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1. In a recent paper, H. Suzuki [6] has proved the following:

Let $X$ be a topological space with vanishing homotopy groups $\pi_{i}(X)$ for $0 \leqq i<n, n<i<q(1<n<q)$, and $\Omega(X)$ be its loop space (in the sense of J. P. Serre [4]). If we denote their Eilenberg-MacLane invariants by $\boldsymbol{k}_{n}^{q+1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{n-1}^{\gamma}(\Omega(X))$ respectively, then there is the relation

$$
\boldsymbol{k}_{n-1}^{\gamma}(\Omega(X))=\Sigma k_{n}^{q+1}(X)
$$

between them, where $\Sigma: H^{q+1}\left(\pi_{n}, n ; \pi_{q}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(\pi_{n}, n-1 ; \pi_{q}\right)$ is the cohomology suspension.

In this note, we give a proof by a different method from the original and in some general form.
2. Now, we are familiar with-:

Lemma 1. (Eilenberg-MacLane [1], see also [5]) Let $Y$ be a cell complex. There is a 1:1-correspondence between the homotopy classes of maps of $Y$ into $K$ $\left(\pi_{q}, q+1\right)$ and the elements of $H^{q+1}\left(Y, \pi_{q}\right)$, which is given by the correspondence $\{f\} \leftrightarrow f^{*}(u)$, where $\{f\}$ is the class represented by $f: Y \rightarrow K\left(\pi_{q}, q+1\right), u \in H^{q+1}$ $\left(\pi_{q}, q+1: \pi_{q}\right)$ is fundamental class and $f^{*}$ is the homomorphism induced by $f$.

Let $X$ be an arcwise connected topological space. We know that there is a contractible fiber space in the sense of J.P. Serre, in which the total space $E(X)$ is the space of all paths in $\boldsymbol{X}$ with a fixed starting point (named base point) and with compact-open topology, the base space is $X$ and the fiber over the base point is the loop space of $\boldsymbol{X}$. Next theorem by Nakaoka-Mizuno [2] will be used later.

Lemma 2. Consider the fiber space $(E, p, B)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) The total space $E$ is a simply connected space with vanishing homotopy groups $\pi_{i}(E)$ for $i>r>1$.
(ii) The base space $B$ is a space with vanishing homotopy groups $\pi_{i}(B)$ for $i \geqq r>1$.
(iii) The projection $p: E \rightarrow B$ induces the isomorphisms

$$
\pi_{i}(E) \approx \pi_{i}(B)
$$

[^0]for $0 \leqq i<r$.
Then the image of the fundamental class $u$ of the fiber by transgression $\tau$ is equal to $-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{k}}_{r-1}$, where $\overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{r-1}$ is the geometrical realization of Postnikov invariant $\boldsymbol{k}_{r-1}$ of $E$. (See [3] for Postnikov invariants)
3. Now we prove:

Proposition 1. Let $(B, p, Y)$ be the induced fibre space from the contractible fiber space $\left(E(X), p_{o}, X ; \Omega(X)\right)$ over 2-connected space $X$ by a continuous map $f: Y \rightarrow X$, and let $B^{\prime}$ be the total space of the fiber space which is induced from $\left(E(\Omega(X)), q, \Omega(X) ; \Omega^{2}(X)\right)$ by $f_{\Omega}: \Omega(Y) \rightarrow \Omega(X)$, where $f_{\Omega}$ is the continuous map induced by $f$. Then $B^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to $\Omega(B)$.

Proof. By the definition of the induced fiber space,

$$
B=\left\{(y, u) \mid f(y)=p_{0}(u), y \in Y, u \in E(X)\right\} .
$$

Let $\bar{f}$ be the fiber map of $B$ into $E(X)$ and let $p$ the projection of $B$ into $Y$. For $\varphi \in \Omega(B)$, we define a map
by

$$
\varphi \rightarrow(p \varphi, \bar{f} \varphi)
$$

Since $p \varphi(0)=p \varphi(1)$ and $\overline{f \varphi}(0)=\overline{f \varphi}(1)$, we have $p \varphi \in \Omega(Y)$ and $\overline{f \varphi} \in \Omega(E(X))$. Moreover, $f p \phi(t)=p_{0} \overline{f q}(t)$. Therefore, if we denote by $p_{\Omega}$ the map $\Omega(E(X))$ $\rightarrow \Omega(X)$ induced by $p_{0}: E(X) \rightarrow X$, then $\overline{f_{\Omega}} p \varphi=p_{\Omega} \bar{f} \varphi$. Conversely, it is clear that the elements $\left(y^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega(Y) \times \Omega(E(X))$, satisfying the condition $f_{\Omega} y^{\prime}=p_{\Omega} u^{\prime}$, belong to $\Omega(B)$. So we can identify $\Omega(B)$ with a subset of $\Omega(Y) \times \Omega(E(X))$. On the other hand, by the definition

$$
B^{\prime}=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \mid f_{\Omega} y^{\prime}=q v^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \Omega(Y), v^{\prime} \in E(\Omega(X))\right\}
$$

We give a correspondence between $v^{\prime} \in E(\Omega(X))$ and $\xi v^{\prime} \in \Omega(E(X))$ as follows:

$$
\left(\xi v^{\prime}(t)\right)(s)=\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)(t) \quad s, t \in \mathrm{I}=[0,1] .
$$

Choosing the base points suitably, we have a continuous map

$$
\xi: v^{\prime} \rightarrow \xi v^{\prime} .
$$

For, by [4] p. 474, $\left(v^{J}(s)\right)(t)$ is continuous relative to both $s$ and $t$. Therefore, $\xi v^{\prime}(t)$ is an element of $E(X)$ and $\xi v^{\prime}$ is an element of $\Omega(E(X))$, and it is clear that $\xi$ is also continuous. By similar arguments (with slight modifications), we can get a continuous map of $\Omega(E(X)$ ) into $E(\Omega(X))$
defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta: u^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta u^{\prime} \\
\left(u^{\prime}(t)(s)=\left(\eta u^{\prime}(s)(t) .\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we define the correspondences

$$
\underset{B^{\prime}}{\stackrel{\underset{\eta}{\boldsymbol{\xi}}}{\stackrel{\xi}{\leftrightarrows}} \Omega(B)}
$$

by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\xi}\left(y^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}, \quad \xi v^{\prime}\right), \\
& \bar{\eta}\left(y^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}, \eta u^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Since $\left(p_{\Omega}\left(\xi v^{\prime}\right)\right)(t)=p\left(\xi v^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(\xi v^{\prime}(t)\right)(1)=\left(v^{\prime}(1)\right)(t)=\left(q v^{\prime}\right)(t)=f_{\Omega} y^{\prime}(t),\left(y^{\prime}, \xi v^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(B)$, etc. )

It is clear that $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are continuous, and that $\bar{\xi} \bar{\eta}, \bar{\eta} \bar{\xi}$ are both identities. Therefore, $B^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic with $\Omega(B)$.

Let $\varepsilon$ be a 1 -connected cell complex with $i$-th homotopy groups $\pi_{i}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, r$, and $\pi_{i}(\varepsilon)=0$ for $i>r$, and with Postnikov invariants $k_{2}, .$. ., $\boldsymbol{k}_{r-1}$.

By Cartan-Serre's construction, we have a fiber space ( $\varepsilon_{0}, p, Y$ ) satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2. $\varepsilon_{0}$ has the same homotopy type with $\varepsilon$ and $Y$ has the same invariants $\boldsymbol{k}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{k}_{r-2}$ as $\varepsilon_{0}$ (or $\varepsilon$ )

Let $X$ be a space $\boldsymbol{K}\left(\pi_{r}, r+1\right), r>1$. By Lemma 1 , for $\overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{r-1} \in H^{r+1}\left(Y, \pi_{r}\right)$ there is a continuous map
such that

$$
f: Y \rightarrow X
$$

where $u_{r+1}$ is the fundamental class of $H^{r+1}\left(X, \pi_{r}\right)$.
Since the transgression in ( $E(X), p_{0}, X ; \Omega(X)$ ) is translated by $f^{*}$ to that of ( $B, p, Y$ ), if we denote by $u_{r}$ the fundamental class of $H^{r}\left(\Omega(X), \pi_{r}\right)$ and by $\tau$ the transgression in $(B, p, Y)$, then, by Lemma 2, $\tau u_{r}=-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}_{r-1}}$. Therefore, $B$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$ (and $\varepsilon$ ) have the same homotopy groups and invariants. This implies that their singular polytopes have the same homstopy types, and so do their loop spaces. Accordingly, the invariants of $\Omega^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ are equal to the corresponding invariants of $\Omega(B)$ which are equal to that of $B^{\prime}$ in Proposition 1.

But we have:
Proposition 2. Invariants $\overline{\boldsymbol{k}_{i}^{\prime}}$ of $B^{\prime}$ are of the forms $\Sigma \overline{\boldsymbol{k}_{i+1}}$, where $\Sigma$ : $\left.H^{l+3}\left(Y, \pi_{i+2}\right) \rightarrow I^{i+2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}, Y\right), \pi_{i+2}\right)$ is the cohomology suspension in the fiber space ( $E(Y), p, Y ; \Omega(Y))$ over $Y$.

Proof. Invariants of $B^{\prime}$ are of the forms $-f_{\Omega}^{*} u_{i}=-f_{\Omega}^{*} \Sigma u_{i+1}$. Since the homomorphism of cubical singular chain groups $C(\Omega(Y)) \rightarrow C(Y)$ defined by

$$
\sigma y\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\left(y\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)\left(t_{1}\right) \quad t_{j} \in I
$$

induces the suspension and this $\sigma$ commutes with the chain homomorphisms induced by $f$ and $f_{\Omega},-f_{\Omega}^{*} \Sigma u_{i+1}=-\Sigma f^{*} u_{i+1}=-\Sigma\left(-\overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{i+1}\right)=\Sigma \overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{i+1}$.

By above discussions, we have
Theorem. The Postnikov invariants of the loop space of a 1-connected cell complex $\varepsilon$ are the images of that invariants of $\varepsilon$ by the cohomology suspension defined in the fiber space $(E(\varepsilon), p, \varepsilon)$ over $\varepsilon$.

Remark. In the case where $\varepsilon$ is a "space", we can replace $\varepsilon$ with its singular polytope without changing proofs. So we have the theorem in this case too.
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