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1. In this note, we shall give some properties of a kind of sphere bundles. As for the definition of the fibre bundle, see for example, N.E. Steenrod [3].

Theorem 1. Let $S^{n}$ be an m-dimensional sphere, and $M^{n}$ be an $(n-m)$ sphere bundle over $S^{m}(n>m \geqq 2)$. In addition, let the homotopy groups $\pi_{i}\left(M^{n}\right)$ vanish for $i=1, \cdots, m$. Then $n$ must be equal to $2 m-1$.

Proof. ${ }^{1)}$ In considering the homotopy groups of $M^{n}$, let $x_{0}$ be their base point, and $S_{0}^{n-m}$ be the fibre over $x_{0}$. Then we get the following exact homotopy sequence
(1.1)
$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(M^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(M^{n}, S_{0}^{n-m}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}\left(S_{0}^{n-m}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}\left(M^{n}\right) \rightarrow \cdots$.
According to the hypothesis on $\pi_{i}\left(M^{n}\right)$ and to the exactness of (1.1), we get
(1.2)

$$
\pi_{i}\left(M^{n}, S_{0}^{n-m}\right) \approx \pi_{i-1}\left(S_{0}^{n-m}\right) \quad(2 \leqq i \leqq m) .
$$

On the other hand, as $M^{n}$ is compact, the covering homotopy theorem holds in this case, and according to the theorem of W. Hurewicz and N.E. Steenrod [2], we get

$$
\pi_{i}\left(M^{n}, S_{0}^{n-m}\right) \approx \pi_{i}\left(S^{m}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\approx \text { infinite cyclic group, for } i=m,  \tag{1.3}\\
\approx 0 \text { for } 2 \leqq i \leqq m-1, \text { if } m \geqq 3 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (1.2) and (1.3), we can easily obtain the required conclusion.
Evidently, this theorem can be extended to the generalized spaces, where the structure of homotopy groups and the dimensionalities are the same as we have quoted above.
2. In this section, we shall consider particularly, the orientable manifold $M^{2 m-1}$, which is an $(m-1)$-sphere bundle over $S^{m}$. In addition, we shall assume that the projection $\pi: M^{2 m-1} \rightarrow S^{m}$ is algebraically inessential.

Let the oriented sphere $S^{m}$ be situated in an ( $m+1$ )-Euclidean space ( $x_{1}, \cdots x_{m+1}$ ) by the equation

$$
x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{m+1}^{2}=1
$$

And we shall separate $S^{m}$ into two hemispheres $E_{1}^{m}, E_{2}^{m}$ respectively,

$$
E_{1}^{m}=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right) \in S^{m} \mid x_{m+1} \geqq 0\right\}, E_{2}^{m}=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right) \in S^{m} \mid x_{m+1} \leqq 0\right\} .
$$

We shall define $S_{0}^{m-1}=E_{1}^{m} \cap E_{2}^{m}, O_{1}=(0, \cdots 0,1), O_{2}=(0, \cdots, 0,-1)$, and orient $S_{0}^{m-1}$ coherently with $E_{1}^{m}$ and conversely with $E_{2}^{m}$.

It is well known [1], that the fibre bundle over an element must be a product bundle. Therefore, there must exist two homeomorphisms $\varphi_{1}$ and

[^0]$\varphi_{2}$ such that:
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi^{-1}\left(E_{1}^{m}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(E_{1}^{m} \times S^{m-1}\right), \pi^{-1}\left(E_{2}^{m}\right)=\varphi_{2}\left(E_{2}^{m} \times S^{m-1}\right) ;  \tag{2.1}\\
& \varphi_{1}\left(E_{1}^{m} \times S^{m-1}\right)\left(\phi_{2}\left(E_{2}^{m} \times S^{m-1}\right)\right.=M^{2 m-1} ; \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(E_{1}^{m} \times S^{m-1}\right) \cap \varphi_{2}\left(E_{2}^{m} \times S^{m-1}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}\right) \\
&=\varphi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}\right)=D .
\end{align*}
$$\right.
\]

Therefore, if we define $\psi=\varphi_{2}^{-1} \varphi_{1}, \psi$ is a homeomorphism from $S_{0}^{m-1} \times S^{n-1}$, the subset of $E_{1}^{m} \times S^{m-1}$, onto $S_{\theta}^{m-1} \times S^{m-1}$, the subset of $E_{2}^{m} \times S^{m-1}$.

We shall subdivide all the spaces considered here into simplicial complexes. Then, without any loss of generality, we can assume that all the mappings defined above are simplicial ones.

Now, let the ( $m-1$ )-dimensional homology basis of the subcomplex $S_{0}^{m-1} \times S^{m-1}$ of $E_{1}^{m} \times S^{n-1}$ be

$$
p_{1} \times S^{m-1}, \quad S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{1} ;
$$

and similarly that of $E_{2}^{m} \times S^{m-1}$ be

$$
p_{2} \times S^{m-1}, \quad S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{2} .
$$

Then we get the following homology relation with suitable integers $a$ and $b$,

$$
\psi\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right) \sim a \bullet p_{2} \times S^{m-1}+b \cdot S_{0}^{m-1} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{2} \quad \text { in } \quad S_{0}^{m-1} \times S^{m-1} .
$$

If we apply $\varphi_{2}$ here, we get
(2.2) $\quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right) \sim a \cdot \varphi_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2} \times S^{m-1}\right)+b \cdot \phi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right)$ in $D$.

We shall take here the cycle $\varphi_{1}\left(O_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)$, which is evidently disjoint with $D$. Therefore we get from (2.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{3 i^{m-1}}\left(\varphi _ { 1 } \left(S_{0}^{m-1}\right.\right. & \left.\left.\times q_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}\left(O_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)\right) \\
& =a \cdot V_{M M^{2 m-1}\left(\varphi_{2}\left(p_{2} \times S^{m-1}\right), \varphi_{1}\left(O_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)\right)}+b \cdot V_{M^{2 m-1}}\left(\varphi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{2}\right), \varphi_{1}\left(O_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

denoting by $V_{M^{2 m-1}}$ the linking coefficient in the manifold $M^{2 m-1}$.
The first term of the right hand side of (2.3) defines evidently a times of the Hopf invariant of the projection, which we shall denote by $c(\pi)$. The second term must vanish from the fact that $\varphi_{2}\left(S_{\theta}^{m-1} \times q_{2}\right)$ bounds $\varphi_{z}\left(E_{2}^{m-1} \times q_{2}\right)$. On the other hand, since $\varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{1}\right)$ bounds in $\varphi_{1}\left(E_{1}^{1 / 2} \times S^{m-}\right)$, we can easily verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{M 1^{2 n-1}}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}\left(O_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)\right) \\
& =V_{\varphi_{1}\left(E_{1}^{m} \times S^{m-1}\right)}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}\left(O_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this fact and from (2.3), we get $c(\pi)= \pm 1$; and re-orienting if necessary, we can omit the negative sign. Therefore, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem $2^{2}$ Let the orientable manifold $M^{2 m-1}$ be an ( $m-1$ )-sphere bunale over $S^{m}$, and let the projection be algebraically inessential, then the

[^1]Hopf invariant of the projection must be unity, by choosing the orientation of $M^{2 m-1}$ suitably.

The following theorem makes clearer the structure of such a sphere bundle.

Theorem 3. Using the notations and assumptions as above, we get the following homologies

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{1}\right)-\varphi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{2}\right) & \sim \pm \varphi_{1}\left(p_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \sim \pm \varphi_{2}\left(p_{2} \times S^{m-1}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \quad n \quad D,
$$

by choosing the orientations of $M^{2 m-1}$ suitably, and by reducing the nonorientable bundles into orientable ones.

Proof. Let $a, b, a^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ be integers such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{1}\right) \sim a \cdot \varphi_{2}\left(p_{2} \times S^{m-1}\right)+b \cdot \varphi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{2}\right) \\
\phi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{2}\right) \sim a^{\prime} \cdot \varphi_{1}\left(p_{1} \times S^{m-1}\right)+b^{\prime} \cdot \varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{m-1} \times q_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \text { in } D .
$$

Then, if we solve these homologies on $a, b, a^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$, the conclusion of the theorem can be easily obtained.
3. An example. We shall consider here the simplest case $m=2$. Let ( $\left.\rho_{1}, \theta_{1}\right),\left(0 \leqq \rho_{1} \leqq 1,0 \leqq \theta_{1} \leqq 2 \pi\right)$ be the polar coordinates in the north hemisphere $E_{1}^{u}$ of $S^{2}$, and $\xi(0 \leqq \xi \leqq 2 \pi)$ be the angle coordinate of a circle $S^{1}$. If we take a point $\left(\rho_{1}, \theta_{1}\right) \in E_{1}^{2}$ and $\xi \in S^{1}$, then the point

$$
\text { (3.1) } \quad\left(\rho_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{1} \pm \xi\right), \rho_{1} \sin \left(\theta_{1} \pm \xi\right) ; \cos ( \pm \xi), \sin ( \pm \xi)\right)
$$

lies in $E_{1}^{\ddot{v}} \times S^{1}$. Conversely, if we take the point ( $x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{1}, u_{2}$ ), ( $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leqq 1$, $u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}=1$ ) in $E_{i}^{v} \times S^{1}$, then the value $\rho_{1}$, satisfying the condition (3.1), is uniquely determined and the angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\xi$ are congruently determined by $\bmod 2 \pi$. Therefore, $(3,1)$ is considered to be a kind of product representation of $E_{1}^{u} \times S^{1}$.

As well as in $E_{1}^{2}$, we shall take in $E_{2}^{2}$ the polar coordinates ( $\rho_{2}, \theta_{2}$ ), and in $S^{1}$ the angle coordinate $\eta$, and represent $E_{2}^{2} \times S^{1}$ as follows :
(3.2) $\quad\left(\rho_{2} \cos \left(\theta_{2} \pm \eta\right), \rho_{2} \sin \left(\theta_{2} \pm \eta\right) ; \cos ( \pm \eta), \sin ( \pm \eta)\right)$.

Next, we shall define two maps $\phi_{i}: E_{i}^{*} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3},(i=1.2)$ by defining. the images of (3.1) and (3.2) by the coordinates

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\rho_{1}^{2}}} \cos \left(\theta_{1} \pm \xi\right), \frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\rho_{1}^{2}}} \sin \left(\theta_{1} \pm \xi\right), \frac{\cos ( \pm \xi)}{\sqrt{1+\rho_{1}^{2}}}, \frac{\sin ( \pm \xi)}{\sqrt{ } 1+\rho_{1}^{2}}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
\left(\frac{\cos ( \pm \eta)}{\sqrt{1+\rho_{2}^{2}}},\right. \\
\sin ( \pm \eta) \\
\sqrt{1+\rho_{2}^{2}},
\end{array} \frac{\rho_{2}}{\sqrt{1+p_{2}^{2}}} \cos \left(\theta_{2} \pm \eta\right), \frac{\rho_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\rho_{2}^{2}}} \sin \left(\theta_{2} \pm \eta\right)\right), ~ l
$$

respectively. If we denote the coordinates of (3.3) as ( $y_{1}, y_{z}, y_{3}, y_{4}$ ), they must satisfy the following relations respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \leqq y_{3}^{2}+y_{4}^{3}, & \Sigma y_{i}^{2}=1 & : H_{1}^{3}  \tag{3.4}\\
y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \geqq y_{3}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}, & \Sigma y_{i}^{2}=1 & : H_{2}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore $H_{1}^{3}$ and $H_{2}^{3}$ are two subsets of $S^{3}$. Here, we can easily verify
that $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are homeorphisms.
Next, in choosing coordinates in $E_{i}^{*}$ as ( $\rho_{i}, \theta_{i}$ ), the coordinates of the point on the common region, namely on the boundary $p \in S_{0}^{1}$ can be chosen to be $(1, \theta)$ or $(1,-\theta)$ according to the cases that $p$ belongs to $E_{1}^{v}$ or to $E_{2}^{u}$ respectively. Therefore, from (3.3) we get by the continuously depending rotations

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(p \times S^{1}\right)=\varphi_{2}\left(p \times S^{1}\right), \quad \text { for every } p \in S_{0}^{1} .
$$

Therefore, $S^{3}$ is an orientable circle bundle over $S^{2}$.
If we take two points $p_{1}=(1,0) \in S_{0}^{1}, \quad q_{1}=(0) \in S^{1}$, the 1-dimensional homology basis of the space $\varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{1} \times S^{1}\right)$ is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}\left(p_{1} \times S^{1}\right):\left(\frac{\cos ( \pm \xi)}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin ( \pm \xi)}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos ( \pm \xi)}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin ( \pm \xi)}{\sqrt{2}}\right),  \tag{3.5}\\
& \varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{1} \times q_{1}\right):\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly that of $\varphi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{1} \times S^{1}\right)$ is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{2}\left(p_{2} \times S^{1}\right):\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{\cos ( \pm \eta)}{\sqrt{2}}, & \frac{\sin ( \pm \eta)}{\sqrt{2}}, & \frac{\cos ( \pm \eta)}{\sqrt{2}}, & \left.\frac{\sin ( \pm \eta)}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \\
\varphi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{1} \times q_{2}\right):\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 \\
\sqrt{2} & 0, \\
\frac{\cos \theta_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}, & \left.\frac{\sin \theta_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{array} .=\begin{array}{l}
\end{array},\right. \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

And then we obtain easily

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{1} \times q_{1}\right)-\varphi_{2}\left(S_{0}^{1} \times q_{2}\right) & \sim \pm \varphi_{1}\left(p_{1} \times S^{1}\right) \text { in } D \\
& \sim \pm \varphi_{2}\left(p_{2} \times S^{1}\right) \text { in } D .
\end{aligned}
$$

These are the conclusions of Theorem 3.
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[^0]:    1) Prof. K. Aoki suggested me the proof of this theorem.
[^1]:    2) Prof. T. Kudo showed me another proof for this theorem, where the base sphere is, instead of being separated into two hemispheres, regarded as an element with the boundary pinched to a point.
