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## 1. Introduction

According to Pick the classical Schwarz lemma can be stated in the following invariant manner. Every holomorphic map $f$ of the open unit disk $D$ into itself is distance-decreasing with respect to the Poincaré metric $d s^{2}$, i. e., $f^{*}\left(d s^{2}\right) \leqq d s^{2}$, and if the equality holds at one point of $D$, then $f$ is biholomorphic. Bochner and Martin proved in their book [2] the following generalization of the Schwarz lemma to higher dimension. Let $D_{n}$ be the open unit ball in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n}$,

$$
D_{n}=\left\{z=\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{n}\right) ;\|z\|^{2}=\Sigma\left|z^{j}\right|^{2}<1\right\} .
$$

If $f$ is a holomorphic mapping of $D_{m}$ into $D_{n}$ such that $f(0)=0$, then $\|f(z)\|$ $\leqq\|z\|$ for every $z \in D_{m}$. Using the fact that $D_{m}$ and $D_{n}$ are homogeneous, we can formulate this in the following invariant manner. Every holomorphic mapping $f: D_{m} \rightarrow D_{n}$ is distance-decreasing with respect to the Bergman metrics $d s_{D_{m}}^{2}$ and $d s_{D_{n}}^{2}$ of $D_{m}$ and $D_{n}$, i.e., $f *\left(d s_{D_{n}}^{2}\right) \leqq d s_{D_{m}}^{2}$.

Recently Korányi [7] obtained the following generalization of the Schwarz lemma. If $M$ is a hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type with the Bergman metric $d s^{2}$, then every holomorphic map $f: M \rightarrow M$ satisfies $f^{*}\left(d s^{2}\right)$ $\leqq l \cdot d s^{2}$, where $l$ is the rank of $M$.

On the other hand, Ahlfors exposed in his generalization of the Schwarz lemma the essential rôle played by the curvature. Let $M$ be a Riemann surface with hermitian metric $d s_{M}^{2}$ whose Gaussian curvature is bounded above by a negative constant $-B$. Let $D$ be the unit disk in $\boldsymbol{C}$ with an invariant metric $d s_{D}^{2}$ whose Gaussian curvature is a negative constant $-A$. (If we take $d z d \bar{z} /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}$ for $d s_{D}^{2}$, then its curvature is equal to -4.) Then the generalized Schwarz lemma by Ahlfors says that every holomorphic mapping $f: D$ $\rightarrow M$ satisfies $f^{*}\left(d s_{M}^{2}\right) \leqq \frac{A}{B^{-}} d s_{D}^{2}$.

The main purpose of this paper is to generalize the results above in the following form:

[^0]Theorem. Let $D$ be a bounded symmetric domain with an invariant Kähler metric ds ${ }_{D}^{2}$ whose holomorphic sectional curvature is bounded below by a negative constant $-A$. Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold with metric ds whose holomorphic sectional curvature is bounded above by a negative constant $-B$. Then every holomorphic mapping $f: D \rightarrow M$ satisfies $f^{*}\left(d s_{M}^{2}\right) \leqq \frac{A}{B} d s_{D}^{2}$.

Although the theorem above can be generalized to the case when $M$ is a hermitian manifold (with a suitable definition of holomorphic sectional curvature) we shall restrict ourselves to the Kähler case in this paper.
2. The case $\operatorname{dim} D=1$.

Let $D_{a}$ be the open disk of radius $a$ in $\boldsymbol{C}, D_{a}=\{z \in \boldsymbol{C} ;|z|<a\}$. Then the metric

$$
d s_{a}^{2}=\frac{4 a^{2} d z d \bar{z}}{A\left(a^{2}-z \bar{z}\right)^{2}}
$$

on $D_{a}$ has the curvature $-A$. Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold with metric $d s_{m}^{2}$ whose holomorphic sectional curvature is bounded above by $-B$. Let $u$ be the non-negative function on $D_{a}$ defined by

$$
f^{*}\left(d s_{M}^{2}\right)=u \cdot d s_{a}^{2} .
$$

We want to prove that $u \leqq \frac{A}{B}$ on $D_{a}$. Although $u$ may not attain its maximum in (the interior of) $D_{a}$ in general, we shall show that we have only to consider the case when $u$ attains its maximum in $D_{a}$. Let $r$ be a positive number smaller than $a$. Let $z_{0}$ be an arbitrary point of $D_{a}$. Taking $r$ sufficiently close to $a$, we may assume that $z_{0} \in D_{r}$. From the explicit expression for $d s_{a}^{2}$ given above, we see that $\left(d s_{r}^{2}\right)_{z_{0}} \rightarrow\left(d s_{a}^{2}\right)_{z_{0}}$ as $r \rightarrow a$. If we define a nonnegative function $u_{r}$ on $D_{r}$ by $f *\left(d s_{\mu}^{2}\right)=u_{r} \cdot d s_{r}^{2}$, then $u_{r}\left(z_{0}\right) \rightarrow u\left(z_{0}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow a$. Hence it suffices to prove that $u_{r} \leqq \frac{A}{B}$ on $D_{r}$. If we write $f *\left(d s_{M}^{2}\right)=h d z d \bar{z}$ on $D_{a}$, then $h$ is bounded on $D_{r}$. On the othen hand, the coefficient of $d s_{r}^{2}$ approaches infinity at the boundary of $D_{r}$. Hence, the function $u_{r}$ defined on $D_{r}$ goes to zero at the boundary of $D_{r}$. In particular, $u_{r}$ attains its maximum in $D_{r}$. The problem is thus reduced to the case where $u$ attains its maximum in $D_{a}$.

We shall now prove that $u \leqq \frac{A}{B}$ on $D_{a}$ under the assumption that $u$ attains its maximum in $D_{a}$, say at $z_{0} \in D_{a}$. If $u\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, then $u \equiv 0$ and there is nothing to prove. Assume that $u\left(z_{0}\right)>0$. Then the mapping $f: D_{a} \rightarrow M$ is non-degenerate in a neighborhood of $z_{0}$ so that $f$ gives a holomorphic imbedding of a neighborhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ into $M$.

We claim that the curvature of the (1-dimensional) complex submanifold $f(U)$ of $M$ is bounded above by $-B$. This is a consequence of the following general fact. Let $S$ be a complex submanifold of a Kaehler manifold M. Let $R_{M}$ and $R_{S}$ denote the Riemannian curvature tensors of $M$ and $S$ respectively. Let $\alpha$ denote the second fundamental form of $S$; it is a symmetric bilinear map of the tangent space $T_{p}(S)$ into the normal space at $p$. From the equations of Gauss-Codazzi we obtain

$$
R_{S}(X, J X, X, J X)=R_{M}(X, J X, X, J X)-2\|\alpha(X, X)\|^{2} .
$$

See O'Neill [8] for the detail of calculation leading to the formula above. The formula implies that the holomorphic sectional curvature of $S$ does not exceed that of $M$. (This fact is true for a hermitian manifold $M$ and a complex submanifold $S$ of $M$. But the proof is more technical and will be given in a forthcoming paper.)

Since $u$ attains its maximum at $z_{0}, \partial^{2} \log u / \partial z \partial \bar{z}$ is non-positive at $z_{0}$. We shall now express $\partial^{2} \log u / \partial z \partial \bar{z}$ in terms of the curvatures of $D_{a}$ and $f(U)$. Since $f: U \rightarrow f(U)$ is a biholomorphic mapping, we define the coordinate system $w$ in $f(U)$ by $w \circ f=z$. Identifying $f(U)$ with $U$ by the mapping $f$, we shall identify $w$ with $z$. Then we can consider $f *\left(d S_{M}^{2}\right)=h d z d \bar{z}$ as the induced metric on $f(U)$ as well as on $U$. If we write $d s_{a}^{2}=g d z d \bar{z}$, then

$$
u=h / g .
$$

Hence

$$
\partial^{2} \log u / \partial z \partial \bar{z}=\partial^{2} \log h / \partial z \partial \bar{z}-\partial^{2} \log g / \partial z \partial \bar{z} .
$$

If we denote by $k$ the curvature of the metric $h d z d \bar{z}$, then

$$
k=-\frac{1}{2 h}\left(\partial^{2} \log h / \partial z \partial \bar{z}\right) .
$$

Since the curvature of the metric $g d z d \bar{z}$ is equal to $-A$, we have

$$
-A=-\frac{1}{2 g}\left(\partial^{2} \log g / \partial z \partial \bar{z}\right) .
$$

Since $k \leqq-B$ as we have seen above, we have

$$
\partial^{2} \log u / \partial z \partial \bar{z}=-2 k h-2 A g \geqq 2 B h-2 A g .
$$

Since the left hand side is non-positive at $z_{0}$, so is the right hand side. Hence, $A / B \geqq h / g$ at $z_{0}$. Since $u=h / g$ attains its maximum at $z_{0}$, it follows that $A / B \geqq u$ everywhere. This completes the proof of Theorem for the case $\operatorname{dim} D=1$.

This case is closely related with Aussage 3 in Grauert-Reckziegel [4]. Instead of assuming that the holomorphic sectional curvature of $M$ is bounded
by $-B$, they assume that the curvature of every 1 -dimensional complex submanifold of $M$ is bounded by $-B$.
3. The case where $D=D_{a}^{\iota}=D_{a} \times \cdots \times D_{a}$.

Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{l}\right)$ be an $l$-tuple of complex numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{i}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}=1$. Let $j: D_{a} \rightarrow D_{a}^{\prime}$ be the imbedding defined by

$$
j(z)=\left(\alpha_{1} z, \cdots, \alpha_{l} z\right)
$$

Let $d s_{D}^{\prime \prime}$ be the product metric in $D=D_{a}^{l}$. From the explicit expression of $d s_{\text {; }}^{\prime}$ given in Section 2, we see that $j: D_{a} \rightarrow D_{a}^{\iota}$ is isometric at the origin of $D_{a}$, i. e., $\left(d s_{a}^{2}\right)_{0}=\left(j^{*} d s_{D}^{2}\right)_{0}$.

Let $X$ be a tangent vector of $D_{a}^{\prime}$ at the origin. For a suitable $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{l}\right)$, we can find a tangent vector $Y$ of $D_{a}$ at the origin such that $j_{*}(Y)=X$. Then, for any holomorphic mapping $f: D_{a}^{l} \rightarrow M$, we have

$$
\left\|f_{*} X\right\|^{2}=\left\|f_{*} j_{*} Y\right\|^{2} \leqq-\frac{A}{B}\|Y\|^{2}=\frac{A}{B}\|X\|^{2},
$$

where the inequality follows from the special case of Theorem proved in Section 2 (applied to $f \circ j: D_{a} \rightarrow M$ ) and the last equality follows from the fact that $j$ is isometric at the origin. Since $D_{a}^{l}$ is homogeneous, the inequality $\left\|f_{*} X\right\|^{2} \leqq{ }_{B}^{A}\|X\|^{2}$ holds for all tangent vectors $X$ of $D_{a}^{l}$. This completes the proof of Theorem in the case $D=D_{a}^{l}$.

## 4. The case where $D$ is a symmetric bounded domain of rank $l$

Let $D$ be a symmetric bounded domain of rank $l$. With respect to a canonical metric, its holomorphic sectional curvature lies between $-A$ and $-A / l$. For every tangent vector $X$ of $D$, there is a (totally geodesic) complex submanifold $D_{a}^{l}$ of $D$ such that $X$ is tangent to $D_{a}^{l}$. (It is a complex submanifold of $D$. More precisely, write $D=G / H$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{p}$ in the usual manner. Let $a$ be a maximal abelian subalgebra contained in $\psi$ so that $\operatorname{dim} a=\operatorname{rank} D=l$. We may assume that $X$ is an element of $\mathfrak{a}$ under the usual identification. Let $J: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ be the complex structure tensor. Then the manifold generated by $\mathfrak{a}+J \mathfrak{a}$ is the desired submanifold $D_{a}^{l}$ ). Now our theorem in its full generality follows from the special case considered in Section 3.

Corollary. Let $D$ be a symmetric bounded domain with holomorphic sectional curvature $\geqq-A$. Let $M$ be a symmetric bounded domain of rank $l$ so that its holomorphic sectional curvature lies between $-l B$ and $-B$. Then every holomorphic mapping $f: D \rightarrow M$ satisfies $f^{*}\left(d s_{M}^{2}\right) \leqq \begin{aligned} & A \\ & B\end{aligned} d s_{D}^{3}$.

This corollary is in Korányi [7],

## 5. Concluding remarks

In the case where $\operatorname{dim} D=\operatorname{dim} M=1$, a holomorphic mapping $f: D \rightarrow M$ is distance-decreasing if and only if it is volume decreasing. Under a suitable assumption on the Ricci tensor of $M$ every holomorphic mapping $f$ of the unit ball $D$ in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n}$ into an $n$-dimensional complex manifold $M$ is volume-decreasing. See Dinghas [5] for the case where $M$ is a Kähler-Einstein, Chern [3] for the case $M$ is a hermitian-Einstein and Kobayashi [6] for a further generalization.
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