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Let $k$ be a field. The famous "Lüroth Theorem" asserts that if $R$ is a field with $k \subset R \cong k(X)$, then $R=k(Y)$, a simple transcendental extension of $k$. [5, p. 198]. As was proved by Igusa [2], [3], Lüroth's Theorem can be generalized to say that if $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are algebraically independent over $k$ and $R$ is a field of transcendence degree one over $k$ such that $k \subset R \cong k\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$, then $R=k(Y)$, a simple transcendental extension of $k$. Related results for the case when $R$ has transcendence degree $>1$ over $k$ are given by Zariski [6], Swan [4], and Clemens-Griffiths [1].

These striking results naturally motivate the search for similar phenomena or generalization. For this purpose we use the following notation. If $R$ is a function field of one variable over $k$, then the degree of irrationality of $R$ over $k, \operatorname{irr}(R)=\min \{[R: k(x)]: x \in R\}$. The classical Lüroth Theorem can then be stated: if $R \subseteq S$ are function fields of one variable over $k$ and $\operatorname{irr}(S)=1$, then $\operatorname{irr}(R)=1$. In this form, Lüroth's Theorem naturally calls for the study of the pair of numbers $(\operatorname{irr}(S), \operatorname{irr}(R))$ for the case $\operatorname{irr}(S)>1$. Our result is the following.

Theorem. Let $R \subseteq S$ be function fields of one variable over a field $k$. For any $x \in S$, let $y$ denote the norm of $x$ with respect to $R$. If $y$ is not algebraic over $k$, then $[S: k(x)] \geqq[R: k(y)]$. In particular, if $k$ is an infinite field, then the degree of irrationality of $R, \operatorname{irr}(R) \leqq \operatorname{irr}(S)$, the degree of irrationality of $S$.

Proof. We first consider the case when $S$ is separable over $R$. Let $T$ be a normal closure of $S$ over $R$, and let $G$ be the Galois group of $T$ over $R$. We recall that if $H$ is the subgroup of $G$ fixing $S$ and $G=g_{1} H \cup \cdots \cup g_{m} H$ is a coset decomposition of $G$ with respect to $H$, then $y=\prod_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}(x)$ is the norm of $x[7, \mathrm{p} .91]$. Note that $m=[S: R]$. Since $[T: k(x)]=\left[T: k\left(g_{i}(x)\right)\right]$ is equal to the degree of the polar divisor of $x$ or $g_{i}(x)$ in $T$, and since the

[^0]degree of the polar divisors of a product is less than or equal to the sum of the degrees of the polar divisors of the factors, we have
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m[T: k(x)] \geqq[T: k(y)] . \text { Thus } \\
& m[T: S][S: k(x)] \geqq[T: R][R: k(y)], \text { and since } \\
& m[T: S]=[T: R], \text { we have }[S: k(x)] \geqq[R: k(y)]
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

in the separable case.
In the general case, let $S^{\prime}$ be the separable closure of $R$ in $S$, and let $p^{e}=\left[S: S^{\prime}\right]$. Then for $x \in S, x^{p^{e}}=x^{\prime}$ is the norm of $x$ in $S^{\prime}$ and $[S: k(x)]=$ $\left[S^{\prime}: k\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]$. If $y$ is the norm of $x$ with respect to $S / R$, then $y$ is also the norm of $x^{\prime}$ with respect to $S^{\prime} / R$. It follows from the separable case that $[S: k(x)] \geqq[R: k(y)]$.

For $k$ infinite, and $x \in S$ such that $[S: k(x)]=\operatorname{irr}(S)$, we show the existence of an element $c$ of $k$ such that the norm of $x-c$ with respect to $R$ is transcendental over $k$; thus establishing $\operatorname{irr}(S) \geqq \operatorname{irr}(R)$. Let $f(x)$ be the field equation for $x$ with respect to $S$ over $R$, then the norm of $x-c$ for $c \in k$ is $\pm f(c)$. By the Lagrange interpolation formula $f(c)$ can not be algebraic over $k$ for more than $[S: R]$ elements $c$, for otherwise $x$ would be algebraic over $k$.
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