## ON THE DIRECT PRODUCT OF OPERATOR ALGEBRAS IV

## TAKASI TURUMARU

## (Received April 1, 1956)

M. Nakamura [2] has discussed the relation between the direct product and the generation of two sub-factors in a finite  $W^*$ -factor, and obtained a satisfactorily analogous result as the classical theory of hypercomplex numbers: that is, in a finite  $W^*$ -factor, the direct product (in  $W^*$ -sense) of elementwise commutative two sub-factors means the generation in weak operator topology and vice versa. In the present paper, we shall consider the same problem for sub-algebras in an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra. In the case of  $W^*$ -factor, the key point of Nakamura's argument is the multiplicativity of the faithful normal trace. In view of this fact, we introduce a notion of independence of sub-algebras in a  $C^*$ -algebra (§ 1, Def.): this definition seems to be artificial at a first glance but this may be considered as a generalization of the stochastic independence in the probability theory. Finally in §2, we shall state a theorem for an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra recently obtained by R. McDowell for real case [1].

1. Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra with the unit 1, and  $A_i$  (i = 1, 2) be two  $C^*$ -sub-algebras of A which contain 1. Then  $A_i$  are called *algebraically independent* if they have following properties:

(1)  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  commute elementwise,

(2) If  $\{a_i | i = 1, ..., m\}$  and  $\{b_j | j = 1, ..., n\}$  are arbitrary linearly independent set of elements of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively, then  $\{a_i b_j | i = 1, ..., m; j = 1, ..., n\}$  is linearly independent in A.

If  $A_i(i = 1, 2)$  are algebraically independent C\*-sub-algebras of a C\*-algebra A, the following facts are easily verified:

(I) The algebraic direct product  $A_1 \odot A_2$  of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  is isomorphic to the \*-algebra  $A_0$  generated by  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  algebraically and this isomorphism is given by the correspondence

$$\sum_i a_i b_i \leftrightarrow \sum_i a_i \times b_i.$$

(II) For any pair of positive linear functionals  $(\sigma, \tau)$  where  $\sigma$  is defined on  $A_1$  and  $\tau$  on  $A_2$ , the functional which we call a *product functional* of  $\sigma$ and  $\tau$ ,

$$[\sigma \odot \tau] \left( \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \right) = \sum_{i} \sigma(a_{i}) \tau(b_{i}), \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \in A_{0}$$

is well-defined on  $A_0$  and additive, homogeneous and positive:

$$[\sigma \odot \tau] \left( \left( \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \right) \left( \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \right)^{*} \right) \ge 0, \text{ for all } \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \in A_{0}.$$

Then, "Under what topological conditions for  $A_i$ , the product functional does become continuous?" is an interesting problem for us. In abelian real case, R. MacDowell has discussed this problem with different aspects [1]. In §2, we shall come back to this problem for the abelian complex case. In this section we discuss the relation between this problem and the direct product  $A_1 \times a_{a}$  $A_{a}$ (cf. [4]). For this purpose, we want to introduce the notion of independence of sub-algebras. Now, we begin with the consideration on the probability theory. If  $(X, F, \mu)$  be a probability measure space, then two bounded real random variables a and b are called mutually independent if E(f(a))g(b)) = E(f(a))E(g(b)), where  $f(\lambda)$ ,  $g(\lambda)$  are arbitrary complex-valued Baire

functions and  $E(c) = \int c(x)d\mu(x)$ . And moreover, the space  $L^{\infty}(X, F, \mu)$  of all

bounded random variables forms a commutative  $W^*$ -algebra, acting on the Hilbert space  $L^2(X, F, \mu)$ , having a faithful normal trace  $E(\cdot)$ . Conversely, if a commutative  $W^*$ -algebra M has a faithful normal trace  $\tau$ , then Gelfand-Neumark's respresentation theorem shows that there is a probability measure space on which the algebra M is isomorphically, preserving the value of the trace, represented to the algebra of all bounded random variables of the space, i.e.,  $\tau(a) = E(a^{\text{#}})$ ,  $a^{\text{#}}$  denotes the representation of a. Since the  $W^*$ -sub-algebra A generated by an hermitian element a and 1 in M consists of all Baire functions of a, the above notion of independence can be transfered into any commutative  $W^*$ -algebra M with a faithful normal trace  $\tau$  as follows: Two hermitian elements a and b are called *mutually independent* if  $\tau(uv) = \tau(u)\tau(v)$  for every  $u \in A$ ,  $v \in B$ , where A and B are  $W^*$ -sub-algebras generated by  $\{a, 1\}$  and  $\{b, 1\}$  respectively.

Under these considerations we set the following definition for  $C^*$ -algebras.

DEFINITION. Let A be a C\*-algebra, and  $A_i(i = 1, 2)$  be algebraically independent sub-algebras. Then  $A_i$  are called *mutually independent* if the product functional  $\sigma \odot \tau$  is continuous on A and the totality of its continuous extension  $\sigma \times \tau$  is complete on the C\*-sub-algebra generated by  $A_i(i = 1, 2)$ .

Now, our aimed proposition is the following:

PROPOSITION 1. Let A be a C\*-algebra,  $A_i(i = 1, 2)$  be C\*-sub-algebras of A, and if A is generated by  $A_i$ , then the following two statements are mutually equivalent:

(1)  $A_i(i = 1, 2)$  are mutually independent,

(2) A is isomorphic to the direct product  $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$  of  $A_i$ .

**PROOF.** Implication  $(1) \rightarrow (2)$ . It is sufficient to prove that the isomorphism

 $\sum_{i} a_i b_i \leftrightarrow \sum_{i} a_i \times b_i$  between  $A_0$  and  $A_1 \odot A_2$  described in (I) is isometric. Since  $A_i$  are mutually independent and generate A, the totality of  $\sigma \times$ 

 $\tau$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are pure states of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively, is complete on A, and we have by [4]

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i}a_{i}b_{i}\|^{2} &= \sup_{\sigma\times\tau} \frac{[\sigma\times\tau]((\sum_{j}a'_{j}b'_{j})(\sum_{i}a_{i}b_{i})(\sum_{i}a_{i}b_{i})^{*}(\sum_{j}a'_{j}b'_{j})^{*})}{[\sigma\times\tau]((\sum_{j}a'_{j}b'_{j})(\sum_{j}a'_{j})b'_{j})^{*})} \\ &= \alpha \Big(\sum_{i}a_{i}\times b_{i}\Big)^{2}. \end{split}$$

Implication (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Since  $A \simeq A_1 \times \alpha A_2$ , then  $A_1 \simeq A_1 \times 1$ ,  $A_2 \simeq 1 \times A_2$ ; hence  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are algebraically independent, and finally the continuity of the product functional is clear from the definition of norm in  $A_1 \times \alpha A_2$ . [cf. 4] Q. E. D.

For infinitely many sub-algebras we can prove the following

PROPOSITION 2. Let A and  $A_i$   $(i \in I)$  be C\*-algebras with units, then A is isomorphic to the infinite product of  $A_i$   $(i \in I)$  in the sense of Takeda [3], if and only if there exists a mapping  $\Phi$  from the set union  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  of  $A_i$  into A such that

- (1) Restriction  $\phi_i$  of  $\Phi$  on  $A_i$  is the principal isomorphism of  $A_i$  into  $A_i$
- (2) Any finite set of images  $\{\phi_{ik}(A_{ik})|k = 1, ..., n\}$  are mutually independent, (3)  $\{\phi_i(A_i)|i \in I\}$  generate A as C\*-algebra.

**PROOF.** For any finite subset of indices  $\gamma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ , let  $A^{\gamma}$  be the  $C^*$ -sub-algebra of A generated by  $\{\phi_{i_k}(A_{i_k})|k=1, \ldots, n\}$ , then  $A^{\gamma}$  is isomorphic to  $A_{i_1} \times \ldots \times A_{i_n}$  by the above Prop. 1. Then A is isomorphic to the infinite direct product of  $A_i$  by [3: Definitions 1, 2].

2. In this section, we wish to answer partly for the question described in the preceding section by proving MacDowell's theorem for the complex case : that is, in an abelian case to obtain the topological condition under which product functional becomes continuous. For this purpose, we begin with the

DEFINITION. Let A be a commutative C\*-algebra with the unit, and B, C be two C\*-sub-algebras of A which contain units. Then they are called additively related sub-algebras if and only if for every pair (b,c) of  $b \in B$ and  $c \in C$ , there exists a scalar  $\theta = \theta(b,c) \in I = [0, 2\pi]$  such that  $||b + e^{i\theta}c|| = ||b|| + ||c||$ .

Then we have the following

THEOREM. Let A be a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra with unit, and let B, C be two  $C^*$ -sub-algebras of A. Suppose that A is generated by B and C, then the following three statements are mutually equivalent:

(1) A is isomorphic to the direct product  $B \times_{\alpha} C$ ,

(2) B and C are mutually independent,

(3) B and C are additively related.

REMARK. R. MacDowell had proved the equivalency of (1) and (3) for real case.

**PROOF.** Since A, B and C are commutative  $C^*$ -algebras with the unit,

283

let  $C(\Omega)$ ,  $C(\Gamma)$  and  $C(\Delta)$  be their representations on the rings of all continuous complex-valued functions on compact Hausdorff spaces respectively.

Implication  $(3) \to (1)$ . We use the following terminologies (MacDowell [1]): We call a subset T of a Banach space S a T-set of S if T is maximal with respect to the property  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in T \to ||\sum_i b_i|| = \sum_i ||b_i||$ . Moreover if T is a T-set of S, then we call  $\bigcup_{\theta \in I} e^{i\theta} T$  a circular T-set. If the space S = C(X) (the space of all continuous complex valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space X) then every T-set T of S has the form  $\{f \in S | f(x_0) = e^{i\theta_0} ||f||\}$  for some point  $x_0$  of X and scalar  $\theta_0 \in I$ , and conversely every such subset is a T-set of S.

Now let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be arbitrary pure states of B and C respectively, then  $T_{E}(\gamma) = \{b \in B \mid b(\gamma) = \|b\|\}, T_{c}(\delta) = \{c \in C \mid c(\delta)\| = \|c\|\}$  are T-sets of B and C respectively. We can prove that there exists a circular T-set of A which contains the set  $\bigcup_{\theta' \in I} e^{i\theta'} T_{E}(\gamma) \cup \bigcup_{\theta'' \in I} e^{i\theta''} T_{c}(\delta)$ . Indeed, if not, for every  $\theta_{0} \in I$  the set  $T_{E}(\gamma) \cup e^{i\theta_{0}} T_{c}(\delta)$  can be contained in no T-set of A, hence there exist  $b_{\theta_{0}} \in T_{E}(\gamma), c_{\theta_{0}} \in T_{C}(\delta)$  such that  $\|b_{\theta_{0}} + e^{i\theta_{0}}c_{\theta_{0}}\| < \|b_{\theta_{0}}\| + \|c_{\theta_{0}}\|$ . Hence, there exists a neighborhood  $I(\theta_{0})$  of  $\theta_{0}$  such that  $\|b_{\theta_{0}} + e^{i\theta}c_{\theta_{0}}\| < \|b_{\theta_{0}}\| + \|c_{\theta_{0}}\|$  for  $\theta \in I(\theta_{0})$ ; and finally I can be covered by a finite number of  $I(\theta): I \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} I(\theta_{k})$ . Put  $b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{\theta_{i}}$  and  $c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{\theta_{i}}$  then  $\|b + e^{i\theta}c\| < \|b\| + \|c\|$  for all  $\theta$ ,

 $I(\theta_k)$ . Put  $b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} o_{\theta_i}$  and  $c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{\theta_i}$  then  $||b + e^{c}c|| < ||b|| + ||c||$  for all  $\theta$ , which contradicts to the additive relatedness of B and C.

Now, let the  $\bigcup_{\theta} e^{t\theta}T_A(\omega)$  be a circular *T*-set of *A* which contains the  $\bigcup_{\theta'\in I} e^{t\theta'}T_B(\gamma) \cup \bigcup_{\theta''\in I} e^{t\theta''}T_C(\delta)$ , then we can show  $T_B(\gamma) = T_A(\omega) \cap B$  and  $T_C(\delta) = T_A(\omega) \cap C$ . Indeed, if  $b'_1, b'_2 \in T_B(\gamma)$  then  $b'_1(\omega) = e^{t\theta_1} ||b'_1||$  and  $b'_2(\omega) = e^{t\theta_2} ||b'_2||$  for some  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in I$ ; hence  $b_1 = b'_1/||b'_1||$  and  $b_2 = b'_2/||b'_2||$  belong to  $T_B(\gamma)$  and  $b_1(\omega) = e^{t\theta_1}$  and  $b_2(\omega) = e^{t\theta_2}$ . Since  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  belongs to  $T_B(\gamma), b_1 + b_2$  belongs to  $T_B(\gamma)$ ; hence  $b_1 + b_2 \in e^{t\theta}T_A(\omega)$  for some  $\theta \in I$ , that is  $(b_1 + b_2)(\omega) = e^{t\theta} ||b_1 + b_2|| = 2e^{t\theta}$ . Hence  $e^{t\theta_1} + e^{t\theta_2} = 2e^{t\theta}$ , therefore  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta$ , and since  $1 \in T_B(\gamma)$  belongs to  $T_A(\omega), \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta = 0$ ; hence  $T_B(\gamma) \subset T_A(\omega)$ . Similarly  $T_C(\delta) \subset T_A(\omega)$ . Then clearly the pure state  $\omega$  of *A* is a common extension of  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , hence  $\gamma \odot \delta$  can be extended continuously, that is *B* and *C* are mutually independent.

Implication  $(2) \rightarrow (1)$ . We have proved this in Prop. 1.

Implication  $(1) \rightarrow (2)$ . Let *b* and *c* be arbitrary elements of *B* and *C* respectively, then there exist  $\theta'$ ,  $\theta'' \in I$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$  such that  $b(\gamma) = e^{i\theta'} ||b||$ ,  $c(\delta) = e^{i\theta''} ||c||$ . Now, if we define  $\theta = \theta' - \theta'' \pmod{2\pi}$ ,  $\theta \in I$  then  $||b|| + ||c|| \ge ||b + e^{i\theta}c|| \ge |(b + e^{i\theta}c)(\gamma, \delta)| = |b(\gamma) + e^{i\theta}c(\delta)| = |e^{i\theta'}||b|| + e^{i(\theta + \theta'')} ||c||| = ||b|| + ||c||$ , that is, *B* and *C* are additively related.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] R. MACDOWELL, Banach spaces and algebras of continuous functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 6(1955), 67-78. [2] M. NAKAMURA, On the direct product of finite factors, Tôhoku Math. Journ.,
- 6(1954), 205-207. [3] Z. TAKEDA, Inductive limit and infinite direct product of operator algebras,
- Tôhoku Math. Journ., 7(1955), 67-86.
- [4] T. TURUMARU, On the direct product of operator algebras, I, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 4(1952), 242-251.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY.