AN EXAMPLE OF GENERAL RECURSIVE WELL-ORDERING WHICH IS NOT PRIMITIVE RECURSIVE

Shih-Chao Liu

(Received October 19, 1959)

This note is to define a binary relation x < y which is a general recursive well-ordering of the natural numbers but which is not primitive recursive. Peter [1] has constructed a general recursive function $\varphi(n, x, y)$ which enumerates all the primitive recursive functions of two variables so that given any primitive recursive function F(x, y), there is a natural number n_0 such that $\varphi(n_0, x, y) = F(x, y)$. In terms of this enumerating function we define an ordering of the natural numbers in the following manner. We first arrange all the natural numbers in their natural order

$0 < 1 < 2 < \dots$

Then for every natural number *i* we change the places of 2i and 2i + 1 in the above series, if $\varphi(i, 2i, 2i + 1) = 0$ and $\varphi(i, 2i + 1, 2i) \neq 0$; otherwise, let 2i and 2i + 1 keep their natural order. For example, take i = 0. By the definition of $\varphi(i, x, y)$, $\varphi(0, x, y) = x$. Then $\varphi(0, 2.0, 2.0 + 1) = 0$ and $\varphi(0, 2.0 + 1, 2.0) = 1$, therefore 2.0 and 2.0 + 1 should change their places. According to this rule we arrange the natural numbers in a new order

$$1 \lt 0 \lt \dots \land 2i + 1 \lt 2i \lt \dots$$

where $\varphi(i, 2i, 2i + 1) = 0$ and $\varphi(i, 2i + 1, 2i) \neq 0$. Obviously \prec is a well-ordering of type ω .

To show that \prec is not primitive recursive, let W(x, y) be the representing function of $x \prec y$ so that W(x, y) = 0, if and only if $x \prec y$. Given any natural number *i*, we distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $\varphi(i, 2i, 2i + 1) \neq 0$. In this case 2i < 2i + 1 and therefore $W(2i, 2i + 1) = 0 \neq \varphi(i, 2i, 2i + 1)$. Case 2. $\varphi(i, 2i, 2i + 1) = 0$ and $\varphi(i, 2i + 1, 2i) \neq 0$. In this case 2i + 1 < 2i, therefore $W(2i + 1, 2i) = 0 \neq \varphi(i, 2i + 1, 2i) = 0 \neq \varphi(i, 2i + 1, 2i)$. Case 3. $\varphi(i, 2i, 2i + 1) = 0$ and $\varphi(i, 2i + 1, 2i) = 0$. In this case 2i < 2i + 1 but 2i + 1 - 2i, therefore $W(2i + 1, 2i) \neq 0 = \varphi(i, 2i + 1, 2i)$. We have shown that in any case W(x, y) is not identically equal to the primitive recursive function $\varphi(i, x, y)$ which is arbitrarily given. Hence W(x, y) (and consequently x < y) is not primitive recursive.

The well-known primitive recursive function [x, 2] means that whenever

x is expressed as x = 2i + j where j < 2 we have i = [x, 2]. Formally the function W(x, y) can be defined as follows.

$$W(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } [x,2] < [y,2] \\ 0, & \text{if } [x,2] = [y,2] \& x > y \& \\ \varphi([x,2],y,x) = 0 \& \varphi([x,2],x,y) \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } [x,2] = [y,2] \& x < y \& \\ \hline \varphi([x,2],x,y) = 0 \& \varphi([x,2],y,x) \neq 0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This definition shows that W(x, y) is primitive recursive in $\varphi(i, x, y)$ and consequently that W(x, y) is general recursive.

Thus $x \prec y$ is an example of general recursive well-ordering of the natural numbers which is not primitive recursive. Kleene [2] has shown that to any general recursive well-ordering of the natural numbers, there is a primitive recursive well-ordering, of the natural numbers, with the same order type. This implies that the types of primitive recursive well-orderings of the natural numbers have exhausted the types of general recursive well-orderings of the natural numbers. However, as the example of this note shows, primitive recursive well-orderings of the natural numbers do not exhaust general recursive well-orderings of the natural numbers.

REFERENCES

 R. PETER, Konstruktion nichtrekursiver Funktionen, Math. Annalen 111(1935), 42-60.
S. C. KLEENE, On the forms of the predicates in the theory of constructive ordinals, American Journal of Math., 77(1955), 405-428.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIWAN CHINA.

 $\mathbf{234}$