

# THE HOMOLOGY DECOMPOSITION FOR A COFIBRATION

KISUKE TSUCHIDA

(Received December 23, 1964)

**1. Introduction.** As a homology analogue of the Postnikov decomposition, the homology decomposition of a 1-connected polyhedron was introduced by B. Eckmann and P. J. Hilton ([3], [5]). Moreover, as a generalization of this notion, B. Eckmann and P. J. Hilton ([4], [5]) and J. C. Moore ([6]) introduced the notion of the homology decomposition of a map. However, the homology decomposition of a map seems to be inconvenient for the actual applications.

Now as an intermediate notion of the above two decompositions, we introduce a notion of the homology decomposition for a cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$ . This notion corresponds with a homology analogue of the Moore-Postnikov decomposition ([1]) and seems to have many applications in the algebraic topology.

In §3, we shall give a definition of the homology decomposition for a cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  and its actual construction. If  $B$  reduces to a point, then such decomposition reduces to the usual homology decomposition for  $X$ . The decomposition for the cofibre  $F$  in such decomposition gives the usual one for  $F$ .

In §4 we introduce the notion of weak  $H'$ -cofibration as a generalization of the induced cofibration. The weak  $H'$ -cofibration weakens the notion of  $H'$ -cofibration defined in [7]. In §4, we explain the relations between the weak  $H'$ -cofibration and the homology decomposition for a cofibration.

**2. Preliminaries.** All spaces have base points denoted by  $*$  and respected by maps  $f, g, \dots$  and their homotopies  $f, g, \dots$ . Let  $\pi(X, Y)$  denote the set of all homotopy classes of maps  $X \rightarrow Y$ . The homotopy class of a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is denoted by  $[f]$ . Let  $K'(G, n)$  be a polyhedron with abelian fundamental group such that  $H_r(K'(G, n)) = 0$  for  $r \neq n$  and  $H_n(K'(G, n)) = G$ . The homotopy type of the polyhedron  $K'(G, n)$  is uniquely determined for  $n \geq 2$ .  $K'(G, n)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) has an  $H'$ -structure and we define the  $n$ -th homotopy group of  $X$  with coefficients in  $G$  by  $\pi_n(G, X) = \pi(K'(G, n), X)$  and the  $n$ -th homotopy group of a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with coefficients in  $G$  by  $\pi_n(G, f) = \pi_1(K'(G, n-1), f)$  ([2], [5]). Let  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  be a cofibration and let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a map. Let  $C_f$  (resp.  $C_{p,f}$ ) denotes the space obtained by attaching the

reduced cone over  $Y$  to  $X$  (resp.  $F$ ) by means of  $f$  (resp.  $pf$ ), i.e.  $C_f = CY \cup_f X$  (resp.  $C_{pf} = CY \cup_{pf} F$ ). Then  $F \xrightarrow{s} C_{pf} \rightarrow \Sigma Y$  is an inclusion cofibration and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{p} & F \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow s \\ CY & \xrightarrow{k} & C_f & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & C_{pf} \end{array}$$

where  $\iota, k, i$  and  $s$  are inclusion maps and  $\bar{p}$  is defined by

$$\bar{p}(y, t) = (y, t) \quad (y, t) \in CY \text{ and } \bar{p}(x) = px \quad x \in X$$

Since  $\bar{p}(y, 1) = (y, 1) = pf(y)$  and  $\bar{p}(fy) = p(fy)$ ,  $\bar{p}$  is well defined. Then the following lemma is an obvious consequence of these considerations.

LEMMA 2.1  $B \xrightarrow{iq} C_f \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} C_{pf}$  is a cofibration.

**3. The homology decomposition for an (inclusion) cofibration.** In this section we only consider 1-connected polyhedra.

DEFINITION 3.1 The homology decomposition for an (inclusion) cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  consists of a sequence of spaces and maps  $(X_n, F_n, i_n, j_n, q_n, p_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$  subject to the following conditions;

- (I)  $X_1 = B \quad j_1 = q \quad q_1 = id.$
- (II)  $B \xrightarrow{q_n} X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} F_n$  is an inclusion cofibration.
- (III)  $q = j_n \cdot q_n \quad : \quad B \xrightarrow{q_n} X_n \xrightarrow{j_n} X$
- (IV)  $X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{i_n} X_n \longrightarrow K(H_n(F), n)$  is an inclusion cofibration ( $n \geq 2$ )  
(where  $i_2 = q_2$ ).
- (V) maps  $q_n, j_n$  induce the following;
  - (1)  $j_{n*} : H_r(X_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_r(X)$  for  $r < n$ ,
  - (2) in the sequence  $H_n(B) \xrightarrow{q_{n*}} H_n(X_n) \xrightarrow{j_{n*}} H_n(X)$ ,  
 $q_{n*}$  is a monomorphism,  $j_{n*}$  is an epimorphism and  $\text{Im. } q_{n*} \supset \text{Ker. } j_{n*}$ .
  - (3)  $q_{n*} : H_r(B) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_r(X_n)$  for  $r > n$ .

(VI) (1) a map  $\bar{j}_n : F_n \rightarrow F$  induced by  $j$  induces

$$\bar{j}_{n*} : H_r(F_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_r(F) \text{ for } r \leq n,$$

$$(2) \quad H_r(F) = 0 \text{ for } r > n.$$

CONSTRUCTION. We will construct the homology decomposition for an (inclusion) cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  inductively. From the homology exact sequence for a map  $q$  (cf. [2], [5])

$$\longrightarrow H_r(B) \xrightarrow{q_*} H_r(X) \xrightarrow{J} H_r(q) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{r-1}(B) \longrightarrow$$

and the homology exact sequence for an inclusion cofibration  $q$

$$\longrightarrow H_r(B) \xrightarrow{q_*} H_r(X) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_r(F) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{r-1}(B) \longrightarrow,$$

we have  $H_r(q) \cong H_r(F)$  for all  $r$ . (1)

We first describe the case  $n=2$ . By the universal coefficient theorem for the homotopy group of a map ([5]), we have an exact sequence

$$\pi_2(H_2(F), q) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Hom}(H_2(F), \pi_2(q)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$F$  is 1-connected and so  $H_1(q) = 0$ . Hence by the generalized Hurewicz theorem ([5]),  $\pi_2(q) \cong H_2(q)$ . Thus we have an isomorphism  $\theta_1 : \pi_2(q) \cong H_2(F)$  and  $[(u_1, v_1)] \in \pi_2(H_2(F), q)$  such that  $\eta[(u_1, v_1)] = \theta_1^{-1}$ . Hence we have the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K'(H_2(F), 1) & \xrightarrow{u_1} & B \\ \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ CK'(H_2(F), 1) & \xrightarrow{v_1} & X \end{array}$$

Let  $X_2 = CK'(H_2(F), 1) \cup_{u_1} B$  and  $F_2 = K'(H_2(F), 2)$ ; then,  $B \xrightarrow{q} X_2 \xrightarrow{p} F_2$  is an inclusion cofibration, where  $q$  is an inclusion and  $p$  a projection. Next we define  $j_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X$  by  $j_2|_B = q$  and  $j_2|_{CK'(H_2(F), 1)} = v_1$ . Evidently  $j_2$  is well defined and  $j_2 q_2 = q$ , if we denotes the injection  $B \rightarrow X_2$  by  $q_2$ .

Now we consider the commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(B) & \xrightarrow{q_{2*}} & H_2(X_2) & \xrightarrow{p_{2*}} & H_2(F_2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow j_{2*} & & \downarrow \bar{j}_{2*} \\ H_3(F) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_2(B) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & H_2(X) & \xrightarrow{p_*} & H_2(F) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the upper sequence is a part of the homology exact sequence for an inclusion cofibration  $q_2$  and the lower sequence is that of an (inclusion) cofibration  $q$ . Then it is evident that  $q_{2*}$  is a monomorphism,  $j_{2*}$  is an epimorphism and  $\text{Im. } q_{2*} \supset \text{Ker. } j_{2*}$ . Also obviously we have  $j_{2*} : H_r(X_2) \approx H_r(X)$  for  $r < 2$  and  $H_r(B) \approx H_r(X_2)$  for  $r > 2$ .

Thus a sequence of spaces and maps  $(X_2, F_2, j_2, p_2, q_2)$  was constructed so as to satisfy the conditions in Definition 3.1.

Now we assume that spaces and maps  $(X_m, F_m, i_m, j_m, p_m, q_m)$  for  $m < n$  were constructed so as to satisfy the conditions in Definition 3.1.

From the homology exact sequence for a map  $j_{n-1}$  and the condition (V), (1), (2) in 3.1.

$$H_r(j_{n-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } r \leq n-1. \quad (2)$$

Since  $q = j_{n-1} q_{n-1}$  (the condition (III) for  $n-1$ ), the following homology sequence is exact (cf. [5]).

$$\longrightarrow H_r(q_{n-1}) \longrightarrow H_r(q) \longrightarrow H_r(j_{n-1}) \longrightarrow H_{r-1}(q_{n-1}) \longrightarrow .$$

Using the condition (II) in 3.1. and the observation done in the beginning of the construction,  $H_r(q_{n-1}) \approx H(E_{n-1})$  for all  $r$ . (3)

Combining these facts and the condition (VI) in 3.1, we have

$$H_r(j_{n-1}) \approx H_r(q) \quad \text{for } r \geq n. \quad (4)$$

Let  $M$  be the mapping cylinder of  $j_{n-1}$ . Then  $j_{n-1}$  can be factorized into the composite map  $X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{l_{n-1}} M \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$ , where  $l_{n-1}$  is an inclusion cofibration and  $\alpha$  a homotopy equivalence. Then it is clear that

$$H_r(j_{n-1}) \approx H_r(l_{n-1}) \quad \text{for all } r. \quad (5)$$

Now by the universal coefficient theorem for the homotopy group of a map, we see that

$$\pi_n(H_n(F), l_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Hom}(H_n(F), \pi_n(l_{n-1})) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

By (2) and (5),  $H_r(l_{n-1}) = 0$  for  $r \leq n-1$ . Hence by the generalized Hurewicz theorem,  $\pi_n(l_{n-1}) \approx H_n(l_{n-1})$ . Combining (1), (4) and (5), we have an isomorphism  $\pi_n(l_{n-1}) \approx H_n(l_{n-1}) \approx H_n(j_{n-1}) \approx H_n(q) \approx H_n(F)$ . Let  $\theta_{n-1}$  be such an isomorphism. Then there exists  $[(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) \in \pi_n(H_n(F), l_{n-1})$  such that  $\eta[(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})] = \theta_{n-1}^{-1}$ . Hence we have the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K'(H_n(F), n-1) & \xrightarrow{u_{n-1}} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{id} & X_{n-1} \\
 \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow l_{n-1} & & \downarrow j_{n-1} \\
 CK'(H_n(F), n-1) & \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X .
 \end{array}$$

We set  $X_n = CK'(H_n(F), n-1) \cup_{u_{n-1}} X_{n-1}$  and define  $j_n : X_n \rightarrow X$  by  $j_n|_{CK'(H_n(F), n-1)} = \alpha v_{n-1}$  and  $j_n|_{X_{n-1}} = j_{n-1}$ . Obviously  $j_n$  is well defined.

Let  $i_n : X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n$  be an inclusion map. Then we see immediately that  $i_n$  is an inclusion cofibration with cofibre  $K'(H_n(F), n)$  and

$$H_r(i_n) = 0 \text{ for } r \neq n \text{ and } H_n(i_n) \approx H_n(F). \tag{6}$$

Next we define  $q_n : B \rightarrow X_n$  to be a composite map  $q_n = i_n \cdot q_{n-1}$ . Then  $q_n$  is an inclusion cofibration. We denote its cofibre  $F_n$ . From the definition of  $j_n$ , it is evident that  $j_n q_n = q$  and  $j_{n-1} = j_n i_n$ . From the homology exact sequence for the composite map  $j_{n-1} = j_n i_n$ ,

$$\rightarrow H_r(i_n) \rightarrow H_r(j_{n-1}) \rightarrow H_r(j_n) \rightarrow H_{r-1}(i_n) \rightarrow \text{is exact.}$$

Hence by (2), (4), and (6),

$$H_r(j_n) = 0 \text{ for } r \leq n \text{ and } H_r(j_n) \approx H_r(q) \text{ for } r > n. \tag{7}$$

Moreover  $\rightarrow H_{r+1}(j_n) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_r(X_n) \xrightarrow{j_{n*}} H_r(X) \xrightarrow{J} H_r(j_n) \rightarrow$  is exact, and hence by (7),  $j_{n*} : H_r(X_n) \approx H_r(X)$  for  $r < n$ .

On the other hand, from the homology exact sequence for the composite map  $q_n = i_n \cdot q_{n-1}$ ,

$$\rightarrow H_{r+1}(i_n) \rightarrow H_r(q_{n-1}) \rightarrow H_r(q_n) \rightarrow H_r(i_n) \rightarrow \text{is exact.} \tag{8}$$

But  $H_r(q_{n-1}) \approx H_r(F_{n-1})$  for all  $r$  ( $H_r(q_n) \approx H_r(F_n)$  for all  $r$ ).

Hence by (VI) in 3.1. and (6),

$$H_r(F_n) = 0 \text{ for } r > n. \tag{9}$$

Since  $\rightarrow H_{r+1}(F_n) \rightarrow H_r(B) \xrightarrow{q_{n*}} H_r(X_n) \xrightarrow{p_{n*}} H_r(F_n) \rightarrow$  is exact, it follows from (9) that  $q_{n*} : H_r(B) \approx H_r(X_n)$  for  $r > n$ .

Applying the five lemma to the commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 H_{r+1}(F_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_r(B) & \xrightarrow{q_{n*}} & H_r(X_n) & \xrightarrow{p_{n*}} & H_r(F_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{r-1}(B) & \rightarrow & H_{r-1}(X_n) \\
 \downarrow \bar{j}_{n*} & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow j_{n*} & & \downarrow \bar{j}_{n*} & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow j_{n*} \\
 H_{r+1}(F) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_r(B) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & H_r(X) & \xrightarrow{p_*} & H_r(F) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{r-1}(B) & \rightarrow & H_{r-1}(X),
 \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where the upper sequence is the homology exact sequence for an inclusion cofibration  $q_n$  and the lower is that of an inclusion cofibration  $q$ , we obtain

$$H_r(F_n) \approx H_r(F) \quad \text{for } r < n.$$

If we apply condition (6), (9) and (11) to the sequence (8) with  $r=n$ , we have

$$H_n(F_n) \approx H_n(F). \quad (11)$$

Finally we consider again the above commutative diagram (10) with  $r=n$ . Then, by (9) and (11), we easily see that  $q_{n*}$  is a monomorphism and  $\bar{j}_{n*}$  is an epimorphism and  $\text{Im. } q_{n*} \supset \text{Ker. } \bar{j}_{n*}$ .

REMARK 1. If  $H_m(F) = 0$  for some  $m$ , then  $X_m = X_{m-1}$  and  $F_m = F_{m-1}$ .

REMARK 2. If  $F$  is  $(q-1)$ -connected ( $q \geq 2$ ),  $F_m = *$  and  $X_m = B$  for  $m \leq q-1$  and  $F_m$  ( $m \geq q$ ) is  $(q-1)$ -connected. In addition for  $p \leq q$ , if  $B$  is  $(p-1)$ -connected, then each  $X_m$  is  $(p-1)$ -connected.

REMARK 3. If  $H_r(F) = 0$  for  $r > m$ , then sequences  $\{X_i\}$  and  $\{F_i\}$  terminate with  $X_m$  and  $F_m$  respectively. Then maps  $j_m: X_m \rightarrow X$  and  $\bar{j}_m: F_m \rightarrow F$  are homotopy equivalences.

As the assertion on  $\bar{j}_m$  is obvious and we prove only about  $j_m$ . By (V) in 3.1,  $j_{m*}: H_r(X_m) \approx H_r(X)$  for  $r < m$ . As for  $r \geq m$ , we consider the preceding commutative diagram (10) and apply the five lemma to obtain the isomorphism  $j_{m*}: H_r(X_m) \approx H_r(X)$  for  $r \geq m$ . Thus  $j_m$  induces the singular homotopy equivalence. In the construction of each  $X_i$ , we may choose  $u_{i-1}$  to be cellular and we may arrange so that  $X_i$  is itself a polyhedron. Hence  $j_m$  is an actual homotopy equivalence.

REMARK 4. Generally we may form  $X_\infty = \cup X_n$  and  $F_\infty = \cup F_n$ , and give them the weak topology. We define  $j_\infty: X_\infty \rightarrow X$  by  $j_\infty|X_n = j_n$ , and  $\bar{j}_\infty: F_\infty \rightarrow F$  by  $\bar{j}_\infty|F_n = \bar{j}_n$ . Then  $j_\infty$  and  $\bar{j}_\infty$  are homotopy equivalences. Also two cofibration  $B \rightarrow X_\infty \rightarrow F_\infty$  and  $B \rightarrow X \rightarrow F$  are equivalent in the sense of [7; Definition 2.5]. The assertion on  $\bar{j}_\infty$  is obvious (cf. [4]) and the assertion on  $j_\infty$  follows from the similar argument as in Remark 3.

REMARK 5. If an (inclusion) cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  is obtained by applying the suspension functor  $\Sigma$  to an (inclusion) cofibration  $B' \xrightarrow{q'} X' \xrightarrow{p'} F'$  with all spaces 1-connected polyhedra, then the homology decomposition  $(X_n, F_n,$

$i_n, j_n, p_n, q_n)_{n=2,3,\dots}$  for  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  may be obtained by applying the suspension functor to the homology decomposition  $(X'_{n-1}, F'_{n-1}, i'_{n-1}, j'_{n-1}, p'_{n-1}, q'_{n-1})_{n=2,3,\dots}$  for  $B' \xrightarrow{q'} X' \xrightarrow{p'} F'$ ; i.e.

$$X_n = \Sigma X'_{n-1}, F_n = \Sigma F'_{n-1}, i_n = \Sigma i'_{n-1}, j_n = \Sigma j'_{n-1}, p_n = \Sigma p'_{n-1} \text{ and } q_n = \Sigma q'_{n-1} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

REMARK 6. In the preceding construction, each  $F_n$  was defined by  $F_n = X_n/B$ . However we may also construct  $F_n$  in the usual way (cf. [3]). We

consider the composite map  $p_2 u_2 : K'(H_3(F), 2) \xrightarrow{u_2} X_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} F_2$  where  $F_2 = K'(H_2(F), 2)$  and maps  $u_2, p_2$  are those defined in the preceding construction.

By Lemma 2.1,  $B \rightarrow C_{u_2} \rightarrow C_{p_2 u_2}$  is a cofibration. But  $C_{u_2} = X_3$ . Hence we have  $H_r(C_{p_2 u_2}) \approx H_r(F_3)$  for all  $r$ . Consider the homology exact sequence of the cofibration  $F_2 \rightarrow C_{p_2 u_2} \rightarrow K'(H_3(F), 3)$ , then  $H_2(F_2) \approx H_2(C_{p_2 u_2})$  and  $H_3(F) \approx H_3(C_{p_2 u_2})$ . It follows from [3: Proposition 4'] that  $p_2 u_2$  is homologically trivial. Thus  $C_{p_2 u_2} = CK'(H_3(F), 2) \cup_{p_2 u_2} F$  obtained by attaching the cone  $CK'(H_3(F), 2)$  to  $F$  by a homologically trivial map  $p_2 u_2$  has the homotopy type of  $F_3$ . The same considerations are done for  $F_n$  ( $n > 3$ ).

Thus we may also built up the homotopy type  $F_\infty$  of  $F$  by an usual process of successively attaching cones  $CK'(H_n(F), n-1)$  by homologically trivial maps.

DEFINITION 3.2. The 1-connected polyhedron  $X$  is said to be normal if it admits a filtration into 1-connected subcomplexes

$$X_2 \subset X_3 \subset \dots \subset X_n \subset \dots; \cup X_n = X$$

with  $H_r(X_n) = 0$  for  $r > n$  and  $i_* : H_r(X_n) \approx H(X)$  for  $r \leq n$ .

REMARK 7.  $F_\infty = \cup F_n$  in Remark 3 is a normal polyhedron. Now we consider an inclusion cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  with a normal polyhedron  $F$ . Let  $\{F_2 \subset F_3 \subset \dots \subset F_n \subset \dots; \cup F_n = F\}$  be a normalization of  $F$  and we set  $X_n = p^{-1}(F_n)$ . Then  $X_1 = B$  and  $B \xrightarrow{q_n} X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} F_n$  is an inclusion cofibration where  $q_n$  is an inclusion map and  $p_n = p|X$ .

Since  $\rightarrow H_{r+1}(F_n) \rightarrow H_r(B) \rightarrow H_r(X_n) \rightarrow H_r(F_n) \rightarrow$  is exact and  $H_r(F_n) = 0$  for  $r > n$ , it follows that  $q_{n*} : H_r(B) \approx H_r(X_n)$  for  $r > n$ .

Next we consider the commutative diagram (10). Then by the values of the homology groups of  $F_n$  and five lemma, we have  $H_r(X_n) \approx H(X)$  for  $r < n$ . Moreover, for  $r=n$ , we easily see that  $q_{n*}$  is a monomorphism,  $j_{n*}$  is an epimorphism and  $\text{Im. } q_{n*} \supset \text{Ker. } j_{n*}$ .

**4. Weak  $H$ -cofibration and the homology decomposition for a cofibration.** In this section we assume that the cofibrations whose homology decompositions are considered constitute 1-connected polyhedra.

DEFINITION 4.1. ([7]) A cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  is called weak  $H$ -cofibration if there exists a map  $\phi: X \rightarrow F \vee X$  and a homotopy  $H_t: X \rightarrow F \times X$  such that

$$(a) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{i_2} & F \vee B \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \vee q \\ X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F \vee X \end{array} \quad \text{is homotopy-commutative}$$

where  $i_2$  is the injection into the second factor.

(b)  $H_0 = j\phi$  (where  $j: F \vee X \rightarrow F \times X$  is the injection) and  $H_1 = (p \times 1)\Delta_X$ .

Let  $Y$  be an  $H$ -space with comultiplication  $\mu$  and let  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  be a weak  $H$ -cofibration.

DEFINITION 4.2. ([7]) A map  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be coprimitive if the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & Y \vee Y \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow pf \vee f \\ X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F \vee X \end{array}$$

is homotopy-commutative.

EXAMPLE. Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a map. Then the induced cofibration  $B \rightarrow C_f \rightarrow \Sigma A$  via  $f$  is a weak  $H$ -cofibration. In fact, following to [7], we define a map  $\phi: C_f \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee C_f$  by

$$\phi(b) = (*, b) \quad b \in B \subset C_f$$

$$\phi(a, t) = \begin{cases} \langle a, 2t \rangle, * & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ (*, (a, 2t-1)) & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (a, t) \in CA \subset C_f.$$

Then the condition (a) in 4.1 holds evidently. Now we define a homotopy  $H_s: C_f \rightarrow \Sigma A \times C_f$  by

$$H_s(b) = (*, b) \quad b \in B \subset C_f,$$

$$H_s(a, t) = \begin{cases} \left( \langle a, \frac{2t}{1+s} \rangle, \left( a, \frac{2st}{1+s} \right) \right) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1+s}{2}, \\ (*, (a, 2t-1)) & \frac{1+s}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (a, t) \in CA \subset C_j.$$

Then  $H_s$  is well-defined and satisfies the condition (b) in 4.1.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let an (inclusion) cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  be obtained by applying the suspension functor  $\Sigma$  to an (inclusion) cofibration  $B' \xrightarrow{q'} X' \xrightarrow{p'} F'$ . Then there is the homology decomposition  $\{X_n, F_n, i_n, j_n, p_n, q_n\}$  for  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  such that  $B \xrightarrow{q_n} X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} F_n$  is a weak  $H'$ -cofibration for each  $n$ .*

PROOF. From §3 Remark 5, the homology decomposition for  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  may be obtained by applying the suspension functor  $\Sigma$  to the homology decomposition for  $B' \xrightarrow{q'} X' \xrightarrow{p'} F'$ , i.e.  $X_n = \Sigma X'_{n-1}$ ,  $F_n = \Sigma F'_{n-1}$ ,  $q_n = \Sigma q'_{n-1}$  and  $p_n = \Sigma p'_{n-1}$ .

Now we define a map  $\phi: X_n \rightarrow F_n \vee X_n$  to be the composite

$$\Sigma X'_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\mu} \Sigma X'_{n-1} \vee \Sigma X'_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma p'_{n-1} \vee 1} \Sigma F'_{n-1} \vee \Sigma X'_{n-1},$$

where  $\mu$  is a comultiplication in  $\Sigma X'_{n-1}$ .

Then we can show that the conditions (a) and (b) in 4.1 are satisfied for a cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q_n} X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} F_n$ .

First we consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma B' & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \Sigma F'_{n-1} \vee \Sigma B' \\ \downarrow \Sigma q'_{n-1} & & \downarrow 1 \vee \Sigma q'_{n-1} \\ \Sigma X'_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Sigma F'_{n-1} \vee \Sigma X'_{n-1}. \end{array}$$

From the definition of  $\phi$ , for  $\langle x, t \rangle \in \Sigma B' = B$

$$(\phi \cdot \Sigma q'_{n-1}) \langle x, t \rangle = \begin{cases} (*, *) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ (*, \langle q'_{n-1} x, 2t-1 \rangle) & \text{for } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$(1 \vee \Sigma q'_{n-1}) \cdot i_2 \langle x, t \rangle = (*, \langle q'_{n-1} x, t \rangle) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Thus the above diagram is homotopy-commutative and condition (a) in 4.1 is satisfied.

Next we consider the diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma X'_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Sigma X'_{n-1} \vee \Sigma X'_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma p'_{n-1} \vee 1} \Sigma F'_{n-1} \vee \Sigma X'_{n-1} \\
 \searrow \Delta & & \downarrow j \\
 & & \Sigma X'_{n-1} \times \Sigma X'_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma p'_{n-1} \times 1} \Sigma F'_{n-1} \times \Sigma X'_{n-1}
 \end{array}$$

Since  $j \cdot \mu \simeq \Delta$ , we have  $j \cdot \phi = j \cdot (\Sigma p'_{n-1} \vee 1) \mu \simeq (\Sigma p'_{n-1} \times 1) \cdot \Delta = (p_{n-1} \times 1) \cdot \Delta$ . Thus condition (b) in 4.1 is satisfied. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  be a weak  $H$ -cofibration,  $Y$  an  $H$ -space with comultiplication  $\mu, f: Y \rightarrow X$  coprimitive, and  $X \xrightarrow{i} C_f \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma Y$  an induced cofibration via  $f$ . Then  $B \xrightarrow{iq} C_f \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} C_{pf}$  is a weak  $H$ -cofibration.*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2.1,  $B \xrightarrow{iq} C_f \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} C_{pf}$  is a cofibration and so it suffices to show that conditions (a) and (b) in 4.1 are satisfied. By the hypothesis  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  is a weak  $H$ -cofibration and hence there exists a map  $\phi: X \rightarrow F \vee X$  satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) in 4.1. First we consider a composite map  $(s \vee i) \cdot \phi: X \xrightarrow{\phi} F \vee X \xrightarrow{s \vee i} C_{pf} \vee C_f$  where  $s$  and  $i$  are inclusion maps. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (s \vee i) \phi f &\cong (s \vee i)(pf \vee f) \mu && \text{(by the coprimitivity of } f) \\
 &= (spf \vee if) \mu = (\bar{p}k_i \vee k_i) \mu \simeq 0 && \text{(see §2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\iota: Y \rightarrow CY$  is a cofibration, there exists a homotopy  $\omega_t: CY \rightarrow C_{pf} \vee C_f$  such that  $\omega_1 \iota = (s \vee i) \cdot \phi \cdot f$  and  $\omega_0 = *$ .

Now we define a map  $\lambda: C_f \rightarrow C_{pf} \vee C_f$  by

$$\lambda(y, t) = \omega_t(y, t) \quad (y, t) \in CY \quad \lambda(x) = (s \vee i) \cdot \phi(x) \quad x \in X.$$

Since  $\lambda(y, 1) = \omega_1(y, 1) = \omega_1 \iota(y) = (s \vee i) \phi \cdot f(y) = \lambda(fy)$ ,  $\lambda$  is well defined.

Next we consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} & X \times X & \xrightarrow{p \times 1} & F \times X \\
 \downarrow \iota & \searrow \phi & \downarrow i \times i & \searrow j & \downarrow s \times i \\
 C_f & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C_f \times C_f & \xrightarrow{s \vee i} & C_{pf} \times C_f \\
 & \searrow \lambda & \downarrow \bar{p} \times 1 & \searrow j & \\
 & & C_{pf} \times C_f & \xrightarrow{j} & 
 \end{array}$$

where the top square is homotopy commutative and all other squares except the bottom square are strict commutative.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } j\lambda i &= j \cdot (s \vee i) \phi = (s \times i) \cdot j \cdot \phi \simeq (s \times i)(p \times 1) \Delta_X \quad (\text{by (b) in 4.1}) \\
 &= (\bar{p} \times 1) \cdot (i \times i) \Delta_X \quad (\text{by the definition of } \bar{p}) \\
 &= (\bar{p} \times 1) \cdot \Delta \cdot i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X \xrightarrow{i} C_f \rightarrow \Sigma Y$  is an induced cofibration ([7]), it follows from [7; Lemma 2.2] that there exists a map  $w : \Sigma Y \rightarrow C_{pf} \times C_f$  such that  $(w \nabla j\lambda) \cdot \psi \simeq (\bar{p} \times 1) \Delta$ , where  $\psi : C_f \rightarrow \Sigma Y \vee C_f$  is a cooperation in the induced cofibration  $X \xrightarrow{i} C_f \rightarrow \Sigma Y$  and  $\nabla$  denotes the wedge product of maps (see [7]).

Let  $p_1 : C_{pf} \times C_f \rightarrow C_{pf}$ ,  $p_2 : C_{pf} \times C_f \rightarrow C_f$  be the projection and  $\bar{\mu} : \Sigma Y \rightarrow \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma Y$  be the comultiplication for  $\Sigma Y$ , then we have

$$j(p_1 w \vee p_2 w) \bar{\mu} \simeq (p_1 w \times p_2 w) \Delta = w.$$

If we set  $\kappa = (p_1 w \vee p_2 w) \bar{\mu}$  and define a map  $\tilde{\phi} : C_f \rightarrow C_{pf} \vee C_f$  to be the composite map  $\tilde{\phi} = (\kappa \nabla \lambda) \psi$ , then

$$j\tilde{\phi} = j(\kappa \nabla \lambda) \psi \simeq (j\kappa \nabla j\lambda) \psi \simeq (w \nabla j\lambda) \psi \simeq (\bar{p} \times 1) \Delta.$$

Thus the condition (b) in 4.1 holds.

Also, for  $b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\phi} i q(b) &= (\kappa \nabla \lambda) \cdot \psi \cdot i \cdot q(b) \\
 &\simeq (\kappa \nabla \lambda) \cdot (1 \vee i) \cdot i_2 q(b) \quad (\text{since } i \text{ is an weak } H\text{-cofibration}) \\
 &= (\kappa \nabla \lambda)(1 \vee i)(*, q(b)) = (\kappa \nabla \lambda)(*, i q(b)) \\
 &= \lambda q(b) \quad (\text{by the definition of } \nabla)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (s \vee i) \phi q(b) \simeq (s \vee i) \cdot (1 \vee q)(*, b) \\
&= (*, i \cdot q(b)) = (1 \vee i \cdot q) \cdot i_2(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition (a) in 4.1 holds.

Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  be an (inclusion) cofibration with  $B$ :  $(r-1)$ -connected and  $F$ :  $(s-1)$ -connected ( $2 \leq r \leq s$ ). Then there is the homology decomposition  $(X_n, F_n, i_n, j_n, p_n, q_n)$  for  $B \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{p} F$  such that  $B \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow F_n$  is a weak  $H$ -cofibration for  $n \leq r+s-2$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $n \leq s$ , then  $F_{n-1} = *$  and  $X_{n-1} = B$ . Hence  $B \xrightarrow{q_n} X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} F_n$  is an induced cofibration and so a weak  $H$ -cofibration. Thus we may take  $n > s$ . Inductively we assume that  $B \xrightarrow{q_{n-1}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} F_{n-1}$  is a weak  $H$ -cofibration and we shall show that  $B \xrightarrow{q_n} X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} F_n$  is a weak  $H$ -cofibration for  $n \leq r+s-2$ . From Theorem 4.2, it suffices to show that  $u_{n-1}: K'(H_n(F), n-1) \rightarrow X_{n-1}$  is co-primitive.

Now we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K'(H_n(F), n-1) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K'(H_n(F), n-1) \vee K'(H_n(F), n-1) \\
\downarrow u_{n-1} & & \downarrow p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \vee u_{n-1} \\
X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1} \\
\downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow j \\
X_{n-1} \times X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{p_{n-1} \times 1} & F_{n-1} \times X_{n-1}
\end{array}$$

where  $\mu$  is a comultiplication for  $K'(H_n(F), n-1)$  and  $\phi$  is a map defined for the weak  $H$ -cofibration  $B \xrightarrow{q_{n-1}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} F_{n-1}$ . Then  $j \cdot (p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \vee u_{n-1}) \cdot \mu \simeq (p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \times u_{n-1}) \cdot \Delta_{K'}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K'(H_n(F), n-1) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K'(H_n(F), n-1) \vee K'(H_n(F), n-1) & \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \vee u_{n-1}} & F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1} \\
\searrow \Delta_{K'} & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\
& & K'(H_n(F), n-1) \times K'(H_n(F), n-1) & \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \times u_{n-1}} & F_{n-1} \times X_{n-1}
\end{array}$$

On the other hand, we have  $(p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \times u_{n-1}) \Delta_{K'} = (p_{n-1} \times 1) \Delta u_{n-1}$ .

Also, by (b) in 4.1,  $(p_{n-1} \times 1)\Delta \simeq j\phi$ . Thus we have  $j(p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \vee u_{n-1})\mu \simeq j\phi u_{n-1}$ . Now we consider the homotopy exact sequence for a map  $j: F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \times X_{n-1}$  (cf. [2], [5]).

$$\pi_n(H_n(F), j) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(H_n(F), F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_{n-1}(H_n(F), F_{n-1} \times X_{n-1}).$$

Since  $B$  is  $(r-1)$ -connected and  $F$  is  $(s-1)$ -connected ( $r \leq s$ ), it follows from Remark 2 that each  $X_m$  is  $(r-1)$ -connected and  $F_m$  ( $m \geq s$ ) is  $(s-1)$ -connected. Hence  $F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1}$  is  $(r-1)$ -connected and  $F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1} = F_{n-1} \times X_{n-1} / F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1}$  is  $(r+s-1)$ -connected. By using the generalized Blakers-Massey theorem ([5]) for an inclusion cofibration  $F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \times X_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1}$ , we have

$$\pi_i(H_n(F), j) \approx \pi_i(H_n(F), F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1}) \quad \text{for } i < 2r + s - 2.$$

By the universal coefficient theorem ([5]) for the homotopy group,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_n(F), \pi_{n+1}(F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1})) &\rightarrow \pi_n(H_n(F), F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(F), \pi_n(F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1}$  is  $(r+s-1)$ -connected, then we have

$$\pi_n(H_n(F), F_{n-1} \# X_{n-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq r + s - 2.$$

Hence  $j_*: \pi_{n-1}(H_n(F), F_{n-1} \vee X_{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(H_n(F), F_{n-1} \times X_{n-1})$  is a monomorphism for  $n \leq r + s - 2$ .

Thus, for  $n \leq r + s - 2$ , it can be deduced from  $j \cdot (p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \vee u_{n-1}) \cdot \mu \simeq j \cdot \phi \cdot u_{n-1}$  that  $(p_{n-1}u_{n-1} \vee u_{n-1}) \simeq \phi \cdot u_{n-1}$ . Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

[1] W. D. BARCUS AND J. P. MEYER, The suspension of a loop space, Amer. Journ. Math., 80(1958), 895-920.  
 [2] B. ECKMANN AND P. J. HILTON, Groupes d'homotopie et dualité, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 246(1958), 2444-2447, 2555-2558, 2991-2993.  
 [3] B. ECKMANN AND P. J. HILTON, Décomposition homologique d'un polyèdre simplement connexe, ibid, 248(1959), 2054-2056.  
 [4] B. ECKMANN AND P. J. HILTON, On the homology and homotopy decomposition of continuous maps, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 45(1959), 372-375.  
 [5] P. J. HILTON, Homotopy theory and duality, Lecture notes, Cornell University, 1959.  
 [6] J. C. MOORE, Le théorème de Freudenthal, la suite exacte de James et l'invariant de Hopf généralisé, Séminaire H. Cartan, 1954~55.  
 [7] K. TSUCHIDA, Principal cofibration, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 16(1964), 321-333.