Note on meromorphic mappings in complex spaces

By Kenkiti KASAHARA

(Received Feb. 27, 1961)

1. If $\tau: X \rightarrow Y$ is a meromorphic mapping in the sense of Remmert with (irremovable) singularities M , then there exists a proper modification ($\widetilde X$, $\widetilde M$, π, M, X such that there exists a holomorphic mapping $\lambda: \tilde{X}\rightarrow Y$ for which $\lambda=\tau\circ\pi$ on $\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{M}$. (See Remmert [\[4\]](#page-5-0) and Iwahashi [\[3\].](#page-5-1)) In this paper we consider the following converse problem: Let M be a nowhere dense closed subset of a normal complex space X, and τ a holomorphic mapping of $X-M$ into a complex space Y . Assume there exist a connected normal complex space \widetilde{X} , a holomorphic surjective mapping $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} {\rightarrow} X$ and a holomorphic mapping $\lambda: \tilde{X}\rightarrow Y$ for which $\lambda=\tau\circ\pi$ on $\pi^{-1}(X-M)$. Then we may ask: what character does the mapping τ have? We give the following two results on this problem: (1) If the space \tilde{X} has a countable basis, the set of irremovable singularities of τ is closed and almost thin of order 2. (2) If π is proper, the mapping τ is meromorphic in the sense of Remmert.

At the end of this paper we give a remark on removable singularities of a holomorphic (or meromorphic) function in normal complex spaces.

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor S. Hitotumatu who has suggested to him this problem and given valuable advices.

2. I adopt the notion of *complex spaces* (= *complex* β -spaces) and the related notions such as holomorphic mapping, analytic set, normalization of an analytic set and so on as given in Grauert-Remmert [\[2\].](#page-5-2) The number $n=\dim_{P}X$ is the *complex* dimension of a complex space X at $P \in X$.

Let $\tau: X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic mapping of a complex space X into a complex space Y. The number $r_{\tau}(P) = \dim_{P}X - \dim_{P}\tau^{-1}\tau(P)$ is called the *rank* of the mapping τ at a point $P\in X$. $r_{\tau}=\sup_{P\in X}r_{\tau}(P)$ is called the global rank of the mapping τ on X . The set

$$
\{P \in X \mid r_{\tau}(P) < \limsup r_{\tau}(P')\}
$$

is called the set of degeneration of the mapping τ . When the space X is irreducible, the set $\{P \in X | r_{\tau}(P) < r_{\tau}\}$ coincides with the set of degeneration of $\tau.$

A subset M of a complex space X is said to be thin of order q if for every point $P\in M$ there exists an open neighborhood U of P in X in which an analytic set M^{0} is given such that $M_{\cap}U\subset M^{0}$, $\dim_{P}M^{0}\leq \dim_{P}X-q$. A subset M of X is said to be *almost thin of order q* if $M=\bigcup_{i}M_{i}$, where each M_{i} is thin of order q . First we remark the following fact:

THEOREM 1. Let $\tau: X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic mapping of a normal complex space X into a normal complex space Y, and N be the set of degeneration of τ . If the space X has a countable basis, then the set $\tau(N)$ is almost thin of order 2 in Y_{\bullet}

PROOF. The space X has at most countable number of components. Therefore we may assume that X and Y are connected and pure-dimensional. If $\dim Y$ is 0 or 1, N is empty. So we assume that $\dim X=m$, $\dim Y=n$ and $n\geq 2$. The set $\{P\in X|\r_{\tau}(P)\leq n-2\}$ is analytic in X and its image under τ is almost thin of order 2 in Y (Stoll $\lceil 6$, Hilfssatz 6.3 ^[1]). Hence, for the proof it is sufficient to consider the case of $r_{\tau}=n$, $r_{\tau}(P)=n-1$ at every point $P\in N$ and $m \geq n$. The normalization of the analytic set N is denoted by (N^{*}, μ) . The space N^{*} is a normal complex space and has also a countable basis. Let $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}N_{i}^{*}$ be a decomposition into connected components of N^{*} and μ_{i} be the restriction of the mapping μ to N_{i}^{*} . $N_{i}=\mu(N_{i}^{*})$ is an irreducible component of N and $N=\bigcup N_{i}$. For every point $P^{*}\in N_{i}^{*}$ $(i=1,2, \cdots)$, there exists $P^{*\prime}\in N_{i}^{*}$ in an arbitrary neighborhood of P^{*} such that $P^{\prime}=\mu(P^{*\prime})$ is an ordinary point of N_{i} and there exists a neighborhood U of P' in X for which $N_{\cap}U=N_{i}\cap U$. In a sufficiently small neighborhood of P^{\prime} there is at least one irreducible $m+n+1$ dimensional component of $\tau^{-1}\tau(P^{\prime})$ contained in N_{i} accordingly in N_{i} . Therefore, $\dim_{P'} \tau^{-1}\tau(P^{\prime})\bigcap N_{i}\geq m-n+1$. Since the set of degeneration N is at most $m-1$ dimensional and μ_{i} is the mapping of the normalization, we have

$$
r_{\tau \circ \mu_i}(P^{*}) = \dim N_i^* - \dim_{P^{*}}(\tau \circ \mu_i)^{-1}(\tau \circ \mu_i)(P^{*})
$$

=
$$
\dim N_i - \dim_{P^*}\tau^{-1}\tau(P') \cap N_i \leq (m-1) - (m-n+1) = n-2.
$$

By the lower semi-continuity of the rank of a holomorphic mapping (Remmert [4, Satz 15]), the global rank of $\tau\circ\mu_{i}$ on N_{i}^{*} is at most $n-2$. Hence, $\tau\circ\mu_{i}(N_{i}^{*})=$ $\tau(N_{i})$ is almost thin of order 2 and $\tau(N)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\tau(N_{i})$ is so. q. e. d.

3. Now we state;

THEOREM 2. Let M be a subset of an n-dimensional connected normal complex space X such that $X-M$ is dense in X and let τ be a mapping (it need not be continuous) of $X-M$ into a complex space Y. Suppose that there exist a normal complex space \tilde{X} and a holomorphic mapping $\pi\colon\tilde{X}\rightarrow X$ such that the

¹⁾ Every complex space in Stoll $[6]$ is normal and has a countable basis. But in this lemma there is no need for the image space to have ^a countable basis.

global rank of π on each component of \tilde{X} is $n (=$ dim X) and there exists a holomorphic mapping $\lambda : \tilde{X}\rightarrow Y$ for which $\lambda=\tau\circ\pi$ on $\pi^{-1}(X-M)$. The set of degeneration of π is denoted by \tilde{N} .

Then $\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$ is an open set in X and there exists a holomorphic mapping $\tau^{*}: \pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})\rightarrow Y$ such that $\tau^{*}=\tau$ on $(X-M)\cap\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$ and $\lambda=\tau^{*}\circ\pi$ on $\tilde{X}-\tilde{N}_{\tau}$.

PROOF. Under our assumptions, the restriction of π to $\tilde{X}-\tilde{N}$ is an open mapping (Remmert [4, Sats 28]). Hence $\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$ is an open set in X.

For every point $P\!\in\!\pi(\tilde{X}\!\!-\!\tilde{N})$, we take two arbitrary points $\widetilde{P}^{_1}$ and $\widetilde{P}^{_2}$ of $\pi^{-1}(P)\bigcap(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$. If $P\in M$, then $\lambda(\tilde{P}^{1})=\lambda(\tilde{P}^{2})$. Suppose that $P\in M$. Since M contains no interior points, $\check{M}=\pi^{-1}(M)$ can not contain interior points in $\check{X}{-}\check{N}$. Therefore there exist $\widetilde{P}_{\nu}^{1}\in\widetilde{X}-(\widetilde{N}\cup\widetilde{M})$ $(\nu=1,2, \cdots)$ such that $\lim\widetilde{P}_{\nu}^{1}=\widetilde{P}^1$. Define $P_{\nu} \! = \! \pi(\tilde{P}_{\nu}^{1})$. Choose a neighborhood \tilde{U} of \tilde{P}^{2} such that $\tilde{U}_{\bigcap}\tilde{N}\! =\! \phi$ and there exists a metric σ in $\textit{\textbf{U}}$. Let $\textit{\textbf{U}}_{\mu}$ be a neighborhood of $\textit{\textbf{P}}^{2}$ such that

$$
\widetilde{U}_{\mu} \subset \{ \widetilde{P} \in \widetilde{U} \mid \sigma(\widetilde{P}^2, \widetilde{P}) < 1/\mu \} \qquad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots).
$$

 $\pi(\tilde{U}_{\mu})=U_{\mu}$ is a neighborhood of P . Since lim $P_{\nu}=P$, the sequence $\{P_{\nu}\}$ contains a subsequence $\{P_{\nu}k}\$ for which $P_{\nu} \in U_{\mu}, (\mu=1,2, \cdots)$. We can choose a point $\widetilde{P}_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2}\in\widetilde{U}_{\mu}\cap\pi^{-1}(P_{\nu_{\mu}})$ for every ν_{μ} . Since $\lim\widetilde{P}_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2}=\widetilde{P}^{2}$, $\lambda(\widetilde{P}^{1})=\lim\lambda(\widetilde{P}_{\nu_{\mu}}^{1})=$ $\lim_{\tau \to \pi} (\tilde{P}_{\nu_a}^1) = \lim_{\tau \to \pi} (\tilde{P}_{\nu_a}^2) = \lim_{\lambda \to \pi} \lambda(\tilde{P}_{\nu_a}^2) = \lambda(\tilde{P}^2)$. Therefore for every point $P \in$ $\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$ the mapping λ is constant on $(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})\cap\pi^{-1}(P)$.

Suppose that P is an arbitrary point of $\pi(\tilde{X}{-}\tilde{N}).$ Let ${\mathcal{\Sigma}}_{\tau}(P)$ be the set of all points $Q \in Y$ such that there exist $P_{\nu} \in X-M(\nu=1,2, \dots)$ for which $\lim P_{\nu}=P$ and $\lim_{\tau(P_{\nu})=Q}$. It is trivial that $\Sigma_{\tau}(P)\neq\phi$, because there exists at least one point $\widetilde{P}\!\in\!(\widetilde{X}\!\!-\!\!\tilde{N})\!\cap\!\pi^{-1}\!(P).$ We can easily prove in the same way that $Q=\lambda(\tilde{P})$ for an arbitrary point $Q\in\Sigma_{\tau}(P).$ Hence $\varSigma_{\tau}(P)$ contains one and only one point for every $P\!\in\!\pi(\widetilde{X}\!-\!\widetilde{N}).$

Define $\tau^{*}(P) = \Sigma_{\tau}(P)$ for every $P \in \pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$. By a Stein's theorem ([5, Staz 2, Zusatz^{[2)}, τ^{*} is a holomorphic mapping from $\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$ into Y. Thus our assertion is proved. . d.

A point $P\in X$ is called a *regular point of* τ^{*} if there exist an open neighborhood U of P and a holomorphic mapping τ^{**} from $\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})\cup U$ into Y such that $\tau^{**}=\tau^{*}$ on $\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$. The point $P\in X$ is called a (*irremovable*) singular point of τ^{*} if it is not a regular point of τ^{*} . We have,

COROLLARY 1. In addition to the same assumptions as in Theorem 2, we assume that \check{X} has a countable basis and π is surjective. Then the set S of all singular points of τ^{*} is closed and almost thin of order 2.

²⁾ Every complex space in Stein [\[5\]](#page-5-4) is normal. But in this theorem there is no need for the image space to be normal.

PROOF. It is trivial that S is closed. By Theorem 1, $\pi(\tilde{N})$ is almost thin of order 2. Since π is surjective, $S\!\subset\! X\!-\!\pi(\tilde{X}\!-\!\tilde{N})\!\subset\!\pi(\tilde{N})$. Hence S is almost thin of order 2. . a.

^I adopt the definition of a meromorphic mapping in the sense of Remmert (= SR-meromorphic mapping) as given in Stoll [6]; $\tau:X\rightarrow Y$ is called a meromorphic mapping (in the sense of Remmert) of a complex space X into a complex space Y if it has the following properties: (a) There exists a closed thin set M of order 1 in X, and τ is a holomorphic mapping of $X-M$ into Y. (b) We denote by T the graph of $\tau.$ The closure \bar{T} of T in $X\!\times Y$ is analytic in $X \times Y$. (c) For every point $P \!\in\! X$, the set $(\{P\}\!\times\! Y)\!\bigcap\!\overline{T}$ is not empty and compact. Then we have the following fact:

COROLLARY 2. In addition to the same assumptions as in Theorem 2, we assume that the mapping π is proper and surjective. Then τ^{*} is a meromorphic mapping from X into Y .

PROOF. Since π is proper, $N=\pi(\tilde{N})$ is an analytic set of at most $n-2$ dimension and consequently $X-\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$ is closed thin of order 1. We denote by T the set

$$
\{(P,\tau^*(P))\,|\,P\in\pi(\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{N})\}\, ,
$$

and by G the set

$$
\{(\pi(\widetilde{P}),\lambda(\widetilde{P}))\,|\,\widetilde{P}\,{\in}\,\widetilde{X}\}\;.
$$

The closure of T in $X \times Y$ is denoted by $\bar{T}.$ It is trivial that

$$
T = \{ (\pi(\widetilde{P}), \lambda(\widetilde{P})) \mid \widetilde{P} \in \widetilde{X} - \widetilde{N} \}
$$

and $G\subset\overline{T}$. Conversely, suppose that $(P, Q)\in\overline{T}$. Then there exist $P_{\nu}\in\pi(\tilde{X}-\tilde{N})$ such that $\lim_{\varepsilon} (P_{\nu}, \tau^{*}(P_{\nu})) = (P, Q)$. Since π is proper, we can select a subsequence $\{P_{\nu_{\mu}}\}$ of the sequence $\{P_{\nu}\}$ such that there exist $\widetilde{P}_{\nu_{\mu}}\in(\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{N})\cap\pi^{-1}(P_{\nu_{\mu}})$ and $\widetilde{P}\in\widetilde{X}$ for which $\lim\widetilde{P}_{\nu\mu}=\widetilde{P}$. Since

$$
(P,Q) = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} (P_{\nu}, \tau^*(P_{\nu})) = \lim_{\mu \to \infty} (\pi(\widetilde{P}_{\nu_{\mu}}), \lambda(\widetilde{P}_{\nu_{\mu}})) = (\pi(\widetilde{P}), \lambda(\widetilde{P}))
$$

 \bar{T} is contained in $G.$ Thus we have $\bar{T}{=}G.$

The set $H{=}\{(\widetilde{P}, \pi(\widetilde{P}), \lambda(\widetilde{P}))\,|\,\widetilde{P}\in\tilde{X}\}$ is analytic in $\widetilde{X}{\times}X{\times}Y.$ We denote by α the natural projection

 $H\rightarrow X\times Y$ such that $(\widetilde{P}, \pi(\widetilde{P}), \lambda(\widetilde{P}))\rightarrow(\pi(\widetilde{P}), \lambda(\widetilde{P}))$,

and similarly by β

 $X\times Y\rightarrow X$ such that $(P, Q)\in X\times Y\rightarrow P\in X$.

Let K be a compact set in $X \times Y$. $\pi^{-1}\beta(K)$ is compact in X. Since $\pi^{-1}\beta(K)\times K$ is compact in $\tilde{X}\times X\times Y$ and H is closed in $\tilde{X}\times X\times Y$, $\alpha^{-1}(K)=(\pi^{-1}\beta(K)\times K)\cap H$ is a compact subset of H. Hence the mapping α is proper and $\alpha(H)=G=\overline{T}$

414 K. KASAHARA

is analytic in X \times Y. For every point $P\in X$, the set $(\{P\}\times Y)\cap\overline{T}$ coincides with $\{P\}\times\lambda\pi^{-1}(P)$, and consequently it is compact and not empty. q.e. . a.

4. Let X be a connected normal complex space, M be a closed almost thin set of order 2 and f be a holomorphic (or meromorphic) function in $X-M$. We would like to show that f can be extended to the whole space X by a holomorphic \langle or meromorphic) function.

When M is a closed almost thin set of order 2 and furthermore thin of order 1, this fact is used as a well-known result in Stoll's paper [\(\[6\]\)](#page-5-3), but I don't know his proof. When M is a closed thin set of order 2, this fact is well-known. (See Grauert-Remmert [2, p. 270].) Therefore, it is sufficient to prove the following [Theorem](#page-4-0) 3.

A subset M of a connected normal complex space X is said to be of F-type with respect to holomorphic (or meromorphic) functions if for every point $P\in M$ there exists an open neighborhood U of P in X in which a nowhere dense relatively-closed subset M° in U is given such that $M_{\cap}U\subset M^{\circ}$ and each function holomorphic (or meromorphic) in $U-M^{0}$ can be extended to the whole U by a holomorphic (or meromorphic) function. Now, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. Let X be a connected normal complex space and M be a closed subset. If $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty M_i$ and each M_{i} is of F-type with respect to holomorphic (or $\mathit{meromorphic}$) functions, then M itself is also of F-type with respect to holomorphic (or meromorphic) functions.

PROOF. For every point $P\in M$, there exists an open neighborhood V which has a metric σ and we choose an open relatively-compact neighborhood U of P such that $U\!\subset\!\bar U\!\subset V.$ Let f be a holomorphic (or meromorphic) function in $U-M$ and S be the set of all (irremovable) singularities of f in U . Suppose S were not empty. S is relatively-closed in U and $S\subset M$. $S_{i}=S_{\bigcap}M_{i}$ is also of F -type and $S= \cup_{i\in S_{i}}$. We denote $\bar{S}\cap(\bar{U}-U)$ by $\bar{S}_{\mathfrak{0}}$. Then we have easily $\mathcal{S}=\bigcup\mathcal{S}_{i}.$

With respect to the relative topology induced from X to \bar{S} , \bar{S} is a complete metric space and each \bar{S}_{i} is its closed subset. By the Baire's theorem, there exists a non-negative integer i_{0} such that there exist a point $P_{0} \in \overline{S}_{i_{0}}$ and a neighborhood U_{1} of P_{0} in X in which $\bar{S}_{i_0}\cap U_{1}=\bar{S}\cap U_{1}.$

We distinguish three cases as follows:

(1) If $i_{0}=0$, i.e. $P_{0}\in\overline{S}_{0}$, then $S\cap U_{1}$ would be empty, because $\overline{S}_{0}\subset\overline{U}-U$, $S\subset U$ and $\bar{S}_{0}\cap U_{1}=\bar{S}\cap U_{1}$. But this contradicts the facts that $P_{0}\in\bar{S}$ and U_{1} is a neighborhood of P_{0} .

(2) If $i_{0}\neq 0$ and $P_{0}\in S_{i_{0}}$, then there would exist an open neighborhood U_{2} of P_{0} in X and a subset $S_{i_{0}}^{0}$ of U_{2} which would satisfy the conditions of F-type. As $P_{0}\in S_{i_{0}}\subset U$, we may assume $U_{2}\subset U\cap U_{1}$. Since $S_{i_{0}}\cap U_{2}\subset S_{i_{0}}^{0},\overline{S}_{i_{0}}\cap U_{1}=$ $\bar{S}\cap U_{1}$ and $S_{i_{0}}^{0}$ is relatively-closed in U_{2} , we have $\bar{S}\cap U_{2}\subset S_{i_{0}}^{0}.$ The function f is holomorphic (or meromorphic) in $U_{2}-S$, so it is holomorphic (or meromorphic) in $U_{2}-S_{i_{0}}^{0}$, and consequently in U_{2} . This contradicts the fact $P_{0}\in S_{1}$ (3) If $i_{0}\neq 0$ and $P_{0}\in\overline{S}_{i_{0}} -S_{i_{0}}$, then we could choose a point $P_{0}^{\prime}\in S_{i_{0}}$ and a neighborhood U_{1}^{\prime} of P_{0}^{\prime} contained in U_{1} . In the same way as in the case (2), this leads to a contradiction.

These contradictions prove our theorem. . a. The field of all meromorphic functions on a connected normal complex space X is denoted by $K(X)$. We have,

COROLLARY 1. We assume the hypothesis of Corollary ¹ of Theorem 2 and furthermore Y to be connected normal. Suppose $\tau^{*}\circ f$ is a meromorphic function in $X-S$ for every $f\in K(Y)$. Then there exists a homomorphism $\eta: K(Y)\rightarrow K(X)$ which satisfies the relation $\eta(f)=f\circ\tau^{*}$ on a dense open subset of X for every $f\in K(Y).$

From [Theorem](#page-4-0) 3, we have the following fact: (For the proof see Andreotti-Stoll [1, p. 316].)

COROLLARY 2. We assume the hypothesis of Corollary ¹ of Theorem 2 and furthermore Y to be K-complete. Then the set of all singular points of τ^{*} is empty, i.e. τ^{*} can be extended to a holomorphic mapping of X into $Y.$

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

References

- [1] A. Andreotti and W. Stoll, Extension of holomorphic maps, Ann. of Math., ⁷² (1960), 312-349.
- [2] H. Grauert und R. Remmert, Komplexe Räume, Math. Ann., 136 (1958), 245-318.
- [3] R. Iwahashi, Note on meromophic mappings, Jap. J. Math., 29 (1959), 13-15.
- [4] R. Remmert, Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume, Math. Ann., 133 (1957), 328-370.
- [5] K. Stein, Analytische Zerlegungen komplexer Räume, Math. Ann., 133 (1956), 63-93.
- [6] W. Stoll, Über meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume, Math. Ann., 136 (1958), 201-239; ibid., 393-429.