## SOME REMARKS ON ANTISYMMETRIC DECOMPOSITIONS OF FUNCITON ALGEBRAS

## JUN TOMIYAMA

(Received July 14, 1964)

1. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and A a function algebra on X. Recently Bishop [3] and Glicksberg [4] succeded to express the algebra A in terms of antisymmetric algebras in the following form :

THEOREM A. Every antisymmetric set of A is contained in a maximal antisymmetric set. The collection of all maximal antisymmetric sets forms a pairwise disjoint, closed covering of X satisfying:

(1)  $f \in C(X)$  and  $f | K \in A | K$  for every maximal antisymmetric sets imply  $f \in A$ ,

(2)  $A \mid K$  is closed in C(K).

In succeeding sections, we shall be concerned with the meaning of this decomposition for general function algebras. We shall show (Theorem 2) that the decomposition of the algebra A in the representing space is always deduced from that of A in the maximal ideal space and the collection of all maximal antisymmetric sets consisting of a single point plays a special rôle. Next, the relationship between the decomposition and the essential set of A is made explicit in Theorem 3, from which preceding results relating for essential sets such as Bear [2] and Hoffman and Singer [5] are easily derived, adding some more precise formulations.

2. By a function algebra A on a compact Hausdorff space X we mean a closed subalgebra of C(X), the usual algebra of continuous complex functions on X, containing constants and separating points of X. In this case we call X the representing space of A. M(A) always denotes the space of all maximal ideals of A (with Gelfand topology) and  $\partial_A$  the Šilov boundary of A. We notice that M(A) is the largest representing space of A and  $\partial_A$  the smallest one. A closed set F (in X) is called the peak set of A (in X) if  $F = \{p \in X | f(p)=1\}$  for some  $f \in A$  where |f(p)| < 1 for  $p \notin F$ . A representing measure  $u_p$  associated to a point  $p \in M(A)$  is the positive Borel measure on  $\partial_A$  such as  $f(p) = \int f d\mu_p$  for every  $f \in A$ .

The following theorem is the slight modification of Theorem 5.2 in [5].

THEOREM 1. Let F be an intersection of peak sets of A in M(A), then A|F is closed and

- 1. M(A|F) = F,
- 2. if  $p \in F$ , then any measure  $\mu_p$  on  $\partial_A$  which represents p is supported in  $\partial_A \cap F$ ,
- 3.  $\partial_{A|F} \subset \partial_A \cap F$ .

PROOF. The closedness of the restriction of A to F, A|F, was pointed out in [4] and M(A|F) = F is almost known, because F is hull-kernel closed in M(A). Let  $p \in F$  and  $\mu_p$  be a representing measure of p. Take an arbitrary point  $q \notin F$ , then there exist disjoint open sets  $O_1, O_2$  in M(A) such as  $q \in O_1$ and  $F \subset O_2$ . By compactness of M(A) some finite intersection K of the peak sets containing F is contained in  $O_2$  and K is again a peak set of A. Let fbe a function of A which peaks on K. Then, as pointed out in [5], the relation

$$1=f(p)=\int_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}}fd\,\mu_p$$
 and  $|f|\leq 1$ 

imply that we must have f = 1 on the support of  $\mu_p$ , hence the support of  $\mu_p \subset K$ . Therefore the support of  $\mu_p$  is contained in  $\partial_A \cap F$  which proves 2.

The assertion 3 is an easy consequence of the assertion 2 because, for

a point  $p \in F$  and a function f in A we have  $f(p) = \int_{\partial_A} f d\mu_p = \int_{\partial_A \cap F} f d\mu_p$ .

A subset K (of X) is called an antisymmetric set of A if, for f in A, f real valued on K implies f is constant on K. Let P be the collection of all maximal antisymmetric sets of A in M(A) which consist of a single point.

THEOREM 2. Let  $M(A) = P \cup K_{\alpha} \cup K_{\beta} \cup \cdots$  be the decomposition of M(A)into antisymmetric parts for A, then for any representing space X of A,

$$X = P \cup (K_{\alpha} \cap X) \cup (K_{\beta} \cap X) \cup \cdots$$

forms the decomposition of X into antisymmetric parts for A, and

- 1. the set P is invariant, that is, the collection of all maximal antisymmetric sets in X consisting of a single point coincides with P,
- 2. each  $K_{\alpha} \cap X$  contains a perfect set,
- 3. each  $K_{\alpha}$  is connected.

The fact that every maximal antisymmetric set containing more than one point (hence containing infinitely many points) contains a perfect set was pointed out in Glicksberg [4] using Rudin's result in [8], but we shall show that the assertion 2 is a direct consequence of the boundary behavior of  $A|K_{\alpha}$ , hence we get Rudin's results [8; Theorem 3 and 4], as a corollary of the above theorem. The assertion 3 also appears in [4].

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let K be a maximal antisymmetric set of A in M(A). Since, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.3 in [4], we have

$$\partial_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{K}} \subset \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{K},$$

 $X \cap K$  is always non-empty. Hence we see that  $P \subset X$ . Suppose  $f \in A$  is a real function on  $X \cap K$ , then f is real valued on  $\partial_{A|K}$ , the Šilov boundary of A|K, hence f is a real function on K and reduces to a constant function on K. Thus  $X \cap K$  is an antisymmetric set of A in X, and moreover a maximal antisymmetric set in X. Therefore

$$X = P \cup (X \cap K_{\alpha}) \cup (X \cap K_{\beta}) \cup \cdots$$

is the decomposition of X into antisymmetric parts for A. Notice that, for each index  $\alpha$ ,  $X \cap K_{\alpha}$  does not reduce to a single point because  $X \cap K_{\alpha} \supset \partial_{A|K_{\alpha}}$ . Hence the assertion 1 holds.

For the assertion 2 we proceed as follows; since  $K_{\alpha}$  is connected by a well known theorem of Šilov [7; p. 168] it suffices to prove 2 when  $\partial_{A|K_{\alpha}} \neq K_{\alpha}$ , but in this case it is known that  $\partial_{A|K_{\alpha}}$  contains a perfect set (for example cf. [7; Theorem 3.3.21]).

It is to be noticed that by Theorem 2 we have

$$M(A) \sim X = \{(M(A) \sim X) \cap K_{\alpha}\} \cup \{M(A) \sim X) \cap K_{\beta}\} \cup \cdots$$

Therefore the behavior of a real function f of A on  $M(A) \sim X$  is parallel to that of f on  $X \sim P$ . The decomposition of the representing space of Ainto its antisymmetric parts is deduced from that of A in its maximal ideal space M(A) and the type of this decomposition is unaltered in X. Hence we give the following

DEFINITION 1. If there exists only one maximal antisymmetric set for A containing more than one point, the decomposition is called the first type and we call A an almost antisymmetric algebra. Otherwise, the decomposition is called the second type.

3. The essential set E of A in X is the set which is a hull of the largest ideal of C(X) contained in A (Bear [1]). Thus, the essential set is the minimal closed set E in X such that for any continuous function f, if f=0 on E, then  $f \in A$ . If E=X, A is called an essential algebra.

342

Let  $P^i$  be the interior of P in M(A). We note that  $P \subset \partial_A$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $P_1$  be the interior of P in X, then  $P_1=P^i$ , that is,  $P^i$  is unaltered in X.

PROOF. Let f be an arbitrary function of C(X) vanishing on  $X \sim P_1$ . Then by (1) of Theorem A f belongs to A. Take a point  $p_0 \in P_1$ . There exists a real function f in A such as  $f(p_0) \neq 0$  and  $f(X \sim P_1) = 0$ . By Theorem 2,  $f(K_{\alpha})=0$  for all index  $\alpha$  and then  $f(M(A) \sim P) = 0$ . Therefore the open set  $U = \{p \in M(A) \mid f(p) \neq 0\}$  is clearly contained in P. Thus  $P_1 \subset P^i$  which completes the proof since the other inclusion is clear.

THEOREM 3. Let E be the essential set of A in X, then

 $E = X \sim P^i$ 

PROOF. Since any function of C(X) vanishing on E is in A it is clear that  $X \sim E \subset P$ . Hence, by Lemma 1,  $X \sim E \subset P^i$ . We have  $X \sim P^i \subset E$ . On the other hand the same argument as in the first part of the proof of Lemma 1 gives the opposite inclusion.

As it is known, every essential maximal algebra are antisymmetric (Helson and Quigley [6], Bear [2] etc.) hence maximal algebras are almost antisymmetric in the sense of Definition 1. As for P, if there is only a finite number of maximal antisymmetric sets containing more than one point P is an open set in X (hence open in M(A) by Lemma 1) and  $E=X\sim P$ . However this fact does not necessarily hold in general.

Now for the essential set E of a function algebra A, Bear [2] has proved the following results;

- 1. if  $E \neq X$ ,  $X \sim E$  is an (non-zero) open set in M(A),
- 2.  $E \cup (M(A) \sim X)$  is the essential set of A in M(A),
- 3. for every function  $f \in A$ , if f=0 on E, f=0 on  $E \cup (M(A) \sim X)$ ,
- 4.  $M(A|E) = E \cup (M(A) \sim X).$

The assertions 1 and 2 are easy consequences of Theorem 3. For 3, we get the following more precise form.

THEOREM 4. Let  $E_0$  be the essential set for A in M(A), then  $\partial_{A|E_0} \subset \partial_A \cap E_0$ .  $\cap E_0$ . Hence A|E is isometrically isomorphic to  $A|E_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $p_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $M(A) \sim E_0$ . We can find a continuous function  $f \in A$  on M(A) such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f \mid E_0 = 0$  and  $f(p_0) = 1$ . Put  $F(p_0) = \{p \in M(A) \mid f(p) = 0\}$ , then one easily see that  $F(p_0)$  is a peak set of A containing  $E_0$ . We have  $E_0 = \bigcap_{p \in M(A) \sim E_0} F(p)$ , and  $E_0$  is an intersection

## J. TOMIYAMA

of peak sets, It follows, by 3 of Theorem 1,  $\partial_{A|E_0} \subset \partial_A \cap E_0 = \partial_A \sim P^i$ .

The assertion 4 follows directly from the above theorem and 1 of Theorem 1.

In [5], Hoffman and Singer considered the following sets in M(A);

 $I = M(A) \sim \partial_A$ , the interior of M(A);

 $L = \overline{I} \sim I$ , the accessible set,

 $S_*$ ; the minimal support set which is obtained by intersecting the closed supports of all measures  $\mu_p$  on  $\partial_A$  representing points p in I,

 $S^*$ ; the maximal support set which is the closure of the union of the closed supports of all measures  $\mu_p$  on  $\partial_A$  representing points p in I.

Generally these sets are related with the essential set E of A in  $\partial_A$  in the following manner:

1.  $L \subset E([5; \text{ Theorem 5.3}]), 2. S^* \subset E([5; \text{ Theorem 5.4}]).$ 

If A is a maximal subalgebra of  $C(\partial_A)$ , and if  $\partial_A \rightleftharpoons M(A)$ ,  $L \subset E = S_* = S^*$ and Hoffman and Singer conjecture that for any algebra A with I non-empty the inclusion  $S_* \subset L$  holds.

Now the assertion 1 follows directly from our Theorem 3 because  $P^i \cap I = \emptyset$  implies  $P^i \cap \overline{I} = \emptyset$ . As for 2 we can show more precise result. Let  $S^*_a$  be the maximal support set of  $A | K_{\alpha}$  where  $K_{\alpha}$  is an maximal antisymmetric set of A in M(A) containing more than one point, then by 2 of Theorem 1 we have  $S^*_{\alpha} \subset K_{\alpha} \cap \partial_A$ . Hence by Theorem 2 we get the following

THEOREM 5. 
$$S^* = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha} S^*_{\alpha}}$$
, the closure of  $\bigcup_{\alpha} S^*_{\alpha}$ , hence  $S^* \subset \partial_A \sim P^i$ .

One direct consequence of this theorem is that Hoffman and Singer's conjecture loses its interest unless A is an almost antisymmetric algebra, in fact if it is not the case we have  $S_* = \emptyset$ .

## References

- [1] H. S, BEAR, Complex function algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 90(1959), 383-392.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, A strong maximum modulus theorem for maximal function algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 92(1959), 465-469.
- [3] E. BISHOP, A generalization of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, Pacific Journ. Math., 11(1961), 777-783.
- [4] I. GLICKSBERG, Measures orthogonal to algebras and sets of antisymmetry, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 105(1962), 415-435.
- [5] K. HOFFMAN AND I. M. SINGER, Maximal algebras of continuous functions, Acta Math., 103(1960), 217-241.
- [6] H. HELSON AND F. QUIGLEY, Maximal algebras of continuous functions, Proc. Math. Soc., 8(1957), 111-114.
- [7] C. E. RICKART, General theory of Banach algebras, van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [8] W. RUDIN, Continuous functions on compact spaces without perfect subsets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8(1957), 39-42.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,

YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY, YAMAGATA.

344