

## A NOTE ON THE CLOSURE OF TRANSLATIONS IN $L^p$

MASAKITI KINUKAWA

(Received February 16, 1966)

1. In this note, we shall consider a function  $f(x)$  defined on the real axis  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Suppose  $p \geq 1$  and  $f \in L^1 \cap L^p$ , then  $f$  is said to have the Wiener closure property  $(C_p)$ , when the linear manifold spanned by the translates of  $f$  is dense in the space  $L^p$ . This property is equivalent to the following statement: if  $\varphi(x) \in L^q \cap L^\infty$  and the convolution  $f * \varphi(x) = 0$ , then  $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ , where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , (Cf. Herz [3]). Pollard [6] pointed out the close connection between the closure property  $(C_p)$  and a certain uniqueness problem for trigonometric integrals. Let us denote the set of zeros of the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(t)$  of  $f(x)$  by  $Z(f)$ . We say that  $f(x) \in L^p \cap L^1$  has the property  $(U_q)$  if the conditions

$$(a) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma|x|} e^{ixt} \varphi(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for } t \notin Z(f)$$

and

$$(b) \quad \varphi(x) \in L^q \cap L^\infty$$

can be satisfied simultaneously only by  $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ .

Under the above terminology, Pollard's and Herz's result may be stated as follows:

I. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $f \in L^p \cap L^1$  has the property  $(U_q)$ , then  $f$  has the property  $(C_p)$ .

II. For  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $f \in L^p \cap L^1$  has the property  $(C_p)$ , then  $f$  has the property  $(U_q)$ .

It is essentially the same problems of spectral synthesis of bounded functions to ask whether the statement II for the case  $1 < p < 2$  holds. Standing on this point of view, we shall show the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose the following:*

i)  $f \in L^p \cap L^1$  ( $1 < p < 2$ ),

ii) *there exists a monotone decreasing function  $w(x) \in L^1(0, \infty)$  such that  $|f(x)|^p \leq w(|x|)$ ,*

and

iii)  *$f$  has the property  $(C_p)$ .*

*Then  $f$  has the property  $(U_q)$ , where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .*

(That is, if  $f$  has a  $L^p$ -monotone majorant, then the property  $(C_p)$  is equivalent to the property  $(U_q)$ .)

Considering the dual statement of Theorem 1, we see that for a proof of Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that the following statement is true under the assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1: for  $\varphi \in L^q \cap L^\infty$ , if  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0$

for  $t \notin Z(f)$ , then  $f * \varphi = 0$ , or  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{f}(x) dx = 0$ , where  $\bar{f}$  is the conjugate of  $f$  and

$$U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma|x|} e^{ixt} \varphi(x) dx.$$

2. We need some lemmas concerning the spectral analysis of bounded functions. For a function  $\varphi(x) \in L^q \cap L^\infty$ , we shall denote its spectral set by  $\text{Sp.}(\varphi)$ , that is,

$$\text{Sp.}(\varphi) = \bigcap_k \{Z(k); k * \varphi = 0, k \in L^1\}.$$

LEMMA 1. *Let  $F$  be a closed set on the real axis. If*

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \notin F,$$

*then  $\text{Sp.}(\varphi) \subset F$ .*

LEMMA 2. *Let  $I$  be any closed interval contained in the complement of  $\text{Sp.}(\varphi)$ , then*

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0, \quad \text{uniformly on } t \in I.$$

Lemma 2 is due to Beurling ([1] and [2]).

Lemma 1 is essentially given by Pollard [6] and Herz [2]. Actually, Pollard proved the following :

LEMMA 3. Suppose  $k(x) \in L^1 \cap L^p$  ( $1 < p < 2$ ),  $|x|^{1/p}k(x) \in L^1$ , and  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0$  for  $t \notin Z(k)$ , then  $k * \varphi = 0$ .

On the other hand,  $t_0 \notin \text{Sp.}(\varphi)$  if and only if there exists a function  $k(x) \in L^1$  such that  $k * \varphi = 0$  but the Fourier transform  $\widehat{k}(t)$  of  $k(x)$  does not vanish on  $t_0$ . Take any  $t_0 \notin F$ . Since  $F$  is closed, there exists an open interval  $I = (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)$  which is contained in the complement of  $F$ . Of course, we have  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0$  for  $t \in I$ . We can find a function  $k(x) \in L^1 \cap L^p$  ( $1 < p < 2$ ) such that  $|x|^{1/p}k(x) \in L^1$  and  $I$  is the complement of  $Z(k)$ . (For example, take  $k(x) = (1 - \cos \varepsilon t) e^{it_0 t} / (\varepsilon t^2)$ , then  $\widehat{k}(t) = 1 - |t - t_0| / \varepsilon$  for  $t \in I$ , and  $= 0$  for  $t \notin I$ .) Application of Lemma 3 shows that  $k * \varphi = 0$ . But  $\widehat{k}(t_0) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $t_0 \notin \text{Sp.}(\varphi)$ , that is,  $\text{Sp.}(\varphi) \subset F$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

From Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

LEMMA 4. Let  $F$  be a closed set. If  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0$  for  $t \notin F$ , then the above limit is convergent uniformly for  $t$  on any closed interval contained in the complement of  $F$ .

3. Let  $A_p$  ( $1 < p < 2$ ) be the space of Fourier transforms of functions in  $L^p$ . Define a norm  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{A_p}$  in the space  $A_p$  by

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{A_p} = \|f\|_p = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $\widehat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ , that is,

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\omega \rightarrow \infty}^{(g)} (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} f(x) e^{+ixt} dx.$$

We say that  $g(t)$  is a normalized contraction of  $\widehat{f} \in A_p$ , if  $|g(t) - g(t')| \leq |\widehat{f}(t) - \widehat{f}(t')|$  for any  $t$  and  $t'$ , and if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\lambda+a}^{\lambda+b} |g(t)|^q dt = 0 \quad \text{for each } a \text{ and } b.$$

Moreover, we say an element  $\widehat{f}$  of  $A_p$  is contractible in the space  $A_p$ , if every normalized contraction of  $\widehat{f}$  also belongs to the space  $A_p$ . And we say  $f$  is uniformly contractible in the space  $A_p$  if  $f$  is contractible in  $A_p$  and if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n\|_{A_p} = 0$  for any sequence  $g_n(t)$  of normalized contractions of  $\widehat{f}(t)$  such

that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(t) = 0$ . Using the above terms, we have the following theorem analogously to Beurling's result [2].

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that (i)  $f(x) \in L^1 \cap L^p$  ( $1 < p < 2$ ), (ii)  $\hat{f}(t)$  is uniformly contractible in the space  $A_p$ , and (iii) for  $\varphi \in L^q \cap L^\infty$ ,  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0$  on  $t \notin Z(f)$ . Then we have  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{f}(x) dx = 0$ .*

We shall give a proof of Theorem 2 according to Beurling's argument. Take a sequence of circular projections  $T_n(z)$ , that is,  $T_n(z) = z$  if  $|z| \leq 1/n$ , and  $=z/(n|z|)$  if  $|z| > 1/n$ . Since  $\hat{f}(t)$  is the Fourier transform of  $f \in L^1$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \hat{f}(t) = 0$ . Hence we have  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\lambda+a}^{\lambda+b} |\hat{f}_n(t)|^q dt = 0$  for each  $n, a$  and  $b$ , where  $\hat{f}_n(t) = T_n(\hat{f}(t))$ . That is,  $\hat{f}_n(t)$  is a normalized contraction of  $\hat{f}(t)$ , and so the notation  $\hat{f}_n(t)$  is justified by the assumption (ii). Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \hat{f}(t) = 0$ , there exists a positive number  $R_n$  such that  $\hat{f}(t) - \hat{f}_n(t) = 0$  for  $|t| > R_n$ . Put  $E_n = Z(f) \cap [-R_n, R_n]$ . Note  $E_n \subset Z(f)$ , and that  $\hat{f}(t)$  is continuous. For each point  $t_0 \in E_n$ , there exists a neighborhood  $N(t_0)$  of  $t_0$  such that  $|\hat{f}(t)| \leq 1/n$  for  $t \in N(t_0)$ . Hence we have a finite number of open intervals  $N(t_k)$  ( $k=1 \sim l$ ) such that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^l N(t_k) \supset E_n$  and  $|\hat{f}(t)| \leq 1/n$  for  $t \in \bigcup_{k=1}^l N(t_k)$ . Put  $[-R_n, R_n] - \bigcup_{k=1}^l N(t_k) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m I_j = I^{(n)}$ , where each  $I_j$  is a closed interval contained in the complement of  $Z(f)$  and

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{f}(t) - \hat{f}_n(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \notin I^{(n)}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4,

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} U_\varphi(\sigma, t) = 0, \quad \text{uniformly for } t \in I^{(n)}.$$

On the other hand, the function  $\hat{f}(t) - \hat{f}_n(t)$  is bounded and its support is contained in the compact set  $I^{(n)}$ . Therefore  $\hat{f}(t) - \hat{f}_n(t)$  is a Fourier transform of some function  $G(x)$  in  $L^2$ , that is,

$$\hat{f}(t) - \hat{f}_n(t) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \text{l.i.m.}_{\omega \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} G(x) e^{+ixt} dx.$$

This is also equal to

$$(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \text{l.i.m.}_{\omega \rightarrow \infty}^{(q)} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \{f(x) - f_n(x)\} e^{+ixt} dx .$$

Now, applying the Fourier reciprocity, we have  $f(x) - f_n(x) = G(x) \in L^2$ . Hence we can apply the Parseval relation. That is, we have

$$(1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma|x|} \varphi(x) \{ \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}_n(x) \} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{\varphi}(\sigma, t) \{ \bar{f}(t) - \bar{f}_n(t) \} dt .$$

Letting  $\sigma \rightarrow +0$ , we have

$$(1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \{ \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}_n(x) \} dx = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{\varphi}(\sigma, t) \{ \bar{f}(t) - \bar{f}_n(t) \} dt .$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), the right hand side is equal to

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} \int_{I^{(n)}} U_{\varphi}(\sigma, t) \{ \bar{f}(t) - \bar{f}_n(t) \} dt = 0$$

Thus we can conclude

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \{ \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}_n(x) \} dx = 0 ,$$

for a sufficiently large number  $n$ . By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{f}_n(x) dx \right| \leq \| \varphi \|_q \| f_n \|_p = \| \varphi \|_q \| \hat{f}_n \|_{A_p} .$$

Since  $\hat{f}(x)$  is uniformly contractible, the right hand side of the above tends to zero when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{f}_n(x) dx = 0 .$$

Summing the results (3.3) and (3.4) up, we can conclude that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{f}(x) dx = 0 .$$

4. In order to finish the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following :

THEOREM 3. Let  $f(x) \in L^p$  ( $1 < p < 2$ ). Suppose that there exists a function  $w(x)$  such that (i)  $w(x)$  is even and positive,

(ii)  $x^2 w^{2/p}(x) \in L(0, \delta)$ ,  $w^{2/p}(x) \in L(\delta, \infty)$  for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(iii) \int_0^\infty x^{-3p/2} \left\{ \int_0^x u^2 w^{2/p}(u) du \right\}^{p/2} dx + \int_0^\infty x^{-p/2} \left\{ \int_x^\infty w^{2/p}(u) du \right\}^{p/2} dx < \infty$$

and (iv)  $|f(x)|^p \leq w(|x|)$ . Then the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(t)$  of  $f(x)$  is uniformly contractible in the space  $A_p$ .

A proof of Theorem 3 is a simple modification of the argument in the previous paper [5]. Let  $\hat{f}_n(t)$  be a sequence of normalized contractions of  $\hat{f}(t)$  with a property  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_n(t) = 0$ . Under the assumption of Theorem 3,  $\hat{f}(t)$  is contractible in  $A_p$ , and so  $\hat{f}_n(t)$  is a Fourier transform of  $f_n(x) \in L_p$  (cf. [4]). Therefore, we need only to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{f}_n\|_{A_p}^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f_n(x)|^p dx = 0.$$

Let  $g(u) \in L^p$ . By the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$S(x) = \int_0^x u^p |g(u)|^p dx \leq x^{1-p/2} \left( \int_0^x u^2 |g(u)|^2 du \right)^{p/2}.$$

Apply the partial integration to  $\int_0^N |g(x)|^p dx = \int_0^N x^{-p} S'(x) dx$ , then we have the following inequality :

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^\infty |g(x)|^p dx \leq p \int_0^\infty x^{-3p/2} \left( \int_0^x u^2 |g(u)|^2 du \right)^{p/2} dx.$$

The Parseval relation and the assumptions assure the following inequalities :

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty u^2 |f_n(u)|^2 du &\leq C x^2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f_n(u)|^2 \sin^2 u/x du \\ &= C x^2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\hat{f}_n(u+1/x) - \hat{f}_n(u-1/x)|^2 du \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\leq Cx^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(u+1/x) - \hat{f}(u-1/x)|^2 du \\ &= Cx^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u)|^2 \sin^2 u/x du \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \leq C \left\{ \int_0^x u^2 w^{2/p}(u) du + x^2 \int_x^{\infty} w^{2/p}(u) du \right\}.$$

From (4.1) ~ (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}_n\|_{A_p}^p &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_n(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left\{ |x|^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_n(u+1/x) - \hat{f}_n(u-1/x)|^2 du \right\}^{p/2} \\ &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left\{ |x|^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(u+1/x) - \hat{f}(u-1/x)|^2 du \right\}^{p/2} \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\infty} x^{-3p/2} \left\{ \int_0^x u^2 w^{2/p}(u) du \right\}^{p/2} dx + C \int_0^{\infty} x^{-p/2} \left\{ \int_x^{\infty} w^{2/p}(u) du \right\}^{p/2} dx \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities assure the use of Lebesgue's theorem, and so we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{f}_n\|_{A_p} = 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Since a monotone decreasing functions  $w(x) \in L^1(0, \infty)$  satisfies the conditions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3 (cf. [4]), we finish the proof of Theorem 1 through Theorems 2 and 3.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. BEURLING, Sur une classe des fonctions presque-periodiques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 225(1947), 326-327.
- [2] A. BEURLING, On the spectral synthesis of bounded functions, Acta Math., 81(1949), 225-238.
- [3] C. S. HERZ, A note on the span of translations in  $L^p$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8(1957), 724-727.
- [4] M. KINUKAWA, Contractions of Fourier coefficients and Fourier integrals, Journ. Analyse Math., 8(1960), 377-406.
- [5] M. KINUKAWA, On the spectral synthesis of bounded functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14(1963), 468-471.
- [6] H. POLLARD, The closure of translations in  $L^p$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2(1951), 100-104.

INTERNATIONAL CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY,  
TOKYO, JAPAN.