu_e(M^p \cap R^{q+1}) \Omega_{q+1,n+1} = E_e \mu_e(M^p),$$

where  $E_e = D_{ee}/(O_{q+1} \cdots O_2 O_{n-q} \cdots O_2)$ .

In this section, we take an arbitrary a with  $0 \le a \le 1$  and put  $R^{p+2} = \{x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n); x_{p+2} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$ ,  $R^{p+1} = \{x \in R^{p+2}; x_{p+1} = a\}$  and  $S_a^p = S^{n+1} \cap R^{p+1}$ . Let  $f_0, \dots, f_n$  be the standard base of  $R^{n+1}$ . Take local

frame fields  $e_0, \dots, e_n$  in  $S_a^p$  such that for  $\mathbf{x} \in S_a^p$ ,  $e_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ ,  $e_{p+1}(\mathbf{x}) = (1/\sqrt{1-a^2})(\mathbf{f}_{p+1}-ae_0)$ ,  $e_{p+2}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}_{p+2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_n(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}_n$  and  $e_1(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_p(\mathbf{x})$  are tangent to  $S_a^p$ . We will use the same notations as in § 2. Then the coefficients of the second fundamental form of  $M = S_a^p$  in  $S^n$  are given by

$$h_{p+1\,ij} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1-a^2}}\delta_{ij}, \qquad h_{\alpha ij} = 0 \quad \text{for } p+2 \leq \alpha \leq n.$$

Hence the modified curvature tensor of M has the expression

$$H_{ijkl} = \frac{a^2}{1 - a^2} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}).$$

Thus we have

(3.3) 
$$\mu_e(S_a^p) = O_{p+1}a^e(1-a^2)^{(p-e)/2}.$$

Let  $R^{q+1}$  be moving (q+1)-dimensional linear subspaces in  $R^{n+1}$ . For each  $R^{q+1}$ ,  $R^{p+1}$  and  $R^{q+1}$  intersect in a (p+q-n+1)-dimensional linear subspace which we denote by  $R^{k+1}$ , where k=p+q-n. Put  $S^k=R^{k+1}\cap S^n=S^p_a\cap R^{q+1}$ . Let O' and O'' be the centers of  $S^p_a$  and  $S^k$  respectively. Let t be the distance of O'' from O'. Then the distance of O'' from the origin O is equal to  $\sqrt{a^2+t^2}$ , and the radius of the sphere  $S^k$  is  $\sqrt{1-a^2-t^2}$ . Thus we have

$$\mu_e(S_a^p \cap R^{q+1}) = \mu_e(S^k) = O_{k+1}(a^2+t^2)^{e/2}(b^2-t^2)^{(k-e)/2}$$

where k=p+q-n, t= the distance of O'' from O', and  $b=\sqrt{1-a^2}$ .

Let  $\pi: G_{q+1, n+1} \to G_{k+2, p+2}$  be a projection defined by  $\pi(R^{q+1}) = R^{k+2}$ , where we put  $R^{k+2} = R^{q+1} \cap R^{p+2}$ . Then the above integral invariants  $\mu_e(S_a^p \cap R^{q+1})$  are constant on each fibre  $\pi^{-1}(R^{k+2})$  of the projection  $\pi$ . Hence we obtain

(3.4) 
$$\int_{G_{q+1, n+1}} \mu_{e}(S_{a}^{p} \cap R^{q+1}) \Omega_{q+1, n+1}$$

$$= \frac{O_{n+1}O_{n} \cdots O_{p+3}}{O_{q+1}O_{q} \cdots O_{k+3}} \int_{G_{k+2, p+2}} \mu_{e}(S_{a}^{p} \cap R^{k+2}) \Omega_{k+2, p+2}.$$

Now we may consider that  $S_a^p$  is a sphere in  $R^{p+2}$  and that  $R^{k+1}$  are moving linear subspaces in  $R^{p+2}$ . Let t and x be the vectors from O' to O'' and O to O'' respectively. Put  $R^{k+1} = R^{p+1} \cap R^{k+2}$ . We choose two local orthonormal frame fields  $\{e_0, \dots, e_{p+1}\}$  and  $\{f_0, \dots, f_{p+1}\}$  such that  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}\}$  is a base of  $R^{k+2}$  and

(3.5) 
$$\begin{cases} e_0 = x/\|x\|, & e_1 = f_1, & \cdots, & e_{k+1} = f_{k+1}, \\ t = tf_0, & f_{p+1} = (0, \cdots, 0, 1), \\ e_0 = (tf_0 + af_{p+1})/\sqrt{a^2 + t^2}, & e_{p+1} = (af_0 - tf_{p+1})/\sqrt{a^2 + t^2}. \end{cases}$$

In this section, from now on we use the following notations of indices;

$$1 \le i \le k+1$$
,  $0 \le a, b \le k+1$ ,  $k+2 \le u, v \le p$ ,  $q+1 \le \alpha, \beta \le p+1$ .

The volume element of  $G_{k+2, p+2}$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Q}_{k+2, \, p+2} &= \bigwedge_{\substack{u=k+2, \, \dots, \, p \\ a, \, b=0, \, \dots, \, k+1}} (e_u, \, de_a) \wedge (e_{p+1}, \, de_b) \\ &= \pm \bigwedge (e_u, \, de_i) \wedge (e_{p+1}, \, de_i) \wedge (e_v, \, de_0) \wedge (e_{p+1}, \, de_0). \end{split}$$

Using (3.5), we can express  $\Omega_{k+2, p+2}$  as

$$Q_{k+2, p+2} = \pm a^{k+2} (a^2 + t^2)^{-(p+2)/2} t^{p-k-1} dt \wedge Q_{k+1, p} \wedge dS^p$$
,

where  $\Omega_{k+1,p} = \bigwedge (f_u, df_i)$  is the volume element of  $G_{k+1,p}$  and  $dS^p = \bigwedge (f_i, df_0) \bigwedge (f_u, df_0)$  is the volume element of a unit sphere in  $R^{p+1}$ . Thus we get

(3.6) 
$$\int_{G_{k+2, p+2}} \mu_{e}(S^{k}) \Omega_{k+2, p+2} = \frac{O_{p} \cdots O_{2} O_{p+1} O_{k+1}}{O_{k+1} \cdots O_{2} O_{p-k-1} \cdots O_{2}} \times a^{k+2} \int_{0}^{b} (a^{2}+t^{2})^{(e-p-2)/2} (b^{2}-t^{2})^{(k-e)/2} t^{p-k-1} dt,$$

where  $b=\sqrt{1-a^2}$ . Now put  $t=abs^{1/2}/\sqrt{1-b^2s}$ ,  $s\geq 0$ , we have

(3.7) 
$$\int_{0}^{b} (a^{2}+t^{2})^{(e-p-2)/2} (b^{2}-t^{2})^{(k-e)/2} t^{p-k-1} dt = \frac{a^{e}b^{p-e}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{(p-k-2)/2} (1-s)^{(k-e)/2} ds$$
$$= \frac{a^{e}b^{p-e}}{2} B\left(\frac{p-k}{2}, \frac{k-e+2}{2}\right).$$

Taking account of  $B(u, v) = \Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)/\Gamma(u+v)$ , we get from (3.2), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7)

$$E_e = \frac{(p+q-n-e)!! \ p!}{(2\pi)^{(n-q)/2} (p-e)!! \ (p+q-n)!} C_{q+1, n+1}.$$

Thus we have proved

THEOREM 1. Let  $M^p$  be a compact oriented submanifold in  $S^n$ . For an even integer e with  $0 \le e \le p$ , let  $\mu_e(M^p)$  be Chern's curvature invariants given by (1.4). Then we have the formula (1.5).

Since we have (1.3) and (1.4), we can write (1.5) as follows.

COROLLARY 2. Under the same assumption as Theorem 1, we have

(3.8) 
$$\int_{G_{q+1, n+1}} k_e(M^p \cap R^{q+1}) \Omega_{q+1, n+1} = \frac{(p-e-1)!!}{(2\pi)^{(n-q)/2} (p+q-n-e-1)!!} C_{q+1, n+1} k_e(M^p),$$

(3.9) 
$$\int_{G_{q+1, n+1}} \tau_{e}(M^{p} \cap R^{q+1}) \Omega_{q+1, n+1}$$

$$= \frac{(p+q-n-e)!! (n-p+e-2)!! p!}{(p-e)!! (2n-p-q+e-2)!! (p+q-n)!} C_{q+1, n+1} \tau_{e}(M^{p}).$$

## § 4. The Euler characteristic of a compact 2-dimensional submanifold in $S^n$ .

In this section, we treat a 2-dimensional submanifold M in  $S^n$ . For  $L=R^{n-1}\in G_{n-1,\,n+1}$ , let  $R^2$  be the orthogonal complement of  $L=R^{n-1}$ . Following Teufel [7], we define a level function  $h_L:S^n-L\to S^1=S^n\cap R^2$  by  $h_L(x)=(x\wedge L)\cap S^1\cap S^n_+$ ,  $x\in S^n-L$ , where  $x\wedge L$  is the n-dimensional linear subspace spanned by x and L, and  $S^n_+$  is the hemisphere of  $S^n$  which contains the point x. We denote by  $h_L^M$  the restriction of  $h_L$  to M. Then it is defined on M-L. Let  $\beta_k(h_L^M)$  be the number of critical points of index k of  $h_L^M$ . Put

$$\tilde{\beta}(h_L^M) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} (-1)^k \beta_k(h_L^M).$$

In general, M and L are transversal in  $R^{n+1}$ . In this case  $M \cap L$  is a finite set of points in  $R^{n+1}$ . We set  $M \cap L = \{P_1, \dots, P_l\}$ . Denote by  $\#(M \cap L)$  the number of points in  $M \cap L$ , that is,  $\#(M \cap L) = l$ . We will prove

LEMMA 3. Assume that M and L are transversal in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , and that  $h_L^{\mathbf{M}}$  has no degenerate critical point. Then it holds

$$(4.1) \chi(M) = \tilde{\beta}(h_L^M) + \#(M \cap L).$$

PROOF. We will show that there is a neighborhood V of  $M \cap L$  and a vector field X on M such that  $X=\operatorname{grad} h_L^M$  on M-V, points of  $M \cap L$  are zeros of X and there is no other zero of X in V. Take a point  $P_1$  of  $M \cap L = \{P_1, \cdots, P_l\}$ . We may assume that  $R^2 = \{(0, x_1, x_2, 0, \cdots, 0), x_1, x_2 \in R\}$  and  $P_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0)$ . Since for  $x = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in S^n$ ,  $h_L(x) = (0, x_1, x_2, 0, \cdots, 0) \in S^1$ , to consider  $h_L$  as a function, we may assume that it is represented as  $h_L(x) = \tan^{-1}(x_2/x_1)$ . As M and L are transversal, we can take a local coordinate neighborhood U of  $P_1$  in M

$$U = \{(f_0(u_1, u_2), f_1(u_1, u_2), \dots, f_n(u_1, u_2)) = f(u_1, u_2), u_1^2 + u_2^2 < \varepsilon\}$$

such that  $f(0,0)=p_1=(1,0,\cdots,0)$ ,  $f_1(u_1,u_2)=u_1$ ,  $f_2(u_1,u_2)=u_2$  and  $U\cap\{P_2,\cdots,P_l\}=\emptyset$ . Moreover we may assume

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}(0, 0) = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_2}(0, 0) = (0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then we can put  $f_{\alpha}(u_1, u_2) = A_{11}^{\alpha} u_1^2 + 2A_{12}^{\alpha} u_1 u_2 + A_{22}^{\alpha} u_2^2 + O(u^3)$  for  $3 \le \alpha \le n$ , where we set  $u = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2}$ . We consider that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a local coordinate of a point  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in a neighborhood of  $P_1$  in  $S^n$ . With respect to  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , the standard Riemannian metric  $g_{ab}$  of  $S^n$  is expressed by

$$g_{ab} = \delta_{ab} + \frac{x_a x_b}{x_0^2}, \quad x_0^2 = 1 - \sum_{a=1}^n x_a^2.$$

Hence the Riemannian metric  $g'_{ij}$  of M is expressed near  $P_1$  by

$$g'_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(u^2)$$
.

Hence we have, near  $P_1$ ,

$$g^{\prime ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(u^2)$$
.

Put  $h=h_L^M=\tan^{-1}(u_2/u_1)$ . With respect to the local coordinate  $(u_1, u_2)$ , grad h is written locally as

(4.2) 
$$\operatorname{grad} h = \sum_{i,j} g'^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} = \left(\frac{-u_2 + O(u^3)}{u_1^2 + u_2^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} + \left(\frac{u_1 + O(u^3)}{u_1^2 + u_2^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}.$$

For a sufficiently small positive  $\varepsilon$ , let a and b be constants with  $0 < a < b < \varepsilon$ . Then we can construct a  $C^{\infty}$  function  $\lambda$  on  $R^2$  which satisfies

$$\lambda(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} u_1^2 + u_2^2 & \text{for } u_1^2 + u_2^2 < a, \\ 1 & \text{for } b < u_1^2 + u_2^2 < \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Now we set

$$X_1 = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \lambda(u_1, \ u_2) \operatorname{grad} h_L^M & ext{ on } U, \\ \operatorname{grad} h_L^M & ext{ on } M{-}U. \end{array} 
ight.$$

Then  $X_1$  is a vector field on  $M-\{P_2, P_3, \dots, P_l\}$  and the zeros of  $X_1$  are those of grad  $h_L^M$  and  $P_1$ . Since we have (4.2), by an easy calculation, we can show that  $P_1$  is a zero of index 1 of  $X_1$  (see, for example, pp. 132-136 in [3]).

In the above method, we can construct a vector field X on M whose zeros are those of grad  $h_L^M$  and  $\{P_1, \dots, P_l\}$ . Moreover, each  $P_k$   $(1 \le k \le l)$  is a zero of index 1 of X. Thus the desired result follows from the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem. Q. E. D.

Teufel proved in [7]

$$\tau(M) = \frac{1}{C_{n-1,\,n+1}} \int_{G_{n-1,\,n+1}} \tilde{\beta}(h_{R}^{M}n-1) \mathcal{Q}_{n-1,\,n+1}.$$

Combining this with (4.1), we obtain

THEOREM 4. Let M be a compact oriented 2-dimensional submanifold in  $S^n$ . Denote by  $\#(M \cap R^{n-1})$  the number of points in  $M \cap R^{n-1}$ . Then we have

$$\chi(M) = \tau(M) + \frac{1}{C_{n-1, n+1}} \int_{G_{n-1, n+1}} \#(M \cap R^{n-1}) \Omega_{n-1, n+1}$$

$$= \tau(M) + \frac{1}{2\pi} V(M).$$

To get the second equation in the above theorem, we need the following integral formula (see the formula (14.70) in [6]).

LEMMA 5 (L. A. Santalo). Let  $M^p$  be a compact p-dimensional Riemannian submanifold in  $S^n$ . Let  $O_k$  be the volume of  $S^{k-1}$ . Then we have

$$\int_{G_{n-p+1,\,n+1}} \#(M^p \cap R^{n-p+1}) \mathcal{Q}_{n-p+1,\,n+1} = \frac{O_{n+1}O_n \cdots O_{p+2}}{O_{n-p+1}O_{n-p} \cdots O_2} V(M) \,.$$

#### § 5. Proof of the main theorem.

Our preparations are complete. We will prove the theorem stated in § 1. Let M be a compact oriented 2p-dimensional submanifold in  $S^n$ . Applying Teufel's formula (1.1) to a submanifold  $M \cap R^{n-1}$  in  $S^{n-2}$ , we get

$$\chi(M \cap R^{n-1}) = \tau_{2p-2}(M \cap R^{n-1}) + \frac{1}{C_{n-3, n-1}} \int \chi((M \cap R^{n-1}) \cap R^{n-3}) \mathcal{Q}_{n-3, n-1}.$$

In this section, we omit domains of integration. From this and (1.1), it follows that

$$\begin{split} \chi(M) &= \tau_{2p}(M) + \frac{1}{C_{n-1, n+1}} \int \tau_{2p-2}(M \cap R^{n-1}) \Omega_{n-1, n+1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{C_{n-3, n+1}} \int \chi(M \cap R^{n-3}) \Omega_{n-3, n+1} \,. \end{split}$$

By a continuation of the above argument, we finally get

(5.1) 
$$\chi(M) = \tau_{2p}(M) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \frac{1}{C_{n+1-2k, n+3-2k}} \int \tau_{2p-2k}(M \cap R^{n+1-2k}) \Omega_{n+1-2k, n+3-2k} + \frac{1}{C_{n+3-2p, n+1}} \int \chi(M \cap R^{n+3-2p}) \Omega_{n+3-2p, n+1}.$$

Since  $M \cap R^{n+3-2p}$  are generally 2-dimensional submanifolds in  $S^{n+2-2p}$ . Hence, by Theorem 4, we have

(5.2) 
$$\chi(M \cap R^{n+3-2p}) = \tau_2(M \cap R^{n+3-2p}) + \frac{1}{C_{n+1-2p, n+3-2p}} \int \#((M \cap R^{n+3-2p}) \cap R^{n+1-2p}) \Omega_{n+1-2p, n+3-2p}.$$

Thus from (5.1) and (5.2) we get

$$(5.3) \qquad \chi(M) = \tau_{2p}(M) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{C_{n+1-2k, n+3-2k}} \int \tau_{2p-2k}(M \cap R^{n+1-2k}) \mathcal{Q}_{n+1-2k, n+3-2k}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{C_{n+1-2p, n+1}} \int \#(M \cap R^{n+1-2p}) \mathcal{Q}_{n+1-2p, n+1}.$$

Using (3.8) and Lemma 5, from (5.3) we obtain (1.7), from which the desired formula (1.6) follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Dr. E. Teufel for directing my attention to the formula (14.70) in [6].

#### References

- [1] B. Y. Chen, On the total curvature of immersed manifolds, I: An inequality of Fenchel-Borsuk-Willmore, Amer. J. Math., 93 (1971), 148-162.
- [2] S. S. Chern, On the kinematic formula in integral geometry, J. Math. Mech., 16 (1966), 101-118.
- [3] B. Guillmein and A. Pollack, Differential Topology, Prentice-Hall, 1974.
- [4] A. Gray, Comparison theorems for the volumes of tubes as generalizations of the Weyl tube formula, Topology, 21 (1982), 201-228.
- [5] R. Langevin and T. Shifrin, Polar varieties and integral geometry, Amer. J. Math., 104 (1982), 553-605.
- [6] L. A. Santalo, Integral geometry and geometric probability, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Addison-Wesley, 1976.
- [7] E. Teufel, Eine differentialtopologische Berechnung der Totalen Krümmung und Totalen Absolutkrümmung in der sphärischen Differentialgeometrie, Manuscripta Math., 31 (1980), 119-147.
- [8] E. Teufel, Anwendung der differentialtopologischen Berechnung der Totalen Krümmung und Totalen Absolutkrümmung in der sphärischen Differentialgeometrie, Manuscripta Math., 32 (1980), 239-262.
- [9] E. Teufel, Differential topology and the computation of total absolute curvature, Math. Ann., 258 (1982), 471-480.
- [10] H. Weyl, On the volume of tubes, Amer. J. Math., 61 (1939), 461-472.

Toru ISHIHARA
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Tokushima University
Josanjima, Tokushima 770
Japan