

ON THE STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

SHIGERU TSURUMI

(Received September 12, 1955)

1. The purpose of this paper is to state an extension of Kolmogorov's theorem [3] which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of the strong law of large numbers for a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables.

We consider the probability space (\mathbf{X}, P) such that \mathbf{X} is a space whose points are denoted by t and P is a probability measure. Then our extension is stated as follows.

THEOREM. *Let $\{X_n(t)\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables satisfying that*

(1.1) *there exists a positive constant K such that, for any positive integer m and for any extended real numbers¹⁾ $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_m, b_m$,*

$$\limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P\{t; a_1 \leq X_{1+i}(t) < b_1, \dots, a_m \leq X_{m+i}(t) < b_m\} \leq K \cdot P\{t; a_1 \leq X_1(t) < b_1, \dots, a_m \leq X_m(t) < b_m\}.$$

Then the following (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent.

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{|X_n(t)| \in A_n} |X_n(t)| dP < \infty$$

for some Borel sets A_1, A_2, \dots satisfying

$$A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \quad (i \neq j), \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = [0, \infty),$$

where some of A_n 's may be empty.

$$(1.3) \quad P\left\{t; \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(t) = c\right\} = 1$$

for some constant c .

The proof appears in § 2.

If $\{X_n(t)\}$ is identically distributed, (1.1) holds trivially and the sum in (1.2) is equal to the first absolute moment $E(|X_1|)$ common for all X_n 's, so that the theorem is reduced to Kolmogorov's.

2. To prove the theorem we need a lemma. Before stating this we must prepare several definitions and notations.

1) By an extended real number we mean either a usual real number or one of the symbols $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. In what follows we make the convention that when $a = -\infty$, " $a \leq$ " is replaced by " $a <$ ".

Let Ω be an infinite product space of real lines Ω_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), that is, Ω be the set consists of all infinite sequences $\omega = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ with $x_n \in \Omega_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). If we put for any positive integer m and for any extended real numbers a_i, b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$)

$$\Lambda = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots); a_1 \leq x_1 < b_1, \dots, a_m \leq x_m < b_m\},$$

then Λ is a subset of Ω . Let \mathfrak{C} be the class consists of all sets of the form above, \mathfrak{B}_0 be the field consists of all finite unions of sets in \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{B} be the Borel field generated by \mathfrak{B}_0 . Further let T be the shift transformation of Ω onto itself defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \Omega$. Let \mathfrak{M} be the class consists of all sets Λ 's satisfying $T^{-1}\Lambda = \Lambda$, $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Then we have

LEMMA. ²⁾ Let α be a probability measure on \mathfrak{B} satisfying that
(2.1) there exists a positive constant K such that, for every $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}_0$,

$$\limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(T^{-i}\Lambda) \leq K \cdot \alpha(\Lambda).$$

Then there exists at least one probability measure γ on \mathfrak{B} such that

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma(\Lambda) \leq K \cdot \alpha(\Lambda) \quad \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{B},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \gamma(T^{-1}\Lambda) = \gamma(\Lambda) \quad \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{B},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma(\Lambda) = \alpha(\Lambda) \quad \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{M},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma(\Lambda) \geq \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha(T^{-i}\Lambda) \quad \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}_0.$$

Further with respect to such a measure γ it holds that, for every γ -integrable function $f(\omega)$, $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i\omega)$ exists and is finite for α -almost every ω .

PROOF. With respect to the constant K in (2.1) we put

$$\mathfrak{F} = \left\{ \Lambda; \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(T^{-i}\Lambda) \leq K \cdot \alpha(\Lambda), \Lambda \in \mathfrak{B} \right\}.$$

We have clearly $\mathfrak{B}_0 \cup \mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{F}$. Since $\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(T^{-i}\Lambda) \right\}$ is a bounded sequence for every $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}$, we can put

$$\beta(\Lambda) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(T^{-i}\Lambda)$$

for every $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$, where \lim_n denotes the Mazur-Banach limit [1: pp.33-34].

Then by virtue of the properties of the Mazur-Banach limit we have that
(2.6) β is non-negative, finitely additive on \mathfrak{F} ,

2) This lemma is reminiscent of C. Ryll-Nardzewski's result ([4], [5]) and shown essentially in the proof of Theorem 2 in [6] under the stronger assumption that the inequality in (2.1) holds for every set in a certain class wider than \mathfrak{B}_0 .

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \beta(\Lambda) \leq K \cdot \alpha(\Lambda) && \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}, \\
(2.8) \quad & \beta(T^{-1}\Lambda) = \beta(\Lambda) && \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}, \\
(2.9) \quad & \beta(\Lambda) = \alpha(\Lambda) && \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{M}, \\
(2.10) \quad & \beta(\Lambda) \geq \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(T^{-i}\Lambda) && \text{for every } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.6) and (2.7), β is non-negative, countably additive on $\mathfrak{F} \supset \mathfrak{B}_0$, so that there exists an unique extension γ such that γ is a probability measure on \mathfrak{B} and $\gamma(\Lambda) = \beta(\Lambda)$ for every $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then from (2.9) and (2.10) it follows that γ satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). If we now put $\mathfrak{G} = \{\Lambda; \gamma(T^{-1}\Lambda) = \gamma(\Lambda), \Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}\}$, it follows from (2.8) that $\mathfrak{B}_0 \subset \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{G}$. Let $\{\Lambda_n\}$ be any monotone sequence of sets in \mathfrak{G} . Then $\gamma(T^{-1}\Lambda_n) = \gamma(\Lambda_n)$ for every n and hence $\gamma(T^{-1}(\lim_n \Lambda_n)) = \gamma(\lim_n \Lambda_n)$, so that $\lim_n \Lambda_n \in \mathfrak{G}$. By the monotone class theorem (see, for example [2: p.599, Theorem 1.2]) it follows that $\mathfrak{G} \supset (\text{Minimal Borel extension of } \mathfrak{B}_0) = \mathfrak{B}$. This concludes that γ satisfies (2.3). It follows similarly by (2.7) that γ satisfies (2.2). Thus the first assertion is proved.

Let $f(\omega)$ now be any γ -integrable function. Then upon applying the individual ergodic theorem by virtue of (2.3) we deduce that $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i\omega)$ exists and is finite for γ -almost every ω . Since the set of all points at each of which the limit above does not exist belongs to \mathfrak{M} , we obtain the second assertion by virtue of (2.4). Thus the proof of the lemma is terminated.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We define Ω , \mathfrak{G} , \mathfrak{B}_0 and \mathfrak{B} as in the preceding and further define a transformation φ of \mathbf{X} into Ω by

$$\varphi t = (X_1(t), X_2(t), \dots)$$

for every $t \in \mathbf{X}$. Let us now put

$$\alpha(\Lambda) = P(\varphi^{-1}\Lambda)$$

for every $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}_0$. Then α is a probability measure on \mathfrak{B} . By the assumption (1.1) α satisfies that the inequality in (2.1) of the lemma holds for every $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{G}$, while every set in \mathfrak{B}_0 can be described as a finite union of disjoint sets in \mathfrak{G} , so that (2.1) holds. Thus by the lemma there exists a probability measure γ on \mathfrak{B} satisfying (2.2)~(2.5).

For each positive integer n , let $x_n(\omega)$ be the coordinate function defined by

$$x_n(\omega) = x_n$$

for every $\omega = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \Omega$. Then it is easy to see that if, for an arbitrary but fixed positive integer m , Φ is any Borel function in m -dimensional space, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad & \alpha\{\omega, a \leq \Phi(x_1(\omega), \dots, x_m(\omega)) < b\} \\
& = P\{t; a \leq \Phi(X_1(t), \dots, X_m(t)) < b\}
\end{aligned}$$

for any extended real numbers a, b (cf. [2: pp.12-15]).

We are now in a position to prove the implication: (1.2) \rightarrow (1.3). Let us put $\Lambda_n = \{\omega; |x_n(\omega)| \in A_n\}$ for each A_n of (1.2). Since $x_n(\omega) = x_1(T^{n-1}\omega)$ for every n and $\omega \in \Omega$, it holds that

$$T^{i-1}\Lambda_i \cap T^{j-1}\Lambda_j = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{n-1}\Lambda_n = \Omega.$$

By (2.3), (2.2), (2.11) and (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |x_1(\omega)| d\gamma &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{T^{n-1}\Lambda_n} |x_1(\omega)| d\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Lambda_n} |x_n(\omega)| d\gamma \\ &\leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Lambda_n} |x_n(\omega)| d\alpha = K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{|X_n(t)| \in A_n} |X_n(t)| dP < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that $x_1(\omega)$ is γ -integrable. Since $x_i(\omega) = x_1(T^{i-1}\omega)$ for every i and $\omega \in \Omega$,

by the lemma it holds that $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(\omega)$ exists and is finite for α -almost

every ω . By (2.11) it follows that $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(t)$ exists and is finite with probability 1. Since $\{X_n(t)\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables, we obtain (1.3) by virtue of the zero-one law.

Next we shall prove the implication: (1.3) \rightarrow (1.2). For each positive integer m , we put $\Lambda_m = \{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_1(\omega)| < m\}$, $\Gamma_0 = \Omega$, $\Gamma_m = \{\omega; m \leq |x_m(\omega)|\}$ and $C_m = \{t; m \leq |X_m(t)|\}$. Then by (1.3) $X_m/m \rightarrow 0$ with probability 1 and hence $P(\limsup_m C_m) = 0$ so that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(C_m) < \infty$ on account of the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Since $\Lambda_m \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ for every m , we have by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\Lambda_m) &\geq \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(T^{-i}\Lambda_m) \\ &= \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha\{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_i(\omega)| < m\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that to each m there corresponds a positive integer $p(m)$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha\{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_{p(m)}(\omega)| < m\} < \gamma(\Lambda_m) + \frac{1}{2^{m \cdot m}}.$$

For each positive integer n we put

$$A_n = \bigcup_{p(m)=n} [m-1, m),$$

where $\bigcup_{p(m)=n}$ means that the union runs over all m 's with $p(m) = n$. Here we note that some of A_n 's may be empty. Then every A_n is a Borel set and further

$$A_i \cap A_j = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = [0, \infty).$$

Since, by (2.3), $\gamma(\Lambda_m) = \gamma\{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_m(\omega)| < m\}$ and $\gamma\{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_m(\omega)|\} = \gamma(\Gamma_{m-1})$ for every m , we have by (2.11), (2.12) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{|X_n(t)| \in A_n} |X_n(t)| dP &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{m-1 \leq |X_{p(m)}(t)| < m} |X_{p(m)}(t)| dP \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{m-1 \leq |x_{p(m)}(\omega)| < m} |x_{p(m)}(\omega)| d\alpha \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \cdot \alpha\{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_{p(m)}(\omega)| < m\} \\
 &< \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \cdot \left[\gamma(\Lambda_m) + \frac{1}{2^m \cdot m} \right] = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \cdot \gamma\{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_m(\omega)| < m\} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \cdot [\gamma\{\omega; m-1 \leq |x_m(\omega)|\} - \gamma\{\omega; m \leq |x_m(\omega)|\}] \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \cdot [\gamma(\Gamma_{m-1}) - \gamma(\Gamma_m)] \leq 2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma(\Gamma_m) \\
 &\leq 2 + K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha(\Gamma_m) = 2 + K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(C_m) < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

as was to be proved. Thus the theorem is completely proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] BANACH, S., Théorie des opérations linéaires, Warszawa 1932.
- [2] DOOB, J. L., Stochastic processes, New-York 1953.
- [3] KOLMOGOROV, A., Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung, Berlin 1933.
- [4] RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, C., On the ergodic theorems (I) (Generalized ergodic theorems), Studia Math., 12(1951) 65-73.
- [5] TSURUMI, S., On the ergodic theorem, This Jour., 2nd ser., 6(1954) 53-68.
- [6] TSURUMI, S., On general ergodic theorems, This Jour., 2nd ser., 6(1954) 264-273.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY.