

## On the Differential Forms of the First Kind on Algebraic Varieties II.

Shoji KOIZUMI.

(Received Oct. 30, 1950)

We shall give some supplementary remarks to my previous paper on the same subject<sup>1)</sup>. As in Weil's definition, we shall call differential forms to be of the first kind on a Variety  $U$ , when they are finite at every simple Point on every Variety birationally equivalent to  $U$ . This definition is equivalent to my previous one in [ $K$ ], if  $U$  has a birationally equivalent model which is a complete Variety without singularities.

1. We shall prove the following theorem as an extension of the theorem 2 in [ $K$ ].

*THEOREM 1.* *Let  $\omega$  be a differential form of the first kind of degree  $r$  on a Product-Variety  $U \times V$ , then we have the following expression'*

$$\omega = \sum \sigma_i \tau_i$$

where  $\sigma_i, \tau_i$  are, respectively, differential forms of the first kind on  $U, V$ , of degree  $d_i, r-d_i$ . Moreover, if  $\omega, U$  and  $V$  have a common field  $k$  of definition which is perfect,  $\sigma_i, \tau_i$  are defined over  $k$ .

*PROOF.* Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\omega, U$  and  $V$  are defined over a perfect field  $k$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be independent generic Points over  $k$ , of  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively. If  $(t)$  and  $(u)$  are respectively, sets of uniformizing parameters at  $P$  and  $Q$ , on  $U$  and  $V$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_s; j_1, \dots, j_{r-s}} dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s} du_{j_1} \cdots du_{j_{r-s}} \\ &= \sum_j \left( \sum_i \sum_{(i,j)} dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s} \right) du_{j_1} \cdots du_{j_{r-s}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_s; j_1, \dots, j_{r-s}}$  are contained in  $k(P, Q)$  and  $(i, j)$  means  $i_1, \dots, i_s; j_1, \dots, j_{r-s}$ . If we consider  $\sum_i \sum_{(i,j)} dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s}$  as defined on  $U$  over the field  $k(Q)$ , they are of the first kind.

---

1) Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan Vol. 1, No. 3, 1949. This note will be denoted by [ $K$ ], and we shall use the same terminologies and notations as in [ $K$ ].



2. Next, we consider an extension of the theorem 2 in [K]. We begin with the following definition.

*DEFINITION 1.* Let  $\omega$  be a differential form on a Variety  $U$ .  $\omega$  and  $U$  are defined over a field  $k$  and  $P$  is a generic Point of  $U$  over  $k$ . We say that  $\omega$  has the property (F) at a point  $P'$  on  $U$ , if  $\omega$  has the following expression

$$\omega = \sum u_i dv_i,$$

where  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are contained in the specialization ring of  $P'$  in  $k(P)$

It is evident that the property (F) at  $P'$  is equivalent to the finiteness at  $P'$ , when  $P'$  is a simple Point on  $U$ .

*PROPOSITION 1.* Let  $\omega$  be a differential form on a complete Variety  $U$ . If  $\omega$  has the property (F) everywhere on  $U$ ,  $\omega$  is of the first kind.

*PROOF.* Let  $V^n$  be a birationally equivalent variety over  $k$  to  $U$ , and  $P$  be a simple  $(n-1)$ -dimensional point over  $k$  on  $V$ . If  $Q$  on  $U$  is a birationally corresponding Point to  $P$ , the specialization ring of  $P$  includes that of  $Q$ . Therefore if  $\omega$  has the property (F) at  $Q$  on  $U$ , it has the same property at  $P$  on  $V$ . This proves the proposition.

*PROPOSITION 2.* Let  $V$  be a simple Subvariety of  $U$  and  $P$  be a point on  $V$ . If a differential form  $\omega$  on  $U$  is finite on  $V$ , it induces a differential form  $\omega'$  on  $V$ . Moreover, if  $\omega$  has the property (F) at  $P$  on  $U$ ,  $\omega'$  has also the same property at  $P$  on  $V$ .

*PROOF.* The first assertion follows from the proposition 6 in [K]. Let  $k$  be a common field of definition for  $U$ ,  $V$  and  $\omega$ , and  $\bar{P}$ ,  $\bar{Q}$  be, respectively, the generic Points of  $U$ ,  $V$  over  $k$ . Every element in the specialization ring of  $P$  in  $k(\bar{P})$  has a uniquely determined specialization over  $\bar{P} \rightarrow \bar{Q}$  with respect to  $k$ , and that specialization is contained in the specialization ring of  $P$  in  $k(\bar{Q})$ . This proves the proposition.

From these two propositions we can obtain at once the following theorem.

*THEOREM 2.* Let  $U$  be a complete Variety without singularities, and  $V$  be a simple Subvariety of  $U$ . If a differential form  $\omega$  on  $U$  is of the first kind, it induces a differential form  $\omega'$  on  $V$  of the first kind.

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University.