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Let $M_{2}(D)$ be the full matrix algebra of degree 2 over a division algebra $D$ of characteristic 0 . In [11] we proved that if $G$ is a finite multiplicative subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$ with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, then $G$ is a solvable group. More generally, in this paper we will determine the non-abelian simple groups $S$ which are homomorphic images of multiplicative subgroups $G$ of $M_{2}(D)$. In [10] we remarked that abelian subgroups of the Sylow 2 -subgroups of $G$ are generated by at most 2 elements. In particular, the Sylow 2 -subgroups possess no abelian normal subgroups of rank 3 , which implies that these 2 -groups are generated by at most 4 elements (see MacWilliams [14]). All simple groups whose Sylow 2 -subgroups are generated by at most 4 elements have been determined in Gorenstein-Harada [7]. Using their theorem, we will determine the simple groups $S$.

Our main result is as follows.
Theorem. Let $S$ be a simple group. If there exists a division algebra $D$ of characteristic 0 , a finite multiplicative subgroup $G$ of $M_{2}(D)$ and a normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ satisfying $G / N \cong S$, then $S$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{PSL}(2,5)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(2,9)$ and $N \neq 1$.

In the theorem $N \neq 1$ means the following:
Corollary. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $K$ be a field of characteristic 0. If one of the simple components of the group ring $K G$ is the full matrix algebra of degree 2 over a division algebra, then $G$ is not simple.

The corollary can not be generalized to the full matrix algebra of degree $\geqq 3$. In fact,

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}[P S L(2,5)] \cong \boldsymbol{Q} \oplus M_{3}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{5})) \oplus M_{4}(\boldsymbol{Q}) \oplus M_{5}(\boldsymbol{Q})
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}\left[A_{n}\right] \cong \boldsymbol{Q} \oplus M_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{Q}) \oplus \cdots, \quad n \geqq 5 .
$$

## 1. Preliminaries.

All division algebras considered in this paper are of characteristic 0 . As usual $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}$ denote respectively the rational number field and the complex
number field. By a subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$ we mean a finite multiplicative subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$. Let $D$ be a division algebra and let $K$ be a field contained in the center of $D$. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$. We define $V_{K}(G)=$ $\left\{\Sigma \alpha_{i} g_{i} \mid \alpha_{i} \in K, g_{i} \in G\right\}$ as a $K$-subalgebra of $M_{2}(D)$. Then there is a natural epimorphism $K G \rightarrow V_{K}(G)$. Hence $V_{K}(G)$ is a semi-simple $K$-subalgebra of $M_{2}(D)$. Let $V_{K}(G) \cong M_{n_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_{t}}\left(D_{t}\right)$ be the decomposition of $V_{K}(G)$ into simple algebras $M_{n_{i}}\left(D_{i}\right)$. Since $V_{K}(G) \cong M_{2}(D)$, there exist at most 2 orthogonal idempotents in $V_{K}(G)$. Thus we have $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i} \leqq 2$. This means that $V_{K}(G) \cong D_{1}, D_{1} \oplus D_{2}$ or $M_{2}\left(D_{1}\right)$.
(1.1) ([11]). Let $D$ be a division algebra and let $K$ be a subfield of the center of $D$. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$. Then we have $V_{K}(G) \cong D_{1}, D_{1} \oplus D_{2}$ or $M_{2}\left(D_{1}\right)$ where $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are some division algebras.

Now we recall the following results on $p$-groups.
(1.2) ([10], [11]). Let p be a prime number. Let P be a p-group which is a subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$.
(1) If $P$ is abelian, then $P$ is generated by at most 2 elements.
(2) If $p \neq 2$, then $P$ is abelian.
(3) If $p=2$, then $P /[P, P]$ is generated by at most 4 elements.

Amitsur proved the following result.
(1.3) ([2]). Let $G$ be a finite multiplicative subgroup of a division algebra and let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. If $G / N$ is simple, then $G / N \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,5)$.

We recall the following result.
(1.4) ([11]). Let $D$ be a division algebra and let $K$ be a subfield of the center of $D$. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$ satisfying $V_{K}(G)=M_{2}(D)$ and let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. If $|N|$ is odd, then one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $G$ has a subgroup of index 2 .
(2) $V_{K}(G)$ is a division algebra.

Let $S$ be a non-abelian simple group. We define
$m(S)=\{(D, G, N) \mid D$ is a division algebra of characteristic 0 , $G$ is a finite multiplicative subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$ and $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ such that $G / N \cong S\}$.
We assume $m(S) \neq \varnothing$. Let $(D, G, N)$ be an element of $m(S)$. By (1.2) the 2 -rank of $G$ (the maximal rank of an abelian 2-subgroup) is $\leqq 2$. By MacWilliams [14] the Sylow 2 -subgroups of $G$ are generated by at most 4 elements. Hence $S$ is one of the simple groups which were listed in Gorenstein-Harada [7].

## 2. Basic lemma.

Assume $S \not \equiv P S L(2,5)$ and $m(S) \neq \varnothing$. Let $\left(D_{0}, G, N\right)$ be an element of $m(S)$ satisfying $|G| \leqq\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ for any element $\left(D^{\prime}, G^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right) \in m(S)$. Since $\boldsymbol{Q} \subseteq$ the center
of $D_{0}, V_{Q}(G) \cong D_{1}, D_{1} \oplus D_{2}$ or $M_{2}\left(D_{1}\right)$ for some division algebras $D_{1}, D_{2}$. By (1.3) if $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G) \cong D_{1}$ or $D_{1} \oplus D_{2}$, then $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,5)$. Therefore $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G) \cong M_{2}\left(D_{1}\right)$. We put $D=D_{1}$. Then ( $D, G, N$ ) is an element of $m(S)$ such that $M_{2}(D)=V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G)$ and $|G| \leqq\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ for any element $\left(D^{\prime}, G^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right) \in m(S)$. In this section we will prove the following basic lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $S \not \equiv \operatorname{PSL}(2,5)$ and $m(S) \neq \varnothing$.
(1) There exists an element $(D, G, N)$ in $m(S)$ such that $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G)=M_{2}(D)$ and $|G| \leqq\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ for any element $\left(D^{\prime}, G^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right) \in m(S)$.

For $(D, G, N)$ in (1) we have
(2) $[G, G]=G$.
(3) $N$ is a 2-group.
(4) If $\operatorname{S\not \equiv } \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), \operatorname{PSL}(2,9), A_{7}$ nor $A_{8}$, then $N$ is cyclic and $N=Z(G)$.

To show the lemma we will use the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. Let $S$ be a simple group. If $S$ is a homomorphic image of $a$ subgroup of $G L(4,2)$, then $S$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:
$\operatorname{PSL}(2,5), \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), \operatorname{PSL}(2,9), A_{7}$ or $A_{8}$.
Proof. This may be well known. Here we give a proof. Since $|S|\left||G L(4,2)|=2^{6} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7\right.$, by [3] we have that $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,5), \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), \operatorname{PSL}(2,8)$, $\operatorname{PSL}(2,9), A_{7}, A_{8}$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(3,4)$. But $S \neq \operatorname{PSL}(3,4)$, because $|\operatorname{PSL}(3,4)|=|G L(4,2)|$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(3,4) \neq G L(4,2)$. Let $\mathcal{L}=\{(G, N) \mid G L(4,2) \supseteqq G \triangleright N$ and $G / N \cong P S L(2,8)\}$. We show that $\mathcal{L}=\varnothing$. Suppose that $\mathcal{L} \neq \varnothing$. Let $(G, N$ ) be an element of $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying $|G| \leqq\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ for any $\left(G^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $G \cong G L(4,2) \cong A_{8}$, we may regard $G$ as a permutation group on $\mathscr{X}=\{1,2, \cdots, 8\}$. We decompose $\mathfrak{X}$ into the orbits of $G: \mathscr{X}=\mathscr{X}_{1} \cup \mathscr{X}_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \mathscr{X}_{n}$. And we may assume $\left|\mathscr{X}_{1}\right| \neq 1$. Let $a$ be an element of $\mathfrak{X}_{1}$. We put $G_{a}=\{g \in G \mid g(a)=a\}$. Then $G_{a}$ is a proper subgroup of $G$ and $1<\left|G: G_{a}\right|=\left|\mathscr{X}_{1}\right| \leqq 8$. If $G / N=G_{a} / G_{a} \cap N$, then $\left(G_{a}, G_{a} \cap N\right) \in \mathcal{L}$. But it is impossible. Therefore $8 \geqq\left|G: G_{a}\right| \geqq\left|G / N: G_{a} / G_{a} \cap N\right|>1$. This shows that $\operatorname{PSL}(2,8)$ has a proper subgroup of index $\leqq 8$. But the minimal index of a proper subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2,8)$ is 9 (see [12] (8.28)). Thus we conclude that $S \neq P S L(2,8)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Step 1. We show first that $G=[G, G]$. Since $G /[G, G]$ is an abelian group, $(D,[G, G],[G, G] \cap N) \in m(S)$. The assumption on ( $D, G, N$ ) implies $G=[G, G]$.

Step 2. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $N$ for a prime $p$. We show that $P$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Since $N_{G}(P) N=G$, we have $N_{G}(P) / N_{G}(P) \cap N \cong$ $N_{G}(P) N / N=G / N \cong S$. Then $\left(D, N_{G}(P), N_{G}(P) \cap N\right) \in m(S)$, which implies $G=N_{G}(P)$. Thus $P \triangleleft G$.

Step 3. Next we show that $N$ is a 2 -group. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $N$. If $G$ has a subgroup $H$ of index 2 , then $H / H \cap N \cong S$. This means $(D, H, H \cap N) \in m(S)$, which contradicts the assumption on ( $D, G, N$ ).

Then it follows from (1.4) that $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(P)$ is a division algebra. Since a $p$-subgroup of a division algebra is cyclic, $P$ is cyclic. On the other hand $C_{G}(P) \triangleleft G$, because $P \triangleleft G$. Since $G / C_{G}(P)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of the cyclic group $P$, we have $\left(D, C_{G}(P), C_{G}(P) \cap N\right) \in m(S)$. Hence $G=C_{G}(P)$, which implies $P \cong Z(G)$. Now let $Q$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. Put $R=Q \cap Z\left(N_{G}(Q)\right)$. By (1.2) $Q$ is abelian, and by ([5], (20.12)) there exists a normal subgroup $G_{0}$ of $G$ such that $G / G_{0} \cong R$. Since $G / G_{0} \neq S$, we have $G=G_{0}$, and $R=1$. Hence $P=1$, because $R \supseteqq P$.

Step 4. Finally we show that if $\operatorname{S\not \equiv } \operatorname{PSL}(2,5), \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), \operatorname{PSL}(2,9), A_{7}$ nor $A_{8}$, then $N$ is a cyclic 2 -group and $N=Z(G)$. If $N=Z(G)$, then $N \cong$ the center of $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G)=$ the center of $M_{2}(D)=$ the center of $D$. Since any finite multiplicative subgroup of a field is cyclic, $N$ is cyclic. Hence it suffices to show that $N \subseteq Z(G)$ (the converse $N \supseteq Z(G)$ can be easily checked). Let us consider a chain of subgroups of $N, N=N_{s} \supseteq N_{s-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq N_{1} \supseteq N_{0}=1$ such that $N_{i} \triangleleft G$ and $N_{i} / N_{i-1}$ is an elementary abelian 2 -group for any $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq s$. By the induction on $i$ we will prove that $N_{i} \subseteq Z(G)$. We assume that $N_{i-1} \cong Z(G)$. By (1.2) $N_{i} / N_{i-1}$ is generated by at most 4 elements. We can regard $\operatorname{Aut}\left(N_{i} / N_{i-1}\right)$ as a subgroup of $G L(4,2)$. By (2.2) and by our assumption on $S$ it is easy to see that $S \cong C_{G}\left(N_{i} / N_{i-1}\right)$ $/ C_{G}\left(N_{i} / N_{i-1}\right) \cap N$. Then we get $G=C_{G}\left(N_{i} / N_{i-1}\right)$. We now put $\left|N_{i-1}\right|=2^{t}$. Let $g \in G$ and $x \in N_{i}$. Since $G=C_{G}\left(N_{i} / N_{i-1}\right), x^{-1} g^{-1} x g \in N_{i-1}$. We set $y=x^{-1} g^{-1} x g$. Then $g^{-2^{t}} x g^{2 t}=x y^{2 t}=x$ because $y \in N_{i-1} \cong Z(G)$ and $\left|N_{i-1}\right|=2^{t}$. Thus we have $g^{2^{2 t} \in C_{G}\left(N_{i}\right) \text { for any } g \in G \text {. This shows that } G / C_{G}\left(N_{i}\right) \text { is a 2-group. Hence } S \cong<~}$ $C_{G}\left(N_{i}\right) / C_{G}\left(N_{i}\right) \cap N$ and $\left(D, C_{G}\left(N_{i}\right), C_{G}\left(N_{i}\right) \cap N\right) \in m(S)$. By the assumption on $G$ we conclude that $G=C_{G}\left(N_{i}\right)$, i. e. $N_{i} \subseteq Z(G)$. The proof of the lemma is completed.

## 3. Quasisimple group of 2-rank $\leqq 2$.

Let $S$ be a simple group. In this section we assume that $m(S) \neq \varnothing$ and $S \neq P S L(2,5), \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), \operatorname{PSL}(2,9), A_{7}$ nor $A_{8}$. By (2.1) there exists an element $(D, G, N)$ in $m(S)$ such that $G=[G, G], N=Z(G)$ and $N$ is a cyclic 2-group. Therefore $O(G)$ (the largest normal subgroup of $G$ of odd order) $=1$ and $G$ is a quasisimple group (i.e. $G=[G, G]$ and $G / Z(G)$ is simple) of 2 -rank $\leqq 2$ (cf. (1.2)). These groups $G$ have been studied by Alperin, Brauer, Gorenstein and Harada.

We recall their theorems.
(3.1) (Alperin-Brauer-Gorenstein [1]). If $S$ is a finite simple group of 2-rank 2, then one of the following holds:
(1) $S$ has dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, and $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, q), q$ odd, or $A_{7}$;
(2) $S$ has quasi-dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, and $S \cong P S L(3, q), q \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$, $\operatorname{PSU}\left(3, q^{2}\right), q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, or $M_{11}$;
(3) $S$ has wreathed Sylow 2-subgroups, and $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}(3, q), q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ or $\operatorname{PSU}\left(3, q^{2}\right), q \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$; or
(4) $S \cong P S U\left(3,4^{2}\right)$.
(3.2) (Gorenstein-Harada [7]). If $G$ is a quasisimple group of 2 -rank 2 with $O(G)=1$, then either $G$ is simple or $G$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Sp}(4, q), q$ odd.

In the case where 2 -rank of $G$ is 1 , it is known that a Sylow 2 -subgroup $P$ of $G$ is cyclic or generalized quaternion. Since $S \cong G / N$ is simple, $P / N$ is dihedral. Then by (3.1) $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, q), q$ odd. In the case where 2 -rank of $G$ is 2 , by (3.2), and by (3.1), $G \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, q), \operatorname{PSL}(3, q), \operatorname{PSU}\left(3, q^{2}\right), q$ odd, $M_{11}, \operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right)$ or $S p(4, q), q$ odd. If $q$ is a power of an odd prime $p$, the Sylow $p$-subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q), \operatorname{PSU}\left(3, q^{2}\right)$ and $S p(4, q)$ are not abelian. Therefore by (1.2) $G \not \equiv \operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$, $\operatorname{PSU}\left(3, q^{2}\right)$ nor $S p(4, q)$. Hence we have

Proposition 3.3. Let $S$ be a simple group. Assume that $m(S) \neq \varnothing$. Then we have
(1) $\operatorname{S\cong } \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, q), q$ odd, $\operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right), A_{7}, A_{8}$ or $M_{11}$.
(2) If $S \cong \operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right)$ or $M_{11}$, then there exists a division algebra $D$ such that $(D, S, 1) \in m(S)$ and $V_{Q}(S)=M_{2}(D)$.

## 4. Proof of theorem.

Let $\chi$ be an irreducible character of a finite group $G$. By $m(\chi)$ we denote the Schur index of $\chi$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $G$ be a finite group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) There exist a division algebra $D$ and a normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ such that $G / N \cong M_{2}(D)$ and $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G / N)=M_{2}(D)$.
(2) There exists an irreducible character $\chi$ of $G$ satisfying $\chi(1)=2 m(\chi)$.

Proof. Let $M_{n}(D)$ be a simple component of $\boldsymbol{Q} G$ and let $\chi$ be an irreducible character of $G$ corresponding to $M_{n}(D)$. Then $\chi(1)=n m(\chi)$. From this relation we can easily see that the conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.

The character table of $S L(2, q), q$ odd, is well known (see [4], §38), and the Schur indices of $S L(2, q)$ have been determined in Janusz [13].

We use the same notation as in Dornhoff [4], § 38.
(4.2) ([13]). The degrees and the Schur indices of the irreducible character of $S L(2, q), q$ odd, are as follows;
(1) $1(1)=1, \quad m(1)=1$,
(2) $\psi(1)=q, \quad m(\psi)=1$,
(3) $\chi_{i}(1)=q+1, \quad m\left(\chi_{i}\right)=1$ if $i$ is even, $m\left(\chi_{i}\right)=2$ if $i$ is odd,
(4) $\theta_{j}(1)=q-1, \quad m\left(\theta_{j}\right)=1$ if $j$ is even, $m\left(\theta_{j}\right)=2$ if $j$ is odd,
(5) $\quad \xi_{k}(1)=(q+1) / 2, \quad m\left(\xi_{k}\right)=1$,
(6) $\quad \eta_{k}(1)=(q-1) / 2, \quad m\left(\eta_{k}\right)=1$ if $q \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$,

$$
m\left(\eta_{k}\right)=2 \text { if } q \equiv 1(\bmod 4),
$$

where $1 \leqq i \leqq(q-3) / 2,1 \leqq j \leqq(q-1) / 2,1 \leqq k \leqq 2$.
By (4.2) we can easily find all irreducible characters of $S L(2, q)$ satisfying $\chi(1)=2 m(\chi)$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\chi$ be an irreducible character of $\operatorname{SL}(2, q), q$ odd, satisfying $\chi(1)=2 m(\chi)$. Then $\chi$ is one of the following;
(1) $\chi=\xi_{k}$ and $q=3,1 \leqq k \leqq 2$,
(2) $\chi=\theta_{1}$ and $q=5$,
(3) $\chi=\eta_{k}$ and $q=9,1 \leqq k \leqq 2$.

Proposition 4.4. If $m(\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)) \neq \varnothing, q$ odd, then $q=5,7$ or 9 .
Proof. We assume $m(P S L(2, q)) \neq \varnothing$ and $q \neq 5,7$ nor 9. Let $(D, G, N)$ be an element of $m(P S L(2, q))$. By (2.1) we may assume that $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G)=M_{2}(D)$ and $G$ is a central extension of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ with $G=[G, G]$. It is well known that there exists an epimorphism from $S L(2, q)$ onto $G$. (See [12] (25.7).) Therefore $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(G)=M_{2}(D)$ is a simple component of $\boldsymbol{Q}[S L(2, q)]$. By (4.1) and (4.3) $q=5$ or 9 (cf. $\operatorname{PSL}(2,3)$ is not simple), which is a contradiction.

Lemma 4.5. Let $H$ be a non-abelian group of order 21 . Let $\varepsilon_{n}$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{Q} H \cong \boldsymbol{Q} \oplus \boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{3}\right) \oplus M_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{7}+\varepsilon_{7}^{2}+\varepsilon_{7}^{4}\right)\right) .
$$

In particular $H$ is not a subgroup of $M_{2}(D)$ for any division algebra $D$.
Proof. We put $H=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{7}=1, b^{3}=1, b a b^{-1}=a^{2}\right\rangle$. Let $\sigma$ be the automorphism of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{7}\right)$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ defined by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{7}\right)=\varepsilon_{7}^{2}$. Since there exists an epimorphism from $\boldsymbol{Q H}$ to the cyclic algebra $\left(\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{7}\right), \sigma, 1\right)$ determined by the mapping $a \rightarrow \varepsilon_{7}$ and $b \rightarrow \sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{Q} H & \cong \boldsymbol{Q} \oplus \boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{3}\right) \oplus\left(\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{7}\right), \boldsymbol{\sigma}, 1\right) \\
& \cong \boldsymbol{Q} \oplus \boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{3}\right) \oplus M_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{7}+\varepsilon_{7}^{2}+\varepsilon_{7}^{4}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we prove the theorem.
Theorem. Let $S$ be a simple group. Then
(1) $m(S) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,5)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(2,9)$.
(2) If $(D, G, N) \in m(S)$, then $N \neq 1$.

Proof. We assume that $m(S) \neq \varnothing$. It follows from (3.3) and (4.4) that $S \cong$ $\operatorname{PSL}(2,5), \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), \operatorname{PSL}(2,9), \operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right), A_{7}, A_{8}$ or $M_{11}$. First we suppose that $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), A_{7}$ or $A_{8}$. Let $(D, G, N) \in m(S)$. By (2.1) we may assume that $N$ is a 2 -group. It is easily checked that $S$ contains a non-abelian group of order 21. Thus $G$ contains a non-abelian group of order 21 , which contradicts (4.5). Therefore $m(\operatorname{PSL}(2,7))=m\left(A_{7}\right)=m\left(A_{8}\right)=\varnothing$. Since $\operatorname{PSL}(2,11)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $M_{11}$ (see [6]) and $m(\operatorname{PSL}(2,11))=\varnothing$ by (4.4), we obtain $m\left(M_{11}\right)=\varnothing$.

Finally we assume that $m\left(P S U\left(3,4^{2}\right)\right) \neq \varnothing$. By (3.3) we can find a division algebra $D$ such that $\left(D, \operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right), 1\right) \in m\left(\operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right)\right)$ and $V_{\boldsymbol{Q}}\left(\operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right)\right)=M_{2}(D)$. Let $\chi$ be an irreducible character of $\operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right)$ corresponding to $M_{2}(D)$. Then, as shown by Gow [8], $m(\chi)=1$ except only one character $\chi$ of degree 12 with $m(\chi)=2$. By (4.1) we have $m(\chi)=1$, and $D$ is an algebraic number field. Hence $\operatorname{PSU}\left(3,4^{2}\right)$ is a subgroup of $G L(2, \boldsymbol{C})$, but it is impossible (see [4] (26.1)). Therefore $m\left(P S U\left(3,4^{2}\right)\right)=\varnothing$. Thus we find that if $m(S) \neq \varnothing$, then $S \cong P S L(2,5)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(2,9)$.

The assertion (2) and the converse of (1) follow directly from (4.3).
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