

ON RIESZ SUMMABILITY FACTORS

I.J. MADDOX

(Received August 17, 1962)

1. In this note we give, in Theorem A, necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of real numbers $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ to be such that $\Sigma a_n \varepsilon_n$ is absolutely convergent whenever Σa_n is summable (R, λ, κ) , $\kappa \geq 0$. The case $\kappa = 0$, i.e. $(R, \lambda, 0)$ equivalent to convergence, was dealt with by Fekete [3]. If we take $\lambda_n = n$ in Theorem A, then the known equivalence of (R, n, κ) and (C, κ) summability yields a result due to Bosanquet [1], Theorem 3 (the case $\rho = 0$, $\kappa > 0$).

Jurkat [6], Satz 1, has given a matrix method of summability which, with certain restrictions on λ_n , is equivalent to (R, λ, κ) summability for all $\kappa \geq 0$. We shall employ this method of summability to give a necessary condition for $\Sigma |a_n \varepsilon_n| < \infty$ whenever Σa_n is summable (R, λ, κ) .

In what follows we shall refer to a number of summability methods.

(i) Suppose that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of non-negative numbers increasing to infinity. Define for $\kappa \geq 0$,

$$A^\kappa(\omega) = \sum_{\lambda_\nu < \omega} (\omega - \lambda_\nu)^\kappa a_\nu.$$

If $\omega^{-\kappa} A^\kappa(\omega) \rightarrow s$ ($\omega \rightarrow \infty$), then we say that Σa_n is summable (R, λ, κ) to s .

(ii) If in (i) above ω takes only the values λ_{n+1} , then we say Σa_n is summable $(\bar{R}, \lambda, \kappa)$ to s . For $0 < \kappa \leq 1$, Jurkat [4], Satz 2, has shown that (R, λ, κ) and $(\bar{R}, \lambda, \kappa)$ summability are equivalent.

(iii) Let $p \geq 0$ be an integer and $\kappa = p + \theta$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$. Define

$$C_n^0 = s_n = \sum_{m=0}^n a_m, \quad C^\kappa[s_m] = C_n^\kappa, \quad \text{where}$$

$$C_n^\kappa = \frac{1}{(p+1)\Gamma(\theta+1)} \sum_{m=0}^n \Delta_m(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_m)^\theta \frac{\lambda_{m+p+1} - \lambda_m}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m} C_m^p.$$

Successive applications of this last formula with $\theta = 1$ define C_n^p ; a further application defines C_n^κ .

If $C^\kappa[s_m]/C^\kappa[1] \rightarrow s$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then we say Σa_n is summable C^κ to s .

(iv) We say Σa_n is summable $|B|$ if $\Sigma |\Delta t_n| < \infty$, where

$$t_n = \sum_{m=0}^n b_{n,m} a_m.$$

(v) Let $A^\kappa(\omega)$ be defined as in (i). Then Σa_n is summable $|R, \lambda, \kappa|, \kappa \geq 0$, if

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} |d\{\omega^{-\kappa} A^\kappa(\omega)\}| < \infty.$$

By $|R, \lambda, 0|$ summability we mean $\Sigma |a_n| < \infty$.

2. For the proof of Theorem A we shall require some preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $\kappa = p + \theta$ ($p \geq 0$ integral, $0 < \theta \leq 1$), and suppose that $\Lambda_n = \lambda_{n+1}/(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)$ increases. For non-integral κ let $|\Delta\lambda_n|$ be monotonic and $n|\Delta\lambda_n|^\theta$ increase. Then the following are equivalent

$$\frac{A^\kappa(\omega)}{\omega^\kappa} = o(1) \text{ and } \frac{C^\kappa[s_m]}{C^\kappa[1]} = o(1).$$

This was proved by Jurkat [6], Satz 1.

LEMMA 2. If $A^\kappa(\omega) = o(\omega^\kappa)$, $\kappa > 0$, then

$$s_n = \sum_{m=0}^n a_m = o(\Lambda_n^\kappa), \text{ where } \Lambda_n = \lambda_{n+1}/(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n).$$

Lemma 2 is the limitation theorem for Riesz means (see for example Hardy and Riesz [4], Theorem 22).

LEMMA 3. Let $\{u_n\}$ converge, $\Sigma |\alpha_n| < \infty$ and

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{m=0}^n s_{n,m} u_m \quad (n=0,1,\dots).$$

Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |s_{n,n}| < \infty$.

This follows from a result due to Chow [2], Lemma 6.

LEMMA 4. Let A and B be normal matrices. If $\Sigma a_n \epsilon_n$ is summable $|B|$, whenever Σa_n is summable A , then

$$\Sigma |b_{n,n} a_{n,n}^{-1} \epsilon_n| < \infty.$$

PROOF. Let $u_n = \sum_{m=0}^n a_{n,m} a_m$, $t_n = \sum_{m=0}^n b_{n,m} a_m \epsilon_m$, and $\alpha_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$. Then $\{u_n\}$

is convergent, and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= b_{n,n}a_n\varepsilon_n + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (b_{n,m} - b_{n-1,m})a_m\varepsilon_m \\ &\equiv \sum_{m=0}^n s_{n,m}u_m, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n,n} &= b_{n,n}a'_{n,n}\varepsilon_n, \\ s_{n,m} &= b_{n,n}a'_{n,m}\varepsilon_n + \sum_{r=m}^{n-1} \varepsilon_r(b_{n,r} - b_{n-1,r})a'_{r,m} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Here $(a'_{r,m})$ is the reciprocal matrix obtained by solving for a_n in terms of u_n . Since $a'_{n,n} = a_{n,n}^{-1}$, we have on applying Lemma 3,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_{n,n}a_{n,n}^{-1}\varepsilon_n| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |s_{n,n}| < \infty.$$

This proves the lemma.

3. We now prove the main result.

THEOREM A. *Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Then $\Sigma a_n\varepsilon_n$ is absolutely convergent whenever Σa_n is summable (R, λ, κ) , $\kappa \geq 0$, if and only if*

$$\Sigma \Lambda_n^* |\varepsilon_n| < \infty, \text{ where } \Lambda_n = \lambda_{n+1}/(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n).$$

PROOF. *Necessity.* By Lemma 1 we may employ C^* summability in place of (R, λ, κ) summability. For positive integers p , we have

$$C_n^p = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{m=0}^n (\lambda_{m+p} - \lambda_m)C_m^{p-1}.$$

Hence

$$C_n^1 = \sum_{m=0}^n (\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m)s_m = \sum_{m=0}^n (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_m)a_m.$$

It is readily shown by induction on r , that for integers $r \geq 1$,

$$C_n^r = \sum_{m=0}^n c_{n,m}^r a_m,$$

where

$$(1) \quad c_{n,n}^r = (\lambda_{n+r} - \lambda_n)(\lambda_{n+r-1} - \lambda_n) \cdots (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)/r!.$$

Thus it follows from (1) that

$$C_n^\kappa = \sum_{m=0}^n d_{n,m} a_m,$$

where

$$d_{n,m} = \frac{1}{(p+1)\Gamma(\theta+1)} \sum_{q=m}^n \Delta_q (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_q)^\theta \frac{(\lambda_{q+p+1} - \lambda_q)}{(\lambda_{q+1} - \lambda_q)} c_{q,m}^p.$$

Hence we have $d_{n,n} = (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)^\kappa / \Gamma(\kappa + 1)$ if $0 < \kappa \leq 1$, and $d_{n,n} = (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)^\theta (\lambda_{n+p+1} - \lambda_n)(\lambda_{n+p} - \lambda_n) \dots (\lambda_{n+2} - \lambda_n) / (p+1)! \Gamma(\theta + 1)$ if $\kappa > 1$.

Let us now consider the C^κ transform of Σa_n :

$$C_n^\kappa / C^\kappa[1] = \sum_{m=0}^n a_{n,m} a_m, \text{ where } a_{n,n} = d_{n,n} / C^\kappa[1].$$

Since $\Sigma |a_n \varepsilon_n| < \infty$, whenever Σa_n is summable C^κ , we have by Lemma 4 that

$$(2) \quad \Sigma |a_{n,n}^{-1} \varepsilon_n| < \infty.$$

Now it was shown by Jurkat in the proof of Lemma 1 that

$$(3) \quad 0 < a \leq \frac{C^\kappa[1]}{\lambda_{n+1}^\kappa} \leq A, \quad a, A \text{ constants.}$$

Also since Λ_n increases we have $|\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1) |\Delta \lambda_{n-1}|$. Hence for $r = 2, 3, \dots, p + 1$,

$$(4) \quad \frac{\lambda_{n+r} - \lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} = 1 + \frac{|\Delta \lambda_{n+1}|}{|\Delta \lambda_n|} + \dots + \frac{|\Delta \lambda_{n+r-1}|}{|\Delta \lambda_n|} = O(1),$$

$$(\lambda_{n+p+1} - \lambda_n) \dots (\lambda_{n+2} - \lambda_n) = O(1) (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)^p.$$

Thus by (2), (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \Lambda_n^\kappa |\varepsilon_n| &= \Sigma \Lambda_n^\kappa \frac{C^\kappa[1]}{d_{n,n}} \cdot \frac{d_{n,n}}{C^\kappa[1]} |\varepsilon_n| \\ &= \Sigma |a_{n,n}^{-1} \varepsilon_n| \frac{\lambda_{n+1}^\kappa}{C^\kappa[1]} \frac{d_{n,n}}{(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)^\kappa} \\ &\leq a^{-1} \Sigma |a_{n,n}^{-1} \varepsilon_n| (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)^\theta O(1) (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)^{p-\kappa} \\ &= O(1) \Sigma |a_{n,n}^{-1} \varepsilon_n| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the necessity. We note that the above proof can be used to establish a rather stronger result. For let us take, in Lemma 4, $b_{n,m} = (1 - \lambda_m / \lambda_{n+1})^\mu$, $\mu > 0$. Then if $\Sigma a_n \varepsilon_n$ is summable $|R, \lambda, \mu|$ it is summable $|B|$. Hence by Lemma 4,

$$(5) \quad \Sigma \Lambda_n^{-\mu} |a_{n,n}^{-1} \varepsilon_n| < \infty.$$

By (2), (3), (4) and (5) we then have

$$(6) \quad \Sigma \Lambda_n^{\kappa-\mu} |\varepsilon_n| < \infty.$$

Thus (6) is necessary for $\Sigma a_n \varepsilon_n$ to be summable $|R, \lambda, \mu|$, $\mu \geq 0$, whenever Σa_n is summable C^* .

We note that when $0 < \kappa \leq 1$, no restriction on λ_n is required, since C^* is equivalent to $(\bar{R}, \lambda, \kappa)$, which is equivalent to (R, λ, κ) by an earlier remark (Section 1, (ii)).

Sufficiency. Suppose that $\Sigma \Lambda_n^* |\varepsilon_n| < \infty$. Since Σa_n is summable (R, λ, κ) and Λ_n increases, we have by Lemma 2,

$$a_n = o(\Lambda_n^* + \Lambda_{n-1}^*) = o(\Lambda_n^*).$$

Hence

$$\Sigma |a_n \varepsilon_n| = O(1) \quad \Sigma \Lambda_n^* |\varepsilon_n| < \infty.$$

This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. S. BOSANQUET, Note on convergence and summability factors, Journ. l London Math. Soc., 20 (1945), 39-48.
- [2] H. C. CHOW, Note on convergence and summability factors, Journ. l London Math. Soc., 29 (1945), 459-476.
- [3] M. FEKETE, Summabilitasi factor-sorozatok, Math. és Termés. Ért., 35(1917), 309-324.
- [4] G. H. HARDY AND M. RIESZ, The General Theory of Dirichlet's series, Cambridge Tract No. 18, 1915.
- [5] W. JURKAT, Über Rieszsche Mittel mit unstetigem Parameter, Math. Zeit., 55(1951), 8-12.
- [6] W. JURKAT, Über Rieszsche Mittel und verwandte Klassen von Matrixtransformationen, Math. Zeit., 57 (1953), 353-394.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
THE UNIVERSITY LEEDS 2, ENGLAND

