

ON THE FUNCTION $t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}$

TAMOTSU TSUCHIKURA

(Received January 29, 1951)

Let a be a positive integer ≥ 2 , and put

$$f(t) = t - [t] - \frac{1}{2},$$

where $[t]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq t$. We shall consider the expression

$$\sum_{l=0}^N f(at) \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots).$$

If $a = 2$, A. Khintchine [2] proved that

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^N f(2^l t) \right) / (N \log \log N)^{1/2} = 1/\sqrt{2}$$

for almost all t . On the other hand J. F. Koksma [3] proved that for a positive integer $a \geq 2$

$$\left(\sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) \right) / (N^{3/2} (\varphi(N))^{1/3}) = o(1)$$

and

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) \right) / (N^{1/2} \psi(N)) = 0$$

for almost all t , where $\varphi(n)$ is any given positive non-decreasing function of integer $n \geq n_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(n+1) \leq (1 + K/n) \varphi(n) \quad (n \geq n_0),$$

K being a conveniently chosen positive constant, and $\psi(n)$ denotes any given positive function of the integer $n \geq n_0$ such that $\psi(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The purpose of this note is to furnish more precise results than these estimations of Koksma, and a related theorem.

1. THEOREM 1. *If a is a positive integer ≥ 2 , then for almost all t , we have*

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) \right) / (N \log \log N)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{a+1}{6(a-1)} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{a-1} \left(|f(t)| + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

PROOF. If we consider the decimal representation of a real number t , in the scale of a , then every digit can be regarded as a function of t . Hence we put

$$t = [t] + \frac{\varepsilon_1(t)}{a} + \frac{\varepsilon_2(t)}{a^2} + \dots$$

Every $\varepsilon_k(t)$ has its value region $0, 1, 2, \dots, a-1$; and clearly $\{\varepsilon_k(t)\}$ forms an independent system in the sense of M. Kac and H. Steinhaus. If we put

$$(4) \quad \delta_k(t) = \varepsilon_k(t) - (a-1)/2 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then $\{\delta_k(t)\}$ is also an independent system and has the following properties:

$$(5) \quad |\delta_k(t)| \leq (a-1)/2 \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and } k,$$

$$(6) \quad \int_0^1 \delta_k(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } k,$$

$$(7) \quad \int_0^1 \delta_k^2(t) dt = (a^2 - 1)/12 \quad \text{for all } k.$$

In fact, by (4), $|\delta_k(t)| \leq (a-1) - (a-1)/2 = (a-1)/2$;

$$\int_0^1 \delta_k(t) dt = \int_0^1 \varepsilon_k(t) dt - \frac{a-1}{2} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} k - \frac{a-1}{2} = 0;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \delta_k^2(t) dt &= \int_0^1 \left(\varepsilon_k(t) - \frac{a-1}{2} \right)^2 dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \varepsilon_k^2(t) dt - (a-1) \int_0^1 \varepsilon_k(t) dt + \frac{(a-1)^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} k^2 - \frac{a-1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} k + \frac{(a-1)^2}{4} = \frac{a^2 - 1}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

By the law of the iterated logarithm of Khintchine and Kolmogoroff [4], we see immediately from the above properties that

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \delta_k(t) \right) / (N \log \log N)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{a^2 - 1}{6} \right)^{1/2}$$

for almost all t .

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= t - [t] - \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k(t)}{a^k} - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k(t)}{a^k} + \frac{a-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^k} - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k(t)}{a^k}, \end{aligned}$$

and obviously $\delta_{k+l}(t) = \delta_k(at)$ for every positive integer k and l , we have then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^N f(a^l t) &= \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k(a^l t)}{a^k} = \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{k+l}(t)}{a^k} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{k+1}(t)}{a^{k-l+1}} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^k + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^N \right) \frac{\delta_{k+1}(t)}{a^{k+1}} a^l \\
&= \frac{1}{a-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{k+1}(t) - \frac{a}{a-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{k+1}(t)}{a^{k+1}} + \frac{a^{N+1}}{a-1} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{k+1}(t)}{a^{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{a-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \delta_k(t) - \frac{a}{a-1} f(t) + \frac{a^{N+1}}{a-1} \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k(t)}{a^k},
\end{aligned}$$

or we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad \sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) &= \frac{1}{a-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \delta_k(t) - \frac{1}{a-1} f(t) + \frac{a^{N+1}}{a-1} \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k(t)}{a^k} \\
&= P_N(t) - \frac{1}{a-1} f(t) + Q_N(t)
\end{aligned}$$

say. Clearly we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{a-1} f(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2(a-1)} \quad \text{for all } t,$$

and by (5)

$$(10) \quad |Q_N(t)| \leq \frac{a^{N+1}}{a-1} \frac{a-1}{2} \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^k} = \frac{1}{2(a-1)}$$

for all t . Hence from (9)

$$(11) \quad \sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) = \frac{1}{a-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \delta_k(t) + O(1).$$

Combining (8) and (11) we have the relation (2) for almost all t .

On the other hand as we see easily (or see e.g. [1])

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \delta_k(t) \right| = 0$$

for almost all t ; and then we get by (9) and (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{a-1} \left\{ \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \delta_k(t) + |f(t)| + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{a-1} \left(|f(t)| + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for almost all t , and (3) is proved.

2. We shall add a category theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\chi(N)$ be a function defined for every positive integer N such that $\chi(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then for every t , except perhaps for a set of the first category, we have*

$$(12) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left(\sum_{l=0}^N f(a^l t) \right) / (N\chi(N)) \right| = +\infty.$$

PROOF. In virtue of the relation (11) we may replace $f(a^l t)$ in (12)

by $\delta_i(t)$, for we may suppose that $N\chi(N) \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Put

$$\sigma_N(t) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^N \delta_i(t) \right) / (N\chi(N)), \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We may find easily a sequence of positive integers $\{N_i\}$ such that $N_1 < N_2 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$ and $2i < N_i(1 - \chi^{1/2}(N_i))$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), that is,

$$(13) \quad N_i\chi(N_i) < (N_i - 2i)\chi^{1/2}(N_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Denote by A the set of all $t \in (0, 1)$ which are not of the form m/a^k (m, k being integers). For $p = 1, 2, \dots$, let E_p be the set of all $t \in A$ for which $|\sigma_N(t)| \leq p$ for all N ; and let E be that of all $t \in A$ for which $\sigma_N(t)$ is bounded in N . Then clearly $E = \bigcup_p E_p$. If E is of the second category, so is the set E_{p_0} for some p_0 . And E_{p_0} is closed in A in virtue of the continuity of $\delta_k(t)$, $t \in A$. Hence E_{p_0} contains an interval I of the space A . Let $t_0 \in A$ be the point whose N_i -th digit in the decimal representation in the scale of a , is 0 ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and other digits are all $a - 1$. Then by (4) $\delta_k(t_0) = -(a - 1)/2$ ($k = N_1, N_2, \dots$) and $\delta_k(t_0) = (a - 1)/2$ ($k \neq N_i$; $i = 1, 2, \dots$); and we get by (13)

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_{N_i}(t_0)| &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^{N_i} \delta_i(t_0) \right| / (N_i\chi(N_i)) \\ &\geq \frac{a-1}{2} (N_i - 2i) / (N_i\chi^{1/2}(N_i)) \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since there is a point $t_1 \in I$ such that the difference $t_0 - t_1$ is a -adically rational, we see easily that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma_{N_i}(t_1)| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma_{N_i}(t_0)| = \infty,$$

which contradicts the fact $t_1 \in I \subset E_{p_0}$. Hence the set E is of the first category, q. e. d.

REFERENCES

1. K. L. CHUNG and P. ERDÖS, On the lower limit of sum of independent random variables, *Ann. of Math.*, 48 (1947), pp. 1003-1013.
2. A. KHINTCHINE, Über einen Satz der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung, *Fund. Math.*, 6 (1924) pp. 9-20.
3. J. F. KOKSMA, On decimals, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, 21 (1943) pp. 242-267.
4. A. KOLMOGOROFF, Über das Gesetz des iterierten Logarithmus, *Math. Ann.*, 101 (1929) pp. 126-135.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY.