## ABSOLUTE CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF ORTHOGONAL SERIES II (CORRECTION AND REMARK TO THE PREVIOUS PAPER)

## TAMOTSU TSUCHIKURA

(Received January 8, 1954)

Introduction. In the previous paper [8] we proved a theorem on absolute Cesàro summability at a point for the Fourier series of functions in  $L^{p}(0, 2\pi)$  and stated that the summability |C, r| (r > 1/p) is of local property for the class  $L^{p}(p > 1)$ ; but this result is incorrect for p > 2.<sup>1)</sup> Indeed the summability  $|C, \frac{1}{2}|$  is not of local property even for the class of continuous functions; this fact will be shown here in §2. In §1 we shall give an improvement of the summability theorem.

On the other hand, as Bosanquet-Kestelman and Yano have shown [3; 9], the summability |C, 1/p|  $(1 \le p < 2)$  is not of local property for the class  $L^p$ ; we shall give a proof of this by a counter example in §3.

1. The summability theorem of the author [8; Theorem 5] will be improved as follows:

THEOREM 1. Let  $1 and let <math>f(t) \in L^p(0, 2\pi)$ . If the integral

(1) 
$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|^{p}}{t} \left| \log \frac{1}{t} \right|^{\alpha} dt$$

is convergent for some  $\alpha > p - 1$ , where

 $\mathscr{P}_x(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x),$ 

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable  $|C, \delta|$  for every  $\delta > 1/p$  at t = x.

We obtain this result replacing the condition (1) by the stronger condition:

(2) 
$$\frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t}|\mathcal{P}_{x}(u)|^{p}du=O\left(\left|\log\frac{1}{t}\right|^{-p-\epsilon}\right) \quad (\varepsilon>0) \text{ as } t\to 0,$$

from which it follows easily the convergence of the integral (1).

LEMMA 1. (i) The Cesàro kernel of order r, -1 < r < 1, is written as  $K_n^r(t) = G_n^r(t) + H_n^r(t),$ 

where

$$G_n^r(t) = \frac{\sin\left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{2}\right)t - \frac{r}{2}\pi\right]}{A_n^r \left(2\sin\frac{t}{2}\right)^{1+r}},$$

<sup>1)</sup> Throughout the Part II of the previous paper [8], the condition "p>1" should be replaced by the condition "1 ."

 $|K_n^r(t)| \leq Cn \text{ and } |H_n^r(t)| \leq Cn^{-1}t^{-2},$ 

C being a positive constant.<sup>2)</sup>

(ii) If 0 < r < 1, we have

 $|K_n^{r}(t)| \leq Cn^{-r}t^{-1-r}$  for  $\pi/n \leq t \leq \pi$ .

The first part of the lemma is due to Kogbetlianz (Cf. Hardy-Littlewood [4] or Zygmund [10], p. 212), and the second is well known (Cf. Zygmund [10], p. 48).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Denote by  $\sigma_n^{\delta}(t)$  the *n*-th  $(C, \delta)$  mean of the Fourier series of f(t). Since we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^{\delta}(x) - \sigma_{n-1}^{\delta}(x)| = \delta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_n^{\delta-1}(x) - \sigma_n^{\delta}(x)|}{n}$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_n^{\delta-1}(x) - f(x)|}{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_n^{\delta}(x) - f(x)|}{n}$$
$$= S_1 + S_2 \text{ say,}$$

it is sufficient to prove the finiteness of the sums  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  for  $\delta$  such as  $1/p < \delta < 1$ .

For the sum  $S_2$  we have

$$\pi S_2 = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_n(x) - f(x)|}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) K_{\alpha}^{\delta}(t) dt \right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\pi/n} |\varphi_x(t) K_{\alpha}^{\delta}(t)| dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} |\varphi_x(t) K_{\alpha}^{\delta}(t)| dt$$
$$= A + B \text{ say.}$$

By Lemma 1 (ii) we get

$$A \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{\pi/n} n |\mathcal{P}_{x}(t)| dt = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} |\mathcal{P}_{x}(t)| dt$$
$$= C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} |\mathcal{P}_{x}(t)| dt$$
$$\leq C_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{x}(t)|}{t} dt$$
$$= C_{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{x}(t)|}{t} dt ;$$

<sup>2)</sup> In what follows  $C, C_1, C_2, \dots$  are positive constants independent of the variables.

$$\begin{split} B &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} |\varphi_x(t)| \frac{dt}{n^{\delta} t^{1+\delta}} \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t^{1+\delta}} dt \\ &\leq C_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t^{1+\delta}} dt < C_3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t} dt \\ &= C_3 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t} dt. \end{split}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality we have easily

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|}{t} dt \leq \left(\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{t \left|\log \frac{1}{t}\right|^{\alpha/(\nu-1)}}\right)^{1-1/\nu} \left(\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|^{\nu}}{t} \left|\log \frac{1}{t}\right|^{\alpha} dt\right)^{1/\nu};$$

the first factor on the right-hand side is finite as  $\alpha > p-1$  and so is the second by the assumption. Thus we get at once:  $S_2 < \infty$ .

Now we estimate the sum  $S_i$ . We divide it into two sums:

$$\pi S_{1} = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_{n}^{\delta-1}(x) - f(x)|}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_{0}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) K_{n}^{\delta-1}(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{0}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) K_{k}^{\delta-1}(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{0}^{\pi/2^{n}} \right| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \right| = P + Q \text{ say.}$$

By Lemma 1 (i) we have

$$P \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2n}^{2^{n+1}-1} Ck \int_0^{\pi/2^n} |\varphi_x(t)| dt$$
  
$$\leq 2C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \int_0^{\pi/2^n} |\varphi_x(t)| dt$$
  
$$= 2C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^m} |\varphi_x(t)| dt$$
  
$$\leq 4C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^m \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^m} |\varphi_x(t)| dt \leq C_3 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^m} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t} dt$$
  
$$= C_3 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t} dt$$

304

which is finite as in the former case. The sum Q will be further divided into two sums :

$$Q \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{\pi/2^n}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) G_k^{\delta-1}(t) dt \right| \\ + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{\pi/2^n}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) H_k^{\delta-1}(t) dt \right| \\ = Q_1 + Q_2 \text{ say.}$$

By the Hölder inequality, if we put q = p/(p-1),

$$Q_{1} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n/p}}{2^{n}} \left( \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) \frac{\cos\left\{ \left(k + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)t - \frac{\delta}{2}\pi\right\}}{A^{\delta-1}_{k} \left(2\sin\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\delta}} dt \right|^{q} \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq C_{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n(\delta-1/p)}} \left( \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \frac{\varphi_{x}(t)\cos\left(\frac{\delta}{2}t - \frac{\delta}{2}\pi\right)}{\left(2\sin\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\delta}} \cos kt \, dt \right|^{q} \right)^{1/q}$$

$$+ C_{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n(\delta-1/p)}} \left( \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \left| \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \frac{\varphi_{x}(t)\sin\left(\frac{\delta}{2}t - \frac{\delta}{2}\pi\right)}{\left(2\sin\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\delta}} \sin kt \, dt \right|^{q} \right)^{1/q}$$

Applying the Hausdorff-Young inequality (Cf. [10], p. 190) we get easily

$$Q_{1} \leq 2C_{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n(\delta-1/p)}} \left( \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\varphi_{x}(t)}{\left(2\sin\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\delta}} \right|^{p} dt \right)^{1/p} \\ \leq C_{5} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n(\delta-1/p)}} - \left( \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|^{p}}{t^{\delta_{p}}} dt \right)^{1/p}$$

By the Hölder inequality, if  $\alpha > p - 1$  we have

$$Q_{1} \leq C_{5} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\alpha/(p-1)}}\right)^{1-1/p} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^{\alpha}}{2^{n(\delta p-1)}} \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|^{p}}{t^{\delta p}} dt\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C_{6} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^{\alpha}}{2^{n(\delta p-1)}} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^{m}} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|^{p}}{t^{\delta p}} dt\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C_{7} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)^{\alpha}}{2^{m(\delta^{p-1})}} \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^{m}} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|^{p}}{t^{\delta p}} dt\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C_{8} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^{m}} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|^{p}}{t^{\delta p}} t^{\delta p-1} \left| \log \frac{1}{t} \right|^{\alpha} dt\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C_8 \left( \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t} \left| \log \frac{1}{t} \right|^{\omega} dt \right)^{1/p}$$

which is finite by the assumption. Finally, by Lemma 1 (i) we get

$$\begin{split} Q_{2} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} |\varphi_{x}(t)| \frac{C}{kt^{2}} dt \\ &\leq C_{9} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{\pi/2^{n}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|}{t^{2}} dt \\ &= C_{9} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^{m}} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|}{t^{2}} dt \\ &\leq C_{9} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{\pi/2^{m+1}}^{\pi/2^{m}} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|}{t^{2}} dt \\ &\leq C_{10} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t)|}{t} dt, \end{split}$$

which is also finite.

Combining the above estimations we complete the proof.

2. The theorem just proved is not true for p > 2, and indeed the summability  $|C, \delta|$   $\left(\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\right)$  of the Fourier series is not decided by the local behaviour of the function in the neighbourhood of an assigned point, More precisely we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. There exists a continuous function of period  $2\pi$ , which vanishes in the interval  $\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$  and whose Fourier series is not summable

 $C, \frac{1}{2}$  at the origin.

For the proof we shall use the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\{r_i(u)\}$  be the Rademacher system. For a given set  $E \subset (0, 1)$ , |E| > 0, there correspond a positive integer N = N(E) and a positive constant A = A(E) such that for any sequence of real numbers  $\{a_i\}$  and for any integer P > N we have

$$\int_{E} \left| \sum_{i=N}^{P} a_{i} r_{i}(u) \right| du \geq A \left( \sum_{i=N}^{P} a_{i}^{2} \right)^{1/2};$$

further, if  $\sum a_i^2 < \infty$ , then P may be infinite.

This lemma is essentially known (Cf. [8], Lemma 1).

LEMMA 3. If  $\sum a_n^2 (\log n)^{1+\epsilon} < \infty$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ), then for almost all u the series

306

(3) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n(u) a_n \cos nt$$

is a Fourier series of a continuous function. This is due to Paley and Zygmund [7]. (Cf. [10]. p. 127) PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let us put

(4) 
$$f_u(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{r_n(u)}{n^{1/2} (\log n)^{3/2}} \cos 2nt \qquad \text{for } \frac{\pi}{2} < x \le \pi,$$
$$= 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{\pi}{2},$$

 $f_u(t) = f_u(-t)$  and  $f_u(t+2\pi) = f_u(t)$  for all  $t, -\infty < t < \infty$ . We shall first prove that the Fourier series of the function  $f_u(t)$  is non-summable  $\left| C, \frac{1}{2} \right|$  at t = 0 for almost all u. To show this we consider the series

(5) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sigma_{n+1}^{1/2}(0, u) - \sigma_{n}^{1/2}(0, u) \right|$$

where  $\sigma_n^{1/2}(t, u)$  is the *n*-th  $\left(C, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  mean of the Fourier series of  $f_u(t)$ .

Suppose, on the contrary, that the Fourier series of  $f_u(t)$  is summable  $\left|C, \frac{1}{2}\right|$  at t = 0 for all u in a set  $E \subset (0, 1)$  of positive measure, that is, the series (5) is convergent for  $u \in E$ . We can suppose that the sum (5) is majorated uniformly by a constant M for every  $u \in E$ . Then using the well known formula we have

(6)

## T. TSUCHIKURA

$$= C_{11}S - C_{11}T$$

say, where N is an integer determined in Lemma 2. From the Khintchine inequality the second sum T in the last expression is majorated by

 $= T_1 + T_2$  say.

The third sum in the expression  $T_1$  is, in absolute value, not greater than

$$\frac{(2m-1)^2}{(2m-1)^2 - (2m)^2} \sum_{j=\nu}^m \frac{(-1)^j}{(n-2j+2)^{1/2}} \\ + \left| \frac{(2m+1)^2}{(2m+1)^2 - (2m)^2} \sum_{j=m+1}^\mu \frac{(-1)^j}{(n-2j+2)^{1/2}} \right|$$

where  $1 \leq \nu \leq m$ ,  $m+1 \leq \mu \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  in virtue of the mean value theorem. As  $1 \leq m < N$  this expression is again majorated by a constant depending only on N. Hence, as we see easily, the sum  $T_1$  is not greater than a constant C(N). For the sum  $T_2$  we obtain

$$T_{2} \leq \sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{N-1} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^{3}} \left( \frac{m\pi}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

which is clearly less than a constant  $C_1(N)$ . Therefore we have from (7) (8)  $T \leq T_1 + T_2 \leq C(N) + C_1(N) < \infty$ .

On the other hand for the sum S, using Lemma 2, we get easily

$$S \ge A \sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^3} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k}{(n-k+1)^{1/2}} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \cos kt \cos 2mt \, dt \right\}^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

$$(9) \ge A \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=N}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^3} \left\{ \frac{2m}{(n-2m+1)} \frac{\pi}{4} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{m-j}}{(n-2j+2)^{1/2}} \frac{(2j-1)^2}{(2m)^2 - (2j-1)^2} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{j-m-1}}{(n-2j+2)^{1/2}} \frac{(2j-1)^2}{(2j-1)^2 - (2m)^2} \right\}^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

Among the three terms in the last curly bracket, the second, say, S' is positive because its summand is an increasing function of j in absolute value and its *m*-th term is positive. We consider the third term:

(10) 
$$\sum_{j=m+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{j-m-1}}{(n-2j+2)^{1/2}} \frac{(2j-1)^2}{(2j-1)^2 - (2m)^2} \cdot = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{j-m-1} a_j(m,n)$$

say. By elementary consideration we shall see that there exists an integer  $\nu, m < \nu \leq [n/2]$  such that, when *j* varies from m+1 to  $[n/2], a_j(n, m)$  decreases for  $m < j \leq \nu$  and increases for  $\nu < j \leq [n/2]$ . Hence (10) can be written as

$$\sum_{j=m+1}^{r} (-1)^{j-m-1} a_j(m,n) + \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{[n/2]} (-1)^{j-m-1} a_j(n,m) = S'' + S'''$$

say; the first sum S'' is positive since its first term is positive; and we get easily

(11) 
$$|S'''| \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - (2m)^2}$$

Therefore we deduce from (9) that

$$(12) \quad S \ge A \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=N}^{[n/2]-1} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^3} \left\{ \frac{m\pi}{2(n-2m+1)^{1/2}} + S' + S'' + S''' \right\}^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ \ge \frac{\pi}{2} A \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=N}^{[n/2]-1} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^3} \left\{ \frac{m}{(n-2m+1)^{1/2}} + S' + S'' \right\}^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ - A \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=N}^{[n/2]-1} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^3} S'''^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ = \frac{\pi}{2} A S_1 - A S_2$$

say. From (11) we have

$$(13) S_{2} \leq \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=N}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^{3}} \left( \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{(n-1)^{2} - (2m)^{2}} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/2} \\ \leq \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=N}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^{3}(n-2m-1)^{2}} \right]^{1/2} \\ \leq \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{1}{(n-n/2-1)^{2}} \sum_{m=N}^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor} \frac{1}{m(\log m)^{3}} \\ + \frac{1}{n(\log n/4)^{3}} \sum_{m=\lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{(n-2m-1)^{2}} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C_{12}\sum_{n=4N}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n(\log n)^{3/2}}<\infty.$$

Since S' and S'' are positive we get

(14) 
$$S_{1} \ge \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \sum_{m=\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \frac{m}{(\log m)^{3}(n - 2m + 1)} \right]^{1/2}$$
$$\ge C_{13} \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left[ \frac{n}{(\log n)^{3}} \sum_{m=\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{(n - 2m + 1)} \right]^{1/2}$$
$$\ge C_{14} \sum_{n=4N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log n} = \infty.$$

Hence from (12), (13) and (14) we obtain  $S = \infty$ , and from the estimation (8) we get easily a contradiction with the inequality (6). Therefore we conclude that the series (5) diverges for almost all u, that is the Fourier series of  $f_u(t)$  is non-summable  $\left|C, \frac{1}{2}\right|$  at t = 0 for almost all u.

From the definition of  $f_u(t)$ , it is continuous in the closed interval  $\left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \end{array}, \pi\right]$  for almost all u as we see by Lemma 3. Consequently we can choose a u, say  $u_0$ , such that the function  $f_{u_0}(t)$  is continuous everywhere except perhaps at  $t = \frac{\pi}{2} \pmod{\pi}$  and its Fourier series is not summable  $\left|C, \frac{1}{2}\right|$  at t = 0. Since the function  $f_{u_0}(t)$  may have a discontinuity of finite jump at  $t = \frac{\pi}{2} \pmod{\pi}$ , we add it a suitable even function l(t) of period  $2\pi$  which vanishes for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$  and for  $\frac{\pi}{2} < t \leq \pi$  and is linear for  $\frac{\pi}{4} < t < \frac{\pi}{2}$  with a resulting continuous function:

$$g(t) = f_{u_0}(t) + l(t).$$

The function l(t) being of bounded variation its Fourier series is summable  $|C, \delta|$  for every  $\delta > 0$  at t = 0 in virtue of the Bosanquet theorem [1]. Therefore by the above result for  $f_{u_0}(t)$  the Fourier series of g(t) is not summable  $|C, \frac{1}{2}|$  at t = 0. Obviously g(t) = 0 for  $-\frac{\pi}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$  and we complete the proof.

3. The summability  $|C, \delta|$  is of local property for the class  $L^p$  if  $\delta > 1/p$  and  $1 \le p \le 2$ . The case p = 1 is due to Basanquet [2] and the case 1 is a consequence of the Theorem 1. But the summability <math>|C, 1/p| is not of local property for the class  $L^p$   $(1 \le p < 2)$ . This fact was already proved by Basanquet and Kestelman [3] for p = 1 and by Yano [9] for p > 1. We shall show this by a simple counter examples.

310

THEOREM 3. For  $1 \leq p < 2$ , there exists a function  $\in L^{\nu}$  of period  $2\pi$ which vanishes in the interval  $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and whose Fourier series is not summable |C, 1/p| at the origin.

LEMMA 3. If a series  $\Sigma c_n$  is summable  $|C, \delta|$  ( $\delta > 0$ ), then the series  $\Sigma |c_n|/n^{\delta}$  is convergent.

This is due to Kogbetliantz [6].

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. We suppose first that 1 . Let us consider the series

(8) 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^{1-1/p} \log n}$$

which is the Fourier series of a function  $\in L^{p}$  (Zygmund [10], 9, 501, p. 212). Let us define:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2nx}{n^{1-1/p} \log n} \qquad \text{for } \frac{\pi}{2} < x \le \pi,$$
$$= 0 \qquad \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{\pi}{2},$$

f(x) = f(-x) and  $f(x + 2\pi) = f(x)$ . Obviously  $f(x) \in L^{\nu}(0, 2\pi)$ . We shall prove that its Fourier series is not summable |C, 1/p| at x = 0. Denote by  $a_k$  the k-th Fourier coefficient of f(x). We have

$$a_{2k} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \cos 2kx \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2nx}{n^{1-1/p} \log n} dx$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{-2k^{1-1/p} \log k}$ ,  $(k = 2, 3, ...)$ 

since the termwise integration is permitted by the well known theorem (Cf. [10], p. 91). Therefore we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{k^{1/p}} \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{2k}|}{(2k)^{1/p}} = \frac{1}{2^{1+1/p}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log k} = \infty.$$

and it fails the necessary condition for the summability |C, 1/p| at the origin by Lemma 3.

In the case p = 1 we consider instead of (8) the following series:

(9) 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{\log n},$$

which is a Fourier series of an integrable function ([10], p. 109). Constructing an analogous function using (9), we can easily obtain a required example.

## References

- [1] BOSANQUET, L.S., Note on the absolute summability (C) of a Fourier series, Journ. London Math. Soc., 11 (1936) p. 11-15.
- [2] BOSANQUET, L. S., The absolute Cesàro summability of Fourier series, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) 41 (1936) p. 517-518.
- [3] BOSANQUET, L.S. and H. KESTELMAN, The absolute convergence of series of integrals, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) 45 (1939) p. 88-97.
- [4] HARDY, G. H. AND J. E LITTLEWOOD, On Young's convergence criterion for Fourier series, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) 28 (1928) p. 301-311
- [5] KOGBETLIANTZ, E., Analogies entre les séries trigonométriques et les séries sphériques, Ann. de l'Ecole Norm. Sup., 40 (1923) p. 259-323. [6] KOGBETIANTZ, E., Sur iles séries absolument sommables par la méthode des
- moyennes arithmétiques, Bull. des Sci. Math., (2) (1925) p. 234-256.
- [7] PALEY, R. E. A. C. and A. ZYGMUND, On some series of functions (1), Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc., 26 (1930) p. 337-357.
- [8] TSUCHIKURA, T., Absolute Cesàro summability of orthogonal series. Tôhoku Math. Journ., 5(1953) p. 52-66.
- [9] YANO, S., A remark on absolute Cesàro summability of Furier series, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 5(1953)p. 194-195.
- [10] ZYGMUND, A., Trigonometrical Series, Warszawa-Lwow, 1935.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI.