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M. Obata and H. Wakakuwa studied the $4 n$-dimensional differentiable manifolds with the structure group (of the tangent bundle) $S p(n)$ ([ 1], [ 2 ], [ 3 ], [ 4 ]), while S. Hashimoto and C. J. Hsu studied the $(4 n+1)$-dimensional cases ([5], [6]). The present paper is devoted to the study of some $(4 n+2)$-dimensional manifolds. We restricted to these manifolds to get closer connection with complex contact manifolds ([7],[8],[9]). Hence this paper is an analogous work to that of S. Sasaki ([10], [11]), on complex manifolds.

In §1 we review complex tensors, in §2 we study the naturally arised Hermitian metric to the given complex ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure and define the analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure or complex almost contact structure. In $\S 3$ we prove that complex contact manifolds whose first Chern class vanishes are complex manifolds with analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure, this justifies the terminology "complex almost contact structure". In $\$ 4$ we first give a criterion for the reduction of the structure group of fibre bundle which is an immediate consequence of a known theorem, but due to its good applications it deserves an explicit formulation. We reduced the group of the tangent bundle of complex manifolds with complex $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure as an application of the lemma.
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1. Tensors on complex manifold. A complex manifold $M^{m}$ of complex dimension $m$ is a Hausdorff space to each point $p$ of which there is associated a neighbourhood $N(p)$ which is mapped topologically onto subdomain of the Euclidean space of complex variables $z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}$. If $q \in N(p)$, the coordinates of $q$ will be denoted by $z^{i}(q), i=1,2, \cdots, m$. Wherever two neighbourhoods intersect, the coordinates are connected by a pseudo-conformal mapping.

Following [13] we introduce a conjugate manifold $\bar{M}^{m}$ which is a homeomorphic image of $M^{m}$ in which the point $\bar{p}$ of $\bar{M}^{m}$ corresponds to the point $p$
of $M^{m}$ and the neighbourhood $\bar{N}(\bar{p})$ to $N(p)$. Let Latin indices run from 1 to $2 m$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{i}=i+m(\bmod 2 m) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\bar{q} \in \bar{N}(\bar{p})$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.z^{\bar{i}} \bar{q}\right)=\left(z^{i}(q)\right)^{-} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(z)^{-}$denote the complex conjugate of the quantity $z$. By means of (1.2) the neighbourhood $\bar{N}(\bar{p})$ is mapped onto a domain in the space of the variables $z^{i}=\bar{z}^{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$.

Now consider the product manifold $M^{m} \times \overline{M^{m}}$ whose points are ordered pair ( $p, \bar{q}$ ), and let

$$
z^{i}(p, \bar{q})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z^{i}(p), i=1,2, \cdots, m,  \tag{1.3}\\
z^{i}(q)=\left(z^{i}(q)\right), i=m+1, \cdots, 2 m .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{i}(p, \bar{q})=\left(z^{\bar{i}}(q, \bar{p})\right), i=1,2, \cdots, 2 m \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product manifold $M^{m} \times \overline{M^{m}}$ is covered by the coordinates $z^{i}(p, \bar{q}) i=1,2, \cdots, 2 m$. Introduce coordinate $x^{i}(p, \bar{q})$ by formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{i}(p, \bar{q})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}\left(z^{i}(p, \bar{q})+\bar{z}^{i}(p, \bar{q})\right), i=1, \ldots, m, \\
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-1}\left(z^{i}(p, \bar{q})-z^{i}(p, \bar{q})\right), i=m+1, \ldots, 2 m,
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.5}\\
& z^{i}(p, \bar{q})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{i}(p, \bar{q})+\sqrt{-1} x^{\bar{i}}(p, \bar{q}), i=1, \cdots, m, \\
x^{\bar{i}}(p, \bar{q})-\sqrt{-1} x^{i}(p, \bar{q}), i=m+1, \ldots, 2 m .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}(p, \bar{q})=\left(x^{i}(q, \bar{p})\right)^{-}, i=1, \ldots, 2 m . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the diagonal manifold $D^{m}$ of $M^{m} \times \bar{M}^{m}$ where $p=q$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{i}=z^{i}(p, \bar{p})=\left(z^{\bar{i}}\right)^{-}, x^{i}=x^{i}(p, \bar{p})=\left(x^{i}\right)^{-} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $D^{m}$ is covered either by self-conjugate coordinate ( $z^{i}, z^{i}=\bar{z}^{i}$ ) or by real coordinate $x^{i}$ and we identify $M^{m}$ with $D^{m}$.

A tensor on $M^{m}$ (precisely speak on $D^{m}$ ) whose components are real when they are expressed in the real coordinates $x^{i}$ will be called a real tensor. A real tensor $T$ when expressed in self-conjugate coordinates $z^{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \ldots \gamma} \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{\ldots} \ldots \delta=\left(T_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \ldots . .} \bar{\gamma}^{\lambda \bar{\varepsilon} \ldots \bar{\delta}}\right)^{-}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \epsilon, \delta, \ldots=1, \cdots, m, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\epsilon}, \bar{\delta} \cdots=m+1, \cdots, 2 m$.
Throughout this paper, if we use a Latin letter as an index for a tensor e. g., $T^{i}$, we mean $i=1, \cdots, 2 m$. If we use a Greek letter as an index for a tensor e.g., $T^{\alpha}$, we mean $\alpha=1, \cdots, m$. In this paper we shall be concerned only with real tensor of class $C^{\omega}$ (i.e., real analytic) and shall make the convention that the conponents of a tensor with Greek indices are expressed by selfconjugate coordinate and the components of a tensor with Latin indices are expressed by real coordinate.

## 2. Complex $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure and associated Hermitian metric.

Definition: A complex manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ of complex dimension $2 n+1$ is said to have a complex $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure if there are a $C^{\omega}$-differentiable tensor field $\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ and $C^{\omega}$-differentiable vector fields $\xi^{\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\beta}$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} & =1,  \tag{2.1}\\
\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}\right) & =2 n,  \tag{2.2}\\
\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \xi^{\bar{\beta}} & =0,  \tag{2.3}\\
\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} & =0,  \tag{2.4}\\
\phi_{\vec{\beta}}^{\alpha} \phi_{\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} & =-\delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}+\xi^{\alpha} \eta_{\gamma} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

THEOREM 1. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a complex manifold with $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure. Then there exists a positive definite Hermitian metric $g$ such that

$$
(2.7)
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\xi}^{\bar{G}}},  \tag{2.6}\\
g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\beta}}=g_{\epsilon \bar{\gamma}}-\eta_{\epsilon} \eta_{\bar{\gamma}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

We first construct a lemma which is already known.
Lemma 1. Suppose $\xi^{\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\beta}$ be $C^{\omega}$-differentiable or complex analytic contravariant and covariant vector fields on a complex manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}=1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M^{2 n+1}$ admits a positive definite Hermitian metric $h$ of class $C^{\omega}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha \beta} \bar{\xi}^{\bar{\beta}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF $^{1)}$ : Let $f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$ be an arbitrary Hermitian metric on $M^{2 n+1}$. And if we put

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\gamma \delta}\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}-\xi^{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha}\right)\left(\delta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\delta}}-\xi^{\bar{\delta}} \eta_{\bar{\beta}}\right)+\eta_{\alpha} \eta_{\bar{\beta}} .
$$

[^0]Then $h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$ also defines a positive definite Hermitian metric on $M^{2 n+1}$, for if

$$
h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} X^{\alpha} X^{\bar{\beta}}=0,
$$

by virtue of the fact that $f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$ is a positive definite Hermitian metric, we get

$$
\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}-\xi^{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha}\right) X^{\alpha}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}=0
$$

which show $X^{\alpha}=0$.
Moreover we have

$$
h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}=f_{\gamma \bar{\delta}}\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}-\xi^{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha}\right)\left(\delta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\delta}}-\xi^{\bar{\delta}} \eta_{\bar{\beta}}\right) \xi^{\bar{\beta}}+\eta_{\alpha} \eta_{\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}=\eta_{\alpha} .
$$

Thus $h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$ defines the required Hermitian metric.
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $h$ be a Hermitian metric which has the property stated in Lemma 1 and put

$$
g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}+h_{\bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}} \phi_{\alpha}^{\bar{\delta}} \phi_{\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}+\eta_{\alpha} \eta_{\bar{\beta}}\right) .
$$

Then we can easily see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}=\eta_{\alpha}, \\
& g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\alpha} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next place we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}+h_{\lambda \bar{\delta}} \phi_{\alpha}^{\bar{\delta}} \phi_{\bar{\beta}}^{\lambda}+\eta_{\alpha} \eta_{\bar{\beta}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\beta}} \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\beta}} \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha}+h_{\lambda \bar{\delta}}\left(-\delta_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}+\xi^{\bar{\delta}} \eta_{\bar{\gamma}}\right)\left(-\delta_{\epsilon}^{\lambda}+\xi^{\lambda} \eta_{\epsilon}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{\epsilon \bar{\gamma}}+h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\beta}} \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha}-\eta_{\epsilon} \eta_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\overline{-}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
g_{\alpha \beta}{ }_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\beta}}=g_{\bar{\gamma}}-\eta_{\epsilon} \eta_{\bar{\gamma}} .
$$

Hence, the theorem is proved.
We shall say that the metric which has the property stated in Theorem 1 an associated Hermitian metric to the given complex ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure. And if a complex manifold admits tensor fields $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ such that $g$ is an associated Hermitian metric of the complex ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure, then we say this manifold has ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ )-structure.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\alpha \beta}=g_{\alpha \gamma} \phi_{\gamma_{\beta}^{\gamma}}^{\bar{\gamma}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the tensor $\phi_{\alpha \beta}$ is skew symmetric with respect to $\alpha$ and $\beta$. In fact,

$$
\left(g_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \phi_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}} \phi_{\bar{\delta}}^{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\hat{\delta}}^{\bar{\delta}}=g_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \phi_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}\left(\phi_{\bar{\delta}}^{\alpha} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\delta}}\right) .
$$

Putting (2.7) and (2.5) into the last equation, we get

$$
\left(g_{\beta \bar{\delta}}^{-}-\eta_{\beta} \eta_{\bar{\delta}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\delta}}=g_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \overline{\bar{\gamma}}\left(-\delta_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}+\xi^{\alpha} \eta_{\epsilon}\right)
$$

or

$$
\phi_{\beta e}=-\phi_{\epsilon \beta} .
$$

Of course the rank $\left(\phi_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ is $2 n$. We call $\phi_{\alpha \beta}$ the associated tensor and $\phi=\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha \beta} d z^{\alpha} \wedge d z^{\beta}$ the associated form.

Definition : A complex $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure is called analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure or complex almost contact structure if $\xi^{\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\beta}$ are complex analytic and there exists an associated tensor $\phi_{\alpha \beta}$ which is complex analytic.
3. Complex contact manifolds and complex almost contact manifolds.

Definition: Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a complex manifold of complex dimension $2 n+1$. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be an open covering of $M^{2 n+1}$. We call $M^{2 n+1}$ a complex contact manifold if the following conditions are satisfied
(1) On each $U_{i}$ there exists a complex analytic 1-form such that $\omega_{i} \wedge\left(d \omega_{i}\right)^{n}$ is different from zero at every point of $U_{i}$.
(2) If $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ is nonempty, then there exists a nonvanishing complex analytic function $f_{i j}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ such that $\omega_{i}=f_{i j} \omega_{j}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$.

If $f_{i j}=1$ for each $i$ and $j$, in other words, there exists on $M^{2 n+1}$ a globally defined complex analytic 1 -form $\eta$ shuch that

$$
\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n} \neq 0
$$

at every point of the manifold, then $M^{2 n+1}$ is called a restricted complex contact manifold.

For completeness we restate a theorem in [8].
THEOREM 2. A complex contact manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ is a restricted complex contact manifold if and only if its first Chern class $C_{1}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$ vanishes.

Proof: The characteristic class of the line bundle $k$ which is defined by transition functions $\left\{f_{i j}^{-(n+1)}\right\}$ is $C_{1}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$. If $C_{1}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)=0$, then $k$ is equivalent to a product bundle $k^{\prime}$. The form $\omega_{i}^{\prime}$, which is the image of $\omega_{i}$ under the mapping induced by the bundle equivalence map of $k$ to $k^{\prime}$, satisfies

$$
\omega_{i}^{\prime} \wedge\left(d \omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\omega_{j}^{\prime} \wedge\left(d \omega_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{n}
$$

Thus $M^{2 n+1}$ is a restricted contact manifold.
Converse is easily seen to be true from [7].
The main result of this section is that a restricted complex contact manifold naturally induces an analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure. This justifies the definition given at the end of $\S 2$.

To prove our main result we need a lemma which is an example of the following theorem: If $G$ is a connected Lie group and $K$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $G$, then $G$ is real analytically homeomorphic with $K \times R^{n}$. But we shall prove it directly. The lemma is:

Lemma 2. Let $G L(n, C)$ be the complex general linear group of degree $n$. Let $U(n)$ be the unitary subgroup and $H(n)$ be the set of all positive definite Hermitian matrices. Then the mapping

$$
c: G L(n, C) \rightarrow U(n) \times H(n)
$$

defined by the decomposition (i.e., any $A \in G L(n, C)$ can be written in one and only one way as the product $A=U H$ of $a$ unitary matrix $U$ and $a$ Hermitian matrix $H$ ) gives a real analytic homeomorphism of these two manifolds with respect to the usual real analytic structure.

Proof : Let ${ }^{R} G L(n, C)$ be the real representation of $G L(n, C)$. Then we see that

$$
{ }^{R} G L(n, C)=\left\{A \in G L(2 n, R): A^{-1} J A=J\right\}
$$

is an isotropy group, and therefore it is a regular Lie subgroup of $G L(2 n, R)$ (i.e., the underlying submanifold is regular) where

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -E_{n} \\
E_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $E_{n}$ is the identity matrix of degree $n$.
Let ${ }^{R} H(n)$ be the real representation of $H(n)$ and let $S(2 n)$ be the set of all positive definite symmetric matrices of $G L(2 n, R)$. It is easily seen that

$$
{ }^{R} H(n)=\left\{A \in \mathrm{~S}(2 n): A^{-1} J A=J\right\}
$$

is a regular submanifold of $S(2 n)$.
Let ${ }^{R} U(n)$ be the real representation of $U(n)$. Then we see that

$$
{ }^{R} U(n)=\left\{U \in O(2 n):{ }^{t} U J U=J\right\}
$$

is a regular submanifold of $O(2 n)$.

Let us consider the following commutative diagram

where $d$ is a real analytic homeomorphism [12], $c$ is a topological decomposition [15] and $i, j$ are injections which are real analytic mappings. It is easily seen that $d \circ i$ and $d^{-1} \circ j$ are real analytic mappings.

Since ${ }^{n} U(n)$ and ${ }^{n} H(n)$ are regular submanifolds of $O(2 n)$ and $S(2 n)$ respectively, ${ }^{H} U(n) \times{ }^{R} H(n)$ is a regular submanifold of $O(2 n) \times S(2 n)$. Moreover the image of ${ }^{R} G L(n, C)$ under the mapping $c=d \circ i$ is contained in ${ }^{R} U(n) \times{ }^{R} H(n)$. Hence $c$ is a real analytic mapping ${ }^{2)}$. Similarly, ${ }^{R} G L(n, C)$ is a regular submanifold of $G L(2 n, R)$ and the image of ${ }^{R} U(n) \times{ }^{R} H(n)$ under the mapping $c^{-1}=d^{-1} \circ j$ is contained in ${ }^{R} G L(n, C)$. Hence the mapping $c^{-1}$ is a real analytic mapping. Therefore $G L(n, C)$ is real analytically homeomorphic onto $U(n) \times H(n)$.

THEOREM 3. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a complex manifold of complex dimension $2 n+1$. Let $\eta$ be a complex analytic 1 -form over $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n} \neq 0, \text { at each point. } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the form $\eta$ induces an analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure.
Proof : Let us express $\eta$ by local coordinate, i. e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\eta_{\mathrm{u}} d z^{\alpha} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \eta=\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha \beta} d z^{\alpha} \wedge d z^{\beta}, \text { where } \phi_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\partial \eta_{\beta}}{\partial z^{\alpha}}-\frac{\partial \eta_{\alpha}}{\partial z^{\beta}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the condition (3.1), it follows that $d \eta$ is a 2 -form of rank $2 n$ everywhere over $M^{2 n+1}$ and $\phi_{\alpha \beta}$ is a matrix whose rank is everywhere $2 n$ over $M^{2 n+1}$. We can easily verify that (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
\eta_{[1} \phi_{23} \phi_{45 \ldots} \phi_{2 n}{ }_{2 n+1]} \neq 0
$$

where [ ] means a determinant divided by the factorial of the number of indices.

Now we define distributions in the following way: we set

[^1]$$
D_{p}=\left\{X^{\alpha}: \phi_{\alpha \beta} X^{\beta}=0\right\}, \text { at every point } p \in M^{2 n+1},
$$
then the mapping $p \rightarrow D_{p}$ defines a distribution $D$ of complex dimension 1 ( $D$ is analytic, because it is spanned by the analytic vector field
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{1}= & \left.\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[23} \phi_{45 \ldots} \phi_{2 n} 2 n+1\right], \\
\xi^{2}= & \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{134} \phi_{56 \ldots . .} \phi_{2 n+11]}, \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\xi^{\alpha}= & \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{i \alpha+1} \alpha+2 \phi_{\ldots 2 n+1} \ldots \phi_{\alpha-2 \alpha-1],}, \alpha \text { is a residue modulo } 2 n+1, \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\xi^{2 n+1} & =\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[12} \phi_{34 \ldots . .} \phi_{2 n-12 n]},
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $\left.\lambda=(2 n+1) \eta_{11} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} \ldots \phi_{2 n 2 n+1]}\right)$. We also set

$$
\bar{D}_{p}=\left\{X^{\alpha}: X^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}=0\right\}, \text { at every point } p \in M^{2 n+1},
$$

then the mapping $p \rightarrow \bar{D}_{p}$ defines a complex analytic distribution $\bar{D}$ of complex dimension $2 n$ ( $\eta$ is analytic). By virtue of Lemma 1 we can take a Hermitian metric $h$ such that $h_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}}=\eta_{\alpha}$. This means that $D$ is orthogonally complementary to $\bar{D}$ with respect to the metric $h$.

Now let us consider the real coordinate systems. In the real coordinate systems, ( $\phi_{\alpha \beta}, \phi_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}}$ ) becomes $\phi_{i j}$ and $D$ becomes a distribution of real dimension 2 and $\bar{D}$ becomes a distribution of real dimension $4 n$. We denote them by the same letters $D$ and $\bar{D}$ respectively. Let $J_{j}^{i}$ be the induced almost complex structure. It is easily seen that $\xi^{i}$ which is the real components of $\left(\xi^{\alpha}, \xi^{\bar{\alpha}}\right)$ and $J_{k}^{i} \xi^{k}$ which is the real components of $\left(i \xi^{\alpha},-i \xi^{\bar{\alpha}}\right)$ constitute a local base of $D$. By virtue of $h_{i j}$ being Hermitian with respect to $J_{j}^{i}$, we see that $\xi^{i}$ is orthogonal to $J_{k}^{i} \xi^{k}$.

Let $\{U\}$ be a sufficiently fine open covering of $M^{2 n+1}$ by coordinate neighborhoods. In every $U$ we take $e_{\Delta}^{i}=\xi^{i}, e_{\Delta^{*}}^{i}=J_{k}^{i} \xi^{k}$ and take unit vector field $e_{1}^{i}$ such that $e_{1}^{i}$ is orthogonal to $e_{\Delta}^{i}, e_{\Lambda^{*}}^{i}$. It is easily seen that $e_{1}^{i} \in \bar{D}$ on $U$ and hence $e_{1 *}^{i}=J_{k}^{i} e_{1}^{k} \in \bar{D}$. In such a way we construct an orthonormal frame $e_{1}^{i}$, $e_{2}^{i}, \cdots, e_{2 n}^{i}, e_{1^{*}}^{i}, e_{2^{*}}^{i}, \cdots, e_{2 n^{*}}^{i}, e_{\Delta}^{i}, e_{\Delta^{*}}^{i}$ on $U$, where $e_{\Delta}^{i}, e_{\Delta^{*}}^{i} \in D$ and $e_{1}^{i}, e_{2}^{i}, \cdots, e_{2 n}^{i}$, $e_{1}^{i}, e_{2^{i}}^{i}, \cdots, e_{2 n^{*}}^{i} \in \bar{D}$. We call such a frame adapted frame.

Then if $U \cap V$ is nonempty, the matrix of the transformation of components of the same vector relative to adapted frames on $U$ and $V$ is of the following form

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
U_{4 n} & 0 \\
0 & E_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $U_{4 n} \in{ }^{R} U(2 n)$ and $E_{2}$ is the identity matrix of degree 2 .
Now, let $\phi_{u}$ be a matrix whose elements are the components of $\phi_{i j}$ relative to adapted frame over $U$. Then $\phi_{u}$ is of the following form

$$
\left.\phi_{u}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{u}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\} 4 n
$$

where $\phi_{u}^{\prime} \in{ }^{R} G L(2 n, C)$ and is skew symmetric. Then, by virtue of Lemma 2 we can write

$$
\phi_{u}^{\prime}=A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime}
$$

where $A_{u}^{\prime} \in{ }^{R} U(2 n), B_{u}^{\prime} \in{ }^{R} H(2 n)$. If we set

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A_{u} & \left.=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{u}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\} \begin{array}{l}
4 n \\
3
\end{array} \\
B_{u} & \left.=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{u}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & E_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right\} 4 n
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& 4 n \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

then $A_{u}$ and $B_{u}$ define real analytic tensor fields on $U$. Since $\phi_{u}^{\prime}$ is skew symmetric, we have

$$
{ }^{t} \phi_{u}^{\prime}=-\phi_{u}^{\prime}
$$

or

$$
{ }^{t} B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot{ }^{t} A_{u}^{\prime}=-A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime}
$$

that is,

$$
B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot{ }^{t} A_{u}^{\prime}=-A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime}
$$

Multiplying $A_{u}^{\prime}$ to the right of both sides of the last equation we get

$$
B_{u}^{\prime}=-\left(A_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \cdot t A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot A_{u}^{\prime}
$$

As is easily seen, $-\left(A_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \in{ }^{R} U(2 n),{ }^{t} A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot A_{u}^{\prime} \in{ }^{R} H(2 n)$. So, by virtue of the uniqueness of decomposition we get
i. e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(A_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=E_{4 n}, B_{u}^{\prime}={ }^{t} A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot A_{u}^{\prime}, \\
& \left(A_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=-E_{4 n}, A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime}=B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot A_{u}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
A_{u}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-E_{4 n} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\phi_{u}=B_{u} \cdot A_{u} .
$$

Next if we consider the relation between $\phi_{u}$ and $\phi_{v}$ on $U \cap V$, we get

$$
\phi_{v}^{\prime}={ }^{t} U_{4 n} \cdot \phi_{u}^{\prime} \cdot U_{4 n}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{v}^{\prime} \cdot A_{v}^{\prime} & ={ }^{t} U_{4 n} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot U_{4 n} \\
& =\left({ }^{t} U_{4 n} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot U_{4 n}\right)\left({ }^{t} U_{4 n} \cdot A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot U_{4 n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the uniqueness of the decomposition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{v}^{\prime}={ }^{t} U_{4 n} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime} \cdot U_{4 n}, \\
& A_{v}^{\prime}={ }^{~} U_{4 n} \cdot A_{u}^{\prime} \cdot U_{4 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{v}={ }^{t} U \cdot B_{u} \cdot U, \\
& A_{v}={ }^{t} U \cdot A_{u} \cdot U .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the last two relations, we see that the sets $\left\{A_{u}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{u}\right\}$ define global tensor fields $A$ and $B$ of class $C^{\omega}$ on manifold.

From $B_{u} J=J B_{u}$ we get $B_{u} J=-{ }^{t} J B_{u}$. Or if we express it in components (with respect to natural frames) we have

$$
B_{i j} J_{k}^{j}=-J_{i}^{j} B_{j k},
$$

in words, $B_{i j}$ is hybrid with respect to $i$ and $j$. Moreover $B_{u}$ is positive definite, hence it defines a Hermitian metric $g_{i j}$.

Now let us go back to the complex coordinates and take frames

$$
\epsilon_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e_{j}-\sqrt{-1} e_{j^{*}}\right)
$$

Then, we have

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
{ }^{c} B_{u}^{\prime} & 0  \tag{3.4}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left.A^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-E_{2 n} & 0  \tag{3.5}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\} 2 n
$$

with respect to the frames $\epsilon_{j}$, where ${ }^{c} B_{u}^{\prime} \in H(2 n)$ (i. e., $B_{u}^{\prime}$ is real representation
of ${ }^{c} \cdot B_{u}^{\prime}$ ).
Since A is hybrid ( $\phi_{u}=B_{u} \cdot A_{u}$. Or expressed in components relative to real coordinates $\phi_{i j}=g_{i k} A_{j}^{k} . \phi_{i j}$ is pure and $g_{i j}$ is hybrid, hence $A$ is hybrid). If we express $A$ with respect to natural complex frames we have

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \phi_{B}^{\alpha} \\
\phi_{B}^{\bar{\alpha}} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

and

$$
\phi_{\alpha \beta}=g_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\gamma} .
$$

Write (3. 5) in components with respect to natural complex frame, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \phi_{\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}}=-\delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}+\xi^{\alpha} \eta_{r}, \quad \phi_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}} \phi_{\gamma}^{\beta}=-\delta_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\alpha}}+\xi^{\bar{\alpha}} \eta_{\bar{y}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3. 4) we see that the metric $g$ defined by the tensor $B$ coincides with $h$ on D, i.e.,

$$
g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} X^{\bar{\beta}}=h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} X^{\bar{\beta}}, \text { if } X^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{D} .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \xi^{\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\alpha}=h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\overline{ }} \xi^{\alpha}=\eta_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha}=1 . . .2{ }^{2} .} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
0=\phi_{\alpha \beta} \xi^{\beta}=\phi_{\alpha \beta} h^{\beta \bar{\gamma}} \eta_{\bar{\gamma}}=\phi_{\alpha \beta} g^{\beta \bar{\gamma}} \eta_{\bar{\gamma}}=\phi_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}} \eta_{\bar{\gamma}},
$$

that is,
(3. 8)

$$
\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}=0 .
$$

## Moreover

$$
\phi_{\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}=g^{\alpha \bar{\lambda}} \phi_{\bar{\beta} \bar{\beta} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}}=0
$$

i. e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\bar{\beta}}^{\alpha} \xi^{\bar{\beta}}=0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have constructed ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure ((3. 6), (3. 7), (3. 8), (3. 9)). Moreover $g$ satisfies

$$
g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \phi_{\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}}=-g_{\gamma \bar{\beta}} \phi_{\alpha}^{\bar{\beta}}\left(=\phi_{\alpha \gamma}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \beta} \phi_{\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}=-g_{\gamma \bar{\beta}} \phi_{\alpha}^{\bar{\beta}} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}=g_{\gamma_{\bar{\epsilon}}}-\eta_{\gamma} \eta_{\bar{\epsilon}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $g$ is an associated Hermitian metric.

Thus we have proved more than that stated in the theorem. We express the result in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a complex manifold and there are an analytic tensor field $\phi_{\alpha \beta}$ and analytic vector fields $\xi^{\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\beta}$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi_{\alpha \beta}\right)=2 n, \\
\phi_{\alpha} \xi^{\beta}=0, \\
\xi^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, there exists a complex $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure such that

$$
\phi_{\alpha \beta}=g_{\alpha \bar{\gamma} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\gamma}}
$$

and $\xi^{\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\beta}$ are the vector fields in $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure.
In fact, if we notice the following result, then clearly the proof of Theorem 3 is applicable to Theorem 4.

Suppose $\phi_{\alpha \beta}, \xi^{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}$ be the tensor field and vector fields stated in Theorem 4 and put

$$
\phi=\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha \beta} d z^{\alpha} \wedge d z^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\eta \wedge \phi^{n} \neq 0, \text { at every point of } M^{2 n+1}
$$

and

$$
\xi^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[\alpha+1 \alpha+2} \phi_{\alpha+3 \alpha+4 \ldots} \phi_{2 n+1} 1 \ldots \phi_{\alpha-2 \alpha-1]}
$$

where $\lambda=(2 n+1) \eta_{11} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} . . \phi_{2 n 2 n+1}$, and $\alpha$ is a residue modulo $2 n+1$.
REMARK : $\eta \wedge \phi^{n} \neq 0$ implies $\lambda \neq 0$.
In fact, $\eta$ defines an analytic distribution. Hence there exist $2 n$ locally defined analytic vector fields such that at every point they form a local base of this distribution. Let them be $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2 n}$ and we take $e_{2 n+1}=\xi$. It is clear that $e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{2 n}, e_{2 n+1}$ form a frame at a neighborhood of a point. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{2 n}, f_{2 n+1}=\eta$ be the dual base. Consider the scalar product $\left(\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n+1}\right) L \phi^{n} \eta\right)$ of $\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n+1}\right) L \phi^{n}$ and $\eta$ where " $\llcorner$ " denotes interior product (e. g., see [14]). From [14] pp. 43, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n+1}\left\llcorner\phi^{n} \eta\right)\right.\right. \\
= & \left(\sum(-1)^{i-1}\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i-1} \wedge e_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n+1} \quad \phi^{n}\right) e_{i} \eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum(-1)^{i-1}\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i-1} \wedge e_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n+1} \phi^{n}\right) \delta_{i}^{2 n+1} \\
& =\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n} \phi^{n}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left|A_{a b}\right| \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{i}^{2 n+1}$ is Kronecker delta and $A_{a b}$ are components of $\phi$ with respect to vectors $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 n}$. Hence

$$
\left(\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n+1}\right)\left\llcorner\phi^{n} \eta\right)=\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2 n+1} \quad \phi^{n} \wedge \eta\right) \neq 0\right.
$$

and we get

$$
\eta \wedge \phi^{n} \neq 0
$$

Moreover we shall easily get by calculation that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta=1}^{2 n+1} \phi_{\alpha \beta} \phi_{[\beta+1 \beta+2 \ldots} \phi_{2 n+1 ~ 1 \ldots} \phi_{\beta-2 \beta-1]}=0, \\
& \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n+1} \eta_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[\alpha+1} \alpha+2 . . . \phi_{2 n+1} 1 \ldots \phi_{\alpha-2 \alpha-1]}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves

$$
\xi^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[\alpha+1 \alpha+2 \ldots} \phi_{2 n+1} \ldots \phi_{\alpha-2 \alpha-1]} .
$$

Now let us consider the distributions defined by $\xi$ and $\eta$ as in Theorem 3 , we denote them by $D$ and $\bar{D}$ respectively. Then clearly the proof of Theorem 3 could apply to this theorem.
4. Reduction of the structure group of tangent bundle. Let $G$ be a topological group, $G^{\prime}$ be its closed subgroup and $p: G \rightarrow G / G^{\prime}$ be the natural projection. We assume in this section that $G \rightarrow G / G^{\prime}$ has a local cross section. If $G$ is a real or complex Lie group, then the assumption is satisfied [15].

Lemma 3. Let $B$ be a principal bundle. The structure group $G$ of $B$ can be reduced to $G^{\prime}$ if and only if there exist an open covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ and local cross sections $C_{i}$ of $B$ over each $U_{i}$ such that if $U_{i} \cap U_{i}$ is nonempty, then $C_{i}(x)=R_{g(x)} C_{j}(x)$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, where $g(x) \in G^{\prime}$ and $R_{g(x)}$ is a right translation associated to $g(x)$.

Proof: This follows from the following fact [16]: The structure group of $B$ can be reduced to $G^{\prime}$ if and only if $B / G^{\prime}$ admits a cross section.

REMARK : This lemma is valid for "differentiable" and "complex analytic" fibre bundle by a trivial modification (i. e., replace each continuous function by
differentiable or complex analytic function and topological group by real or complex Lie group) [17].

THEOREM 5. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a complex manifold with analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ structure, then the structure group of its complex analytic tangent bundle is reducible to $S p(n, C) \times 1$. Conversely, if a complex manifold whose structure group of its complex analytic tangent bundle is reducible to $S p(n, C) \times 1$, then we can endow to $M^{2 n+1}$ an analytic ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure.

Proof: Let $\{U\}$ be a sufficiently fine open covering of $M^{2 n+1}$ by coordinate neighborhoods. Then, the analytic associated form

$$
\phi=\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha \beta} d z^{\alpha} \wedge d z^{\beta}
$$

of analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure can be written as

$$
\phi=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} f_{u}^{\alpha} \wedge f_{u}^{\alpha+n}
$$

over $U$, where $f_{u}$ are complex analytic 1 -form over $U([18]$ p. 28). If we take a suitable order of $f_{u}^{\alpha}$ s, then the components of $\phi$ with respect to $f_{u}^{\alpha}$ and $\eta$ is

$$
\phi_{u}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -E_{n} & 0 \\
E_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

over $U$. It is easily seen that the coframe $\left(f_{u}, \eta\right)$ defines a frame over $U$, hence a local cross section $C_{u}$ of the associated principal bundle of the tangent bundle.

If $U \cap V$ is nonempty, we see that $C_{u}=R_{g} C_{v}$ where $g \in G L(2 n+1, C)$ is a matrix. Then $\phi_{u}$ is transformed by

$$
{ }^{t} g \phi_{u} g=\phi_{v}
$$

and $\eta$ is transformed by

$$
\eta g=\eta .
$$

Since the matrix $\phi_{u}=\phi_{v}, \eta=\eta, g$ is of the following form

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A$ is a complex sympletic matrix, i. e., $A \in S p(n, C)$. Thus $g$ is a matrix
of $S_{p}(n, C) \times 1$. By virtue of Lemma 3 the structure group of the associated principal bundle of tangent bundle is reducible to $S p(n, C) \times 1$. Hence the structure group of the complex analytic tangent bundle is reducible to $S p(n, C) \times 1$.

Conversely, suppose the structure group of the complex analytic tangent bundle is reducible to $S p(n, C) \times 1$. Then, by virtue of Lemma 3 on every coordinate neighborhood of a certain covering we can get a local cross section $C_{u}$ of the associated principal bundle of the tangent bundle such that if $U \cap V$ is nonempty, then $C_{u}=R_{g} C_{v}$ where $g \in S p(n, C) \times 1$. Since $C_{u}$ is a frame over $U$, it defines a coframe $\left(f_{u}, \eta_{u}\right)$ over $U$. It is clear that the local tensors $\phi_{u}, \eta_{u}$ in $U$, whose components (relative to coframe ( $\left.f_{u}, \eta_{u}\right)$ ) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{u} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -E_{n} & 0 \\
E_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\eta_{u} & =(0,0, \ldots, 0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

constitute globally defined tensors (for ${ }^{t} g \phi_{u} g=\phi_{v}$ and $\eta_{u} g=\eta_{v}$ ). Thus we get a 2 -form $\phi$, whose rank is clearly $2 n$, and 1 -form $\eta$ (they are complex analytic, because the frames are complex analytic), namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \bar{\epsilon} f_{u}^{\alpha} \wedge f_{u}^{n+\alpha}, \quad \bar{\epsilon}=1 \quad \text { or }-1 \\
\eta & =\eta_{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen that

$$
\phi^{n}=\epsilon \eta f_{u}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{u}^{2 n}, \text { where } \epsilon=1 \text { or }-1 .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\epsilon \eta \wedge f_{u}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{u}^{2 n}=\eta \wedge \phi^{n} \neq 0, \text { at every point. }
$$

Thus we could get an analytic vector field

$$
\xi^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[\alpha+1 \alpha+2 \ldots . .} \phi_{2 n+1} \ldots \phi_{\alpha-2 \alpha-1]}
$$

where $\lambda=(2 n+1) \eta_{[1} \phi_{23 . .} \phi_{2 n 2 n+1]}$. Then by virtue of Theorem 4, we get an analytic $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure or complex almost contact structure.

By virtue of this theorem we could give another definition of complex almost contact manifold in analogous way to real contact manifold.

Definition : A complex manifold of complex dimension $2 n+1$ is called
a complex almost contact manifold or is said to have a complex almost contact structure if and only if the structure group of its complex analytic tangent bundle is reducible to $S p(n, C) \times 1$.

If we notice that Theorem 4 still holds good even if we take off analyticity of $\phi_{\alpha \beta}, \xi^{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}$, then we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a complex manifold with complex $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ structure, then the structure group is reducible to $S p(n) \times 1$. Conversely if the group is reducible to $S p(n) \times 1$, then we can endow to $M^{2 n+1}$ a complex ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ )-structure.

Proof of this theorem can be easily gotten from modification of the proof of Theorem 5 (e.g., we need not take complex analytic frames but take orthonormal frames).
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[^0]:    1) This nice proof was given by Y. Hatakeyama,
[^1]:    2) Let $F$ be a real analytic mapping of $M^{n}$ into $M^{m}$ and let $N$ be a regular submanifold of $M^{m}$ and $F\left(M^{n}\right) \subset N$, then $F: M^{n} \rightarrow N$ is a real analytic mapping.
