

# A GAP SEQUENCE WITH GAPS BIGGER THAN THE HADAMARD'S

SHIGERU TAKAHASHI

(Received July 3, 1960)

**1. Introduction.** In the present note let  $f(t)$  be a measurable function satisfying the conditions ;

$$(1.1) \quad f(t+1) = f(t), \quad \int_0^1 f(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 f^2(t) dt = 1.$$

In [2] M. Kac noticed that if  $f(t)$  is a function of Lip  $\alpha$  or of bounded variation, then it is seen that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\{ t; \frac{1}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k f(n_k t) < \omega \right\} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} e^{-u^2/2} du,$$

where  $\{n_k\}$  is a sequence of integers such that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{k+1}/n_k = +\infty$$

and  $\{a_k\}$  is any sequence of real numbers satisfying the following conditions

$$(1.4) \quad A_N^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |a_k| = o(A_N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Also in [4] G. Morgenthaler proved that if  $f(t)$  is bounded and  $\{a_k\}$  satisfies (1.4), then there exists a sequence  $\{f(n_k t)\}$  independent of  $\{a_k\}$  and (1.2) holds.

On the other hand P. Erdős [2] showed that if  $f(t) = \cos 2\pi t + \cos 4\pi t$ , then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\{ t; \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=1}^N f((2^k - 1)t) < \omega \right\} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 dx \int_{-\infty}^{\omega/2|\cos \pi x|} e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

From above facts we see that if (1.2) holds, the properties of  $n_{k+1}/n_k$  and the smoothness of  $f(t)$  become subjects of considerations (cf. [3]). The purpose of this note is to prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{a_k\}$  satisfy (1.3) and (1.4) respectively and for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(1.5) \quad \left[ \int_0^1 \{f(t) - S_n(t)\}^2 dt \right]^{1/2} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}\right), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where  $S_n(t)$  denotes the  $n$ -th partial sum of the Fourier series of  $f(t)$ . Then we have, for any measurable set  $E, E \subset [0, 1]$ , of positive measure,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|E|} \left| \left\{ t; t \in E, \frac{1}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k f(n_k t) < \omega \right\} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

From the above theorem it is seen that under the conditions (1.3) and (1.5),  $\sum a_k^2 = +\infty$  implies the almost everywhere divergence of the series  $\sum a_k f(n_k t)$ .

On the other hand in [1] S. Izumi proved that under the conditions (1.5) and the Hadamard's gap condition  $n_{k+1}/n_k > q > 1$ ,  $\sum a_k^2 < \infty$  implies the almost everywhere convergence of the sequence  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m^2} a_k f(n_k t)$ .

**2. Proof of the theorem.** By (1.3) and (1.4) we can take a sequence of positive integers  $\{q_k\}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad n_{k+1}/n_k \geq 4q_k \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, *$$

and

$$(2.1') \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |q_k^{1/2} a_k| = o(A_N) \text{ and } q_N \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We put

$$(2.2) \quad f(t) \sim \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_l \cos 2\pi l t$$

and, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(2.2') \quad g_k(t) \sim \sum_{l > q_k} c_l \cos 2\pi l t \quad \text{and} \quad R_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l > q_k} c_l^2.$$

LEMMA. 1. We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k g_k(n_k t) \right\}^2 dt = 0.$$

PROOF. We have, by Parseval's relation for  $k > j$ ,

$$\left| \int_0^1 g_k(n_k t) g_j(n_j t) dt \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l > q_k} c_l d_l \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l > q_k} c_l^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l > q_k} d_l^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where

---

\*) The condition (2.1) need not hold for small  $k$ , but without loss of generality we may assume that (2.1) holds for all  $k$ .

$$d_l = \begin{cases} c \frac{n_k l}{n_j}, & \text{if } n_j | n_k l, \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (2.1), (2.2'), (1.5) and the definition of  $d_l$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l > q_k} d_l^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 4k-j} c_l^2 \right)^{1/2} = O\left( \frac{1}{(k-j)^{1+\epsilon}} \right), \quad \text{as } (k-j) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence we have, by above relations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k g_k(n_k t) \right\}^2 dt &= \frac{1}{A_N^2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \int_0^1 g_k^2(t) dt + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} a_j a_k \int_0^1 g_k(n_k t) g_j(n_j t) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 R_{q_k} + O\left( \frac{1}{A_N^2} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} a_k a_j R_{q_k}^{1/2} \frac{1}{(k-j)^{1+\epsilon}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 R_{q_k} + O\left( \frac{1}{A_N^2} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{r^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-r} R_{q_i}^{1/2} a_i a_{i+r} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 R_{q_k} + O\left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{R_{q_i} a_i^2}{A_N^2} \right)^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R_{q_i} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow +\infty$ , by (1.4) we can prove the lemma.

LEMMA 2. *We have*

$$(2.3) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)| = o(A_N)$$

and

$$(2.3') \quad \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 S_{q_k}^2(n_k t) - 1 \right|^2 dt = o(1), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

PROOF. By (2.1'), it follows that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |a_k| \sum_{l=1}^{q_k} |c_l| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} 2|a_k| q_k^{1/2} = o(A_N),$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Further we have, by (1.4) and (2.2'),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 S_{q_k}^2(n_k t) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 R_{q_k} + \frac{1}{A_N} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \sum_{l=1}^{2q_k} \cos 2\pi n_k l t \sum_{\substack{l-j=l \\ i+j=l}} c_i c_j \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) if  $k \neq k'$ , then for any  $l, l' (1 \leq l \leq 2q_k \text{ and } 1 \leq l' \leq 2q_{k'})$ ,

$$\int_0^1 \cos 2\pi n_k l t \cos 2\pi n_{k'} l' t dt = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 R_{q_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence for the proof of (2.3'), it is sufficient to show that

$$I_N = \frac{1}{A_N^4} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^4 \sum_{l=1}^{2q_k} \left( \sum_{\substack{i-j=l \\ i+j=l}} c_i c_j \right)^2 = o(1), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

On the other hand, by (2.1') and (1.4), we have

$$I_N = O\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{a_k^2 q_k}{A_N^2}\right) = o(1), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By Lemma 2, we know that if we put

$$(2.4) \quad E_N = \left\{ t; \left| \frac{1}{A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 S_{q_k}^2(n_k t) - 1 \right| < 1 \right\},$$

then we have

$$(2.4') \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |E_N| = 1.$$

For the proof of the theorem it is sufficient, by Lemma 1 and the theorem of Glivenko, for any fixed  $\lambda$  and any interval  $I$ , to show that

$$\Phi_N(\lambda, I) = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \exp \left\{ \frac{i\lambda}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t) \right\} dt \rightarrow e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}}, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By (2.3), (2.4), (2.4') and the fact that  $\exp z = (1+z) \exp\left(\frac{z^2}{2} + O(|z|^3)\right)$ , as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$ , we have, as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\Phi_N(\lambda, I) = \frac{e^{o(1)}}{|I|} \int_{I \cap E_N} \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda^2}{2 A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 S_{q_k}^2(n_k t) \right) dt.$$

By Lemma 2, (2.4), and the fact that  $|e^x - 1| \leq |x|e^{|x|}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{I \cap E_N} \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda^2}{2 A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 S_{q_k}^2(n_k t) \right) - \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \right) \right\} dt \right| \\ & \leq \int_{I \cap E_N} \left\{ \exp(\lambda^2) \right\} \left| -\frac{\lambda^2}{2 A_N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 S_{q_k}^2(n_k t) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \right| dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for the proof of the theorem it is sufficient to show that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I \cap E_N} \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) dt \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

LEMMA 3. *We have, for all  $N$ ,*

$$\int_0^1 \left| \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) \right|^2 dt \leq M.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left| \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) \right|^2 &= \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 a_k^2 \sum_{l=1}^{q_k} c_l^2}{2 A_N^2} + \frac{\lambda^2 a_k^2 T_k(n_k t)}{2 A_N^2} \right) \\ &= \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 a_k^2 \sum_{l=1}^{q_k} c_l^2}{2 A_N^2} \right) + \Psi_N(t, \lambda) \leq e^{\lambda^2} + \Psi_N(t, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

$\Psi_N(t, \lambda)$  is the sum of terms of the following form

$$(2.6) \quad (\text{constant}) \times \prod_{i=1}^s \cos 2\pi n_{k_i} l_i t,$$

where

$$(2.6') \quad 1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_s \leq N \text{ and } 1 \leq l_i \leq 2q_{k_i}.$$

(2.6) can be expressed as the sum of the following terms

$$(2.7) \quad (\text{constant}) \times \cos 2\pi(n_{k_s} l_s \pm \dots \pm n_{k_1} l_1).$$

On the other hand by (2.1) and (2.6'), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_{k_s} l_s - n_{k_{s-1}} l_{s-1} - \dots - n_{k_1} l_1 &\geq n_{k_s} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{4} - \frac{2}{4^2} - \dots \right) \\ &\geq n_{k_s} \left( 1 - \frac{2/4}{1 - 1/4} \right) \geq n_{k_s}/3 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\int_0^1 \Psi_N(t, \lambda) dt = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

By Lemma 3, and (2.4') we have

(2.8)

$$\left| \left( \int_I - \int_{I \cap E_N} \right) \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) dt \right| \leq |E_N^c|^{1/2} \left[ \int_0^1 \left| \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) \right|^2 dt \right]^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

LEMMA 4. *We have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_I \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) dt = |I|.$$

PROOF. If we put  $\prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) = 1 + \theta_N(t, \lambda)$ , then  $\theta_N(t, \lambda)$  consists of the terms

$$(2.9) \quad \prod_{j=1}^s \left\{ \frac{i\lambda a_{k_j} c_j}{A_N} \cos 2\pi n_{k_j} l_{j,t} \right\} = \prod_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{i\lambda a_{k_j} c_j}{2A_N} \right) \sum \cos 2\pi(n_{k_1} l_1 \pm \dots \pm n_{k_s} l_s)$$

where  $\sum$  denotes the summation over all possible combinations of  $\pm$  and

$$1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_s \leq N \text{ and } 1 \leq l_j \leq q_{k_j}.$$

In the same way as that of Lemma 3, we have

$$(2.10) \quad n_{k_1} l_1 \pm n_{k_2} l_2 \pm \dots \pm n_{k_s} l_s \geq \frac{2}{3} n_{k_1}.$$

Using (2.9), (2.10) and the fact that for  $\alpha > 0$  and any interval  $I$ ,

$$\left| \int_I \cos \alpha t dt \right| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha},$$

$$\left| \int_I \prod_{j=1}^s \left\{ \left( \frac{\lambda a_{k_j} c_j}{A_N} \right) \cos 2\pi n_{k_j} l_{j,t} \right\} dt \right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{|\lambda a_{k_j} c_j|}{A_N} / n_{k_1}.$$

If we put

$$\int_I \prod_1^N \left( 1 + \frac{i\lambda a_k S_{q_k}(n_k t)}{A_N} \right) dt = |I| + \Omega_N(\lambda, I),$$

then we have, by (2.1) and (2.1') for  $N > N_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_N(\lambda, I)| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^N \left( \frac{|\lambda a_k|}{n_k A_N} \sum_{l=1}^{q_k} |c_l| \right) \prod_{s=1}^{k-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{q_s} \frac{|\lambda a_s c_l|}{A_N} \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{q_1} \frac{|\lambda a_1 c_l|}{A_N n_1}, \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{|2\lambda a_k q_k^{1/2}|}{A_N} \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{n_k} \prod_{s=1}^{k-1} \left( 1 + \frac{2|\lambda a_s q_s^{1/2}|}{A_N} \right) + \frac{2|\lambda a_1 q_1^{1/2}|}{A_N n_1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{|\lambda a_k q_k^{1/2}|}{A_N} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{2^k}{4^k} = o(1), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This completes the proof.

By (2.5), (2.8) and Lemma 4, we can prove the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. IZUMI, Notes on Fourier Analysis (XLI); On the strong law of large numbers and gap series, Tôhoku Math. J., 3(1951), 89-103.
- [2] M. KAC, Probability method in analysis and number theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55(1949), 641-665.
- [3] M. KAC, On the distribution of values of sums of the type  $\sum f(2^k t)$ , Ann. of Math., 47(1946), 33-49.
- [4] G. W. MORGENTHAUER, A central limit theorem for uniformly bounded orthonormal systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 79(1955), 281-311.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY.