Some results on arithmetic functions.

By S. A. AMITSUR

(Received April 21, 1959)

1. Introduction. Let g(n) be an arithmetic function defined for all positive integers n. Following an idea of Yamamoto, we make correspond to g(n) a linear operator $I_g(f)$ acting on the space of all functions f(x) $(x \ge 1)$, defined by

(1)
$$(I_g f)(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{g(n)}{n} f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

The linear operators I_g were dealt with extensively in a previous paper ([1]), with the aid of a symbolic calculus introduced and used to approximate I_g for functions $f = f(\log x)$ which are polynomials in $\log x$.

Let $G(D) = \sum_{\nu=-p}^{\infty} g_{\nu}D^{\nu}$ be a power series in a symbol D with only a finite number of negative powers of D. The symbol D stands for the formal derivative $d/d \log x$. That is we set:

$$D^k \log^n x = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \log^{n-k} x \text{ for } n \ge k$$

and all positive and non-positive values of k, $D^k \log^n x = 0$ for n < k.

The notation $I_g = G(D) + O(\varphi_n)$ serves in [1] to denote that $I_g \log^n x - G(D) \log^n x = O(\varphi_n(x))$, where $\varphi_n(x)$, $n \ge 0$, are non-negative functions. In a more explicit form, the last relation states that

(2)
$$I_g \log^n x - G(D) \log^n x = \sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{g(\nu)}{\nu} \log^n \frac{x}{\nu} - \sum_{i=-p}^n \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} g_i \log^{n-i} x = O(\varphi_n).$$

It is known that $I_gI_h=I_k$ where k=g*h is the convolution of g and h, i.e. $k(n)=\sum_{d\mid n}g(d)h(n/d)$. Let $I_g=G(D)+O(\varphi_n)$ and $I_h=H(D)+O(\psi_n)$ then it was shown in [1, Theorem 4.1] that $I_gI_h=G(D)H(D)+O(\rho_n)$ and a certain bound for ρ_n was given, which was not symmetric in g and h; furthermore, an important drawback of that theorem was that G(D)H(D)f had always to be computed as G(D)[H(D)f] and not by the ordinary product of the power series [G(D)H(D)]f. This fact caused some complications in the computation in the proof of Theorem 9.1 of [1].

The first part of the present paper tries to give a more satisfactory bound for ρ_n and to prove that $I_g I_h = G(D)H(D) + O(\rho_n)$ with G(D)H(D) to be the product in the ring of power series in D. The method used to compute the bound for ρ_n is an extension of the Dirichlet's (hyperbola-) method of computing $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}} d(n)$.

The result is applied to obtain some new and old formulas on the asymptotic behaviour of the mean of certain arithmetic functions. Among others we show that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} n^{-1} d(n) = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + 2c_0 \log x + (c_0^2 + c_1) + O(x^{-1/2}),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} n^{-1} d^2(n) = \frac{1}{4} \log^4 x + a_1 \log^3 x + \dots + a_4 + O(x^{-1/6 + \epsilon}) \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0,$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} n^{-1} |\mu(n)| = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \log x + b_0 + O(x^{-1/3}),$$

where d(n) is the number of divisors of n, $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function and c_0 is the Euler constant.

The second part of the paper contains improvements and extensions of the main results of [1].

The Main Theorem of [1] (Theorem 6.1), which yielded the prime number theorem in many of its equivalent forms, is in some sense artificial as it contains the particular function Λ ; furthermore, one of its conditions is superfluous (condition 3) and consequently, corresponding conditions were introduced in the rest of the results of [1]. The purpose of the second part of the present paper is to repair and improve the above mentioned result of [1] and its consequences. As a by-result we shall obtain among others the fact that

$$\sum_{n \le x} n^{-1} \Lambda_2(n) \log(x/n) = (1/3) \log^3 x - c_0 \log^2 x + (c_0^2 - 2c_1) \log x + 2c_2 + o(1)$$

where $\Lambda_2 = \mu * \log^2 x$ is the function used in Selberg's formula.

2. The main theorem and some special cases.

Let
$$G(D) = \sum_{\nu=-p}^{\infty} g_{\nu} D^{\nu}$$
, $H(D) = \sum_{\nu=-q}^{\infty} h_{\nu} D^{\nu}$. Put:

(3)
$$R_n^g(x) = I_g \log^n x - G(D) \log^n x = \sum_{\nu \leq x} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) \log^n \frac{x}{\nu} - \sum_{i=-p}^n (n-i)!^{-1} n! g_i \log^{n-i} x$$

(4)
$$R_n^h(x) = I_h \log^n x - H(D) \log^n x = \sum_{\nu \le x} \nu^{-1} h(\nu) \log^n \frac{x}{\nu} - \sum_{i=-n}^n (n-i)!^{-1} n! h_i \log^{n-i} x$$

(5)
$$R_n^{gh}(x) = I_g I_h \log^n x - [G(D)H(D)] \log^n x$$
.

We shall also write $F(D) = G(D)H(D) = \sum_{t=-(p+q)}^{\infty} f_t D^t$ where $f_t = \sum_{i+k=t}^{\infty} g_i h_k$. The main result of the present paper is:

Theorem 1. A) For $1 \le \gamma \le x$:

(6)
$$R_{n}^{gh}(x) = \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \frac{g(\nu)}{\nu} R_{n}^{h}\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) + \sum_{\nu \leq x/\gamma} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} R_{n}^{g}\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) - \sum_{j=0}^{n} {n \choose j} R_{n-j}^{g}(\gamma) R_{j}^{h}\left(\frac{x}{\gamma}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{n!}{(i-j)!(n+j)!} R_{n+j}^{g}(\gamma) h_{-i} \log^{i-j} \frac{x}{\gamma} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{n!}{(i-j)!(n+j)!} R_{n+j}^{h}\left(\frac{x}{\gamma}\right) g_{-i} \log^{i-j} \gamma.$$

B) And

(6B)
$$R_{n}^{gh}(x) = \sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{g(\nu)}{\nu} R_{n}^{h} \left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) + \sum_{i=-q}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} h_{i} R_{n-i}^{g}(x)$$
$$- \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k=i}^{p} \frac{n!}{(k-i)!} h_{n+i} g_{-k} \log^{k-i} x$$
$$= \sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} R_{n}^{g} \left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) + \sum_{i=-p}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} g_{i} R_{n-i}^{h}(x)$$
$$- \sum_{k=1}^{q} \sum_{i=k}^{q} \frac{n!}{(i-k)!} g_{n+k} h_{-i} \log^{i-k} x.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in section 4. Here we apply this result to some special cases:

Theorem 2. Let $I_g = G(D) + O(x^{-\alpha} \log^{\sigma_n} x)$, $I_h = H(D) + O(x^{-\beta} \log^{\tau_n} x)$ where $0 < \alpha$, β and σ_n , τ_n are two non-decreasing sequences of non-negative integers. If $g = O(\log^u x)$, $h = O(\log^v x)$ then

$$I_{\alpha}I_{b} = G(D)H(D) + O(x^{-\gamma}\log^{\lambda_{n}}x)$$

where $1/r = 1/\alpha + 1/\beta$ and $\lambda_n = \text{Max}(\tau_{n+p} + p - 1, \tau_n + u, \sigma_{n+q} + q - 1, \sigma_n + v)$.

PROOF. Consider the five terms of $R_n^{gh}(x)$ as given in (6) for $\gamma = x^t$ $0 \le t \le 1$; and note that $R_n^g(x) = O(x^{-\alpha} \log^{\sigma} nx)$ and $R_n^h(x) = O(x^{-\beta} \log^{\tau} nx)$.

The first term yields

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\nu \leq x^t} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) R_n^h(\nu^{-1} x) &= O(\sum_{\nu \leq x^t} \nu^{-1} \log^u \nu [(x \nu^{-1})^{-\beta} \log^\tau n (x \nu^{-1})]) \\ &= O(x^{-\beta} \log^\tau n x \sum_{\nu \leq x^t} \nu^{\beta - 1} \log^u \nu) = O(x^{\beta(t-1)} \log^\tau n^{+u} x). \end{split}$$

Similarly the second term is $O(x^{-\alpha t} \log^{\sigma_n + v} x)$. The third term is readily seen to be $O(x^{-\alpha t + \beta(t-1)} \log^{\tau_n + \sigma_n} x)$, which is clearly $O(x^{-\alpha t})$ for 0 < t < 1. The other two terms in (6) are readily seen to be $O(x^{-\alpha t} \log^{\sigma_n + q^{+q-1}} x)$ and $O(x^{-\beta(1-t)} \log^{\tau_n + p^{+p-1}} x)$.

Choose t so that $-\alpha t = \beta(t-1)$ i.e. $t = (\alpha + \beta)^{-1}\beta$ and put $-\gamma = -\alpha t$. Thus $1/\gamma = 1/\alpha + 1/\beta$, and the rest of the proof follows now easily.

For the purpose of the next theorem we introduce the following notation: We set $P(x) = O_{\varepsilon}(x^{-\alpha})$, $\alpha > 0$, if $P(x) = O(x^{-\alpha+\varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $P(x) = O_{\varepsilon}(1)$ if $P(x) = O(x^{\varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

One would have liked to extend Theorem 2 for the product of r function $g_1*\dots*g_r$, but it seems to involve too many computation. A somewhat less satisfactory result can be obtained by a relatively simple induction. To this end we first note that:

Lemma 1. Let $g_1(n), \dots, g_r(n)$ be r arithmetic functions for which $g_i(n) = O_{\epsilon}(1)$ hold, and let $h = g_i * \dots * g_r$ be the convolution product of the g_i , then $h(n) = O_{\epsilon}(1)$.

The proof follows by induction on r, and first we consider the case r=2. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose K > 0 such that $|g_i(n)| < Kn^{\varepsilon}$. Thus

$$|h(n)| = |\sum_{d|n} g_1(d)g_2(n/d)| \le (\sum_{d|n} 1)Kn^{\epsilon} = Kn^{\epsilon}d(n)$$
.

Now $d(n) = O_{\epsilon}(1)$ by [2, Theorem 315, p. 260] and the rest of the proof is evident.

We can prove now our next theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $I_{g_i} = G_i(D) + O_{\epsilon}(x^{-\alpha_i})$, $\alpha_i > 0$, and $g_i = O_{\epsilon}(1)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, then $I_{g_i} \cdots I_{g_s} = G_i G_2 \cdots G_r + O_{\epsilon}(x^{-\alpha})$

with $1/\alpha = 1/\alpha_1 + \cdots + 1/\alpha_r$.

In view of Lemma 1, one can use an induction process in the proof of this theorem. We shall consider here only the case r=2, where proof is almost identical with the proof of Theorem 2. Indeed, put $g_1=g,g_2=h$, $\alpha_1=\alpha$ and $\alpha_2=\beta$. For a given $\epsilon>0$, and for $\gamma=x^t$, the term of $R_n^{gh}(x)$ as given in (6) is

$$\textstyle\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \leq \boldsymbol{x}^t} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{-1} g(\boldsymbol{\nu}) R_n^h(\boldsymbol{\nu}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}) = O[\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \leq \boldsymbol{x}^t} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{-1+\varepsilon} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x})^{-\beta+\varepsilon}] = O(\boldsymbol{x}^{\beta(t-1)+\varepsilon}) \;.$$

The other terms are readily seen to be either $O(x^{\beta(t-1)+\epsilon})$ or $O(x^{-\alpha t+\epsilon})$. Hence, choosing $-\gamma = -\alpha t = \beta(t-1)$, we have $R_n^{gh}(x) = O(x^{-\gamma+\epsilon})$ which yields $R_n^{gh}(x) = O_{\epsilon}(x^{-\gamma})$, q. e. d.

The following is very useful in approximating functions g:

Theorem 4. Let g(n) be an arithmetic function satisfying:

(7)
$$\sum_{\mu \leq x} \frac{g(\mu)}{\mu} = \frac{r_{-p}}{p!} \log^p x + \frac{r_{-p+1}}{(p-1)!} \log^{p-1} x + \dots + r_0 + \rho(x)$$

such that $\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-1} \rho(t) \log^{\nu} t \, dt$ converges for all $\nu \ge 0$, then for the power series $G(D) = \sum_{\nu=-p}^{\infty} \gamma_{\nu} D^{\nu}$ where γ_{ν} for $\nu \le 0$ are given in (7), and

(8a)
$$r_{\nu} = \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \log^{\nu-1} t}{t} dt, \qquad \nu \ge 1,$$

we have $R_0^g(x) = \rho(x)$ and

(8b)
$$R_n^g(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \rho(t) \, d\log^n(xt^{-1}) = (-1)^n \int_0^{\infty} \rho(xe^u) du^n \,.$$

PROOF. Clearly we have to deal only with the case n > 0. First we note that by substituting $u \log x = \log(xt^{-1})$ we have:

$$-\int_{1}^{x} \log^{\nu} t \, d[\log^{n}(xt^{-1})] = \log^{n+\nu} x \int_{0}^{1} (1-u)^{\nu} du^{n} = \frac{\nu! \, n!}{(n+\nu)!} \log^{n+\nu} x$$
$$= \nu! \, D^{-\nu} \log^{n} x.$$

It follows now by [2, Theorem 421] that for n > 0,

$$\begin{split} I_{g} \log^{n} x &= \sum_{\mu \leq x} \frac{g(\mu)}{\mu} \log^{n} \frac{x}{\mu} = -\int_{1}^{x} \left[\sum_{\nu=0}^{p} \frac{\gamma_{-\nu}}{\nu!} \log^{\nu} t + \rho(t) \right] d\log^{n}(x/t) \\ &= -\sum_{\nu=0}^{p} \frac{\gamma_{-\nu}}{\nu!} \int_{1}^{x} \log^{\nu} t \, d \left[\log^{n}(x/t) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (-1)^{\nu-1} \frac{n!}{\nu!(n-\nu)!} \log^{n-\nu} x \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \rho(t) \, d \log^{\nu} t - \int_{x}^{\infty} \rho(t) \, d \log^{\nu} t \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} \gamma_{-\nu} D^{-\nu} \log^{n} x + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \gamma_{\nu} D^{\nu} \log^{n} x + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (-1)^{\nu} \binom{n}{\nu} \log^{n-\nu} x \int_{x}^{\infty} \rho(t) \, d \log^{\nu} t \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{\nu} D^{\nu} \log^{n} x + \int_{x}^{\infty} \rho(t) \, d \left[\log^{n}(x/t) \right], \end{split}$$

which proves that $R_n^g(x) = \int_x^\infty \rho(t) d[\log^n(x/t)]$. The second form of R_n^g is obtained by substituting $u = -\log(x/t)$. In particular,

Corollary. If $\rho(x) = O(x^{-\vartheta})$ for some $\vartheta > 0$, then $R_n^g(x) = O(x^{-\vartheta})$ for all $n \ge 0$. Indeed, $R_n^g(x) = O\left(\int_x^\infty (xe^u)^{-\vartheta} du^n\right) = O(x^{-\vartheta})$ since $\int_0^\infty e^{-\vartheta u} du^n < \infty$.

3. Applications.

A) Since $\sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + c_0 + O(x^{-1})$, it follows by Theorem 4 that:

$$I_1 = D^{-1} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{\nu} D^{\nu} + O(x^{-1}), c_0$$
 is the Euler constant.

In the present paper we shall denote by $\zeta(D)$ this power series which approximates I_1 .

Now 1*1=d, where d(n) is the number of divisors of n. Thus, $I_1^2=I_d$ by [1, Theorem 4.1]. In this case conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied with $\alpha=\beta=1, u=v=0, p=q=1$. Thus

(8c)
$$I_d = \zeta(D)^2 + O(x^{-1/2}).$$

In particular

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{d(n)}{n} = (D^{-1} + c_0 + \cdots)^2 1 + O(x^{-1/2}) = -\frac{1}{2} - \log^2 x + 2c_0 \log x + (c_0^2 + 2c_1) + O(x^{-1/2}).$$

Further results one obtains by computing $I_a \log^n x$, for $n \ge 1$.

Moreover, let $d_k = 1*\cdots*1$, thus $d_k(n) = \sum_{\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_k=n} 1$. Here we may apply Theorem 3 and obtain

$$I_{d_k} = I_1{}^k = \zeta(D)^k + O_{\varepsilon}(x^{-1/k})$$
.

In particular,

$$I_{d_k} 1 = \sum_{\nu \leq x} \nu^{-1} d_k(\nu) = \frac{1}{k!} \log^k x + \frac{k}{(k-1)!} c_0 \log^{k-1} x + \dots + c_{k'} + O_{\epsilon}(x^{-1/k})$$

and one can readily compute the coefficients c_2', \dots, c_k' .

B) As in examples C and D of [1, Section 10], we set $\mu_2(n) = (-1)^r$ for $n = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r)^2$ and zero otherwise, $e_2(n) = 1$ for square n and zero otherwise. Now,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\mu_2(n)}{n} = \sum_{n^2 \le x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = b_0 + O(x^{-1/2}), \ b_0 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} = \zeta(2)^{-1}.$$

Hence, Theorem 4 implies that

$$I_{\mu_3} = b_0 + b_1 D + \cdots + O(x^{-1/2})$$
.

In this example we use the relation $|\mu| = 1 * \mu_2$, where μ is the Möbius function. Hence it follows by Theorem 2 that

(8d)
$$I_{|\mu|} = (D^{-1} + c_0 + \cdots) \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} + b_1 D + \cdots \right) + O(x^{-1/3})$$

and in particular

$$I_{|\mu|}1 = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{|\mu(n)|}{n} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} (\log x + c_0) + b_1 + O(x^{-1/3})$$

which is a better result than [1, (10D)]. (Note the misprint in 10D of [1]).

C) It is well known that $\zeta^2(s)\zeta^{-1}(2s) = \sum 2^{\nu(n)}n^{-s}$ where $\nu(n) = r$ for $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$. Whence one readily verifies that $\rho = \mu_2 * 1 * 1 = |\mu| * 1$, and $\rho(n) = 2^{\nu(n)}$.

By the previous example it follows that Theorem 2 is applicable and we have

$$I_{\rho} = (D^{-1} + c_0 + \cdots)^2 \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} + \cdots\right) + O(x^{-1/4}).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{2^{\nu(n)}}{n} = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \log^2 x + \dots + O(x^{-1/4}).$$

D) The previous examples show how relations between arithmetic functions and the corresponding Dirichlet series can be utilised to obtain certain asymptotic behaviour. The following is another example.

From the relation $\zeta(2s)^{-1}\zeta^4(s) = \sum d^2(n)n^{-s}$, it follows that $I_{d^2} = I_{\mu_1}I_1^4$. Hence, it follows by Theorem 3 that

$$I_{d^2} = (D^{-1} + c_0 + \cdots)^4 \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} + b_1 D + \cdots \right) + O_{\epsilon}(x^{-1/6})$$
.

In particular,

$$I_{d^2}1 = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log^4 x + \dots + \alpha_4 + O_{\epsilon}(x^{-1/6}).$$

E) Our last example deals with the function r(n) ([2, p. 256]). It follows by [2, Theorem 306] that

$$\sum r(n)n^{-s} = 4\zeta(s)L(s)$$

where $L(s) = \sum \chi(n)n^{-s}$ with $\chi(2n+1) = (-1)^n$ and zero otherwise. Thus $r = 4(1*\chi)$, and therefore, $I_r = 4I_1I_{\chi}$.

Now one readily verifies that

$$\sum_{n \le r} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = \sum_{\nu \le (r-1)/2} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{2\nu + 1} = \gamma_0 + O(x^{-1}).$$

Note that

$$r_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots = \frac{\pi}{4}$$
.

It follows, therefore from Theorem 4 that

$$I_{\chi} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 D + \cdots + O(x^{-1})$$
.

Consequently Theorem 2 yields that:

$$I_r = 4(D^{-1} + c_0 + \cdots)(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 D + \cdots) + O(x^{-1/2})$$

which yields for example:

$$I_r 1 = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{r(n)}{n} = 4r_0 \log x + (4r_1 + c_0 r_0) + O(x^{-1/2}) = \pi \log x + c + O(x^{-1/2}).$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.

(9)
$$I_{g}I_{h}\log^{n}x = \sum_{\nu \leq x} \nu^{-1}g(\nu) \sum_{\mu \leq x/\nu} \mu^{-1}h(\mu) \log^{n}(x\nu^{-1}\mu^{-1})$$

$$= \sum_{\nu \mu \leq x} (\nu\mu)^{-1}g(\nu)h(\mu) \log^{n}x(\nu\mu)^{-1} = \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \nu^{-1}g(\nu) \sum_{\mu \leq x/\nu} \mu^{-1}h(\mu) \log^{n}[(x\nu^{-1})\mu^{-1}]$$

$$+ \sum_{\mu \leq x/\gamma} \mu^{-1}h(\mu) \sum_{\nu \leq x/\mu} \nu^{-1}g(\nu) \log^{n}[(x\mu^{-1})\nu^{-1}]) - \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \sum_{\mu \leq x/\gamma} (\nu\mu)^{-1}g(\nu)h(\mu) \log^{n}x(\nu\mu)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{1} + \sum_{2} - \sum_{3}.$$

It follows from (4) that:

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{1} = \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) I_{h} \log^{n} x \nu^{-1} = \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) \left[\sum_{i=-q}^{n} (n-i) !^{-1} n ! h_{i} \log^{n-i} x \nu^{-1} + R_{n}^{h} (x \nu^{-1}) \right] \\ & = \sum_{i=-q}^{n} (n-i) !^{-1} n ! h_{i} \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) \log^{n-i} (\gamma \nu^{-1}) (x \gamma^{-1}) + S_{11} \\ & = \sum_{i=-q}^{n} (n-i) !^{-1} n ! h_{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} {n-i \choose j} \log^{n-i-j} x \gamma^{-1} \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) \log^{j} \gamma \nu^{-1} + S_{11} \\ & = \sum_{i=-q}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \frac{n !}{j ! (n-i-j) !} h_{i} \log^{n-i-j} x \gamma^{-1} \left[\sum_{k=-p}^{j} \frac{j !}{(j-k) !} g_{k} \log^{j-k} \gamma + R_{j}^{g} (\gamma) \right] + S_{11} \\ & = S_{13} + S_{12} + S_{11} \end{split}$$

where

$$S_{11} = \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) R_n^h(x\nu^{-1}), \quad S_{13} = \sum_{i=-q}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \frac{n!}{j!(n-i-j)!} R_j^g(\gamma) h_i \log^{n-i-j} x \gamma^{-1}$$

and

$$S_{12} = \sum_{i=-q}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \sum_{k=-n}^{j} \frac{n!}{(j-k)! (n-i-j)!} h_i g_k \log^{n-i-j} x \gamma^{-1} \log^{j-k} \gamma.$$

In the latter we interchange the order of summation and obtain:

(10)
$$S_{12} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+q} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \sum_{k=-p}^{j} \frac{n!}{(j-k)! (n-i-j)!} h_i g_k \log^{n-i-j} x \gamma^{-1} \log^{j-k} \gamma.$$

Similarly one shows that, $\sum_{2} = S_{23} + S_{22} + S_{21}$, with

$$S_{21} = \sum_{\mu \leq x/\gamma} \mu^{-1} h(\mu) R_n^g(x\mu^{-1}), \quad S_{23} = \sum_{k=-p}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \frac{n!}{j! (n-j-k)!} R_j^h(x\gamma^{-1}) g_k \log^{n-j-k} \gamma,$$

$$S_{22} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+p} \sum_{k=-n}^{n-j} \sum_{i=-q}^{j} \frac{n!}{(n-j-k)! (j-i)!} h_i g_k \log^{n-j-k} \gamma \log^{j-i} \gamma^{-1} x.$$

In S_{22} we substitute j by n-j and obtain that

(11)
$$S_{22} = \sum_{j=-n}^{n} \sum_{k=-n}^{j} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \frac{n!}{(j-k)! (n-j-i)!} h_i g_k \log^{n-j-i} x \gamma^{-1} \log^{j-k} \gamma,$$

which is similar to S_{12} as given in (10), with the exception of the interval of values which j takes.

In computing Σ_3 we utilise (3) and (4) as follows:

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{3} = \sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \sum_{\mu \leq x/\gamma} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) \mu^{-1} h(\mu) \log^{n} [(\gamma \nu^{-1})(x \gamma^{-1} \mu^{-1})] \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \Big[\sum_{\nu \leq \gamma} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) \log^{j} \gamma \nu^{-1} \Big] \Big[\sum_{\mu \leq x/\gamma} \mu^{-1} h(\mu) \log^{n-j} x \gamma^{-1} \mu^{-1} \Big] \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \Big[\sum_{k=-p}^{j} \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} g_{k} \log^{j-k} \gamma + R_{j}^{g}(\gamma) \Big] \Big[\sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \frac{(n-j)!}{(n-j-i)!} h_{i} \log^{n-i-j} x \gamma^{-1} \\ & + R_{n-j}^{h}(x \gamma^{-1}) \Big] = T_{1} + T_{2} + T_{3} + T_{4} , \end{split}$$

where

(12a)
$$T_{4} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} {n \choose j} R_{j}^{g}(\gamma) R_{n-j}^{h}(x\gamma^{-1}),$$

(12b)
$$T_3 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=-n}^{j} \frac{n!}{(n-j)! (j-k)!} R_{n-j}^{k}(x\gamma^{-1}) g_k \log^{j-k} \gamma,$$

(12c)
$$T_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \frac{n!}{j! (n-i-j)!} R^{g}_{j}(\gamma) h_i \log^{n-i-j} x \gamma^{-1},$$

(12d)
$$T_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=-n}^{j} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \frac{n!}{(j-k)! (n-i-j)!} h_i g_k \log^{n-i-j} \chi \gamma^{-1} \log^{j-k} \gamma.$$

Consider now separately the sum $S_{12}+S_{22}-T_1$, $S_{13}-T_2$ and $S_{23}-T_3$. It follows from (12d), (10) and (11) that:

$$S_{12} + S_{22} - T_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n+q} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \sum_{k=-p}^{j} \cdots + \sum_{j=-p}^{n} \sum_{k=-p}^{j} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \cdots - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=-p}^{j} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \cdots = \sum_{j=-p}^{n+q} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \sum_{k=-p}^{j} \cdots$$

In the last form we set j-k=s and i+k=t, and one readily observes that one obtains:

$$S_{12} + S_{22} - T_1 = \sum_{t=-(p+q)}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-t} \frac{n!}{s!(n-s-t)!} \left(\sum_{t+k=t}^{n} h_i g_k \right) \log^{n-s-t} x \gamma^{-1} \log^{s} \gamma$$

$$= \sum_{t=-(p+q)}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-t)!} f_t \log^{n-t} x = F(D) \log^n x.$$

We recall that $F(D) = G(D)H(D) = \sum_{t=-(p+q)}^{\infty} f_t D^t$.

For the second sum we obtain:

$$S_{13}-T_{2} = \sum_{i=-q}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \cdots - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=-q}^{n-j} \cdots = \sum_{i=-q}^{-1} \sum_{j=n+1}^{n-i} \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{n!}{(n+j)! (i-j)!} R_{n+j}^{g}(\gamma) h_{-i} \log^{i-j} x \gamma^{-1},$$

where in the last step we have substituted j by j-n and i by -i.

The rest of the proof of (A) of Theorem 1 is obtained by a similar computation of $S_{23}-T_3$ and from the fact that

$$R_n^{gh}(x) = \sum_1 + \sum_2 - \sum_3 - F(D) \log^n x = S_{11} + S_{21} - T_4 + (S_{13} - T_2) + (S_{23} - T_3)$$

which have been shown to be respectively the five terms given in (6).

The proof of (6B) follows readily by computing:

$$\begin{split} (I_g I_h) \log^n x &= I_g [I_h \log^n x] = I_g [H(D) \log^n x + R_n^h(x)] \\ &= I_g R_n^h(x) + \sum_{\nu \leq x} \nu^{-1} g(\nu) \sum_{i=-q}^n \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} h_i \log^{n-i} x \nu^{-1} \\ &= I_g R_n^h(x) + \sum_{i=-q}^n \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} h_i I_g \log^{n-i} x \\ &= I_g R_n^h(x) + \sum_{i=-q}^n \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} h_i R_{n-i}^g(x) + \sum_{i=-q}^n \sum_{k=-p}^{n-j} \frac{n!}{(n-i-k)!} h_i g_k \log^{n-i-k} x \\ &= I_g R_n^h(x) + \sum_{i=-q}^n \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} h_i R_{n-i}^g(x) + [G(D)H(D)] \log^n x \\ &- \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{k=-p}^{n-i} \frac{n!}{(n-i-k)!} h_i g_k \log^{n-i-k} x \end{split}$$

which proves the first part of (6B). The second part follows similarly.

5. Asymptotic results. The methods which have been used in the proof of the Main Theorem of [1] may yield far more:

Theorem 5. Let g(n) be a non-negative function with the property that:

(g1)
$$\sum_{\nu \le x} g(\nu) = a \log^n x + b \log^{n-1} x + o(\log^{n-1} x), \ n \ge 1, \ \alpha > 0.$$

Let f(x) $(x \ge 1)$ be a complex valued function satisfying:

$$f(x) = O(1),$$

(2)
$$\sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{f(\nu)}{\nu} = O(1),$$

(3)
$$f(tx)-f(x)=o(1) \quad \text{as } (t,x)\to (1,\infty).$$

Then the condition

$$(4) |f(x)| \log^n x \le \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\nu \le x} g(\nu) \left| f\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) \right| + o(\log^n x)$$

implies that f(x) = o(1).

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that a = 1.

From [1, Lemmas 6.8 and 6.9] it follows that (2) and (3) imply that following:

Given $\Delta > 0$, one can find positive numbers x_0 , T and t > 1 with the property that for every $x > x_0$, the interval (x, xT) contains a subinterval (y, yt) such that for every z, $x \le y \le z \le yt \le xT$, we have $|f(z)| < \Delta$.

From (1) it follows that $|f(x)| < A + \varepsilon$ for all x > 1 and $\limsup_{x \to \infty} f(x) = A$. The theorem states that A = 0. Suppose A > 0. Then choose 0 < A < A.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $x_1 \ge x_0$ be such that $|f(x)| < A + \varepsilon$ for all $x \ge x_1$. Put $i_1 = \lceil \log x_1 / \log T \rceil + 1$ and $j = \lceil \log x / \log T \rceil$. For $i_1 \le i \le j$, let $(y_i, y_i t)$ be the subinterval of (T^i, T^{i+1}) for which $|f(z)| < \Delta$ for all $T^i \le y_i \le z \le y_i t \le T^{i+1}$.

First we observe that since $j = O(\log x)$ and $y_i > T^i$:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=i_1}^{j} \sum_{y_i \leq xy^{-1} \leq y_i t} g(v) \\ &= \sum_{i=i_1}^{j} \left[\log^n x y_i^{-1} - \log^n x y_i^{-1} t^{-1} + b \log^{n-1} x y_i^{-1} - b \log^{n-1} x y_i^{-1} t^{-1} + o(\log^{n-1} x y_i^{-1} t^{-1}) \right] \\ &= n \log t \sum_{i=i_1}^{j} \log^{n-1} x y_i^{-1} + O(j \log^{n-2} x) + \sum_{i=i_1}^{j} o(\log^{n-1} x y_i^{-1}) \\ &\geq n \log t \sum_{i=i_1}^{j} \log^{n-1} (x T^{-(i+1)}) + O(\log^{n-1} x) + o(\log^n x) \\ &= n \log t \sum_{\rho=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose \rho} (-1)^{\rho} \log^{n-1-\rho} x \log^{\rho} T \sum_{i=i_1}^{j} (i+1)^{\rho} + o(\log^n x) \\ &= n \log t \sum_{\rho=0}^{n-1} \log^{n-1-\rho} x \log^{\rho} T (-1)^{\rho} {n-1 \choose \rho} \left[\frac{1}{\rho+1} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log T} \right)^{\rho+1} + O(\log^{\rho} x) \right] + o(\log^n x) \\ &= \log^n x \log t / \log T + o(\log^n x) = C \log^n x + o(\log^n x), \quad C > 0. \end{split}$$

Since $\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\rho} {n-1 \choose \rho} (\rho+1)^{-1} = n^{-1}$, and $\sum_{i=i_1}^{j} o(\log^{n-1} x y_i^{-1}) = o(\log^n x)$. The latter is shown as follows:

Let R(x) be the remainder element in (g1), then $|R(x)| \le H \log^{n-1} x$ for all x, and $|R(x)| < \delta \log^{n-1} x$ for $\delta > 0$ and $x > x_{\delta}$. Thus, the remainder in the last formula is

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{i=i_1}^{j} R(xy_i^{-1})| &\leq H \sum_{1 \leq xy_i^{-1} \leq x_{\delta}} \log^{n-1} xy_i^{-1} + \varepsilon \sum_{i=i_1}^{j} \log^{n-1} xy_i^{-1} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \log^n x + H \log^{n-1} x \log x_{\delta} / \log T = \varepsilon \log^n x + o(\log^n x), \end{aligned}$$

since the number of the integers i for which $1 \le xy_i^{-1} \le x_{\delta}$ is the same as those which satisfy $xx_{\delta}^{-1} \le T^i < x$, which is $\lceil \log x_{\delta} / \log T \rceil$.

The proof of Theorem 5 follows now readily as in [1, Theorem 6.1]: Indeed, it follows by (4) and in view of the fact that $0 < \Delta < A + \varepsilon$:

$$\begin{split} |f(x)|\log^n x &\leq K \sum_{1 \leq x\nu^{-1} \leq x_1} g(\nu) + (A+\varepsilon) \sum_{x_1 < x\nu^{-1} \leq x} g(\nu) \\ &+ \sum_{i=\imath_1}^j \sum_{y_i < x\nu^{-1} \leq y_i t} g(\nu) \left(\left| f\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) \right| - A - \varepsilon \right) + o(\log^n x) \leq K(\log^n x - \log^n x x_1^{-1}) \\ &+ O(\log^{n-1} x) + (A+\varepsilon) \log^n x + O(\log^{n-1} x) + (A-A-\varepsilon)C \log^n x + o(\log^n x) \\ &= \left[(A+\varepsilon) + (A-A-\varepsilon)C \right] \log^n x + o(\log^n x) \,. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$|f(x)| \le A + \varepsilon + (\Delta - A - \varepsilon)C + o(1)$$

and as $x \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\limsup |f(x)| = A < A + \varepsilon + (\Delta - A - \varepsilon)C$$

which being true for all $\epsilon > 0$ yields $A \leq A + (\Delta - A)C$. But this is impossible since C > 0 and $\Delta - A < 0$, and the proof of the theorem is concluded.

Remark 1. The preceding theorem does not yield immediately the Main Theorem of [1, 6.1] since the latter requires that $|f(x)|\log x \le I_A|f(x)|+o(\log x)$ and if one chooses $g(\nu) = A(\nu)\nu^{-1}$, then g does not satisfy (g1). Nevertheless our theorem is applicable for $g(\nu) = A_2(\nu)\nu^{-1}$ and condition (2) of [1, Theorem 6.4] yields by [1, Lemma 6.6] that $|f(x)|\log^2 x \le I_{A_2}|f(x)|+o(\log^2 x)$ and our theorem yields the Main Theorem of [1].

Remark 2. Theorem 5 can be extended to a wider class of functions g(n), for which one has to assume instead of (g1):

$$(g2) \qquad \qquad \sum_{\mathbf{v} \leq x} g(\mathbf{v}) = G(x) + o(G(x)/\log x)$$

with G(x) a non-decreasing function, and

$$(4') |f(x)|G(x) \leq \sum_{v \leq x} g(v) \left| f\left(\frac{x}{v}\right) \right| + o(G(x)).$$

The proof can be carried over to this case if $g(\nu)$ will satisfy a condition similar to (*). Namely that:

(**)
$$\sum_{i=i_1}^{f} \sum_{y_i \leqslant x\nu^{-1} \leq y_i t} g(\nu) \geq CG(x) + o(G(x)), \text{ for some } C > 0.$$

In particular, note that once we have shown that $\sum_{\nu \leq x} \Lambda(\nu)/\nu = \log x + c + o(1)$ ([1, (7.3), p. 289]), then clearly (g2) holds for $g(n) = \Lambda(n)/n$, and $G(x) = \log x + c$. Furthermore, (**) is valid since $\sum_{y_i < \nu \leq y_i t} \Lambda(\nu)/\nu = \log x y_i^{-1} - \log x y_i^{-1} t^{-1} + o(1) = \log t + o(1)$ and the rest is easily verified.

The generality of Theorem 5 and the fact that we have got rid of condition (3) of [1, Theorem 6.1], enables us to modify the conditions in [1, Theorem 9.1]. Namely we have:

THEOREM 6. Let $I_h = H(D) + O(1)$, $h(n) = O(n^{\vartheta})$ for $\vartheta < 1$, then $f_n(x) = I_h \log^n x$ $-H(D) \log^n x$ satisfies (1) and (2) of Theorem 5.

[1, Theorem 9.1] was proved with the assumption that $I_n 1 = O(\log^s x)$. This assumption was first used to prove condition (3) of [1, Theorem 9.1, p. 306] which is not necessary in view of Theorem 5. Next it was used on [1, p. 307] but there one readily observes that it sufficies to assume that $h(n) = O(n^{\vartheta})$, $\vartheta < 1$ since one has only to verify that

$$\sum_{t \le x} \frac{|h(t)|}{t} \cdot \frac{\log^k t}{t} = O(1)$$

which is true in our case.

We conclude the paper with an extension of the last general result of [1] (Theorem 9.1). Namely, we show that

Theorem 7. Let g be an arithmetic function satisfying the following conditions:

$$I_{\varphi} = G(D) + O(\varphi_n)$$
; $I_1 \varphi_n = O(1)$ and $I_1 \varphi_n \log x = o(\log x)$

and let $h = \mu *g$ satisfy $h(n) = O(n^{\vartheta})$ for some $\vartheta < 1$, then

$$I_h \log^n x = [\zeta^{-1}(D)G(D)] \log^n x + o(1)$$
 for $n > 0$,

and it holds also for n = 0, if

$$\sum_{x < \nu \le tx} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{i=j}^{p} \frac{1}{j! (i-j)!} h_{-i} \log^{i-j} x \log^{j} t = R_{0}^{h}(tx) - R_{0}^{h}(x) = o(1)$$

as
$$(t, x) \to (1, \infty)$$
, where $\zeta(D)^{-1}G(D) = \sum_{\nu=-p}^{\infty} h_{\nu}D^{\nu}$.

PROOF. First we prove that $R_n^h(x) = I_h \log^n x - [\zeta^{-1}(D)G(D)] \log^n x = O(1)$ for all $n \ge 0$, and to this end we use (6B). Indeed, by Theorem 1 it follows that:

$$R_n^h(x) = I_n R_n^g(x) + O(1) = O(I_1 \varphi_n) + O(1) = O(1)$$
.

Thus

$$I_1 R_n^h = I_1 (I_\mu I_g - \zeta^{-1}(D)G(D)) \log^n x = [I_g - \zeta(D)(\zeta^{-1}(D)G(D))] \log^n x + O(x^{-1})$$
 since $I_1 = \zeta(D) + O(x^{-1})$. Now,

$$\zeta(D)[\zeta^{-1}(D)G(D)]\log^n x = [\zeta\zeta^{-1}G]\log^n x - n!^{-1}h_{n+1}$$
.

Hence,

$$I_1R_n^h = O(\varphi_n + x^{-1}) - n!^{-1}h_{n+1}$$
.

Consider the function $f_n(x) = R_n^h(x) + (n!x)^{-1}h_{n+1}$, which will satisfy $I_1 f_n = O(\varphi_n + x^{-1})$. As in the proof of Theorem 9.2 of [1, p. 309] one verifies that $f_n(x)$ satisfies (1) and (2) of Theorem 5 and (2) of [1, Theorem 6.1]. This theorem is applicable in view of Remark 2 if we show that: $f_n(tx) - f_n(x) = o(1)$ as $(t, x) \to (1, \infty)$, or equivalently $R_n^h(tx) - R_n^h(x) = o(1)$.

Repeating the computation of [1, p. 309] we obtain

$$\begin{split} R_n^h(tx) - R_n^h(x) &= \Big[\sum_{\nu \leq tx} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} \, \log^n \frac{tx}{\nu} - \sum_{i=-p}^n \frac{n\,!}{(n-i)\,!} \, h_i \log^{n-i}tx \Big] \\ &- \Big[\sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} \, \log^n \frac{x}{\nu} - \sum_{i=-p}^n \frac{n\,!}{(n-i)\,!} \, h_i \log^{n-i}x \Big] \\ &= \sum_{x < \nu \leq tx} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} \, \log^n \frac{tx}{\nu} + \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \log^j t \sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} \, \log^{n-j} \frac{x}{\nu} \\ &- \sum_{i=-p}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{n\,!}{(n-i)\,!} \binom{n-i}{j} h_i \log^j t \log^n t - i - j x \\ &= \sum_{x < \nu \leq tx} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} \, \log^n \frac{tx}{\nu} + \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \log^j t \Big[\sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} \, \log^{n-j} \frac{x}{\nu} \\ &- \sum_{i=-p}^{n-j} \frac{(n-j)\,!}{(n-i-j)\,!} \, h_i \log^{n-i-j}x \Big] - \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{i=-p}^{n-j} \frac{n\,!}{j\,!(n-i-j)} \, h_i \log^{n-i-j}x \log^j t \\ &= \mathcal{A}_n + \mathcal{A}_n' \,, \end{split}$$

and
$$\Delta_{n'} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} {n \choose j} \log^j t R_{n-j}^h(x)$$
,

$$\Delta_n = \sum_{x < \nu \leq tx} \frac{h(\nu)}{\nu} \log^n \frac{tx}{\nu} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{i=j}^{p} \frac{n!}{(n+j)!(i-j)!} h_{-i} \log^{i-j} x \log^{n+j} t.$$

The latter is obtained by replacing j and i by j-n and -i respectively in the corresponding part of the preceding formula.

Clearly, if $\Delta_n = o(1)$ as $(t, x) \to (1, \infty)$ then since $\Delta_n' = O(\log t) = o(1)$, it follows that $R_n^h(tx) - R_n^h(x) = o(1)$ and our theorem is proved. For n = 0, $\Delta_n' = 0$, and $\Delta_0 = o(1)$ is the condition given in the statement of the theorem. For n > 0 we have by [2, Theorem 421, p. 346]:

$$\sum_{x < v \le tx} \frac{h(v)}{v} \log^n \frac{tx}{v} = -\int_x^{tx} [H(u) - H(x)] \left(\log^n \frac{tx}{u}\right)' du$$

where $H(u) = I_1 h = \sum_{\nu \leq u} \nu^{-1} h(\nu) = \sum_{i=-p}^{0} (-i)!^{-i} h_i \log^{-i} u + R_0^h(u)$. Hence, by setting -i instead of i, we obtain that the last integral is equal to:

$$\begin{split} &-\sum_{i=1}^{p}i!^{-1}h_{-i}\int_{x}^{tx}[\log^{i}u-\log^{i}x](\log^{n}txu^{-1})'du-\int_{x}^{tx}[R_{0}^{h}(u)-R_{0}^{h}(x)](\log^{n}txu^{-1})'du\\ &=-\sum_{i=1}^{p}i!^{-1}h_{-i}\Big[(\log^{i}u-\log^{i}x)\log^{n}txu^{-1}\Big]_{x}^{tx}+\sum_{i=1}^{p}(i-1)!^{-1}h_{-i}\int_{x}^{tx}\log^{n}txu^{-1}\frac{\log^{i-1}u}{u}\,du\\ &+O(\log^{n}t). \end{split}$$

The latter follows by integration by part and from the fact that $R_0^h = O(1)$. Now, the first term in the last formula is zero, and in the second term we obtain by setting $v = (\log txu^{-1})/\log t$,

$$\int_{x}^{tx} \log^{n} t x u^{-1} \frac{\log^{i-1} u}{u} du = \int_{0}^{1} (\log^{n+1} t) v^{n} (\log t x - v \log t)^{i-1} dv$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} {i-1 \choose j} \log^{n+j+1} t \log^{i-1-j} x \int_{0}^{1} v^{n} (1-v)^{j} dv$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {i-1 \choose j} \frac{n! j!}{(n+j+1)!} \log^{n+j+1} t \log^{i-(j+1)} x = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{(i-1)! n!}{(n+j)! (i-j)!} \log^{n+j} t \log^{i-j} x.$$

From which one observes that:

$$\Delta_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{n!}{(n+j)! (i-j)!} h_{-i} \log^{n+j} t \log^{i-j} x$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{i=j}^{p} \frac{n!}{(n+j)! (i-j)!} h_{-i} \log^{n+j} t \log^{i-j} x + O(\log^{n} t) = O(\log^{n} t) = o(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 1$ (independent of x), when n > 0.

We conclude with an application:

The function $\Lambda_2 = \mu * \log^2 x$ satisfies the requirement of our theorem. Hence, we obtain from (5.12b) of [1] that

$$I_{A_2} \log x = \sum_{\nu \leq x} \frac{A_2(\nu)}{\nu} \log \frac{x}{\nu} = \frac{1}{3} - \log^3 x - c_0 \log^2 x + (c_0^2 - 2c_1) \log x + 2c_2 + o(1)$$

instead of O(1).

Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

Bibliography

- [1] S. A. Amitsur. On arithmetic functions, J. d'Analyse Math., 5 (1956/7), 273-314.
- [2] Hardy and Wright, An introduction to Number Theory, 3rd ed. Oxford, 1954.
- [3] K. Yamamoto, Theory of arithmetic linear transformations and its application to an elementary proof of Dirichlet's theorem, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 7 (1955), 424-434.