On the isometry groups of Sasakian manifolds

By Shûkichi TANNO

(Received Feb. 14, 1970)

§1. Introduction

The dimension of the isometry group of an *m*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is equal to or smaller than m(m+1)/2. The maximum is attained if and only if (M, g) is of constant curvature and one of the following manifolds: a sphere S^m , a real projective space P^m , a Euclidean space E^m , and a hyperbolic space H^m (cf. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu [5], p. 308).

G. Fubini's theorem ([2], or [1]; p. 229) says that in an *m*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (m > 2) the dimension of the isometry group can not be equal to m(m+1)/2-1. Further, by H.C. Wang [12] and K. Yano [13] it was shown that in an *m*-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(m \neq 4)$, there exists no group of isometries of order *s* such that

(1.1)
$$m(m+1)/2 > s > m(m-1)/2+1$$
.

Riemannian manifolds admitting isometry groups of dimension m(m-1)/2+1 were studied by K. Yano [13], and the related subjects were studied by S. Ishihara [4], M. Obata [7], etc.

We consider similar problems in Sasakian manifolds. For a Sasakian manifold M with structure tensors (ϕ, ξ, η, g) we denote by I(M) and A(M) the group of isometries and the group of automorphisms. By $S^{2n+1}[H]$ for H > -3, $E^{2n+1}[-3]$, and $(L, CD^n)[H]$ for H < -3, we denote complete and simply connected Sasakian manifolds of (2n+1)-dimension with constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature H > -3, -3, and H < -3, respectively (S. Tanno [11]). These Sasakian manifolds admit the automorphism groups of the maximum dimension $(n+1)^2$ (cf. S. Tanno [10]). By F(t) we denote the cyclic group generated by $\exp t\xi$ for a real number t. Manifolds are assumed to be connected and structure tensors are assumed to be of class C^{∞} .

In this paper the main theorem is as follows:

THEOREM A. Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold of mdimension, m = 2n+1.

(i) If dim $I(M) = (n+1)^2$, then (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is one of the following manifolds:

S. TANNO

(i-1) a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature,

(i-2) $S^{m}[H]/F(t_{1})$ for H > -3 and $H \neq 1$,

(i-3) $E^{m}[-3]/F(t_{2})$,

(i-4) (L, CD^n)[H]/ $F(t_3)$ for H < -3.

(ii) If dim $I(M) > (n+1)^2$, then (M, g) is of constant curvature.

If *M* is simply connected, we can give the complete classification of (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) whose isometry group has dimension $\geq (n+1)^2 = (m+1)^2/4$, (M, g) being complete.

THEOREM A'. Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a complete and simply connected Sasakian manifold of m-dimension, m = 2n+1. Then,

(i) dim $I(M) = (n+1)^2$, if and only if (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is one of the following manifolds:

(i-1) $S^{m}[H]$ for H > -3 and $H \neq 1$,

(i-2) $E^{m}[-3]$,

(i-3) (L, CD^n)[H] for H < -3.

(ii) dim $I(M) > (n+1)^2$, if and only if dim I(M) = (n+1)(2n+1) = m(m+1)/2and $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g) = S^m[1]$.

In Theorem A, Sasakian manifolds $(i-2)\sim(i-4)$ have a property dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$. More precisely, we have $I(M) = A(M) \cup A'(M)$, where A'(M) is composed of isometries φ satisfying $\varphi \xi = -\xi$.

COROLLARY. Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold of m-dimension, m = 2n+1. Assume that

dim
$$I(M) > (n+1)^2 = (m+1)^2/4$$
.

Then (M, g) is of constant curvature 1, if and only if (M, g) admits a Sasakian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) .

§2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with a fixed Riemannian metric g. Then a Sasakian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) on (M, g) is characterized by a unit Killing vector field ξ such that

(2.1)
$$\nabla_X(\nabla\xi) \cdot Y = g(\xi, Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi,$$

or

$$(2.1)' \qquad -R(X,\,\xi)Y = g(\xi,\,Y)X - g(X,\,Y)\xi\,,$$

where X, Y are vector fields on M, \overline{V} is the Riemannian connection defined by g, and R is the Riemannian curvature tensor. ϕ and η are defined by $\phi = -\overline{V}\xi$ and $\eta(X) = g(\xi, X)$ (cf. [3], [8], [10], etc.). So we denote by (M, ξ, g) a Sasakian manifold and by ξ a Sasakian structure on (M, g).

580

If we have two Sasakian structures $\xi_{(1)}$ and $\xi_{(2)}$, which are orthogonal, namely $g(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}) = 0$ on M, then we have the third Sasakian structure $\xi_{(3)}$:

(2.2)
$$\xi_{(3)} = (1/2)[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}] = -\phi_{(2)}\xi_{(1)}$$

such that $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$ and $\xi_{(3)}$ are mutually orthogonal. A set $(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)})$ is called a Sasakian 3-structure and in this case the dimension of M is 4r+3 for some integer $r \ge 0$ (Y. Y. Kuo [6]). They satisfy

(2.3)
$$\xi_{(1)} = (1/2) [\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}],$$

(2.4)
$$\xi_{(2)} = (1/2) [\xi_{(3)}, \xi_{(1)}],$$

and there is no Sasakian structure $\xi_{(4)}$ on (M, g), which is orthogonal to the above three (S. Tachibana and W. N. Yu [9]).

The following lemma is useful in our arguments.

LEMMA 2.1. (S. Tachibana and W. N. Yu [9]) Let (M, g) be a complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold of m-dimension. If (M, g) admits two Sasakian structures ξ and ξ' with non-constant $g(\xi, \xi')$, then (M, g) is isometric with a unit sphere S^m .

Assume that a Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits a Sasakian structure $\hat{\xi}$ such that the isometry group I(M) = I(M, g) is different from the automorphism group $A(M) = A(M, \xi, g)$. Then we have an isometry φ which is not an automorphism of the Sasakian structure. If φ preserves ξ , then φ preserves $\nabla \xi = -\phi$ and η , and hence, φ is an automorphism. Therefore, denoting by the same letter φ its differential, we have $\varphi \xi \neq \xi$. We show that this unit Killing vector field $\varphi \xi$ defines a Sasakian structure on (M, g). Let p be an arbitrary point and let X, Y be arbitrary vector fields on M. Since φ is an isometry, it preserves the Riemannian curvature tensor:

$$R_{\varphi p}(\varphi X, \varphi \xi) \varphi Y = \varphi_p(R(X, \xi)Y)_p.$$

Consequently, by (2.1)' we have

$$-R_{\varphi p}(\varphi X, \varphi \xi)\varphi Y = \varphi_p(g_p(\xi, Y)X - g_p(X, Y)\xi)$$
$$= g_p(\xi, Y)\varphi X - g_p(X, Y)\varphi \xi.$$

Here we have

$$g_p(\xi, Y) = (\varphi^* g)_p(\xi, Y) = g_{\varphi p}(\varphi \xi, \varphi Y),$$

and, therefore, we get

$$-R_{\varphi p}(\varphi X, \varphi \xi)\varphi Y = g_{\varphi p}(\varphi \xi, \varphi Y)\varphi X - g_{\varphi p}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi \xi.$$

This means that $\varphi \xi$ is a Sasakian structure.

In [10] we have classified almost contact Riemannian manifolds of (2n+1)-

dimension admitting the automorphism groups of the maximum dimension $(n+1)^2$. The classification only for Sasakian manifolds is as follows:

LEMMA 2.2. (S. Tanno [10], [11]) Let (M, ξ, g) be a Sasakian manifold of (2n+1)-dimension. Then dim $A(M) \leq (n+1)^2$. dim $A(M) = (n+1)^2$ holds, if and only if (M, ξ, g) has constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature H and it is one of the followings:

- (1) $S^{2n+1}[H]/F(t_1)$ for H > -3, where $2\pi \cdot 4(H+3)^{-1}/t_1$ is an integer,
- (2) $E^{2n+1}[-3]/F(t_2)$, where t_2 is a real number,
- (3) $(L, CD^n)[H]/F(t_3)$ for H < -3, where t_3 is a real number.

§ 3. The case dim M = 3

First we have

PROPOSITION 3.1. If a Riemannian manifold of 3-dimension admits a Sasakian 3-structure, then it is of constant curvature 1.

PROOF. In a Sasakian manifold, sectional curvature $K(\xi, X)$ for a 2-plane which contains ξ is equal to 1 (cf. (2.1)', or [3]). If (M, g) has a Sasakian 3-structure $\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}$, then

$$\xi = a\xi_{(1)} + b\xi_{(2)} + c\xi_{(3)}$$

for constant *a*, *b*, *c* satisfying $a^2+b^2+c^2=1$, is also a Sasakian structure and (M, g) is of constant curvature 1.

THEOREM 3.2. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold of 3-dimension.

- (i) If dim I(M) = 4, then (M, ξ, g) is one of the followings:
- (i-1) a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature,
- (i-2) $S^{3}[H]/F(t_{1})$ for H > -3 and $H \neq 1$,
- (i-3) $E^{3}[-3]/F(t_{2}),$
- (i-4) (L, CD^1)[H]/ $F(t_3)$ for H < -3.

(ii) If dim I(M) > 4, then dim I(M) = 6 and (M, ξ, g) is either $S^{3}[1]$ or $P^{3}[1] = S^{3}[1]/F(\pi)$.

PROOF. Assume that dim I(M) = 4. Since dim $A(M) \le 4$ by Lemma 2.2, we have either dim $A(M) = \dim I(M) = 4$ or dim $A(M) < \dim I(M)$. The first case implies $(i-1)\sim(i-4)$ by Lemma 2.2.

If dim $A(M) < \dim I(M)$, we see that there is some isometry φ in the identity component of I(M) which satisfies $\varphi \xi \neq \xi$ and $\varphi \xi \neq -\xi$. $\varphi \xi$ defines another Sasakian structure on (M, g). If $g(\xi, \varphi \xi)$ is not constant on M, we consider the universal covering manifold (*M, *g) of (M, g), and naturally induced Sasakian structures $*\xi$ and $*(\varphi \xi)$. Then by Lemma 2.1, (*M, *g) is isometric with a unit sphere. Hence, (M, g) is of constant curvature 1.

Next we assume that $g(\xi, \varphi \xi) = a$ is constant on *M*. Since $\varphi \xi \neq \xi$ and

 $\varphi \xi \neq -\xi$, we have |a| < 1. Then we have a Sasakian structure

(3.1)
$$\xi_{(2)} = -a\xi/\sqrt{1-a^2} + (\varphi\xi)/\sqrt{1-a^2} ,$$

which is orthogonal to $\xi = \xi_{(1)}$, and hence (M, g) admits a Sasakian 3-structure by (2.2). By Proposition 3.1, (M, g) is of constant curvature. This is the case (i-1).

Finally assume that dim I(M) > 4. By a theorem of G. Fubini we have dim I(M) = 6. (M, g) is isometric to either S^{3} or P^{3} . Therefore, we have either $(M, \xi, g) = S^{3}[1]$ or $(M, \xi, g) = P^{3}[1] = S^{3}[1]/F(\pi)$.

§ 4. The difference of dim I(M) and dim A(M)

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold. Assume that dim I(M)-dim A(M) = 1. Then (M, g) is of constant curvature 1.

PROOF. Let $(A_1, \dots, A_{\gamma-1}, A_{\gamma} = \xi)$ be a basis of the Lie algebra composed of infinitesimal automorphisms, where $\gamma = \dim A(M)$. Since we have some isometry φ satisfying $\varphi \xi \neq \xi$ and $\varphi \xi \neq -\xi$ (cf. proof of Theorem 3.2), we have another Sasakian structure $\varphi \xi$. If $g(\xi, \varphi \xi)$ is not constant on M, (M, g) is of constant curvature. If $g(\xi, \varphi \xi)$ is constant on M, then $\xi_{(2)}$ defined by (3.1) together with $\xi = \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(3)}$ by (2.2), defines a Sasakian 3-structure. Since $\xi_{(2)}$ is not an infinitesimal automorphism of $\xi_{(1)}$, but a Killing vector field, we can consider

$$A_{1}$$
, \cdots , A_{7-1} , $\hat{\xi}_{(1)}$, $\hat{\xi}_{(2)}$

as a basis of the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields on (M, g). Thus, $\xi_{(3)}$ must be expressed in the form:

(4.1)
$$\xi_{(3)} = a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_{\gamma-1} A_{\gamma-1} + a \xi_{(1)} + b \xi_{(2)}$$

for some constant $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, a, b$. However, this implies that $\xi_{(3)} - b\xi_{(2)}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $\xi_{(1)}$. On the other hand, by (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$[\xi_{(3)} - b\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(1)}] = 2(\xi_{(2)} + b\xi_{(3)}),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, only one possibility is that (M, g) is of constant curvature 1.

LEMMA 4.2. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold. Assume that dim I(M)-dim $A(M) \ge 2$. Then either

(i) (M, g) is of constant curvature, or

(ii) (M, g) admits a Sasakian 3-structure ξ , $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$ and we have a basis of the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields:

$$(4.2) A_1, \cdots, A_{\gamma-1}, A_{\gamma} = \xi, X_1, \cdots, X_{\beta}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}$$

where $\beta = \dim I(M) - \dim A(M) - 2$, and (A_1, \dots, A_r) is a basis of the Lie algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms of ξ .

PROOF. By dim $A(M) < \dim I(M)$, we see that either (M, g) is of constant curvature, or (M, g) admits a Sasakian 3-structure. So we consider the latter case. In the proof of the preceding Proposition, we have proved that $\xi_{(3)}$ can not be expressed in the form (4.1). Hence, $\xi_{(3)}$ is taken as one element of (4.2). If dim I(M)-dim A(M)-2>0, we can add β Killing vector fields X_1, \dots, X_β to get (4.2).

THEOREM 4.3. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold. If dim I(M) $-\dim A(M) \ge 3$, then (M, g) is of constant curvature.

PROOF. By Lemma 4.2, we may assume that we have a basis (4.2) of the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields on (M, g), where $\beta \ge 1$. Let $X = X_1$. Since (M, g) is complete, X generates the 1-parameter group exp $sX, -\infty < s < \infty$, of isometries of (M, g). Since exp $sX \cdot \xi_{(1)}$ is a unit Killing vector field, we have some constant $a_1, \dots, a_{\gamma-1}, a, b_1, \dots, b_{\beta}, b, c$ depending on s such that

$$\exp sX \cdot \xi_{(1)} = a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_{r-1} A_{r-1} + b_1 X_1 + \dots + b_{\beta} X_{\beta} + a\xi_{(1)} + b\xi_{(2)} + c\xi_{(3)}.$$

We devide our arguments in several steps.

(I) The case where there is some s so that at least one of a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} , b_1, \dots, b_{β} is non-zero. In this case we have a non-zero Killing vector field Y defined by

(4.3)
$$Y = \exp sX \cdot \xi_{(1)} - a\xi_{(1)} - b\xi_{(2)} - c\xi_{(3)}.$$

(I-1) First suppose that the inner products of $\exp sX \cdot \xi_{(1)}$ and $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$ are all constant. Then g(Y, Y) is a non-zero constant on M and $\xi_{[4]}$ defined by

$$(4.4) \qquad \qquad \xi_{[4]} = Y/\sqrt{g(Y,Y)}$$

is a Sasakian structure on (M, g), because all $\exp sX \cdot \xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$ are Sasakian structures and $\xi_{[4]}$ is of unit length. By our construction of $\xi_{[4]}$, the inner products of $\xi_{[4]}$ and $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$ are constant.

(I—1—i) If $\xi_{[4]}$ belongs to the 3-dimensional distribution defined by $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$, then $\xi_{[4]}$ or Y is a linear combination of $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$ with real coefficients. So, $\exp sX \cdot \xi_{(1)}$ is of the form $a'\xi_{(1)} + b'\xi_{(2)} + c'\xi_{(3)}$. This is a contradiction.

(I-1-ii) If $\xi_{[4]}$ does not belong to the 3-dimensional distribution defined by $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$, then by normalization of the following vector field:

$$\xi_{[4]} - g(\xi_{[4]}, \xi_{(1)})\xi_{(1)} - g(\xi_{[4]}, \xi_{(2)})\xi_{(2)} - g(\xi_{[4]}, \xi_{(3)})\xi_{(3)}$$

we have a Sasakian structure $\xi_{(4)}$, which is orthogonal to all $\xi_{(1)}$, $\xi_{(2)}$, $\xi_{(3)}$.

584

This is also a contradiction (cf. \S 2).

(I-2) Suppose that at least one of the inner products of $\exp sX \cdot \xi_{(1)}$ and $\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}$ is not constant. Then, by Lemma 2.1 for the universal covering manifold, we see that (M, g) is of constant curvature.

(II) The case where, for any $s, -\infty < s < \infty$, we have

(4.5)
$$\exp sX \cdot \xi_{(1)} = a(s)\xi_{(1)} + b(s)\xi_{(2)} + c(s)\xi_{(3)}$$

where a, b, c depend only on s. We differentiate (4.5) with respect to s and get

(4.6)
$$[X, \xi_{(1)}] = A\xi_{(1)} + B\xi_{(2)} + C\xi_{(3)}$$

where A, B, C are constant such that

$$A = -(\partial a(s)/\partial s)_0$$
, $B = -(\partial b(s)/\partial s)_0$, $C = -(\partial c(s)/\partial s)_0$

We show that A = 0. In fact, we have

$$\begin{split} A &= g([X, \xi_{(1)}], \xi_{(1)}) \\ &= L_X(g(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(1)})) - (L_X g)(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(1)}) - g(\xi_{(1)}, [X, \xi_{(1)}]) \\ &= 0 - 0 - A \,, \end{split}$$

where L_X is the Lie derivation with respect to X. Hence, we have

(4.7)
$$[X, \xi_{(1)}] = B\xi_{(2)} + C\xi_{(3)}.$$

Define a Killing vector field Z by

(4.8) $Z = X + (1/2)C\xi_{(2)} - (1/2)B\xi_{(3)}.$

Then we have

$$[Z, \xi_{(1)}] = 0$$

by (2.2) and (2.4). Thus, Z is an infinitesimal automorphism of the Sasakian structure $\xi_{(1)}$, and it is written as

$$(4.10) Z = \alpha_1 A_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{\gamma_{-1}} A_{\gamma_{-1}} + \alpha \xi_{(1)}$$

for some constant $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\gamma-1}, \alpha$. By (4.8) and (4.10), we have

$$X = \alpha_1 A_1 + \dots + \alpha_{\gamma_{-1}} A_{\gamma_{-1}} + \alpha \xi_{(1)} - (1/2)C\xi_{(2)} + (1/2)B\xi_{(3)}$$

which contradicts the choice of the basis (4.2), since $X = X_1$. Thus, only one possibility is that (M, g) is of constant curvature.

THEOREM 4.4. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Then, we have either

- (i) dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$ [\Rightarrow admitting no Sasakian 3-structure], or
- (ii) dim $I(M) = \dim A(M) + 2 [\rightleftharpoons admitting a Sasakian 3-structure].$

PROOF. Assume that (M, g) admits no Sasakian 3-structure. If dim $I(M) > \dim A(M)$, by Proposition 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, (M, g) must be of constant curvature. This is a contradiction. Hence, we have dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$.

Assume that (M, g) admits a Sasakian 3-structure $(\xi'_{(1)}, \xi'_{(2)}, \xi'_{(3)})$. Since (M, g) is not of constant curvature, $g(\xi, \xi'_{(1)})$ must be constant. Then we can construct a Sasakian 3-structure $(\xi = \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)})$. Hence, we have (ii); otherwise (M, g) is of constant curvature.

§ 5. The case dim $I(M) = \dim A(M) + 2$

In this section we assume that a complete Sasakian manifold (M, ξ, g) is not of constant curvature and dim $I(M) = \dim A(M) + 2$ holds. Then (M, g)admits a Sasakian 3-structure and dim M = 4r+3. By Lemma 4.2, we have a basis of Killing vector fields:

$$A_1, \cdots, A_{\gamma-1}, A_{\gamma} = \xi = \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}.$$

LEMMA 5.1. Let f be an automorphism of ξ . Then we have a constant θ depending on f

(5.1)
$$f\xi_{(2)} = \sin\theta\xi_{(2)} + \cos\theta\xi_{(3)},$$

(5.2)
$$f\xi_{(3)} = \mp \cos \theta \xi_{(2)} \pm \sin \theta \xi_{(3)}.$$

PROOF. First we have $f\xi_{(1)} = \xi_{(1)}$. Since $f\xi_{(2)}$ is a Killing vector field, we have

(5.3)
$$f\xi_{(2)} = a_1A_1 + \dots + a_{r-1}A_{r-1} + a\xi_{(1)} + b\xi_{(2)} + c\xi_{(3)}$$

for constant $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, a, b$ and c. If at least one of a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} is not equal to zero, we see that (M, g) is of constant curvature, as in (I) of proof of Theorem 4.3. This contradicts the assumption. Hence, we get

(5.4)
$$f\xi_{(2)} = a\xi_{(1)} + b\xi_{(2)} + c\xi_{(3)}.$$

We show that a=0. This is done by

$$a = g(f\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(1)}) = g(f\xi_{(2)}, f\xi_{(1)})$$
$$= (f^*g)(\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(1)}) = g(\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(1)}) = 0$$

Thus, $f\xi_{(2)} = b\xi_{(2)} + c\xi_{(3)}$. Since $f\xi_{(2)}$ is of unit length, b and c are replaced by $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$. Similarly, we have $f\xi_{(3)} = b'\xi_{(2)} + c'\xi_{(3)}$. Then

$$g(f\xi_{(2)}, f\xi_{(3)}) = g(b\xi_{(2)} + c\xi_{(3)}, b'\xi_{(2)} + c'\xi_{(3)})$$
$$= bb' + cc'.$$

Since f is an isometry, we have bb'+cc'=0. Consequently, we have b'= $\mp \cos \theta$ and $c'=\pm \sin \theta$.

LEMMA 5.2. Let p be a point in M. Then the isotropy group P of the automorphism group A(M) at p is a subgroup of $1 \times O(2) \times U(2r)$.

PROOF. Let $\xi = \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)} = \phi \xi_{(2)},$

$$e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$
, \cdots , $e_{\scriptscriptstyle 2r}$, $\phi e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$, \cdots , $\phi e_{\scriptscriptstyle 2r}$

be a basis of the tangent space M_p at p. Let f be any element in P. Then we have $f\xi_{(1)} = \xi_{(1)}$ and (5.1), (5.2). This implies that f leaves the three subspaces V^1 , V^2 and V^{4r} of M_p invariant, where V^1 is spanned by $\xi_{(1)}$, V^2 is spanned by $\xi_{(2)}$ and $\xi_{(3)}$, and $V^{4r} = V^{4r}(e_1, \dots, e_{2r}, \phi e_1, \dots, \phi e_{2r})$ is the orthogonal complement of $V^1 + V^2$ in M_p . The action of f on V^1 is trivial. On V^2 it is an element of the othogonal group O(2). On V^{4r} the action of f is expressed by an element of (the real representation of) the unitary group U(2r).

THEOREM 5.3. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. If dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)+2$ (or, equivalently, if (M, g)admits a Sasakian 3-structure), then we have

(5.5)
$$\dim A(M) \leq (2r+1)^2 + 3, \quad m = 4r+3.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 5.2, the dimension of the isotropy group P at p is equal to or smaller than dim O(2)+dim $U(2r) = 1 + (2r)^2$. The dimension of the subspace of M_p spanned by infinitesimal automorphisms is equal to or smaller than dim M = 4r+3. Therefore we have dim $A(M) \leq (2r)^2 + 1 + (4r+3)$.

§ 6. The case where $I(M) = A(M) \cup A'(M)$

In a Sasakian manifold (M, ξ, g) , we denote by A'(M) the set of all isometries φ satisfying $\varphi \xi = -\xi$.

PROPOSITION 6.1. Let (M, ξ, g) be one of the following Sasakian manifolds:

$$S^{m}[H]/F(t_{1})$$
 for $H > -3$ and $H \neq 1$,
 $E^{m}[-3]/F(t_{2})$, $(L, CD^{n})[H]/F(t_{3})$ for $H < -3$.

Then we have dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$ and $I(M) = A(M) \cup A'(M)$.

PROOF. Since (M, g) is not of constant curvature, by Theorem 4.4, we have either dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$, or M admits a Sasakian 3-structure, which is assumed to be $(\xi, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)})$. In the latter case, we have $K(\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}) = 1$ by (2.1)'. However, $K(\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}) = K(\xi_{(2)}, \phi\xi_{(2)})$; that is, it is ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature $(= H \neq 1)$. This is a contradiction. Hence, dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$.

Next we show that there is an isometry h such that $h\xi = -\xi$. Let (*M, * ξ , *g) be the universal covering manifold of (M, ξ , g) such that (M, ξ , g)

S. TANNO

 $=(*M, *\xi, *g)/F(t_0)$. Then $(*M, -*\xi, *g)$ is another Sasakian structure on (*M, *g), which has constant $*(-\phi)$ -holomorphic sectional curvature H, too. Hence, $(*M, *\xi, *g)$ and $(*M, *(-\xi), *g)$ are isomorphic, and we have an isometry *h such that $*h*\xi = -*\xi$ (cf. Proposition 4.1 of [11]). $*h*\xi$ and $-*\xi$ generate the 1-parameter groups $*h^{-1} \cdot \exp t*\xi \cdot *h$ and $\exp(-t*\xi)$, respectively. Therefore we have

$$\exp t^* \xi \cdot h^* = h \cdot \exp(-t^* \xi).$$

Let $[]:*M \to M (*p \to [*p] = p)$ be the projection. Define *h* by hp = [*h*p]. For *p' such that [*p'] = p, we have some integer *z* so that $*p' = \exp t_0 z^* \xi \cdot *p$. Then

$$[*h*p'] = [*h \cdot \exp t_0 z^* \xi \cdot *p]$$
$$= [\exp (-t_0 z^* \xi) \cdot *h \cdot *p]$$
$$= [*h*p].$$

Therefore *h induces a well defined h on (M, ξ, g) . Clearly, h is an isometry and satisfies $h\xi = -\xi$.

Now, let φ be any isometry which is not an automorphism of (M, ξ, g) . Then $\varphi\xi$ defines a Sasakian structure on (M, g) such that $\varphi\xi \neq \xi$. If $g(\xi, \varphi\xi)$ is not constant, (M, g) must be of constant curvature. This can not happen. Therefore $g(\xi, \varphi\xi) = a$ is constant, and we have either |a| < 1 or a = -1. If |a| < 1, we can construct a Sasakian 3-structure, and we must have dim $I(M) \ge \dim A(M) + 2$.

This is a contradiction. Thus, we have a = -1 and $\varphi \xi = -\xi$. Then an isometry $h^{-1} \cdot \varphi$ satisfies $h^{-1} \cdot \varphi \xi = \xi$, which implies that $h^{-1} \cdot \varphi$ is an automorphism. Denoting this by f, we have $\varphi = hf$. This means that $I(M) = A(M) \cup A'(M)$, where $A'(M) = h \cdot A(M) = \{hf; f \in A(M)\}$.

§7. Theorems and corollaries

THEOREM 7.1. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold of m-dimension, m = 2n+1.

(i) If dim $I(M) = (n+1)^2$, then (M, ξ, g) is one of the followings:

- (i-1) a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature,
- (i-2) $S^{m}[H]/F(t_{1})$ for H > -3 and $H \neq 1$,
- (i-3) $E^{m}[-3]/F(t_{2}),$
- (i-4) (L, CD^n)[H]/ $F(t_3)$ for H < -3.

(ii) If dim $I(M) > (n+1)^2$, then (M, ξ, g) is of constant curvature 1.

PROOF. For m = 3, see Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $m = 2n+1 \ge 5$. Assume that dim $I(M) = (n+1)^2$. By Theorem 4.4, we have (i-1), or dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$, or dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)+2$. If dim $I(M) = \dim A(M) = (n+1)^2$, we

588

have $(i-1) \sim (i-4)$ by Lemma 2.2.

If dim $I(M) = \dim A(M) + 2$ and if (M, g) is not of constant curvature, then dim M = 4r + 3 and we have dim $A(M) \leq (2r+1)^2 + 3$ by Theorem 6.3. Then

(7.1)
$$\dim I(M) = \dim A(M) + 2 \le (2r+1)^2 + 5 = (2r+2)^2 - (4r-2).$$

Since $r \ge 1$, we have dim $I(M) < (2r+2)^2 = (n+1)^2$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of (i).

Next assume that dim $I(M) > (n+1)^2$. Then (M, g) is of constant curvature, or dim $I(M) = \dim A(M) + 2$ by Theorem 4.4. If dim $I(M) = \dim A(M) + 2$ and if (M, g) is not of constant curvature, we have (7.1) as before. And we have a contradiction.

THEOREM 7.2. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete and simply connected Sasakian manifold of m-dimension, m = 2n+1. Then,

(i) dim $I(M) = (n+1)^2$, if and only if (M, ξ, g) is one of the followings:

(i-1) $S^{m}[H]$ for H > -3 and $H \neq 1$,

(i-2) $E^{m}[-3]$,

(i-3) (*L*, CD^n)[*H*] for H < -3.

(ii) dim $I(M) > (n+1)^2$, if and only if dim I(M) = m(m+1)/2 and $(M, \xi, g) = S^m[1]$.

PROOF. This follows from Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 7.1.

COROLLARY 7.3. Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold of mdimension, m = 2n+1. Assume that

dim
$$I(M) > (n+1)^2 = (m+1)^2/4$$
.

Then (M, g) is of constant curvature 1, if and only if (M, g) admits a Sasakian structure (ξ, g) .

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 7.1 and (Proposition 5.1, [11]).

COROLLARY 7.4. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold of (4r+1)dimension, which is not of constant curvature. Then dim $I(M) = \dim A(M)$.

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 4.4.

REMARK. Let (M, ξ, g) be a complete Sasakian manifold, which is not of constant curvature. Assume that (M, g) admits a Sasakian 3-structure and dim M=4r+3. Then by Theorem 5.3, the dimension of the automorphism group A(M) can not satisfy

 $(4r+3)(4r+4)/2 \ge \dim A(M) > (2r+2)^2$, nor

 $(2r+2)^2 > \dim A(M) > (2r+1)^2 + 3$.

Tôhoku University

S. TANNO

References

- L.P. Eisenhart, Continuous groups of transformations, Princeton Univ. Press, 1933.
- G. Fubini, Sugli spazii che ammettono un gruppo continuo di movimenti, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (3) 8 (1903), 39-81.
- [3] Y. Hatakeyama, Y. Ogawa and S. Tanno, Some properties of manifolds with contact metric structure, Tôhoku Math. J., 15 (1963), 42-48.
- [4] S. Ishihara, Homogeneous Riemannian spaces of four dimension, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 7 (1955), 345-370.
- [5] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry, Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1963.
- [6] Y.Y. Kuo, On almost contact 3-structure, to appear in Tôhoku Math. J.
- [7] M. Obata, On *n*-dimensional homogeneous spaces of Lie groups of dimension greater than n(n-1)/2, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 7 (1955), 371-388.
- [8] S. Sasaki, Almost contact manifolds, Lecture notes I, II, III, Tôhoku University.
- [9] S. Tachibana and W. N. Yu, On a Riemannian space admitting more than one Sasakian structure, to appear.
- [10] S. Tanno, The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., 21 (1969), 21-38.
- [11] S. Tanno, Sasakian manifolds with constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature, Tôhoku Math. J., 21 (1969), 501-507.
- [12] H.C. Wang, On Finsler spaces with completely integrable equations of Killing, J. London Math. Soc., 22 (1947), 5-9.
- [13] K. Yano, On *n*-dimensional Riemannian spaces admitting a group of motions of order n(n-1)/2+1, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1953), 260-279.