

ABSOLUTE REGULARITY FOR CONVERGENT INTEGRALS

GGEN-ICHIRO SUNOUCHI AND TAMOTSU TSUCHIKURA

(Received April 16, 1952)

The necessary and sufficient condition of absolute regularity for any sequence-to-sequence transformation was given by Knopp-Lorentz [2] and one of the present authors [4] independently. On the other hand, for any function-to-function transformation Knopp-Lorentz stated sufficient conditions for absolute regularity, but they did not prove the necessity. The object of this note is to prove this.

THEOREM 1. *In order that for any $a(t) \in L(0, \infty)$ the transformation*

$$\alpha(x) = \int_0^{\infty} b(x, t) a(t) dt$$

is defined and $b(x) \in L(0, \infty)$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\text{ess. sup}_{(t \leq t < \infty)} \int_0^{\infty} |b(x, t)| dx \leq M,$$

where M is an absolute constant.

THE FIRST PROOF. The method of this proof is analogous to the previous paper of Sunouchi [4]. We prove only the necessity, since the sufficiency is evident.

The transformation

$$\int_0^{\infty} b(x, t) a(t) dt$$

is an additive and homogeneous operation from $L(0, \infty)$ into itself. Put

$$U(a) = \int_0^{\infty} b(x, t) a(t) dt$$

and

$$U(a) = p(a),$$

where the generic elements $a(\cdot)$ and $U(\cdot) \in L(0, \infty)$ and the norm is in the L -sense.

Then, since

$$p(a) = \int_0^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{\infty} b(x, t) a(t) dt \right| dx,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{\|a_n - a\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty b(x, t) a_n(t) dt \right| dx \\
& \geq \int_0^\infty \liminf_{\|a_n - a\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_0^\infty b(x, t) a_n(t) dt \right| dx \\
& \geq \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty b(x, t) a(t) dt \right| dx,
\end{aligned}$$

by Fatou's lemma. That is, $p(x)$ is lower semi-continuous, so $p(x)$ is continuous from Gelfand's lemma [1]. Thus $U(a)$ is a linear bounded transformation from $L(0, \infty)$ into $L(0, \infty)$.

On the other hand the most general linear bounded transformation of $L(0, \infty)$ into itself is well known, for example see Phillips [3].

His general form is

$$U(a) = (\mathfrak{P}) \int_0^\infty a(t) d\dot{x}$$

where (\mathfrak{P}) is the integral of Phillips' sense and

$$\dot{x} \in V^\infty(x),$$

$V^\infty(x)$ is the class of $L(0, \infty)$ -valued abstract additive set functions $x(\tau)$, and

$$V^\infty(x) \equiv [x(\tau) \mid \|x(\tau)\| \leq M \mid \tau \mid, \mid \tau \mid < \infty].$$

So, in our case we can write

$$U(a) = \int_0^\infty b(x, t) a(t) dt = \int a(t) d_\tau B(x, \tau),$$

where

$$B(x, \tau) = \int_\tau^\infty b(x, t) dt$$

for any measurable set τ .

Consequently, if we denote by \mathfrak{M} the class of all measurable sets with finite measure, then we have

$$\text{l. u. b.}_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}} \frac{\|x(\tau)\|}{\mid \tau \mid} = \text{l. u. b.}_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}} \frac{\int_0^\infty \mid B(x, \tau) \mid dx}{\mid \tau \mid} \leq M,$$

that is,

$$\text{l. u. b.}_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}} \frac{1}{\mid \tau \mid} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_\tau^\infty b(x, t) dt \right| dx \leq M.$$

Letting $\mid \tau \mid \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|\tau|} \int_{\tau} b(x, t) dt \rightarrow b(x, t) \quad \text{p. p. in } t,$$

and so

$$\text{l. u. b. } \int_0^{\infty} dx |b(x, t)| \leq M, \quad \text{p. p. in } t.$$

THE SECOND PROOF OF NECESSITY. The continuity of the functional $p(a)$ is deduced as in the preceding proof. Then we can find two positive numbers δ and N such that $p(a) < N$ for every $a(t)$, if

$$\int_0^{\infty} |a(t)| dt < \delta$$

is satisfied. For any fixed x , let E_x be the Lebesgue set in t of the function $b(x, t)$, clearly its complement CE_x is a null set. Hence, by the Fubini theorem, almost all t are the Lebesgue points of $b(x, t)$ in t for almost all x . We denote such set of t -points by T , then $|CT| = 0$. For any $\tau \in T$, put

$$a_h(t) = \begin{cases} \delta/h & \text{if } t \in (\tau, \tau + h), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\int_0^{\infty} |a_h(t)| dt \leq \delta$, we get by the above fact

$$N > p(a_h(t)) = \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\delta}{h} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+h} b(x, t) dt \right| dx$$

or

$$\frac{N}{\delta} > \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+h} b(x, t) dt \right| dx.$$

Let $h \rightarrow 0$ and take the lower limit in each side, then we have

$$\frac{N}{\delta} > \int_0^{\infty} \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+h} b(x, t) dt \right| dx = \int_0^{\infty} |b(x, \tau)| dx,$$

As $|CT| = 0$, this proves the necessity with $M = N/\delta$.

THEOREM 2. In order that for any $s(t) \in BV(0, \infty)$, the transformation

$$\alpha(x) = \int_0^{\infty} b(x, t) ds(t)$$

is defined and $\alpha(x) \in L(0, \infty)$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\text{ess. sup}_{0 \leq t < \infty} \int_0^{\infty} |b(x, t)| dx \leq M.$$

PROOF. If we fix an x , then $b(x, t)$ is continuous for t . Especially we assume that $s(t)$ is absolutely continuous and its derivative is denoted by $a(t)$, then

$$\alpha(x) = \int_0^\infty b(x, t) ds(t) = \int_0^\infty b(x, t) a(t) dt.$$

So, by Theorem 1, we get

$$\text{ess. sup}_{0 \leq t < \infty} \int_0^\infty |b(x, t)| dx \leq M.$$

The sufficiency is evident.

LITERATURE

- [1] I. GELFAND, Abstrakte Funktionen und lineare Operatoren, Recueil Math., 4(1938), 235-284.
- [2] K. KNOPP UND G. G. LORENTZ, Beiträge zur absoluten Limitierung, Archiv der Math., 2(1949), 10-16.
- [3] R. S. PHILLIPS, On linear transformations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 48(1940), 516-541.
- [4] G. SUNOUCHI, Absolute summability of series with constant terms, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 1(1949), 57-65.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI.