ON THE REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

TSUNEO KANNO

(Received January 16, 1956)

0. In [3] H. Zassenhaus has given a determination of the irreducible representations of a nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic p > 0 as follows. For each ordered set $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ of elements of K, there exists one and only one equivalence class $\{U\}$ of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} such that λ_i is the unique eigenvalue of $U(x_i)$, where x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n is a regular base of \mathfrak{L} . Recently, in [1], replacing the set of scalars by the set (f_1, \ldots, f_n) of irreducible polynomials, C. W. Curtis has proved that for each set (f_1, \ldots, f_n) there exists an equivalence class $\{U\}$ of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} such that the minimal polynomial of $U(x_i)$ is a power of f_i , when K is an arbitrary field of characteristic p > 0. But generally the uniqueness of the existence of the class does not hold in Curtis' case. In this paper we shall give an answer to the problem of the one-to-one correspondence in his case and at the same time we consider this problem in the case of soluble Lie algebras over an arbitrary field K of characteritic 0.

The author wishes to thank Professor T. Tannaka and Dr. C. W. Curtis.

1. We begin with some elementary results. Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra over an arbitrary field K with a basis x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , and let \mathfrak{A} be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{L} . If we imbed the vector space \mathfrak{L} into \mathfrak{A} , we obtain a basis $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \ldots x_n^{i_n}$, $e_i \geq 0$ of \mathfrak{A} over K, where $x_1^i x_2^{i_2} \ldots x_n^0 = 1$. Then we have a natural one-to-one correspondence between the representations¹⁾ of \mathfrak{L} and those of \mathfrak{A} , which is described as follows. To any representation U of \mathfrak{L} , we may correspond the representation U' of \mathfrak{A} defined by $U'(x_i) = U(x_i)$ and $U'(1) = E, i = 1, \ldots, n$, where E is identity transformation. In the following we identify U with U'.

Let U be an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{A} with the representation space \mathfrak{A} . For any non-zero element u of \mathfrak{A} , we have $u U(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A}$. Let \mathfrak{F} be the right ideal of \mathfrak{A} which consists of elements a such that u U(a) = 0, then we have the difference group $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}$ of \mathfrak{A} by the maximal right ideal \mathfrak{F} and the right \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}$ is of finite dimension over K. Let us denote by \mathfrak{A} the set of all elements $a \in A$ such as $\mathfrak{A}a \subset \mathfrak{F}$. Then \mathfrak{R} is the largest twosided ideal of \mathfrak{A} contained in \mathfrak{F} . We shall call \mathfrak{R} the quotient of \mathfrak{F} relative to \mathfrak{A} .

These definitions give us

LEMMA 1. Let \mathfrak{F}_i and \mathfrak{R}_i be a right ideal of \mathfrak{A} and its quotient relative to \mathfrak{A} , i = 1, 2. If two \mathfrak{A} -modules $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_2$ are isomorphic, then $\mathfrak{R}_1 = \mathfrak{R}_2$. Further, if \mathfrak{F}_i is a two-sided ideal, i = 1, 2, then $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{F}_2$.

¹⁾ In this paper we consider the representations of finite dimension only.

LEMMA 2. If a right ideal \mathcal{F} of \mathfrak{A} contains $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$, then \mathfrak{F} is a two-sided ideal and $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{F}$ is commutative ring.

PROOF. Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, \ldots, x_n$ be a base of \mathfrak{L} such that x_1, \ldots, x_r span $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$. We write $\mathfrak{C} = [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$ \mathfrak{A} . Every element of \mathfrak{C} is expressed in the form $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i a_i$, where $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}$. For $j > r, x_j \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \mathfrak{A} \subset \sum_{i=1}^r x_i x_j A + \sum_{i=1}^r [x_j, x_i] \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{C}$. This means $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{C}$. Therefore \mathfrak{C} is a two-sided ideal and $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{C}$ is commutative ring. It is easy to see that \mathfrak{F} is a two-sided ideal and $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{F}$ is commutative. q. e. d.

LEMMA 3. Let \mathfrak{L} be an n-dimensional Lie algebra over K. If the dimension of $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$ is r, then the polynomial ring $K[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-r}]$ and the ring $\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{C}$ are isomorphic, where \mathfrak{C} is the two-sided ideal $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$ \mathfrak{U} .

PROOF. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r, \ldots, x_n$ be the base of \mathfrak{L} such that x_1, \ldots, x_r span $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$. Then $a = \sum \alpha_{e_1 \ldots e_n} x_{e_1}^{e_1} \ldots x_{e_n}^{e_n}$ is contained in \mathfrak{C} if and only if for every $\alpha_{e_1 \ldots e_n} \neq 0$, there exists the positive integer $s \leq r$ such that $e_1 = e_2 = \ldots = e_{s-1} = 0$, and $e_s \neq 0$. In fact, take monomials of the form $x_i^{e_i} \ldots x_r^{e_r} \ldots x_n^{e_n}$, $i \leq r$, and suppose that for $i < j \leq r$ and $s = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} e_k \leq t-1$, we have straighted the element $x_j x_i^{e_i} \ldots x_r^{e_n}$ into the required canonical form. Then in the case of s = t, we obtain $x_j x_{e_1}^{e_1} \ldots x_n^{e_n} = x_i x_j x_i^{e_{i-1}} \ldots x_n^{e_n} + \sum_{k=1}^r \gamma_{j_k}^k x_k x_i^{e_{l-1}} \ldots x_n^{e_n}$ where $\gamma_{j_k}^{e_l}$'s are structural constants of \mathfrak{L} . Now here we may apply the assumption of induction. Since every element of \mathfrak{C} is expressed in the form $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \cdot a_i, a_i \in \mathfrak{A}$, we may prove the necessary condition. The inverse is trivial.

Now let φ be a homomorphism of $K[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-r}]$ into $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{C}$ defined by $\varphi(X_i) = \mathbf{x}_{r+i} + \mathfrak{C}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-r$. Then since every non-zero class modulo \mathfrak{C} has such a representative as $\sum \alpha_{e_{r+1}\ldots e_n} \mathbf{x}_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}} \ldots \mathbf{x}_n^{e_n}$, φ is onto-homomorphism. And it is easily seen that if for some $f(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-r}) \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-r}]$, $\varphi(f(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-r})) \in \mathfrak{C}$, $f(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-r}) = 0$. Thus φ is onto-isomorphism. q. e. d.

The Corrollary of Theorem 3 and Theorem 5 of [2] have the following consequence.

LEMMA 4. If \mathfrak{M} is a maximal ideal $K[X_1, \ldots, X_s]$, there exists a chain $\mathfrak{M}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}_2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathfrak{M}_s = \mathfrak{M}$ where $\mathfrak{M}_i = \mathfrak{M} \cap K[X_1, \ldots, X_i]$ is a maximal ideal of $K[X_1, \ldots, X_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$.

We shall call the chain \mathfrak{M} -chain associated with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} . Now we shall prove the lemma which is obtained from the proof of Theorem 1 of Curtis [1].

LEMMA 5. Let \mathfrak{L} be a nilpotent Lie algebra over an arbitrary field K and U and V be two irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} . If for each $x \in \mathfrak{L}$, U(x) and V(x) have the same eigenvalue $\alpha(x)$, then these two representations are equivalent.

PROOF. Let \widetilde{K} be an algebraic closure of K and let \widetilde{U} and \widetilde{V} be the scalar extensions of U and V from K to \widetilde{K} , respectively. Let m and n be the degrees of U and V. Then we have a representation $\widetilde{T}(x) = \widetilde{U}(x) \otimes E_n$ $-E_m \otimes \widetilde{V}(x)^t$ where E_n and E_m are identity matrices of degree m and n, respectively, and $\widetilde{V}(x)^t$ means the transpose of $\widetilde{V}(x)$. Let \mathfrak{l} and \mathfrak{B} be the representation spaces of U and V, then there exist non-zero vectors $u \in \mathfrak{l}_{K}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{B}_{K}$ such that $u\widetilde{U}(x) = u\alpha(x)$ and $v\widetilde{V}(x)^t = v\alpha(x)$. Then we have $(u \otimes v)\widetilde{T}(x) = (u \otimes v)\widetilde{U}(x) \otimes E_n - (u \otimes v)E_m \otimes \widetilde{V}(x)^t = u\widetilde{U}(x) \otimes \widetilde{v} - u \otimes (v\widetilde{V}(x)^t) = 0$. This means zero is an eigenvalue of $\widetilde{T}(x)$ and det $\widetilde{T}(x) = 0$, for $x \in L_{K}$. Since \widetilde{K} is infinite field, there exists a non-zero vector $\widetilde{w} \in (U_{\widetilde{K}} \otimes V_{\widetilde{K}}) = (U \otimes V)_{\widetilde{K}}$ such that $\widetilde{wT}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{L}_{\widetilde{K}}$ (cf. Proposition 2 of [1]). If we write $\widetilde{w} = \sum w_i \lambda_i$, $\lambda_i \in \widetilde{K}$, where $w_i \in \mathfrak{U} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ and λ_i 's are linearly independent over K, we have $\widetilde{wT}(x) = \sum w_i T(x)\lambda_i = 0$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{L}$, and hence $w_i T(x) = 0$.

Thus we may find a non-zero element w of $\mathfrak{ll} \otimes \mathfrak{V}$ such that wT(x) = 0, for all $x \in \mathfrak{L}$. We write $w = \sum (u_i \otimes v_j)(w_{ij})$ where u's and v's are bases of \mathfrak{ll} and \mathfrak{V} . The simple calculation yields $U(x) \cdot W = W \cdot V(x)$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{L}$, where W is the matrix (w_{ij}) . Since U and V are irreducible, U and V are equivalent. q. e. d.

2. In this section we shall consider a soluble Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} over an arbitrary field K of characterestic 0. Let \mathfrak{F} be a maximal right ideal of \mathfrak{A} such that the dimension of \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}$ is finite. Then, since by Lie's Theorem any irreducible representation of \mathfrak{L} is abelian, $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \subset \mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ where \mathfrak{R} is the quotient of \mathfrak{F} relative to \mathfrak{A} . So by Lemma 2 \mathfrak{F} is a two-sided ideal and $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{F}$ is a (commutive) field K' which is finite (algebraic) extension over K. Writing K' = K if the irreducible representation U is trivial, we obtain the field K' which is uniquely determined by the irreducible representation U.

Then we have

PROPOSITION. Let \mathfrak{L} be a soluble Lie algebra over an arbitrary field K of characteristic 0, let D be a derivation of \mathfrak{L} , let $x \to U(x)$ be an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{L} with the representation space \mathfrak{U} such that $U(D(\mathfrak{L})) = 0$, and let f(X) be an irreducible polynomial in K'[X] where K' is the field determined by U as mentioned above. Then, there exists exactly one equivalence class $\{W\}$ of irreducible representation of the semi-direct sum²) $\mathfrak{L} + K \cdot D$ with the representation space \mathfrak{W} and a one-to-one linear transformation S of \mathfrak{U} into

The semi-direct sum L+K•D is the Lie algebra whose underlying vector space is the direct sum of vector spaces L and K•D, and in which the bracket multiplication is defined by the formula [x+αD, x'+α'D]=[x, x']+α'D(x)-αD(x'), x, x' ∈ L, α, α' ∈ K.

 \mathfrak{W} such that U(x) S = S W(x) for all $x \in \mathfrak{L}$ and f(X) is an irreducible factor of the minimal polynomial of W(D) in K'[X].

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{A} be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{A} . If x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n is a basis of \mathfrak{L} , then the standard monomials $x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}\ldots x_n^{e_n}D^{-1}$, $e_i \ge 0$, $d \ge 0$ form a basis of the universal enveloping algebra \mathfrak{A}' of $\mathfrak{L} + K \cdot D$. Let \mathfrak{R} be the maximal right ideal of \mathfrak{A} such that \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}$ induces U. We may suppose that $\mathfrak{ll} = \mathfrak{U} - \mathfrak{I}$ and $U(x) : u + \mathfrak{I} \to ux + \mathfrak{I}$, for $x \in \mathfrak{L}$. Then $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{U}'$ is a proper ideal of \mathfrak{A}' . In fact, every element a of \mathfrak{A}' can be expressed uniquely in the form $a = \sum a_i D'$, $a_i \in A$ where if $a \in \Im \cdot \mathfrak{A}'$, then $a_i \in \Im$. If $\Im \mathfrak{A}' =$ \mathfrak{A}' , then the identity 1 is contained in \mathfrak{R}' and $1 = \sum a_i D^i$, $a_i \in \mathfrak{R}$. Therefore $1 = a_0 \in \mathcal{G}$, contrary to the fact that \mathcal{G} is proper ideal. From $U(D(\Omega))$ = 0, we have $D(\mathfrak{L}) \subset \mathfrak{F}$ and $[\mathfrak{L} + D, \mathfrak{L} + D] \subset \mathfrak{F}$. By Lemma 2, $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{U}'$ is a twosided ideal of \mathfrak{A}' and $\mathfrak{A}'/\mathfrak{M}'$ is commutative. The mapping $\varphi : \sum a_i D^i +$ $\Im \mathfrak{A}' \to \varphi \left(\sum a_i D^i + \Im \mathfrak{A}' \right) = \sum (a_i + \Im) D^i$ gives the isomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}' - \Im \mathfrak{A}'$ onto $(\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{H})[D] = K'[D]$. Thus we have a one-to-one correspondence between maximal ideals of \mathfrak{A}' which contain $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{A}'$ and maximal ideals of K'[D]. Let \mathfrak{Y}' be a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{A} such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{Y}') = (f(D))$. It is easily seen that the dimension of the \mathfrak{A}' -module $\mathfrak{A}'/\mathfrak{B}'$ over K is finite. Since $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A}' \subset \mathfrak{B}'$, $\mathfrak{Z} \subset \mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathfrak{A}$. But $\mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathfrak{A}$ is a proper ideal of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{Z} is maximal, $\mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathfrak{A}$ $= \Im$.

Define $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{A}'/\mathfrak{Z}'$ and W(x); $w + \mathfrak{Z}' \to wx + \mathfrak{Z}'$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Z}$, the mapping $S: u + \mathfrak{Z} \to u + \mathfrak{Z}'$ is a one-to-one linear transformation of \mathfrak{U} into \mathfrak{W} such that $U(x) \cdot S = S \cdot W(x)$, for $x \in \mathfrak{L}$.

Since \mathfrak{I}' is the two-sided ideal of \mathfrak{U}' , the quotient \mathfrak{N}' of \mathfrak{I}' relative to \mathfrak{U}' coincides with \mathfrak{I}' . Let $f_0(X) \in K[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of W(D). Then $f_0(D)$ is the polynomial of the least degree in $K[D] \cap \mathfrak{I}'$. Therfore $f_0(D) = \varphi(f_0(D) + \mathfrak{N}') \in (f(D)) \subset K'[D]$, and f(D) is an irreducible factor of $f_0(D)$ in K'[D] q. e. d.

By Lemmas 1, 3 and theorem of Lie, we have

THEOREM 1. Let \mathfrak{L} be a soluble Lie algebra over an arbitrary field K of characteristic 0. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} and maximal ideals of the polynomial ring $K[X_1, \ldots, X_s]$ where s is the dimension of $\mathfrak{L}/[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$ over K.

Further

THEOREM 1'. Let \mathfrak{L} be a soluble Lie algebra over an arbitrary field K of characteristic 0, let $x_1, \ldots, x_s, \ldots, x_a$ be a base of \mathfrak{L} such that x_{s+1}, \ldots, x_n span $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$, and $f_i(X_i)$ be an irreducible polynomial in K_i $[X_i]$ defined inductively such as $K_1 = K$ and $K_i = K_{i-1} [X_{i-1}]/(f_{i-1}(X_{i-1}))$. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes $\{U\}$ of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} and such sets (f_1, \ldots, f_s) , and if U corresponds to (f_1, \ldots, f_s) , $f_i(X)$ is an irreducible factor of the minimal polynomial of $U(x_i)$ in $K_i[X]$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$.

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{Q}_i be the ideal of \mathfrak{Q} spanned by $x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{s+1}, \ldots, x_n$. Let \mathfrak{A}_i be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{Q}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Then we may suppose that $\mathfrak{A}_i \subset \mathfrak{A}_{i+1}$. By Lemma 3 we have an isomorphism φ of $K[X_i, \ldots, X_s]$ onto $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\varphi(X_i) = x_i + \mathfrak{C}$ where $\mathfrak{C} = [\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{Q}] \mathfrak{A}$.

Let U be an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{L} and let \mathfrak{J} be a maximal right ideal of \mathfrak{A} such that the \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}$ induces U. By Lemmas 1, 2 and Lie's theorem, \Im is the two-sided ideal determined uniquely by the equivalence class $\{U\}$ which contains U. We write $\mathfrak{M} = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Z})$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}_2 \subset \mathfrak{M}_2 \subset \mathfrak{M}_2$ $\ldots \subset \mathfrak{M}_s = \mathfrak{M}$ be \mathfrak{M} -chain (cf. Lemma 4). Then we obtain a set (f_1, \ldots, f_s) as mentioned in the theorem. We prove this by induction. We write $\mathfrak{P}_t =$ $\mathfrak{M}_{t-1} \cdot K[X_t, \ldots, X_t], t = 2, 3 \ldots s.$ Let σ_t be the natural isomorphism of $K[X_1,\ldots,X_r]/\mathfrak{P}_t$ onto $(K[X_1,\ldots,X_{t-1}]/\mathfrak{M}_{t-1})[X_t]$ defined by $\sigma_t \left(\sum a_{\nu} X'_t + \mathfrak{P}_t\right)$ $=\sum (a_{\nu}+\mathfrak{M}_{t-1})X'_{t}$, for $a_{\nu}\in K[X_1,\ldots,X_{t-1}]$, $t=2,\ldots,s$. At first we have the irreducible polynomial $f_1(X_1) \in K[X_1]$ such that $(f_1(X_1)) = \mathfrak{M}_1$, and let τ_1 be the identity automorphism of $K_1[X_1]/(f_1(X_1)) = K_2$. Assume that we have constructed the natural isomorphism τ_i of $K[X_1, \ldots, X_i]/\mathfrak{P}_i$ onto $K_i[X_i]$ and the irreducible polynomial $f_i(X_i)$ such that $\tau_i(\mathfrak{M}_i) = f_i(X_i)$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$ t-1. Then, let $\widetilde{\tau_{t-1}}$ be the induced isomorphism of $K[X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1}]/\mathfrak{M}_{t-1}$ onto K_{t-1} $[X_{t-1}]/(f_{t-1}(X_{t-1})) = K_t$, and we have the required isomorphism $\tau_t = \tau_{t-1} \sigma_t$ of $K[X_1, \ldots, X_t]/\mathfrak{P}_t$ onto $K_t[X_t]$ and the irreducible polynomial $f_t(X_t) \in K_t[X_t]$ such that $\tau_t(\mathfrak{M}_t) = (f_t(X_t))$. The set (f_1, \ldots, f_t) determined uniquely by \mathfrak{M} is called \mathfrak{M} -set associated with \mathfrak{M} . If $\overline{f_i}(X) = \sum \alpha_{ij} X^j \in K[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of $U(x_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., s, then $\overline{f_i}(x_i) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore $\varphi^{-1}(\overline{f_i}(X_i) + \mathbb{G}) = f_i(X_i) \in \mathfrak{M} \cap K[X_1, \ldots, X_i] = \mathfrak{M}_i \text{ and } \tau_i(\overline{f_i}(X_i) + \mathfrak{P}_i) = \overline{f_i}(X_i)$ $\in (f_i(X_i))$. This means that $f_i(X)$ is an irreducible factor of the minimal polynomial of $U(x_i)$ in $K_i[X]$.

Conversely, for a given set (f_1, \ldots, f_s) , there exists only one maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} of $K[X_1, \ldots, X_s]$ whose \mathfrak{M} -set is the given (f_1, \ldots, f_s) (cf. Proof of Proposition 1). Writing $\mathfrak{F} = \varphi(\mathfrak{M})$, then we obtain a required equivalence class of the irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} which is induced by $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{F}$. q. e. d.

3. Let \mathfrak{L} be a nilpotent Lie algebra over an arbitrary field K of characterstic p > 0. It is well known that any nilpotent Lie algebra has a regular base x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n such that, whenever $i < j, [x_i, x_j] \in \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} K x_k$. In this section we use a regular base only. There exists a positive integer r such that $y_i = x_i^p$ is contained in the center of the universal enveloping algebra \mathfrak{A} of \mathfrak{L} . We write $\mathfrak{B} = K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ and $\mathfrak{S} = \sum_{(e_1, \ldots, e_n) \neq 0 \pmod{p^r}} K x_1^{e_1} \ldots x_n^{e_r}$ where $(e_i, \ldots, e_n) \neq 0 \pmod{p^r}$ means that there exists $i \leq n$ such that $e_i \neq 0 \pmod{p^r}$. Then we have $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{S} = (0)$ and $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{S}$. Every element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ has its unique expression $a = B(a) + S(a), B(a) \in \mathfrak{B}, S(a) \in \mathfrak{S}$, where B and S are linear transformations of \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{S} respectively. Then we have

LEMMA 6. For a maximal ideal \mathfrak{C} of \mathfrak{B} , there exists a maximal right ideal \mathfrak{F} of \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$.

PROOF. $\mathfrak{GM} \neq \mathfrak{A}$. In fact, if $\mathfrak{G} \cdot \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}$, $1 = \sum c_i a_i$ where $c_i \in \mathfrak{G}$, $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\sum c_i a_i = \sum c_i B(a_i) + \sum c_i S(a_i) = 1$. Therefore, $1 = \sum c_i B(a_i) \in \mathfrak{G}$, contrary to the fact that \mathfrak{G} is proper ideal of \mathfrak{B} . Since the dimension of the \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{GM}$ is finite, there exists a maximal right ideal \mathfrak{F} such that $\mathfrak{F} \supset \mathfrak{G}$. On the other hand, since \mathfrak{F} does not contain 1, $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{B}$ is proper and $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{G}$.

LEMMA 7. Let \mathfrak{G} be a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{B} . If there are two maximal right ideals \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 of \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{F}_i \cap \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{G}$, i = 1, 2, then two \mathfrak{A} -modules $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_2$ are isomorphic.

PROOF. Let U_i be irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} induced by \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_i$, for i = 1, 2. Since $(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{F}) - \mathfrak{F}_i \cong \mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{C}$, i = 1, 2, the two representations tations $U_i(\mathfrak{B})|(\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{F}_1)-\mathfrak{F}_1$ and $U_2(\mathfrak{B})|(\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{F}_2)-\mathfrak{F}_2$ of \mathfrak{B} are equivalent, where the notation | means restriction of U_i to the submodule $(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{F}_i) - \mathfrak{F}_i$ of $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_i$, i = 1, 2. Let \widetilde{K} be the algebraic closure of K. Then $\widetilde{U}_1(\mathfrak{B})|((\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{C}))|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C})|(\mathfrak{C$ $(\mathfrak{P}_1) - \mathfrak{P}_1)_{\tilde{k}}$ is equivalent to $\widetilde{U}_2(\mathfrak{B})|$ $((\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{P}_2) - \mathfrak{P}_2)_{\tilde{k}}$ where \widetilde{U}_i and $((\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{P}_i))_{\tilde{k}}$ $(\mathfrak{S}_i)_{\widetilde{k}}$ mean the scalar extensions of U_i and $((\mathfrak{S} + \mathfrak{S}_i) - \mathfrak{S}_i)$ from K to \widetilde{K} . There exists indecomposable component \mathfrak{B}_i of $((\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{F}_i) - \mathfrak{F}_i)_{\mathcal{K}}$, i = 1, 2, such that $\widetilde{U}_1(\mathfrak{B})|\mathfrak{B}_1$ is equivalent to $\widetilde{U}_2(\mathfrak{B})|\mathfrak{B}_2$. Then, for $y \in \mathfrak{B}$ we have the same unique eigenvalue $\alpha(y)$ of $U_i(y)|\mathfrak{B}_i i = 1, 2$. (cf. Theorem 1 of [1]). The module $\mathfrak{B}'_i = \mathfrak{B}_i \widetilde{U}_i(\mathfrak{A})$ is an invariant submodule of $(\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_i)_{k,i}$ i = 1, 2. Since $\widetilde{U}_i(\mathfrak{B})$ is central there exists a positive integer R such that $|\mathfrak{B}_{i}\widetilde{U_{i}}(\mathfrak{A})(\widetilde{U_{i}}(y) - \alpha(y))^{R} = \mathfrak{B}_{i}$ $(\widetilde{U_i}(y) - \alpha(y))^R U(\mathfrak{A}) = 0, i = 1, 2.$ Let $W_i(\mathfrak{A})$ be an irreducible constituent of $\widetilde{U_i}(\mathfrak{A})|\mathfrak{B}'_i$ with the representation space \mathfrak{B}_i which is a submodule of \mathfrak{B}'_i . Then $\mathfrak{W}_i(\widetilde{U}_i(x_j) - \alpha(y_j)^{\frac{1}{p'}})^{p'R} = \mathfrak{W}_i \ (U_i(y_j) - \alpha(y_j))^R = 0$, for i = 1, 2, and j = 1, 2,..., n. This means that $\alpha(y_i)^{\overline{p^r}}$ is the same unique eigenvalue of $\widetilde{U}_i(x_j)|\mathfrak{W}_i, i=1,2, j=1,2,\ldots, n$. Since x_1,\ldots,x_n is a regular base of \mathfrak{L} , by Zassenhaus' theorem, $\widetilde{U}_1(\mathfrak{A})|\mathfrak{W}_1$ and $\widetilde{U}_2(\mathfrak{A})|\mathfrak{W}_2$ are equivalent. Therefore for x $\in \mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{U_1}(x)$ and $\widetilde{U_2}(x)$ have the same eigenvalue. The application of the Lemma 5 yields that these two irreducible representations are equivalent. q. e. d.

LEMMA 8. Let \mathfrak{F}_1 be a manimal right ideal of \mathfrak{A} such that the \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_1$ is of finite dimension, let \mathfrak{C} be a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{B} which contains $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}$ and let \mathfrak{F}_2 be a maximal right ideal of \mathfrak{A} which contains \mathfrak{C} . Then two \mathfrak{A} -modules $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_2$ are isomorphic.

Remark. For the right ideal \mathfrak{F}_1 there exist \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{F}_2 as mentioned above.

PROOF. Since $(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{F}_1) - \mathfrak{F}_1 \cong \mathfrak{B} - (\mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B})$ and $(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{F}_2) - \mathfrak{F}_2 \cong \mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{G}$, the representation $U_1(\mathfrak{B})|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{F}_1) - \mathfrak{F}_1$ has an irreducible constituent which is equivalent to $U_2(\mathfrak{B})|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{Z}_2) - \mathfrak{Z}_2$. Since irreducible constituents of indecomposable component of $U_1(\mathfrak{B})|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{Z}_1) - \mathfrak{Z}_1$ are equivalent, there exists a submodule \mathfrak{G} of $(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{Z}_1) - \mathfrak{Z}_1$ such that $U_1(\mathfrak{B})|\mathfrak{G}$ is equivalent to $U_2(\mathfrak{B})|(\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{Z}_2) - \mathfrak{Z}_2$. The argument which we have developed in the proof of Lemma 6 gives Lemma 7. q. e. d.

If \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are right ideals of \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_1 \cong \mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}_2$, we have $\mathfrak{N}_1 = \mathfrak{N}_2$ where \mathfrak{N}_i is the quotient of \mathfrak{F}_i relative to \mathfrak{A} (cf. Lemma 1). Since \mathfrak{N}_i is the largest two-sided ideal of \mathfrak{A} contained in \mathfrak{F}_i , $\mathfrak{F}_i \cap \mathfrak{B} \subset (\mathfrak{F}_i \cap \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{N}_i$ and $\mathfrak{F}_i \cap \mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{N}_i \cap \mathfrak{B}$. But since $\mathfrak{N}_i \subset \mathfrak{F}_i$ and $\mathfrak{N}_1 = \mathfrak{N}_2$, we have $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{F}_2 \cap \mathfrak{B}$. Therefore applying the Lemma 8, we have

LEMMA 9. If \Im is a maximal right ideal of \mathfrak{A} such that the dimension of \mathfrak{A} -module $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{F}$ is finite, $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{B}$ is a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{B} .

The Lemmas 7 and 9 have the following consequence.

THEOREM 2. Let \mathfrak{L} be a n-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra over an arbitrary field of characteristic p > 0. There exists one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} and maximal ideals of polynomial ring $K[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n]$.

Further

THEOREM 2'. Let \mathfrak{L} be a nilpotent Lie algebra over an arbitrary field Kof characteristic p > 0, let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be a regular base of \mathfrak{L} and let $f_i(X_i)$ be an irreducible polynomial in $K_i[X_i]$ defined inductively such as $K_i = K$ and $K_i = K_{i-1} [X_{i-1}]/(f_{i-1}(X_{i-1}))$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes $\{U\}$ of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{L} and such sets (f_1, \ldots, f_s) and if U corresponds to $(f_1, \ldots, f_s), f_i(X)$ is an irreducible factor of the minimal polynomial of $U(x_i^{p^r})$ in $K_i[X]$ where r is a positive integer such that $x_i^{p^r}$ is central in the enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{L} .

PROOF. By Lemmas 6 and 7 we may consider maximal ideals of \mathfrak{B} . The argument which has been developed in the proof of the Theorem 1' runs in this case, too. q. e. d.

Here is an example of two irreducible representations of Lie algebra which are not equivalent and correspond to the same set of irreducible polynomials in the sense of Curtis' Theorem 2 of [1].

EXAMPLE. Let K be a field which does not contain $\sqrt{2}$, and let $\mathfrak{L} = Kx_1 + Kx_2$ be a two-dimensional abelian Lie algebra over K. Then two representations $U(x_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, i = 1, 2 and $V(x_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $V(x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are irreducible and not equivalent. The irreducible polynomial $X^2 - 2$ is the minimal polynomials of $U(x_i)$ and $V(x_i)$, i = 1, 2.

References

[1] C. W. CURTIS, A note on the representations of nipotent Lie algebras, Proc. Amer.

- Math. Soc., 5(1954) 813-824. [2] O.GOLDMAN, Hilbert rings and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz, Math. Zeit. 54(1951) 136-140.
- [3] H ZASSENHAUS, Über Liesche Ringe mit Primzahlcharakteristik, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ., 13(1940) 1-100.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY