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The purpose of this paper is to note that some results considered by Helson-Szegö [2] are valid for a general Dirichlet algebra.

1. Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $C(X)\left[C_{R}(X)\right]$ denote the complex [real] linear algebra of all continuous complex-valued [real-valued] functions on $X$ with the usual supremum norm. Let $A$ be a function algebra on $X$, i.e. a closed subalgebra of $C(X)$ which separates the points of $X$ and contains the constants.

We say that the function algebra $A$ is a Dirichlet algebra (on $X$ ) if the space $\operatorname{Re} A$, the set of all real parts of functions in $A$, is uniformly dense in $C_{R}(X)$. Throughout this paper $A$ will denote a Dirichlet algebra on $X$. For a complex homomorphism $\Phi$ of $A$, there exists a unique representing measure ${ }^{1)}$ $m$ for $\Phi$ such that

$$
\log |\Phi(f)|=\int \log |f| d m \quad(f \in V)
$$

where $V$ denotes the set of all invertible elements of $A$ (see Hoffman [3]). We fix such a representing measure $m$.

Let $\mu$ be a finite (positive Baire) measure on $X$. For $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$, let $L^{p}(d \mu)$ be the usual $L^{p}$-space with respect to $d \mu$. If $1 \leqq p<\infty, H^{p}(d \mu)$ shall be the closure $[A]_{p}$ of $A$ in $L^{p}(d \mu)$ and $H^{\circ}(d \mu)$ shall be the weak*-closure of $A$ in $L^{\infty}(d \mu)$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0}=\left\{f \in A ; \int f d m=0\right\} \text { and } H_{0}^{p}(d \mu)=\left\{f \in H^{p}(d \mu) ; \int f d m=0\right\} \\
& \quad(1 \leqq p \leqq \infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]A function $f$ of $H^{1}(d m)$ is called outer if $[f A]_{1}=H^{1}(d m)$. This is equivalent to saying that

$$
\int \log |f| d m=\log \left|\int f d m\right|>-\infty
$$

An outer function is determined by its modulus, up to a constant factor.
Proposition 1. Let $f$ be a non-negative function in $L^{1}(d m)$. Then

$$
f(x)=|h(x)|^{2} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

where $h$ is an outer function of $H^{2}(d m)$, if, and only if, $\log f \in L^{1}(d m)$.
We shall refer to Hoffman [3] for the proofs of above results.
2. It is obvious that each $u \in A+\bar{A}$ is represented uniquely as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=f+\bar{g}+d \quad f, g \in A_{0}, \quad d \in\{1\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation and $\{1\}$ the space spanned by 1 . Representation (1) gives rise to a linear operator C:

$$
i(\bar{g}-f)=C u
$$

Bochner [1] has shown that the M . Riesz theorem is valid for $C$, i.e. for $1<p<\infty$ there exists a finite constant $M_{p}$ such that

$$
\|C u\|_{p} \leqq M_{p}\|u\|_{p} \quad(u \in A+\bar{A})
$$

Therefore $C$ can be extended as a bounded linear operator $C$ on $L^{p}(d m)$ into itself. We call $C$ the conjugate operator and $C u$ the conjugate of $u$.

Proposition 2. If $f$ is real, measurable and $|f| \leqq 1$, then for $0<\lambda$ $<\pi / 2$

$$
\int \exp (\lambda|C f|) d m \leqq N_{\lambda}<\infty
$$

This is proved along the line [5, p. 257] and we omit the proof.
RROPOSITION 3. If $f$ is real, measurable and $|f| \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon(\varepsilon>0)$, then

$$
\exp (i f-C f) \in H^{1}(d m)
$$

Proof. By proposition 2, $\exp (i f-C f) \in L^{1}(d m)$. Since $A$ is a Dirichlet algebra, there exist real $f_{n} \in A+\bar{A}$ such that $\left|f_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon$ and $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ a.e.. We can assume that $\exp \left(i f_{n}-C f_{n}\right)$ converges to $\exp (i f-C f)$ weakly in $L^{1}(d m)$. For $g \in A_{0}$,

$$
\int g \cdot \exp \left(i f_{n}-C f_{n}\right) d m=0, \text { and so } \int g \cdot \exp (i f-C f) d m=0 .
$$

The assertion follows from $H^{1}(d m)=\left\{f \in L(d m) ; \int f g d m=0\left(\forall g \in A_{0}\right)\right\}$ ([3, p. 298]).

Proposition 4. If $f \in A$ and $\operatorname{Re} f>0$, then $\log f \in A$.
Proof. We need the following result (for instance, see [4, p. 78]). If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a commutative semi-simple Banach algebra with unit, $f \in \mathfrak{Z}$ and $F(z)$ is analytic in a region of the complex plane which includes the spectrum of $f$, (the range of the Gelfand transform $\hat{f}$ of $f$ ). Then there exists an unique $g \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\hat{g}(\Phi)=F(\hat{f}(\Phi))$ for all complex homomorphisms $\Phi$ of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Since each $x \in X$ determines a complex homomorphism $f \in A \rightarrow f(x), A$ is semi-simple. Now we note that the spectrum of $f \in A$ such that $\operatorname{Re} f>0$ is contained in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z>0$. Indeed the representing measure $m$ is positive and so

$$
\operatorname{Re} \widehat{f}(\Phi)=\operatorname{Re} \int f d m=\int \operatorname{Re} f d m>0
$$

for all complex homomorphisms $\Phi$ of $A$. In addition $F(z)=\log z$ is analytic in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z>0$. Consequently we can use the above result in this case and we get $F(f)=\log f \in A$.

Proposition 5. If $f \in H^{1}(d m)$ and $\operatorname{Re} f \geqq \varepsilon>0$, then $\log f \in H^{1}(d m)$.
Proof. It is easy to see that $\{u \in A ; \operatorname{Re} u>0\}$ is $L^{1}$-dense in $\left\{f \in H^{1}(d m)\right.$; $\operatorname{Re} f>0\}$. Therefore, for $f \in H^{1}(d m)$ such that $\operatorname{Re} f \geqq \varepsilon$, there exist $u_{n} \in A$ $(n=1,2, \cdots)$ such that $\operatorname{Re} u_{n}>0(n=1,2, \cdots)$ and $\left\|u_{n}-f\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. We can assume $\operatorname{Re} u_{n} \geqq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}>0$ because $\operatorname{Re} f \geqq \varepsilon$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges in the mean to $f,\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges in measure to $f$ and so a subsequence $\left\{u_{n, k}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$
converges to $f$ almost everywhere. Consequently we may assume $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $f$ almost everywhere from the start.
(i) Since $(\log x)^{\prime}=1 / x$,

$$
\frac{|\log | u_{n}|-\log | f| |}{\left|u_{n}-f\right|} \leqq \frac{|\log | u_{n}|-\log | f| |}{\left|\left|u_{n}\right|-|f|\right|} \leqq\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{-1} .
$$

It follows from this that $\left\{\log \left|u_{n}\right|\right\}$ converges to $\log |f|$ in $L^{1}$.
(ii) Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $f$ a.e., $\left\{\arg u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $\arg f$ a.e.. Also $\left|\arg u_{n}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}$ (from $\operatorname{Re} u_{n} \geqq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ). By Lebesgue bounded convergence theorem, $\left\{\arg u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $\arg f$ in $L^{1}$.
(iii) From (i) and (ii) we see that $\left\{\log u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $\log f$ in $L^{1}$. But $\log u_{n} \in A$ by proposition 4. This implies $\log f \in H^{1}(d m)$.
3. Two linear subspaces $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{R}$ in a Hilbert space are said to be at positive angle if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sup \{|(f, g)| ; f \in \mathfrak{M}, g \in \Re .\|f\|=\|g\|=1\}<1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $0 \leqq \rho<1$ if, and only if, there exists a $\rho^{\prime} ; 0 \leqq \rho^{\prime}<1$ such that for every $f \in \mathfrak{M}, g \in \mathfrak{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)\|f\|\|g\| \leqq\|f+g\|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\mu$ be a positive finite measure and we consider the Hilbert space $L^{2}(d \mu)$. We take $H^{2}(d \mu)$ and $\bar{H}_{0}^{2}(d \mu)$ as $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\Re$, respectively and evaluate $\rho$ of them.

Proposition 6. The linear subspaces $H^{2}(d \mu)$ and $\bar{H}_{0}^{2}(d \mu)$ are at positive angle in $L^{2}(d \mu)$ if, and only if, there is a constant $M$ such that $\|C u\|_{\mu} \leqq M\|u\|_{\mu}$ for every $u \in A+\bar{A}$ in the norm of $L^{2}(d \mu)$.

Proof. For $u \in A+\bar{A}$ we write as $u=f+\bar{g}+d, f, g \in A_{0}, d \in\{1\}$. Define a linear operator $P$ by $f+d=P u$. It is easy to see that $C$ is bounded if, and only if, $P$ is bounded, and the assertion is immediate from (3).

Next we consider the case that $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m$ i.e. $d \mu=w d m$, and $w^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(d m)$ and so $\log w \in L^{1}(d m)$. Then by proposition 1 there exists an outer function $h \in H^{1}(d m)$ such that $w=|h|$. We take $-\pi$ $\leqq \arg z<\pi$, then we have

Proposition 7. Two linear subspaces $H^{2}(w d m)$ and $\bar{H}_{0}^{2}(w d m)$ are at positive angle if, and only if, there exist an $\varepsilon>0$ and $g \in H^{\circ}(d m)$ such that $|g(x)| \geqq \varepsilon$ a.e. and $|\arg g(x) h(x)| \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon$ a.e..

The proof follows the same line of reasoning as Helson-Sezegö [2].

TheOrem 1. Two linear subspaces $H^{2}(w d m)$ and $\bar{H}_{0}^{2}(w d m)$ are at positive angle if, and only if,

$$
w=\exp (u+C v)
$$

where $u, v$ are real functions in $L^{\infty}(d m)$ and $\|v\|_{\infty}<\pi / 2$.
Proof. By Propositions 7 and $5, \log g h \in H^{1}(d m)(g, h$ are the same as in proposition 7). Now

$$
\log g(x) h(x)=\log |g(x) h(x)|+i \arg g(x) h(x)
$$

Since $\arg g h \in L^{\infty}(d m), \log |g h|$ is a conjugate of $-\arg g h(=v)$. Also $\|v\|_{\infty}<\pi / 2$. Therefore

$$
w(x)=\frac{1}{|g(x)|}|g(x) h(x)|=\exp (u(x)+C v(x))
$$

The proof of the sufficiency is the same as in [2].
Corollary. There is a constant $M$ such that for $u \in A+\bar{A}$

$$
\|C u\|_{w} \leqq M\|u\|_{w}
$$

if and only if,

$$
w=\exp (u+C v)
$$

where $u, v$ are real functions in $L^{\infty}(d m)$ and $\|v\|_{\infty}<\pi / 2$.
Theorem 2. Two linear subspaces $H^{2}(w d m)$ and $\bar{H}_{0}^{2}(w d m)$ are at positive angle if, and only if, there exist a $g \in V_{\infty}$ and an $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
|\arg g h| \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

where $V_{\infty}$ is the set of all invertible elements of $H^{\circ}(d m)$.
Proof. It suffices to see that $g h$ is outer where $g$ and $h$ are the same as in Proposition 7. For, if $g h$ is outer, then $g$ is outer since $h$ is outer and $\int g h d m=\int g d m \cdot \int h d m$, and so $g \in V_{\infty}$ because of $|g| \geqq \varepsilon$ (propositon 7). Now $g h \in H^{1}(d m),|g h| \geqq \varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $|\arg g h| \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon^{\prime}$ a.e.. We put $\arg g h=f$ and apply Proposition 3 to $f$. Then $F=\exp (i f-C f)$ is outer. We assert that $F=g h$. Indeed $\arg F=f=\arg g h$ and

$$
|F|=\exp (-C f)=\exp (-C \arg g h)=\exp (\log |g h|)=|g h|
$$

from the proof of Theorem 1.
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[^0]:    1) A representing measure for $\Phi$ is a positive regular measure $m$ on $X$ such that $\Phi(f)=\int f d m$ $(f \in A)$.
