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Let $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be a purely transcendental field over $k$ of transcendence degree $n$. Now we set $\sigma x_{j}=x_{j+1}$ for any integer $j$ with $1 \leqq j \leqq n$ -1 , while $\sigma x_{n}=x_{1}$. Then $\sigma$ induces an automorphism of $K$ over $k$, which will be also denoted by the same letter $\sigma$. If we denote by $L$ the set of all elements of $K$ which remain fixed under $\sigma$, then $K$ is a normal extension of degree $n$ with Galois group $\mathfrak{G}=\left\{I, \sigma, \sigma^{2}, \cdots, \sigma^{n-1}\right\}$. Now it arises the question "Is $L$ purely transcendental over $k$ ?", which is refered to as "Chevalley's Problem" by Dr. K. Masuda. Let $p$ be the characteristic of $k$ and assume that $p$ does not divide $n$. For any positive integer $n$ less than or equal to 7 , he proved that $L$ is purely transcendental over $k$ [1]. On the same Journal of Nagoya, Dr. Kuniyoshi proved the purely-transcendency for the case of nonzero characteristic $p$ and $n=p$ [2]. Let $r$ be any positive integer and $p(>0)$ the characteristic of $k$. Dr. Kuniyoshi proved further that $L$ is purely transcendental over $k$, if $n=p^{r}$ [3].

All the fields treated in this article are assumed to be of characteristic zero.

Already E. Noether showed that $L$ is purely transcendental over $k$, if the ground field $k$ contains a primitive $n$-th root $\zeta$. Accordingly one of our concern is to diminish this restriction concerning the ground field. Now we can state the following main theorem:

Main Theorem. $L$ is purely transcendental over $k$, if one of the following conditions holds, where $l$ is an odd prime number:
(i) $n=l^{2 r}$ and $k$ contains a primitive $l^{r}$-th root of unity,
(ii) $n=l^{2 r+1}$ and $k$ contains a primitive $l^{r+1}$-th root of unity,
(iii) $n=2^{2 r}$ and $k$ contains a primitive $2^{r+1}$-th root of unity,
(iv) $n=2^{2 r+1}$ and $k$ contains a primitive $2^{r+1}$-th root of unity.

As a special case it holds that $L$ is purely transcendental if $n=3^{3}$ and $k$ contains primitive $3^{2}$-th roots of unity. But more precisely we can assert the same result under the weaker condition that the ground field contains merely cube roots of unity. Dr. Kuniyoshi conjectures that more generally following fact will hold:
"If $n=p^{r}$ ( $p$ : prime number) and $k$ contains $p$-th roots of unity, then $L$ is purely transcendental over $k$."

Our main theorem was first proved by the method of Dr. Kuniyoshi, which was reported at the seminar of Tôhoku Mathematical Institute, and it concerns with certain properties of algebraic groups. In this article we want to treat the same problem in more simplified form.

Before proceeding to the detailed proof, the author wants to express his sincere gratitudes to Dr. Kuniyoshi and Professor Tannaka, who has encouraged him during his study about this subject.

Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero and $n$ any positive integer, $\zeta$ a primitive $n$-th root of unity and $k^{\prime}=k(\zeta)$. If $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is a purely transcendental extension of $k$ of transcendence degree $n$, the cyclic permutation $\sigma: x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ induces naturally an automorphism of $K$ over $k$. The suffices of the letters $x_{j}$ are considered modulo $n$. Let $L$ be a field consisting of all elements of $K$ which are left fixed under $\sigma$. The cyclic permutation naturally induces an automorphism of $K^{\prime}=k^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ over $k^{\prime}$. We will denote this also by $\sigma$. Let $L^{\prime}$ be the fixed field in $K^{\prime}$ of $\sigma . K$ is a Galois extension field over $L$ of degree $n$ and the Galois group $\mathscr{B}$ is generated by $\sigma$. Similarly $K^{\prime}$ is a Galois extension over $L^{\prime}$ of degree $n$, and $\mathscr{G}^{\circ}$ is regarded also as its Galois group. As $K$ is purely transcendental over $k, K$ and $k^{\prime}$ are linearly disjoint over $k$. So if we denote the degree of fields by[:], $\left[K^{\prime}: K\right]=[L(\zeta): L]=\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]$. Since any element of $L(\zeta)$ is invariant under $\sigma, L(\zeta)$ is contained in $L^{\prime}$. We have $L(\zeta)=L^{\prime}$ by the following formula.

$$
\left[L^{\prime}: L(\zeta)\right]=\frac{\left[K^{\prime}: L\right]}{\left[K^{\prime}: L^{\prime}\right][L(\zeta): L]}=\frac{\left[K^{\prime}: K\right][K: L]}{[L(\zeta): L]\left[K^{\prime}: L^{\prime}\right]}=1 .
$$

Therefore $K, L^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ are all Galois extensions over $K, L$ and $k$ respectively. Let $G$ be the Galois group of $K^{\prime}$ with respect to $K$ and $\tau$ any element of $G$. If we restrict $\tau$ to $L^{\prime}$, it defines an automorphism of $L^{\prime}$ over $L$. So $G$ can be regarded as the Galois group of $L^{\prime}$ over $L$. Similarly $G$ is regarded as the Galois group of $k^{\prime}$ with respect to $k$.

If $a$ is any element of $L^{\prime}$, we will denote $[L(a): L]$ by $\iota(a)$. Let $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, a_{t}\right\}$ be a set of elements of $L^{\prime}$ satisfying a condition $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \iota\left(a_{j}\right)=n$, and let $\left\{a_{j}, a_{j}^{\prime}, a_{j}^{\prime \prime} \cdots, a^{\left(u\left(a_{j}\right)-2\right)}, a_{j}^{\left(\left(a_{j}\right)-1\right)}\right\}$ be a set of all elements which are conjugate with one another with respect to $L$, for $j$ such that $1 \leqq j \leqq t$. If a subfield $k^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, a_{1}^{\left(1\left(a_{1}\right)-1\right)}, a_{2}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, a_{2}^{\left(L\left(a_{2}\right)-1\right)}, \cdots a_{t}, a_{t}^{\prime}, \cdots, a_{t}^{\left(\iota\left(a_{t}\right)-1\right)}\right)$ of $L^{\prime}$ coincides with $L^{\prime}$, the set $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{t}\right\}$ of elements of $L^{\prime}$ is called a system of primitive generators of $L^{\prime}$ with respect to $k^{\prime}$. Let $L$ be purely transcendental over $k$ and $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ be a purely-transcendence basis of $L$. Then the set $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ is clearly a system of primitive generators of $L^{\prime}$ with respect to $k^{\prime}$. However, if $L^{\prime}$ has a system of primitive generators, $L$ is
purely transcendental over $k$ [1].
Proposition 1. Let $l$ be an odd prime number and $r$ any positive integer and $n=l^{2 r}$. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero containing a primitive $l^{r}$-th root of unity, and $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ a purely transcendental extension over $k$ of transcendence degree $n$. If we define an automorphism $\sigma$ of $K$ over $k$ by $\sigma x_{j}=x_{j+1}$, the invariant field $L$ of $\sigma$ is purely transcendental over $k$ of transcendence degree $n$.

Proof. Let $\bar{k}$ be any overfield of $k, \bar{K}=\bar{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ a purely transcendental extension over $\bar{k}$ of transcendence degree $n$. Then $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $x_{n}$ ) is purely transcendental over $k$ of transcendence degree $n$. For a primitive $n$-th root $\zeta$ we put $k^{\prime}=k(\zeta), \bar{k}^{\prime}=\bar{k}(\zeta), K^{\prime}=k^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \bar{K}^{\prime}=\bar{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right.$, $x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ ). Let $L, \bar{L}, L^{\prime}$ and $\overline{L^{\prime}}$ be the invariant fields of $\sigma: x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ in $K, \bar{K}$, $K^{\prime}$ and $\bar{K}^{\prime}$ respectively. Now if $L^{\prime}$ has a system of primitive generators with respect to $k^{\prime}$, we can easily construct a system of primitive generators of $\bar{L}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\bar{k}$. Hence, to prove the purely-transcendency of $\bar{L}$ over $\bar{k}$, it is enough to show that $L$ is purely transcendental over $k$.

Let $Q$ be the field of all rational numbers, and $\zeta$ a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Then $\eta=\zeta^{r}$ is a primitive $l^{r}$-th root. By a remark just mentioned we may assume the basic field $k$ to be $Q(\eta)$. The monic irreducible equation over $k=Q(\eta)$ satisfied by $\zeta$ being $X^{r}-\eta=0$, it follows that the conjugates of $\zeta$ over $K\left(\right.$ and also over L , and $k$ ) are $\zeta, \zeta^{l^{r+1}}, \zeta^{2 l^{l}+1}, \zeta^{22 l^{r+1}}, \zeta^{3 l^{r+1}}, \cdots, \zeta^{\left(r^{r}-\mathrm{I}\right) l^{\prime}+}$. So that the Galois group G of $K^{\prime}$ with respect to $K$ is a cyclic group and $\tau: \zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{l^{\prime+1}}$ is a generator of it. If we put $y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta^{i j} x_{j}(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta^{i j} x_{j+1}=\zeta^{n-i} y_{i}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta^{\left(\tau^{+}+1\right) t j} x_{j}=y_{\left(l^{\prime}+1\right) \iota .} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we will define a system of elements as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=y_{n}, \\
& a_{r, d}=\frac{y_{i^{r d}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{r^{r-1}} y_{i l^{r}+1}\right)^{d}} \quad\left(d=1,2,3, \cdots, l^{r}-1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}, m=\frac{y_{l m}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l-1} y_{j l^{2 r-i}}^{2 r-1}\right)^{m}}\left(i=1,2, \cdots, r-1.1 \leqq m \leqq l^{r}-1,(m, l)=1\right), \\
& a_{1}=y_{i}^{2} y_{2 l^{r+1}} y_{3 l^{+}+1} y_{4 l^{r}+1} \cdots y_{\left(l^{r-1}\right) l^{r+1}}, \\
& a_{v}=\frac{y_{v}}{y_{1}^{v}} \quad\left(2 \leqq v \leqq l^{r}-1,(v, l)=1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the formula (1) we see easily,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma a_{0}=\sigma y_{n}=\zeta^{n-n} y_{n}=a_{0}, \\
& \sigma a_{r, d}=\sigma \frac{y_{v^{r d}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{r^{r-1}} y_{j l^{r r-t+1}}\right)^{d}}=\frac{\zeta^{n-l^{r d} d} y_{v^{r d d}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{r^{r-1}}\left(\zeta^{n-\left(j l^{r-l+1)}\right.} y_{j l^{r-t+1}}\right)\right)^{d}} \\
& =\frac{y_{l^{r d}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} y_{j l^{r-t+1}}\right)^{d}}=a_{r, d}, \\
& \sigma a_{i, m}=\sigma \frac{y_{l^{\prime} m}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l^{k-1}} y_{j l^{2 r-t}+1}\right)^{m}}=\frac{\zeta^{n-l_{m} m} y_{l^{\prime} m}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{(l-1}\left(\zeta^{n-\left(j l^{r-t}+1\right)} y_{j l^{2 r-t+1}}\right)^{m}\right.} \\
& =\frac{y_{l^{\prime} m}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l i-1} y_{j^{r r-t}+1}\right)^{m}}=a_{i, m} \text {, } \\
& \sigma a_{1}=\sigma\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{2 l^{r}+1} y_{3 r^{r+1}} \cdots y_{\left(r^{r-1}\right) l^{r+1}}\right) \\
& =\left(\zeta^{2(n-1)} \zeta^{n-\left(2 r^{+}+1\right)} \zeta^{n-\left(3 r^{r}+1\right)} \cdots \zeta^{n-\left(\left(l^{r-1}\right) l^{r}+1\right)}\right)\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{2 r^{+}+1} y_{3 l^{r+1}} \cdots y_{\left(r^{r}-1\right) l^{r+1}}\right) \\
& =y_{1}^{2} y_{2 r^{r}+1} y_{3 l^{r}+1} \cdots y_{\left(r^{r}-1\right) r^{r}+1}=a_{1} \text {, } \\
& \sigma a_{v}=\sigma \frac{y_{v}}{y_{1}{ }^{v}}=\frac{\zeta^{n-v} y_{v}}{\zeta^{v(n-1)} y_{1}{ }^{v}}=\frac{y_{v}}{y_{1}{ }^{v}}=a_{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently these $a$ belong to $L^{\prime}$.
We have then by utilizing the formula (2),

$$
\tau a_{o}=\tau y_{n}=y_{\left(r^{r}+1\right) n}=y_{n}=a_{0}
$$

Since the Galois group $G$ of $L^{\prime}$ with respect to $L$ is generated by $\tau$, we see $a_{0} \in L$, whence

$$
\iota\left(a_{0}\right)=\left[L\left(a_{0}\right): L\right]=1 .
$$

$$
\tau a_{r, d}=\boldsymbol{\tau} \frac{y_{r^{r} d}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l^{r-1}} y_{j r^{r}+1}\right)^{d}}=\frac{y_{\left(r^{r}+1\right)^{r^{r} d}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l^{r-1}} y_{\left(r^{r}+1\right)\left(l^{r}+1\right)}\right)^{d}}=\frac{y_{l^{r d}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l^{r-1}} y_{j l^{r}+1}\right)^{d}}=a_{r . d} .
$$

This means $a_{r, d} \in L$, and so $\iota\left(a_{r, d}\right)=\left[L\left(a_{r, d}\right): L\right]=1$.
Now it is seen without difficulty that all elements of $G$ which leave $a_{i, m}$ fixed make a cyclic group [ $\tau^{r-t}$ ] generated by $\tau^{r-1}$.
By the Galois theory we have

$$
\iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)=\left[L\left(a_{i, m}\right): L\right]=\left(G:\left[\tau^{r-t}\right]\right)=\frac{l^{r}}{l^{i}}=l^{r-i},
$$

where (: ) denotes the index of the subgroup.
Now taking the relation (2) in mind we see that only the identity mapping $\tau^{l r}$ of $G$ leaves $a_{1}$ fixed. Hence $\iota\left(a_{1}\right)=\left[L\left(a_{1}\right): L\right]=\left(G:\left[\tau^{l^{r}}\right]\right)=l^{r}$. Similarly we have $\iota\left(a_{v}\right)=l^{r}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(a_{0}\right) & +\sum_{d=1}^{l^{r-1}} \iota\left(a_{r, d}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{\leq m \leq r \mid r-1}} \iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)+\iota\left(a_{1}\right)+\sum_{\substack{v \leq \leq \leq r^{r}=1 \\
(v, l)=1}} \iota\left(a_{v}\right) \\
& =1+\left(l^{r}-1\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(l^{r-1}(l-1) l^{r-i}\right)+l^{r}+\left(l^{r-1}(l-1)-1\right) l^{r} \\
& =l^{2 r} \\
& =n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(m, l)=1$ means that $m$ is relatively prime to $l$.
Let $M^{\prime}$ be a field obtained by adjoining each $a$ and all its conjugates over $L$ to $k^{\prime}$. Then we see without difficulty

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{v^{r}+1}, y_{2 l^{r+1}}, \cdots, y_{\left(r^{r-1}\right) l^{r}+1}\right)=M^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \cdots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \\
&=k^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)=k^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=K^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the theorem of elementary divisors for a cyclic matrix
there exist square matrices $P, Q$ of degree $l^{r}$ with integral coefficients whose determinants $|P|,|Q|$ are $\pm 1$, and

$$
P^{-1} A Q^{-1}=B=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
b_{1} & & & & & \\
& b_{2} & & & 0 & \\
& & b_{3} & & & \\
& 0 & & \ddots & & \\
& & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & b_{l^{r}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is diagonal, where the elements $b_{i}$ are integers satisfying $b_{i+1} \equiv 0\left(b_{i}\right)$, i.e. $b_{i}$ divides $b_{i+1}$. Let $p_{i j}$ be the $(i, j)$ element of the matrix $P$ and $q_{i j}$ the $(i, j)$ element of $Q$. Let next $\Omega$ be an algebraically closed overfield of $K^{\prime}$, and denote by $\Omega^{\times}$the multiplicative group consisting of all non-zero elements of $\Omega$. Let further $H=\underbrace{\Omega^{\times} \times \Omega^{\times} \times \cdots \times \Omega^{\times}}_{l^{r}}$ be a direct product of $l^{r}$ groups $\Omega^{\times}$ and $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \cdots, Y_{l^{r},}, l^{r}$ variables. Then $f_{Q}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, \cdots, Y_{l^{r}}\right)=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r^{r}} Y_{j}^{q_{1}}\right.$, $\left.\prod_{j=1}^{l^{r}} Y_{j}^{q_{2}}, \prod_{j=1}^{l^{r}} Y_{j}^{a_{j}}, \cdots, \prod_{j=1}^{l^{r}} Y_{j}^{q r_{j}}\right)$ determines a group homomorphism $f_{Q}$ of $H$ into $H$. Similariy we define $f_{A}, f_{P}$ and $f_{B}$. It is easy to see by the fact $A$ $=P B Q$ that it holds $f_{A}=f_{P} f_{B} f_{Q}$. As the matrix $B$ is diagonal, the order of the kernel of $f_{B}$, that is the group $f_{B}^{-1}(\underbrace{(1,1,1, \cdots, 1}_{l^{r}})$, is $\prod_{j=1}^{l^{r}}\left(\mathrm{abs} . b_{j}\right)=\mathrm{abs} .|B|$ $=$ abs. $|\mathrm{A}|=l^{2 r}=n$, where (abs.) denotes the absolute value of a number. $|\mathrm{A}|=n$ is easily verified directly. As $P, Q$ are unimodular, $f_{P}, f_{Q}$ are automorphisms. We see therefore the kernel of $f_{A}$ is of order $n$. And we conclude as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The kernel of } f_{A}=\left\{\left(\zeta^{\alpha}, \zeta^{\left(r^{r}+1\right) \alpha}, \zeta^{\left(2 r^{r}+1\right) \alpha}, \zeta^{\left(3 r^{r}+1\right) \alpha}, \cdots\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\cdots, \zeta^{\left(\left(r^{-1}\right) r^{r}+1\right) \alpha}\right) ; \alpha=1,2,3, \cdots, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A set $\left\{a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, a_{1}^{\left(r^{r}-1\right)}\right\}$ of all conjugates of $a_{1}$ over $L$ is obviously algebraically independent over $k^{\prime}$. So that if we put $\mathfrak{A}=k^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{l^{r}+1}, y_{2 r^{r}+1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.y_{\left(r^{r}-1\right)^{r}+1}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{B}=k^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, a_{1}^{\left(r^{r}-1\right)}\right), \mathfrak{U}$ is an algebraic extension field of $\mathfrak{B}$. Now we will take any conjugate ( $\left.z_{1}, z_{l^{r}+1}, z_{2 l^{l+1}}, \cdots, y_{\left(r^{r-1)} l^{r}+1\right.}\right)$ of an ordered tuple ( $\left.y_{1}, y_{l^{r}+1}, y_{2 l^{r}+1}, y_{3 l^{r}+1}, \cdots, y_{\left(r^{r}-1\right) l^{r+1}}\right)$ over $\mathfrak{B}$.

Since $y_{1}^{2} y_{v^{r}+1}^{0} y_{2 r^{r}+1}^{1} y_{3^{r}+1}^{1} \cdots y_{\left(v^{r}-1\right) l^{r}+1}^{1}=a_{1}$, we have $z_{1}^{2} z_{r^{r}+1}^{0} z_{2^{r}+1}^{1} z_{l^{r}+1}^{1} \cdots$ $z_{\left(l^{r}-1\right) r^{r}+1}^{1}=a_{1}$. Hence $\left(\frac{z_{1}}{y_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{z_{l^{r}+1}}{y_{l^{r}+1}}\right)^{0}\left(\frac{z_{2 r^{r}+1}}{y_{2 r^{r+1}}}\right)^{1}\left(\frac{z_{3 r^{r}+1}}{y_{3 r^{r}+1}}\right)^{1} \cdots\left(\frac{z_{\left(l^{r}-1\right) r^{r}+1}}{y_{\left(r^{r}-1\right) r^{r}+1}}\right)^{1}=1$. By the similar considerations to 2 nd, 3rd $\cdots$, and $l^{r}$-th components, it follows
that $\left(\frac{z_{1}}{y_{1}}, \frac{z_{l^{r}+1}}{y_{v^{r}+1}}, \frac{z_{2 l^{r}-1}}{y_{2 l^{r+1}}}, \cdots, \frac{z_{\left(l^{r}-1\right) v^{r}+1}}{y_{\left(r^{r}-1\right) v^{r}+1}}\right)$ belongs to the kernel of $f_{A}$. Accordingly $z_{j l^{r}+1}=\zeta^{(j r+1) \alpha j} y_{j^{r+1}}\left(j=0,1,2, \cdots, l^{r}-1\right)$, for certain $\alpha^{\prime} s$. Consequently $\mathfrak{A}$ is a normal extension of $\mathfrak{B}$ of degree less than or equal to $n$. Since $\mathfrak{A} \subset K^{\prime}, \mathfrak{B}$ $\subset M^{\prime}, \mathfrak{B}\left(y_{1}, y_{v^{r}+1}, y_{2 r^{r+1}}, \cdots, y_{\left(r^{r}-1\right) i^{r}+1}\right)=\mathfrak{A}$ and $M^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{r^{r}+1}, y_{2 r^{r+1}}, \cdots, y_{\left(r^{r-1}\right) r^{r+1}}\right)$ $=K^{\prime}$, we see $\left[K^{\prime}: M^{\prime}\right] \leqq[\mathfrak{H}: \mathfrak{B}]$. Hence we have $M^{\prime}=L^{\prime}$, because $M^{\prime} \subset L^{\prime} \subset K^{\prime}$, and $\left[K^{\prime}: L^{\prime}\right]=n$.

Therefore these $a$ are a system of primitive generators of $L^{\prime}$ with respect to $k^{\prime}$. This completes the proof of Propositi $n 1$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $l$ be an odd prime number and $r$ any positive integer and $n=l^{2 r+1}$. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero containing a primitive $l^{r+1}$-th root of unity. If $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is a purely transcendental extension of $k$ of transcendence degree $n$, the fixed field $L$ of the automorphism $\sigma: x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ is purely transcendental over $k$.

Proof. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Then $\eta=\xi^{l}$ is primitive $l^{r+1}$-th root of unity. As we pointed out at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 1, it is enough to prove the theorem for the case $k=Q(\eta)$. The monic irreducible equation of $\zeta$ over $k=Q(\eta)$ is $X^{l^{-}}-\eta=0$. Hence the conjugates of $\zeta$ over $K$ are $\zeta, \zeta^{r+1+1}, \zeta^{2 r^{r+1}+1}, \zeta^{3 r^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, \zeta^{\left(r r^{r-1}\right)}{ }^{r+1+1}$. It follows that the automorphism $\tau: \zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{(r n+1}$ is a generator of the Galois group $G$ of $K^{\prime}$ with respect to $K$.

Putting $\quad y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta^{i j} x_{j}, \quad$ we see

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma y_{i} & =\zeta^{n-i} y_{i}, \\
\tau y_{i} & =y_{\left(l^{r+1}+1\right) i} .
\end{align*}
$$

We will define the system $a$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{o}=y_{n}, \\
& a_{r, d}=\frac{y_{r d}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l^{r-1}} y_{j r^{r+1}+1}\right)^{d}} \quad\left(d=1,2,3, \cdots, l^{r+1}-1\right), \\
& a_{i, m}=\frac{y_{l_{m}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{u^{i-1}} y_{j l^{r+1-t+1}}\right)^{m}} \quad\binom{i=1,2,3, \cdots, r-1}{1 \leqq m \leqq l^{r+1}-1, \quad(m, l)=1}, \\
& a_{1}=y_{1}^{l+1} y_{l^{2+1}+1}^{l-1} y_{2^{r+1}+1}^{l} y_{l^{r+1}+1}^{Z} \cdots \cdots y_{\left.\left(l^{r-1}\right)\right)^{r+1+1}}^{l},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
a_{v}=\frac{y_{v}}{y_{1}^{v}} \quad\left(2 \leqq v \leqq l^{r+1}-1,(v, l)=1\right) .
$$

Using the formula (1') we see easily that $\sigma a=a$ for each $a$. Consequently these $a$ belong to $L^{\prime}$.

Using the formula (2') we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau a_{0}=a_{0}, \\
& \tau a_{r, d}=a_{r, d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota\left(a_{0}\right)=\left[L\left(a_{0}\right): L\right]=1, \\
& \iota\left(a_{r, d}\right)=\left[L\left(a_{r, d}\right): L\right]=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The subgroup of $G$ which leaves $a_{i, m}$ fixed is found without difficulty to be a cyclic group $\left[\tau^{l-1}\right]$. This brings $\iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)=\left(G:\left[\tau^{l-1}\right]\right)=l^{r-i}$. We see at once that the only element of $G$ which leaves $a_{1}$ fixed is the identity mapping $I$, and the only element which leaves $a_{v}$ invariant is also $I$.

Accordingly it follows $\quad \iota\left(a_{1}\right)=\iota\left(a_{v}\right)=l^{r}$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(a_{0}\right) & +\sum_{d=1}^{l^{r+1-1}} \iota\left(a_{r, d}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{\leq m \leq l \\
(m, l)=1}} \iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)+\iota\left(a_{1}\right)+\sum_{\substack{n \leq v \leq \leq \leq i r l i \\
(v, l)=1}} \iota\left(a_{v}\right) \\
& =l^{2 r+1} \\
& =n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ be a field obtained by adjoining each $a$ and all its conjugates over $L$ to $k^{\prime}$. Then $M^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{l^{r+1}+1}, y_{2^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, y_{\left(r^{r-1)} r^{r+1+1}\right.}\right)=k^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ $=K^{\prime}$. If we make an endomorphism $f_{A}$ of a multiplicative group $H=\Omega^{\times} \times \Omega^{\times}$ $\underbrace{\times \cdots \times \Omega^{\times}}_{l^{r}}$ from a cyclic matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
c+1 & l-1 & l & l & \ldots & l \\
l & l+1 & l-1 & l & \ldots & l \\
& & \ldots & \cdots & l \\
l-1 & l & l & l & \cdots & l+1
\end{array}\right),
$$

we see as in the proof of Proposition 1 that the kernel of $f_{A}$ is
$\left\{\left(\zeta^{\alpha}, \zeta^{(r+1+1) \alpha}, \zeta^{\left(2 l^{r+1}+1\right) \alpha}, \zeta^{\left(3 l^{r+1}+1\right) \alpha}, \cdots, \zeta^{\left.\left(l^{r-1}\right) l^{r+1}+1\right)}\right) ; \alpha=1,2,3, \cdots, n\right\}$.
$\mathfrak{A}=k^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{r^{r+1}+1}, y_{2^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, y_{(r-1) r^{r+1}+1}\right)$ is an algebraic extension field of $\mathfrak{B}$ $=k^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, a_{1}^{\left(r^{r}-1\right)}\right)$. For any conjugate $\left(z_{1}, z_{l^{r+1}+1}, z_{l^{r+1}+1}, z_{3^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, z_{\left(r^{r}-1\right) l^{r+1}+1}\right)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{B}$ of an ordered tuple $\left(y_{1}, y_{r^{r+1}+1}, y_{2^{r+1+1}}, \cdots, y_{\left(r^{r-1)} r^{r+1+1}\right.}\right)$ we can
 of $f_{A}$. So, $z_{j^{r+1}+1}=\zeta^{\left(j j^{r+1}+1\right) \alpha s} y_{j^{r+1}+1}\left(j=0,1,2,3, \cdots, l^{r}-1\right)$ for certain integers $\alpha^{\prime}$ 's. This shows that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a normal extension of $\mathfrak{B}$ of degree less than or equal to $n$. Since $n=\left[K^{\prime}: L^{\prime}\right] \leqq\left[K^{\prime}: M^{\prime}\right] \leqq[\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{B}] \leqq n$, we have $L^{\prime}=M^{\prime}$.

Therefore these $a$ make a system of primitive generators of $L^{\prime}$ with respect to $k^{\prime}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

Proposition 3. Let $r$ be a positive integer greater than or equal to $2^{(*)}$ and $n=2^{2 r}$. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero containing a primitive $2^{r+1}$-th root of unity and $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be a purely transcendental extension of $k$ transcendence degree $n$. Then the invariant field $L$ in $K$ under an automorphism $\sigma: x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ is purely transcendental over $k$.

PROOF. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Then $\eta=\zeta^{2 r-1}$ is a primitive $2^{r+1}$-th root of unity. We may assume the basic field is $k=Q(\eta)$. Since the monic irreducible equation of $\zeta$ over $k=Q(\eta)$ is $X^{2-1}-\eta=0$, the conjugates of $\zeta$ over $K$ are

$$
\zeta, \zeta^{2 r+1+1}, \zeta^{2 \cdot 2^{r+1}+1}, \zeta^{3 \cdot 2^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, \zeta^{\left(22^{r-1-1)} \cdot 2^{r+1}+1\right.} .
$$

So that the Galois group $G$ of $K^{\prime}$ with respect to $K$ is generated by $\tau: \zeta$ $\rightarrow \zeta^{\zeta^{r+1}+1}$.

Putting $\quad y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta^{i j} x_{j}, \quad$ we have easily

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma y_{i} & =\zeta^{n-i} y_{i} \\
\tau y_{i} & =y_{\left(2^{(r+1}+1\right) i}
\end{align*}
$$

We will now define the system $a$ as follows. $a_{0}$ is simply defined by

$$
a_{0}=y_{n} .
$$

Obviously there exists a positive integer $b$ such that $\left(2^{2 r-1}-2^{r}+1\right) b \equiv 1$ (mod. $2^{r+1}$ ). We will fix such an integer $b$, and define

[^0]$$
a_{r-1, d}=\frac{y_{2^{r-1} d}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{2^{r-1} 1} y_{j r^{r+1}+1}\right)^{b d}} \quad\left(d=1,2,3, \cdots, 2^{r+1}-1\right) .
$$

Next we will fix positive integers $c_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, r-2$, such that $c_{i} \equiv 2^{r-2}$ $-2^{r-i-2}\left(\bmod .2^{r i-1}\right)$, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i, m}=\frac{y_{2 l^{2}}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{2^{2}-1} y_{j 2^{2 r-1}-2^{2+1} c_{i}+1}\right)^{m}} \quad\binom{i=1,2,3, \cdots, r-2 .}{1 \leqq m \leqq 2^{r+1}-1, \quad(m, 2)=1,} \\
& a_{1}=y_{1}^{5} y_{2^{r+1}+1}^{3} y_{2 \cdot 2^{r+1}+1}^{4} y_{3 \cdot 2^{r+1}+1}^{4} \cdots y_{\left(2^{r-1-1)}\right.}^{4} \cdot 2^{r+1+1}, \\
& a_{v}=\frac{y_{v}}{y_{1}^{v}} \quad\left(2 \leqq v \leqq 2^{r+1}-1,(v, 2)=1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously $\sigma a=a$ for each $a$ by ( $1^{\prime \prime}$ ). Hence these $a$ belong to $L^{\prime}$. We will make use of the formula ( $2^{\prime \prime}$ ) for calculating the $\iota$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau a_{0}=a_{0} \\
& \tau a_{r-1, d}=a_{r-1, d} \quad \text { immediately } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota\left(a_{0}\right)=1, \\
& \iota\left(a_{r-1, d}\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

All elements of $G$ which leave $a_{i, m}$ invariant make a group [ $\tau^{2--1-1}$ ] generated by $\tau^{r^{r-i-1}}$, accordingly $\iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)=\left(G:\left[\tau^{r^{r i-1}}\right]\right)=2^{r-i-1}$.
Since only element of $G$ which leaves $a_{1}$ fixed is the identity mapping,

$$
\iota\left(a_{1}\right)=2^{r-1} .
$$

Quite similarly

$$
\iota\left(a_{v}\right)=2^{r-1} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(a_{0}\right) & +\sum_{d=1}^{2^{r+1}-1} \iota\left(a_{r-1, d}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \leq \leq \sum^{r+1} \\
\langle m,-1}} \iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)+\iota\left(a_{1}\right)+\sum_{\substack{2 \leq v \leq \sum^{r+r}-1 \\
\langle v, 2)=1}} \iota\left(a_{v}\right) \\
& =2^{2 r} \\
& =n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M^{\prime}$ be a field obtained by adjoining each $a$ and all its conjugates
with respect $L$ to $k^{\prime}$. Then $M^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2^{r+1}+1}, y_{2 \cdot 2^{r+1+1}}, y_{3 \cdot r^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, y_{\left(2^{r-1}-1\right) \cdot r^{r+1}+1}\right)=K^{\prime}$. $\mathfrak{A}=k^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2^{r+1+1}}, y_{2 \cdot r^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, y_{\left(2^{r-1-1)} \cdot 2^{r+1}+1\right.}\right)$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathfrak{B}$ $=k^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, a_{1}^{\left(2^{2+1}-1\right)}\right)$. Taking $|A|=n$ into account, where

$$
A=\overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
5 & 3 & 4 & 4 & -\cdots & -\cdots & -\cdots \\
4 & 5 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & -\cdots & 4 \\
& & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right)}^{\substack{r-1}}
$$

is a cyclic matrix, $\mathfrak{A}$ proves to be a normal extension $\mathfrak{W} \mathfrak{W}$ of degree less than or equal to $n$.
But, as $n=\left[K^{\prime}: L^{\prime}\right] \leqq\left[K^{\prime}: M^{\prime}\right] \leqq[\mathfrak{N}: \mathfrak{B}] \leqq n$, we have $L^{\prime}=M^{\prime}$.
Therefore these $a$ make a system of primitive generators of $L^{\prime}$ with respect to $k^{\prime}$.

Proposition 4. Let $r$ be any positive integer and $n=2^{2 r+1}$ and $k$ a field of characteristic zero containing a primitive $2^{r+1}$-th root. The invariant field $L$ of an automorphism $\sigma: x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ of $K$ is purely transcendental over $k$, where $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is a purely transcendental extension over $k$ of transcendence degree $n$.

Proof. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Then $\eta=\zeta^{2^{r}}$ is a primitive $2^{r+1}$-th root of unity. We may assume $k=Q(\eta)$. Since the monic irreducible equation of $\zeta$ over $k=Q(\eta)$ is $X^{2 r}-\eta=0$, the conjugates of $\zeta$ with respect to $k$ are

$$
\zeta, \zeta^{2 r^{+1+1}}, \zeta^{2 \cdot 2^{r+1+1}}, \zeta^{3 \cdot 2^{r+1}+1}, \cdots, \zeta^{\left(2^{2-1)}\right) \cdot 2^{r+1}+1} .
$$

So that the Galois group $G$ of $K^{\prime}$ with respect to $K$ is generated by $\tau: \zeta$ $\rightarrow \zeta^{2+1+1}$.

Putting now $\quad y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta^{i j} x_{j}, \quad$ we have

$$
\sigma y_{i}=\zeta^{n-i} y_{i}
$$

(2)

$$
\tau y_{i}=y_{\left(2^{2+1+1) i}\right.} .
$$

We will define a system $a$ as follows,

$$
a_{0}=y_{n}
$$

$$
a_{r, d}=\frac{y_{2^{r} d}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{2^{r-1}} y_{j 2^{r+1}}\right)^{b d}} \quad\left(d=1,2,3, \cdots, 2^{r+1}-1\right)
$$

where $b$ is a fixed positive integer satisfying $\left(2^{2 r}-2^{r}+1\right) b \equiv 1\left(\bmod .2^{r+1}\right)$,

$$
a_{i, m}=\frac{y_{2^{2} m}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{2^{2-1}} y_{j 2^{r-t+1}-2^{r+c_{i}+1}}\right)^{m}} \quad\binom{i=1,2,3, \cdots, r-1}{1 \leqq m \leqq 2^{r+1}-1,(m, 2)=1}
$$

where the $c_{i}$ are fixed positive integers satisfying $c_{i} \equiv 2^{r-1}-2^{r-i-1}\left(\bmod .2^{r-i}\right)$, for $i=1,2,3, \cdots, r-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=y_{1}^{3} y_{2^{r+1}+1}^{1} y_{2 \cdot 2^{r+1}+1}^{2} y_{3 \cdot 2^{r+1+1}}^{2} \cdots y_{\left(2^{r-1)} \cdot 2^{r+1+1}\right.}^{2} \\
& a_{v}=\frac{y_{v}}{y_{1}^{v}} \quad\left(2 \leqq v \leqq 2^{r+1}-1, \quad(v, 2)=1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These $a$ are contained in $L^{\prime}$.
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota\left(a_{0}\right)=1, \\
& \iota\left(a_{r, d}\right)=1, \\
& \iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)=2^{r-i}, \\
& \iota\left(a_{1}\right)=2^{r}, \\
& \iota\left(a_{v}\right)=2^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(a_{0}\right) & +\sum_{d=1}^{2^{r+1-1}} \iota\left(a_{r, d}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq 2^{r+1} \leq-1 \\
(m, 2)=1}} \iota\left(a_{i, m}\right)+\iota\left(a_{1}\right)+\sum_{\substack{2 \leq v \leq \leq^{r+1}+1 \\
(v, 2)=1}} \iota\left(a_{v}\right) \\
& =2^{2 r+1} \\
& =n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the relation $|A|=n$ into account, where

$$
A=\overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & \cdots & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & \cdots & 2 \\
& & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & \cdots & 3
\end{array}\right)}^{2^{r}}
$$

is a cyclic matrix, a field $M^{\prime}$ obtained by adjoining each $a$ and all its conjugates with respect to $L$ to $k^{\prime}$ coincides with $L^{\prime}$.

REmARK. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero containing a primitive 3rd root of unity and $K=k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{27}\right)$ a purely transcendental extension over $k$ of transcendence degree 27 . Then the fixed field of an automorphism $\sigma: x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ of $K$ is purely transcendental over $k$.

Proof. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive 27 th root of unity. Then $\eta=\zeta^{9}$ is a primitive 3rd root of unity. We may assume $k=Q(\eta)$. The monic irreducible equation of $\zeta$ over $k=Q(\eta)$ is $X^{9}-\eta=0$, hence the Galois group $G$ of $K^{\prime}$ with respect to $K$ is generated by $\tau: \zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{4}$.

Putting

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{27} \zeta^{i j} x_{j}, \quad \text { we see } \\
\sigma y_{i} & =\zeta^{27-i} y_{i}, \\
\tau y_{i} & =y_{4 i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will now define $a$ 's as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=y_{27}, \\
& a_{1}=y_{4} y_{10} y_{13}, \\
& a_{2}=\frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}^{2}}, \\
& a_{3}=\frac{y_{9}}{\prod_{j=0}^{8} y_{3 j+1}}, \\
& a_{4}=\frac{y_{18}}{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{8} y_{3 j+1}\right)^{2}}, \\
& a_{5}=\frac{y_{3}}{y_{1} y_{10} y_{19}}, \\
& a_{6}=\frac{y_{6}}{\left(y_{1} y_{10} y_{19}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These $a$ are certainly contained in $L^{\prime}$.
Now,

$$
\iota\left(a_{0}\right)=1,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad\left(a_{1}\right)=9 \\
& \iota\left(a_{2}\right)=9 \\
& \iota\left(a_{3}\right)=1, \\
& \iota\left(a_{4}\right)=1 \\
& \iota\left(a_{5}\right)=3, \\
& \iota\left(a_{6}\right)=3 \\
& \text { Therefore } \quad \sum_{j=0}^{6} \iota\left(a_{j}\right)=27 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
9\left\{\left|\begin{array}{lllllllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& & & \ldots & \cdots & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|=27\right.
$$

completes the proof of our remark.
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[^0]:    (*) When $r=1$, the purely-transcendency has been proved by Dr. Masuda [1], as was already mentioned.

