## AN EXTENSION OF LINDELÖF'S THEOREM TO MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

## S. M. SHAH<sup>1)</sup>

(Received January 6, 1960)

1. Introduction. If f(z) be an entire function of finite order  $\rho$ , then Lindelöf has obtained a set of conditions in order that f(z) may be of maximum, mean or minimum type [2; 1, 25-30]. A theorem of the similar nature for meromorphic functions is stated by Valiron [8] and Hari Shanker has recently [3] extended the results of Lindelöf by taking comparison function  $r^{\rho} L(r)$ . In this note we prove three theorems which will include the theorems of Lindelöf, Valiron and Hari Shanker as special cases.

Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of finite order  $\rho$ . We have

(1.1) 
$$f(z) = z^{k} \exp(Cz^{p_{3}} + \cdots) \prod_{1}^{\infty} E(z/a_{n}, p_{1}) / \prod_{1}^{\infty} E(z/b_{n}, p_{2}),$$

where  $Q(z) = Cz^{p_3} + \cdots$  is a polynomial of degree  $p_3 \leq [\rho]$ . Write

$$n(r) = n(r, 1/f) + n(r, f), N(r) = N(r, 1/f) + N(r, f)$$

When  $\rho > 0$ , and N(r) is of order  $\rho$ , we define a proximate order  $\rho(r)$  for N(r) as follows.

(i)  $\rho(r)$  is differentiable for  $r > r_0$ , except at isolated points at which  $\rho'(r-0)$  and  $\rho'(r+0)$  exist.

(ii) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\rho(r)=\rho.$$

(iii) 
$$\lim r\rho'(r)\log r = 0.$$

(iv) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \sup N(r)/r^{\rho(r)} = 1.$$

For the existence of a proximate order see [6] where  $\rho(r)$  is constructed with log M(r); the argument given there can be utilised to construct  $\rho(r)$  with the above properties (i)-(iv).

When  $\rho$  is integer, we can write f(z) in the form

(1.2) 
$$f(z) = z^{k} \exp\left(cz^{\rho} + \cdots\right) \prod_{1}^{\infty} E(z/a_{n}, \rho) / \prod_{1}^{\infty} E(z/b_{n}, \rho)$$
$$= z^{k} \exp\left(cz^{\rho} + \cdots\right) P_{1} / P_{2} \text{ (say)}$$

1) Abstract presented to Indian Math. Soc., Dec. 1959.

where if f has no poles,  $P_2$  is to be replaced by 1, and if f has a finite number of poles then  $P_2 = \prod_{n=1}^{N} E(z/b_n, \rho).$ 

Similarly when  $\lim_{r \to \infty} n(r, 1/f) < \infty$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $\rho$  is integer and N(r) is of order  $\rho$ , then

(1.3) 
$$T(r,f) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| c\rho + \sum_{|a_n| < r} a_n^{-\rho} - \sum_{|b_n| < r} b_n^{-\rho} \right| + O(r^{\rho(r)})$$

where f(z) is given by (1.2). If N(r) is of order less than  $\rho$ , then we have from (1.1)

(1.4) 
$$p_3 = \rho, C \neq 0, T(r, f) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\pi} |C| + O(r^{\mu}), \mu < \rho.$$

THEOREM 2. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of integer order  $\rho$ and let L(r) be a slowly changing positive function [4; pp. 52-54] and write

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} |T(r)/r^{\rho} L(r) = T_{L}; \limsup_{r \to \infty} |n(r)/r^{\rho} L(r) = n_{L};$$

(1.5) 
$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} S(r)/r^{\rho} L(r) = S_L;$$

where

(1.6) 
$$S(r) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| c\rho + \sum_{|a_n| < r} a_n^{-\rho} - \sum_{|b_n| < r} b_n^{-\rho} \right|.$$

(i) If N(r) is of order  $\rho$ , then

$$0 < T_L < \infty \Longleftrightarrow 0 < \max(n_L, S_L) < \infty$$
,

$$T_L = \infty \iff \max(n_L, S_L) = \infty,$$

 $(1.7) T_L = 0 \iff \max(n_L, S_L) = 0.$ 

(ii) If N(r) is of order less than  $\rho$ , then

(1.8) 
$$T(r) \sim S(r) \sim r^{\rho} |C|/\pi; \ n(r) = O(r^{\alpha}), \ \alpha < \rho.$$

THEOREM 3. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of order  $\rho$ . (i) If  $\rho > 0$  be non-integer, and  $T_L$  etc. be defined as in (1.5), then

$$0 < T_L < \infty \Longleftrightarrow 0 < n_L < \infty,$$
  
 $T_L = \infty \Longleftrightarrow n_L = \infty,$ 

 $(1.9) T_L = 0 \iff n_L = 0.$ 

(ii) When  $\rho = 0$ , let the comparison function be  $L(r) = (\log r) L_1(r)$ where  $L_1(r)$  is slowly changing and  $\uparrow \infty$  with r. Write

$$T_L = \limsup_{r \to \infty} T(r)/L(r); \ N_L = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\{N(r, 1/f) + N(r, f)\}}{L(r)}.$$

Then

$$(1.10) T_L \leq N_L \leq 2T_{L_2}$$

and the relations (1.9) hold with  $N_L$  instead of  $n_L$ . If f(z) be entire function of zero order, then  $T_L = N_L$ .

,

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Write (1.2) in the form  $f(z) = \phi_0 \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4^{-1} \phi_5$ .

where

$$\begin{split} \phi_0(z) &= z^{\kappa} \exp\left(c_1 \ z^{\rho-1} + \cdots\right), \\ \phi_1(z) &= \exp\left\{cz^{\rho} + \frac{z^{\rho}}{\rho} \left(\sum_{|a_n| < 2r} a_n^{-\rho} - \sum_{|b_n| < 2r} b_n^{-\rho}\right)\right\} \\ \phi_2(z) &= \prod_1^{n_0} E(z/a_n, \rho - 1) / \prod_1^{n_0} E(z/b_n, \rho - 1), \\ \phi_3(z) &= \prod_{n>n_0}^{|a_n| < 2r} E(z/a_n, \rho - 1), \\ \phi_4(z) &= \prod_{n>n_0}^{|b_n| < 2r} E(z/b_n, \rho - 1), \\ \phi_5(z) &= \prod_{|a_n| \ge 2r} E(z/a_n, \rho) \Big/ \prod_{|b_n| \ge 2r} E(z/b_n, \rho). \end{split}$$

Now for  $\phi_0, \phi_2$ 

$$T(r) = O(r^{\rho-1}) + O(\log r).$$

Further

$$\log^{+} |\phi_{\mathfrak{z}}(z)| = O\left(N(r, 1/f) + r^{\rho-1} \int_{r_{0}}^{2r} n(x, 1/f) x^{-\rho} dx + n(2r, 1/f)\right).$$

Hence

$$T(r, \phi_3) = O(r^{\rho(r)}).$$

Similarly

$$T(r, \phi_4) = O(r^{\rho(r)}),$$
$$T(r, \phi_5) = O\left(r^{\rho+1} \int_{2r}^{\infty} x^{\rho(x)-\rho-2} dx\right) = O(r^{\rho(r)}).$$

Hence

$$T(r,f) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| c\rho + \sum_{|a_n| \leq r} a_n^{-\rho} - \sum_{|b_n| \leq r} b_n^{-\rho} \right| + O(r^{\rho(r)})$$
$$= S(r) + O(r^{\rho(r)}).$$

If  $N(r) = O(r^{\alpha})$ , where  $\alpha < \rho$  then

$$T(r, P_i) = O(r^{s}), \ i = 1, 2, \ \alpha < \beta < \rho.$$

Hence

$$P_3 = \rho, \ C \neq 0, \ T(r,f) = \frac{|C|r^{\rho}}{\pi} + O(r^{\mu}), \ \mu < \rho.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2. (i) Suppose N(r) is of order  $\rho$ . If  $n_L < \infty$ , then we obtain as in the first part of Theorem 1,

(3.1) 
$$T(r, f) = S(r) + O(r^{\rho} L(r));$$

and if  $n_L = 0$ , then

(3.2) 
$$T(r,f) = S(r) + o(r^{\circ} L(r))$$

Hence if  $S_L < \infty$ , then  $T_L < \infty$ . If  $T_L < \infty$ , then  $T(r) < Ar^{\rho}L(r)$ ,  $N(r) < A_1 r^{\rho} L(r)$ ,  $n(r) < A_2 r^{\rho} L(r)$ . Hence  $n_L < \infty$  and from (3.1)  $S_L < \infty$ . If  $n_L > 0$  then  $T_L > 0$ . If  $T_L > 0$ , then  $\max(n_L, S_L) > 0$ , for if  $n_L = 0$ , then from (3.2),  $T_L = S_L > 0$ . If  $T_L = \infty$ , then  $\max(n_L, S_L) = \infty$ , for if this expression is less than  $\infty$ , then from (3.1) we get  $T_L < \infty$ . If  $n_L = \infty$  then  $T_L = \infty$  and if  $S_L = \infty$ ,  $n_L < \infty$  then from (3.1)  $T_L = \infty$ . If  $T_L = 0$ , then  $n_L = 0$  and from (3.2)  $S_L = 0$ . If  $n_L = S_L = 0$  then  $T_L = 0$ .

(ii) Since N(r) is of order  $< \rho$ ,  $\sum a_n^{-\rho}$ ,  $\sum b_n^{-\rho}$  are both convergent, and if f has an infinity of zeros and an infinity of poles,

$$c
ho=C
ho-\sum_{1}^{\infty}a_{n}^{-
ho}+\sum_{1}^{\infty}b_{n}^{-
ho}.$$

Hence

$$S(r) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| C\rho - \sum_{|a_n|>r} a_n^{-\rho} + \sum_{|b_n|>r} b_n^{-\rho} \right|$$

and so

$$(3.3) S(r) \sim r^{\rho} |C| / \pi.$$

Similarly we can prove (3, 3) when f has a finite number of poles or zeros

336

or both. Hence from (1.4) and (3.3)

$$T(r) \sim S(r); \ n(r) = O(N(2r)) = O(r^{\alpha}), \ \alpha < \rho.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3. (i) We have from (1.1), [7]

$$T(r, f) \leq O(r^{p_3}) + O(\log r) + \log M(r, P_1) + \log M(r, P_2)$$

$$\leq O(r^{\mu}) + O(\log r) + A \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n(x) r^{1+\mu}}{x^{1+\mu}(x+r)} dx$$

where  $\mu = [\rho]$ . Hence if  $n_L < \infty$ ,

$$T(r, f) \leq A_1 \left\{ r^{\mu} + \log r + r^{\mu} \int_1^r x^{\rho - \mu - 1} L(x) \, dx \right\}$$
$$+ r^{1 + \mu} \int_r^\infty x^{\rho - \mu - 2} L(x) \, dx \left\}$$
$$\leq A_1 \left\{ r^{\mu} + \log r + \frac{r^{\rho} L(r)}{\rho - \mu} + \frac{r^{\rho} L(r)}{1 + \mu - \rho} \right\}$$
$$< A_2 r^{\rho} L(r),$$

and (1.9) follows provided  $n_L < \infty$ . If  $n_L = \infty$  then  $T_L = \infty$  and from above if  $T_L = \infty$  then  $n_L = \infty$ .

(ii) We have [5]

$$N(r) \leq 2T(r, f) + O(1),$$
  
$$T(r, f) \leq \{1 + o(1)\} r \int_{r}^{\infty} \{N(t)/t^{2}\} dt$$

and (1.10) follows. If f be entire then  $N(r) \leq T(r, f) + O(1)$ ,  $T_L = N_L$ .

5. Remarks. (i) If f is entire and  $p_1 = \rho$  then from (1.3) we have, since c = C,

$$T(r,f) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| C\rho + \sum_{|a_n| < r} \bar{a_n}^{-\rho} \right| + O(r^{\rho(r)}).$$
(4.1)

Further since

$$T(r, f) \sim T(r, fP)$$

where P is any polynomial, (4.1) holds also for functions with a finite number of poles and  $p_1 = \rho$ . We can get this result directly from (1.3) for we will have

$$T(r, f) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| \left( C + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{1}^{k} b_{n}^{-\rho} \right) \rho + \sum_{|a_{n}| < r} a_{n}^{-\rho} - \sum_{1}^{k} b_{n}^{-\rho} \right| + O(r^{\rho(r)}).$$

A similar remark applies when f has a finite number of zeros.

(ii) The formula (1.3) is useful when S(r) is large compared to  $r^{\rho(r)}$ . For instance if

$$f(z) = \Gamma(z), S(r) \sim \{r \log r\}/\pi, r^{\rho(r)} = O(r).$$

But for functions of the form (1.2) with

$$c
ho + \sum_{|a_n| < r} a_{*}^{-
ho} - \sum_{|b_n| < r} b_n^{-
ho} = O(1); \ N(r) > lpha r^{\circ}, \ lpha > 0, \ r > r_0,$$

(1.3) does not give much information.

(iii) If f be meromorhic function of integer order  $\rho$  and such that  $\max(p_1, p_2) = \rho - 1$ , then we get from (1.3)

(4.2) 
$$T(r,f) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| C\rho - \sum_{|a_n| > r} a^{-\rho} + \sum_{|b_n| > r} b_n^{-\rho} \right| + O(r^{\rho(r)}).$$

If f be entire function with  $p_1 = \rho - 1$ ,  $p_3 < \rho$  and such that  $n_L < \infty$ , then from (3.1) and (4.2)

$$T(r,f) = \frac{r^{\rho}}{\rho \pi} \left| \sum_{|a_n|>r} a_n^{-\rho} \right| + O(r^{\rho}L(r)).$$

It is not necessary to suppose here that L(r) be monotone except when  $\rho = 0$  (see Theorem 3(ii)).

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. BOAS, Entire Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- [2] E.F.LINDELÖF, Sur les fonctions entieres d'ordre entier, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3), 22(1905), 365-395.
- [3] HARI SHANKER, On Lindelöf's theorem on entire functions, Jour. Ind. Math. Soc., 27(1958), 137-147.
- [4] G. H. HARDY AND W. W. ROGOSINKI, Note on Fourier seris, Quart. J. of Maths. (Oxford Series), 16(1945), 49-58.
- [5] S. M. SHAH, A note on meromorphic functions, Math. Student, 12(1944), 67-70.
- [6] S. M. SHAH, On proximate orders of entire functions, Bull. Amer. Math Soc., 52(1946), 326-328.
- [7] S. M. SHAH, Some theorems on meromorphic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1(1951), 694-698.
- [8] G. VALIRON, Remarques sur les valuers exceptionnelles des fonctions méromorphes, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 57(1933), 71-86.

Northwestern University, Evanston, U.S.A. And Muslim University, Aligarh, India.

338